# Lista 1

## Geometria simplética

**Problem 1:** Let V be a symplectic vector space (dim V = 2n), and  $\Omega \in \Lambda^2 V^*$  be a skew-symmetric bilinear form. Show that  $\Omega$  is nondegenerate iff  $\Omega^n \neq 0$ .

*Solution.* I first tried to show that  $\Omega$  is degenerate iff  $\Omega^n = 0$ . Suppose there is a vector  $v_0$  such that  $\Omega(v_0, w) = 0$  for all  $w \in V$  and complete to a basis. Then for any  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V$  we have

$$(\Omega \wedge \Omega)(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} sgn(\sigma) \Omega(\nu_{\sigma(1)},\nu_{\sigma(2)}) \Omega(\nu_{\sigma(3)},\nu_{\sigma(4)}).$$

**Problem 2:** Let  $(V, \Omega)$  be a symplectic vector space, and let  $W \subseteq V$  be any linear subspace.

a. Show that  $V_W = \frac{W}{W \cap W^{\Omega}}$  inherits a natural symplectic structure  $\Omega_W$  uniquely determined by the condition  $\pi^*\Omega_W = \Omega|_W$  (here  $\pi: W \to W/(W \cap W^{\Omega})$  is the quotient projection).

(The space  $(V_W, \Omega_W)$  is called the **reduced space**.)

b. Suppose that W is coisotropic, and let  $L \subset V$  be lagrangian. Show that the image of  $L \cap W$  via  $\pi : W \to V_W$  is lagrangian in the reduced space.

Solution.

a. Define

$$\Omega_W([w_1], [w_2]) := \Omega(w_1, w_2)$$

for any equivalence classes  $[w_1]$ ,  $[w_2] \in V_W$ . Let's check that this is well defined. Suppose  $w_1' \in [w_1]$ . Then  $w_1 - w_1' \in W \cap W^{\Omega}$  so  $\Omega(w_1 - w_1', w_2) = 0$  since  $w_2 \in W$  and  $w_1 - w_1'$  is, in particular, in  $W^{\Omega}$ . So  $\Omega(w_1, w_2) = \Omega(w_1', w_2)$ . Why not quotient only by  $W^{\Omega}$ ? Looks like I didn't use the W part...

Recall that  $\pi^*\Omega_W(w_1,w_2) = \Omega_W([w_1],[w_2])$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\Omega_W$  is the only symplectic form on  $V_W$  satisfying  $\pi^*\Omega_W = \Omega|_W$ : if  $\Omega_W'$  is another such form, then  $\Omega_W([w_1],[w_2]) = \Omega|_W(w_1',w_2') = \Omega_W'([w_1],[w_2])$  for any  $w_1' \in [w_1]$  and  $w_2' \in [w_2]$ .

b. Let's first check what is  $(\pi(L \cap W))^{\Omega_W}$ . We have

$$(\pi(\mathsf{L} \cap W))^{\Omega_W} = \{ [v] \in V_W : \Omega_W([v], [w]) = 0 \ \forall [w] \in \pi(\mathsf{L} \cap W) \}$$
$$= \{ [v] \in V_W : \Omega(v', w) = 0 \ \forall v' \in [v] \ \text{and} \ \forall w \ \text{s.t.} \ [w] \in \pi(\mathsf{L} \cap W) \}$$

In words, this is the set of classes whose representatives are  $\Omega$ -orthogonal to representatives of  $\pi(L \cap W)$ .

So if [v] is any such class,

so let  $[v] \in \pi(L \cap W)^{\Omega_W}$ . Let's check that [v] is also in  $\pi(L \cap W)$ , ie. that  $v \in L \cap W$ . Well,

If  $v' \in L$ , then  $\Omega(v, v') = 0$  since  $[v'] \in \pi(L \cap W)^{\Omega}$ ...but what if  $v' \in L \setminus W$ ?.

Let w be such that  $[w] \in \pi(L \cap W)$ . Then

$$\Omega_W([v], [w]) = 0$$

$$\implies \Omega(v, w) = 0$$

so  $\nu \in$ 

**Problem 3:** We saw in class that any symplectomorphism  $T: V_1 \to V_2$  defines a lagrangian subspace by its graph:  $\Gamma_T := \{(Tu,u) : u \in V_1\} \subset V_2 \oplus \overline{V}_1$ . (Recall that if  $(V,\Omega)$  is a svs,  $\overline{V}$  denotes  $(V,-\Omega)$ .) So we think lagrangian subspaces of  $V_2 \oplus \overline{V}_1$  a generalizations of symplectomorphisms. We now see how to generalize their composition.

