

# MUMFORD-TATE GROUPS IN HODGE THEORY

Minicourse by (Andrey Soldatenkov, UNICAMP, Brazil), IMPA Summer School, 2026.

Notes at [github.com/danimalabares/cimpa-floripa](https://github.com/danimalabares/cimpa-floripa)

## CONTENTS

1. Plan	1
2. Introduction	1
3. The p,q decomposition	2
4. Symmetries of the p,q decomposition	3
5. The Hodge-Riemann relations	4

## 1. PLAN

- (1) Motivation: cohomology of algebraic varieties.
- (2) Definition. Hodge structures, Mumford-Tate group.
- (3) Characterizations of the MT groups and relations with representation theory.
- (4) Variations of Hodge structures and moduli spaces.
- (5) Dichotomy: abelian vs non-abelian HS.
- (6) The Kuga-Satake construction.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

$X \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$  smooth complex subvariety,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = n$ . First recall we have singular cohomology,  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ , which is isomorphic to the cohomology of the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ . This cohomology is nonzero for  $0 \leq k \leq 2n$ .

Recall.  $U \subset X$  open,  $\Gamma(U, \mathbb{C}) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is locally constant}\} = \prod_{\pi_0(U)} \mathbb{C}$ .

**Example 2.1.** (1)  $X = \mathbb{C}P^n$ ,

$$H^k(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & k = 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A way to prove this is using the CW decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

- (2)  $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  hypersurface of degree  $d$ ;  $X$  a Riemann surface of genus  $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$ . Then  $H^0(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{2g}$ ,  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

We also have the following additional data (a Hodge structure) on  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ :

- A lattice  $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion} \subset H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ ,
- A  $(p, q)$ -decomposition,  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X)$ .

Why is it useful?

- It gives restrictions on possible Betti numbers of algebraic varieties. (So it may tell us that certain complex variety cannot be algebraic, for example.)
- It  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties, then  $f^* : H^k(Y, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  preserves the Hodge structure.

As an example of the latter statement,

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^4$  be a “general” hypersurface of degree 5. Then there exists no abelian variety (i.e. a projective variety that is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^N/\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda$  is a lattice; so, a complex torus that is also a projective variety)  $A$  that admits a dominant birational map onto  $X$   $f : A \dashrightarrow X$ .

### 3. THE P, Q DECOMPOSITION

We use the de Rham complex. Let  $\Omega_X^k$  be the sheaf of holomorphic  $k$ -forms. The de Rham complex is

$$\Omega_{dR}^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^n \rightarrow 0)$$

$\Omega_{dR}^\bullet$  is a resolution of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ . Therefore  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^k(X, \Omega_{dR}^\bullet)$ .

Let's define a subcomplex:

$$F^p \Omega_{dR}^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \Omega_X^{p+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^n \rightarrow 0)$$

It's a subcomplex  $F^p \Omega_{dR}^\bullet \subset \Omega_{dR}^\bullet$  since the sheaves coincide when  $F^p \Omega_{dR}$  are nonzero and they inject otherwise (because it's the zero sheaf).

This gives  $H^k(X, F^p \Omega_{dR}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{(*)} H^k(X, \Omega_{dR}^\bullet) = H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The *Hodge filtration* is  $F^p H^k(X) = \text{Im}(*).$

Hodge theory tells us the map  $(*)$  is in fact injective.

Let  $\Lambda_X^{p,q}$  be the sheaf of  $C^\infty$ -forms on  $X$  of type  $(p, q)$ . They are given locally by  $\sum_{|I|=p} \alpha_{IJ} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J$ , for  $\alpha_{IJ} \in C_X^\infty$ .

Then we have an acyclic resolution of  $\Omega_X^p$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p \hookrightarrow \Lambda_X^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Lambda_X^{p,1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_X^{p,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

$\Lambda_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$  is a fine resolution of  $\Omega_X^\bullet$ .

Then  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^k(X, \text{Tot} \Lambda_X^{\bullet,\bullet})$ , which can be computed by a spectral sequence. The first page of such spectral sequence is given by  $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ . This converges to  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ . This is the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence.

Since our manifolds are projective they admit a Kähler metric  $\omega$  induced by the inclusion  $X \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{C}P^N$ , that is,  $\omega = i^*(\text{Fubini-Study metric on } \mathbb{C}P^N)$ .

Then  $\Lambda_X^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Lambda_X^{p,q}$ ,  $\Lambda_X^\bullet$  becomes an elliptic complex.