Consider symplectic vector spaces  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  and  $E = V_3 \oplus \overline{V}_2 \oplus V_2 \oplus \overline{V}_1$ .

- a. Show that  $\Delta := \{(\nu_3, \nu_2, \nu_2, \nu_1) \in E\}$  is coisotropic in E and its reduction  $E_\Delta$  can be identified with  $V_3 \oplus \overline{V}_1$ .
- b. Given lagrangian subspaces  $L_1 \subset V_2 \oplus \overline{V}_1$  and  $L_2 \subset V_3 \oplus \overline{V}_2$ , define the *composition* of  $L_2$  and  $L_1$  by

$$L_2 \circ L_1 := \{(\nu_3, \nu_1) | \exists \nu_2 \in V \text{ s.t. } (\nu_3, \nu_2) \in L_2, (\nu_2, \nu_1) \in L_1\}.$$

Show that  $L_2 \circ L_1$  is a lagrangian subspace of  $V_3 \oplus \overline{V}_1$ . (*Hint: show that the composition can be identified with the reduction of*  $L_2 \times L_1 \subset E$  *with respect to*  $\Delta$ ).

c. Let  $T_1:V_1\to V_2$  and  $T_2:V_2\to V_3$  be symplectomorphisms. Show that  $\Gamma_{T_2\circ T_1}=\Gamma_{T_2}\circ \Gamma_{T_1}$ .ad

Solution.

a. Let  $\nu:=(\nu_3,\nu_2,\nu_2',\nu_1)\in\Delta^{\Omega_E}\subset E$  where  $\Omega_E=\Omega_1\oplus-\Omega_2\oplus\Omega_2\oplus-\Omega_1$  is the symplectic form on E. We wish to show that  $\nu\in\Delta$ , which only means that  $\nu_2=\nu_2'$ . So let  $\tilde{\nu}=(\nu_3,\nu_2,\nu_2,\nu_1)$  and  $\hat{\nu}=(\nu_3,\nu_2',\nu_2',\nu_1)$  which are both in  $\Delta$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \Omega_{E}(\nu, \tilde{\nu}) \\ &= \Omega_{1}(\nu_{3}, \nu_{3}) - \Omega_{2}(\nu_{2}, \nu_{2}) + \Omega_{2}(\nu'_{2}, \nu_{2}) - \Omega_{1}(\nu_{1}, \nu_{1}) \end{split}$$

and likeways

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \Omega_{\text{E}}(\nu, \hat{\nu}) \\ &= \Omega_{1}(\nu_{3}, \nu_{3}) - \Omega_{2}(\nu_{2}, \nu_{2}') + \Omega_{2}(\nu_{2}', \nu_{2}') - \Omega_{1}(\nu_{1}, \nu_{1}). \end{split}$$

Substracting,

$$0 = -\Omega_2(\nu_2, \nu_2) + \Omega_2(\nu_2, \nu_2') + \Omega_2(\nu_2', \nu_2) - \Omega_2(\nu_2', \nu_2')$$

And by linearity,

$$0 = -\Omega_2(-\nu_2 + \nu_2, -\nu_2 + \nu_2') + \Omega_2(\nu_2' - \nu_2', \nu_2 - \nu_2')$$
  

$$\implies \Omega_2(0, -\nu_2 + \nu_2') = \Omega_2(0, \nu_2 - \nu_2')$$

and the fact that  $v_2 = v_2'$  just follows from nondegenracy.

**Problem 4:** Let (V, J) be a complex vector space, let  $\Omega$  be a sympletic structure on V. Show that J and  $\Omega$  are compatible iff there exists a hermitian inner product  $h: V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Omega$  is its imaginary part. Show that any (complex) orthonormal basis of (V, h) can be extended to a symplectic basis of  $(V, \Omega)$ .

Solution. First suppose that J and  $\Omega$  are compatible, ie.,  $g(u,v):=\Omega(u,Jv)$  is an inner product. Define  $h(u,v)=g(u,v)+i\Omega(u,v)$ . Then h is the required hermitian inner product. Indeed:

- 1. The properties  $h(u_1 + u_2, v) = h(u_1, v) + h(u_1, v)$  and  $h(u, v_1 + v_2) = h(u, v_1) + h(u, v_2)$  follows easily from linearity of g and  $\Omega$ .
- 2.  $h(\lambda u, v) = \lambda h(u, v)$  follows again from linearity of g and  $\Omega$ .
- 3. The property  $h(u, \lambda v) = \bar{\lambda}h(u, v)$  follows easily from 2. and 4. since

$$h(u, \lambda v) = \overline{h(\lambda v, u)}$$
$$= \overline{\lambda} \overline{h(v, u)}$$
$$= \overline{\lambda} h(u, v)$$