We have

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_X^{k-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda_X^k \rightarrow \cdots, \quad \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_X^k \xrightarrow{d^*} \Lambda_X^{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

where  $d^*$  is the adjoint of  $d$  w.r.t.  $\omega$ . Then  $\Lambda = dd^* + d^*d$  is an elliptic operator.  $\mathcal{H}^k = \text{Ker}(\Lambda|_{\Lambda_X^k}) = \text{Ker}(d|_{\Lambda_X^k}) \cap \text{Ker}(d^*|_{\Lambda_X^k})$  are the harmonic forms.

Consider a natural map from the harmonic forms of type  $(p, q)$  to the cohomology:

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q} = \mathcal{H}^k \cap \Lambda_X^{p,q} \xrightarrow{(**)} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p).$$

Fact: since  $d\omega = 0$  ( $X$  is Kähler),  $(**)$  is an isomorphism.

This means that for Kähler manifolds

$$\dim H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \leq \sum_{p+q=k} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \underset{(**)}{\leq} \dim H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$$

This implies that the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ . Therefore,

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H}^{p,q} \cong H^q(X, \Omega_X^p).$$

The Hodge filtration is

$$F^p H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{p'+q'=k \\ p' \geq p}} H^{p',q'}(X).$$

#### 4. SYMMETRIES OF THE P,Q DECOMPOSITION

- (1) Since  $\overline{\Lambda_X^{p,q}} = \Lambda_X^{q,p}$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}^{q,p} = \overline{\mathcal{H}^{p,q}}$ . This means that if  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}^{k,0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\frac{k+1}{2}, \frac{k-1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\frac{k-1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}}$ . This means that the  $k$ -th Betti number is even,  $b_k(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .
- (2) (Poincaré duality.) We have a perfect pairing

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$[\alpha] \otimes [\beta] \longmapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta$$

Notice that if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{p,q}$  then  $\beta \in \mathcal{H}^{n-p, n-q}$ . This induces a perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{n-p, n-q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

- (3) (Polarization and the Lefschetz operator.) The polarization is the Kähler class of the Kähler form. By  $X$  being projective we have that the Kähler class is integral. Moreover, it is the Poincaré dual of the hyperplane section class. That is, let  $h \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{Z})$  be the class of a hyperplane, then  $i^*h = [\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . We have  $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}$  and  $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$ . The Lefschetz operator is

$$L_\omega : H^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H^{p+1, q+1}(X).$$

$$L_\omega : H^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow H^{p+1, q+1}(X)$$

$$[\alpha] \longmapsto [\alpha \wedge \omega] = [\alpha] \cup [\omega].$$

Lefschetz theorem says

- (a)  $L_\omega^k : H^{n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n+k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq k \leq n$
- (b) The dual of  $L_\omega$  is

$$\Lambda_\omega : H^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow H^{p-1, q-1}(X)$$

$$[\alpha] \longmapsto [i_\alpha \omega].$$

$$[L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega] = \Theta \in \text{End}(H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

$$\Theta|_{H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})} = (k - n)\text{Id}$$

Then  $L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega$  and  $\Theta$  span a subalgebra of  $\text{End}(H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . This allows us to use what we know about the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Let  $H_{\text{prim}(X, \mathbb{Q})}^k(\Lambda|_{H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})})$ . Then  $H^m(X, \mathbb{Q}) =$

$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} L_{\omega}^i H_{\text{prim}}^{m-2i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $0 < m \leq n$ . (I think this corresponds to the usual weight space decomposition.)

[Picture of Hodge diamond. Reflection by vertical axis is complex conjugation, 180-degree rotation is Poincar'e duality,  $p+q = \text{constant}$  is a horizontal line, reflection along horizontal axis is Lefschetz theorem. Warning! This depends on conventions of how we draw the diamond.]

## 5. THE HODGE-RIEMANN RELATIONS

For all  $[\alpha] \in H_{\text{prim}}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^{p,q}(X)$  we have

$$i^{p-q} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \int_X \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{n-k} > 0.$$

(Here  $i$  is the imaginary unit.)

Define a pairing on  $H_{\text{prim}}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$\psi([\alpha], [\beta]) = (2\pi i)^{-k} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{n-k}$$

which is  $(-1)^k$ -symmetric.

**Definition 5.1.** The *Weil operator*  $C \in \text{End}(H^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$  is given by  $C|_{H^{p,q}(X)} = (i)^{p-q} \text{Id}$ .

Let  $Q([\alpha], [\beta]) = (2\pi i)^k \psi(C[\alpha], [\beta])$ .

Then  $Q$  is symmetric (exercise) and positive on  $H^k(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Positive is just the Hodge-Riemann relation.