4.  $h(u, v) = \overline{h(v, u)}$  is clear by anti-symmetry of Ω:

$$\begin{split} h(u,v) &= g(u,v) + i\Omega(u,v) \\ &= g(v,u) - i\Omega(v,u) \\ &= \overline{h(v,u)} \end{split}$$

For the converse suppose that h is an hermitian inner product such that  $\Omega$  is its imaginary part. Then  $g(u, v) := \Omega(u, Jv)$  is an inner product:

1. Linearity of g is immediate from linearity of  $\Omega$  and J.

#### 2. Symmetry follows from

$$\begin{split} g(u,\nu) &= \Omega(u,J\nu) \\ &= \Omega(-J^2u,J\nu) \\ &= -\Omega(J^2u,J\nu) \\ &= \Omega(J\nu,J^2u) \\ &= \Omega( \\ &= \Omega(-\nu,g(\nu,u) \\ &= -\Omega(Ju,\nu) \end{split} \qquad \qquad = \Omega(\nu,Ju) \end{split}$$

### 3. For positive-definiteness let $u \neq 0$ . Then

$$g(u, u) = \Omega(u, Ju)$$

**Problem 5:** Consider the symplectic vector space  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n},\Omega_0)$ , where  $\Omega_0(\mathfrak{u},\nu)=-\mathfrak{u}^TJ_0\nu$ . Check that its group of linear symplectomorphisms is given by  $Sp(2n)=\{A\in GL(2n):A^TJ_0A=J_0\}$ . Show that Sp(2n) is a smooth submanifold of GL(2n) and that its tangent space at the identity  $I\in GL$  is given by  $T_ISp(2n)=\{A:\mathbb{R}^{2n}\to\mathbb{R}^{2n}|A^TJ_0+J_0A=0\}$ . Conclude that Sp(2n) has dimension  $2n^2+n$ . Verify also that Sp(2n) is not compact.

**Problem 6:** Consider the standard compatible triple  $(\Omega_0,J_0,g_0)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Let O(2n) be the linear orthogonal group of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (i.e., linear transformations preserving the canonical inner product  $g_0$ ), and let Sp(2n) be the symplectic linear group. Through the identification  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  (as complex vector spaces), we may se e somente se  $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  (the group of linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as a subgroup of  $GL(2n,\mathbb{R})$ : a complex matrix A+iB is identified with the real  $2n \times 2n$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Let now  $U(n) \subset GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  be the group of linear transformation preserving the natural hermitian inner product of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Show that the intersection of any two of the groups

$$Sp(2n), O(2n), GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$$

is U(n).

**Problem 7:** Let  $(V, \Omega)$  be a symplectic vector space, let  $W \subseteq V$ . Let J be a  $\Omega$ -compatible complex structure and g the corresponding inner product. Verify that  $J(W^{\Omega}) = W^{\perp_g}$ .

- a. Use this fact to show that any coisotropic subspace of V has an isotropic complement. In particular, any lagrangian subspace  $L \subset V$  has a lagrangian complement L',  $V = L \oplus L'$ .
- b. Show that there is a natural identification  $L' \cong L^*$ , that induces a symplectomorphism  $V \cong L \oplus L^*$  (where  $L \oplus L^*$  has the natural symplectic structure  $((ell, \alpha), (\ell', \alpha')) \mapsto \alpha(\ell') \alpha'(\ell)$ .

*Solution.* First let's check that  $J(W^{\Omega}) = W^{\perp_g}$ . Indeed,

$$J(W^{\Omega}) = \{Jv : v \in W^{\Omega}\}$$

$$= \{Jv : \Omega(v, w) = 0 \ \forall w \in W\}$$

$$= \{Jv : -\Omega(w, v) \ \forall w \in W\}$$

$$= \{Jv : \Omega(w, -v) \ \forall w \in W\}$$

$$= \{Jv : \Omega(w, J^2v) \ \forall w \in W\}$$

re-write  $Jv := \tilde{v}$  using that J is bijective:

$$J(W^{\Omega)} = \{ \tilde{v} \in V : \Omega(w, J\tilde{v}) = 0 \ \forall w \in W \}$$
$$= \{ \tilde{v} \in V : g(\tilde{v}, w) = 0 \ \forall w \in W \}$$
$$= W^{\perp_g}$$

#### Bonus problem: