# COMPLEX GEOMETRY

github.com/danimalabares/stack

### Contents

1.	Complex analysis in several variables	1
2.	Weierstrass preparation theorem	2
3.	Complex manifolds	3
4.	Hodge star	3
5.	Riemann surfaces	3
6.	Belyi functions	4
7.	Analytic varieties	5
8.	Sheaf of holomorphic functions	6
9.	Analytic set	6
10.	Hilbert's Nullstellensatz	8
11.	Singularities and dimension	8
12.	Riemann-Roch formulas	9
13.	Adjunction formula	9
14.	Chow's theorem	10
15.	Ampleness	10
16.	Bertini's theorem	12
17.	Serre duality	12
18.	Kodaira Vanishing theorem	12
19.	Kodaira Embedding theorem	12
20.	Hypercomplex manifolds	12
References		13

## 1. Complex analysis in several variables

**Lemma 1.1.** [Lee24], Theorem 1.21. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open and  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) f is holomorphic (i.e. it is continuous and has a complex partial derivative with respect to each variable at each point of U)
- (2) f is smooth and satisfies the following Cauchy-Riemann equations:

(1.1.1) 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y^j}, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^j} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j}$$

where  $z^j = x^j + \sqrt{-1}y^j$  and  $f(s) = u(z) + \sqrt{-1}v(x)$ .

(3) For each  $p = (p^1, ..., p^n) \in U$  there exists a neighbourhood of p in U on which f is equal to the sum of an absolutely convergent power series of the form

(1.1.2) 
$$f(z) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (z^1 - p^1) \dots (z^n - p^n)$$

*Proof.* I will prove that if f is holomorphic then it has a Taylor series for n=2. First apply Cauchy integral formula on each variable to obtain

$$f(z^{1}, z^{2}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2}} \int_{\substack{|z^{1} - w^{1}| = r \\ |z^{2} - w^{2}| = r}} \frac{f(w^{1}, w^{2})}{(w^{1} - z^{1})(w^{2} - z^{2})} dw^{1} dw^{2}$$

Now observe:

$$(1.1.3) \frac{1}{w^1 - z^1} = \frac{1}{w^1 - p^1 + p^1 - z^1} = \frac{1}{w^1 - p^1} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p^1 - z^1}{w^1 - p^1}}$$

And on the right-hand-side we have a geometric series so that we may write

$$\frac{1}{w^1 - z^1} = \frac{1}{w^1 - p^1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p^1 - z^1}{w^1 - p^1} \right)^k$$

finally substituting this into (1.1.3) we may take the products  $(p^1-z^1)^{k_1}(p^2-z^2)^{k_2}$  out of the integral and define the remaining term as  $a_{k_1k_2}$ .

### 2. Weierstrass Preparation Theorem

**Definition 2.1.** A *germ* of a function near a point is a function defined on some open neighbourhood of the point.

**Definition 2.2.** A Weierstrass polynomial is a polynomial whose coefficients are holomorphic functions.

**Theorem 2.3** (Weierstrass preparation theorem). If  $f: U \subset \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and f is not identically zero in the coordinate axis  $z_n := w$ , there is a unique germ of a monic Weierstrass polynomial g whose coefficients are holomorphic functions on the first n-1 variables and a germ of a holomorphic function h with  $h(0) \neq 0$  such that f = gh.

*Proof.* Since f is not identically zero near 0, then there is a point that we may suppose is in the  $z_n$ -axis where f is not zero.

Consider the function of one complex variable f(0, ..., 0, w). Since it has a zero at 0, is holomorphic, and is not identically zero, the zero 0 must be of finite order m (cf. Lemma ??). Recall that m is the smallest integer m such that  $f^{(m)}(0)$ .

We want to apply the Argument Principle ??.

We want to count the number of zeros that  $f(0, \ldots, 0, w)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** If R is a UDF, then R[x] is a UFD.

**Lemma 2.5.** The stalk  $\mathcal{O}_n := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  is a UFD.

*Proof.* By induction on n. For n = 0 it is trivial. Suppose  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$  is a UFD. Then by Gauss' Lemma ??,  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$  is a UFD too. Thus we may express any Weierstrass polynomial g as a product of irreducible elements (uniquely up to multiplication by units).

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . We want to express f as a product of (unique up to multiplication by units) of irreducible elements. By Weierstrass Preparation Theorem 2.3 there is a Weierstrass polynomial  $g \in \mathcal{O}_n[w]$  and a holomorphic function not vanishing on 0 (i.e. a unit of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ ) such that f = gh. By the previous remark g is factored

uniquely up to multiplication by units as  $g = g_1 \dots g_m$ . This shows existence of the factorization.

To prove uniqueness suppose that  $f = f_1 \dots f_k$  for some irreducible  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . Since f does not vanish in the w axis, neither can each  $f_i$ , so that we may decompose each of them as  $f_i = g'_i h_i$  by Weierstrass Preparation Theorem. Since  $f_i$  is irreducible, it follows that  $g'_i$  is irreducible. Then we have that

$$f = gh = \prod g_i' \prod h_i$$

so by uniqueness in Weierstrass Preparation Theorem we conclude that  $g = \prod g'_i$ , and by uniqueness from the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_n[w]$  is a UFD we conclude that g coincides with  $\prod g'_i$  up to multiplication by units.

### 3. Complex manifolds

**Definition 3.1.** A complex manifold M is a smooth manifold admitting an open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  and coordinate maps  $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}$  is holomorphic on  $\varphi_{\beta}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A function on an open set  $U \subset M$  is holomorphic if for all  $\alpha$ ,  $f\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}$  is holomorphic on  $\varphi_{\alpha}(U \cap U_{\alpha}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** The sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_M$  of holomorphic functions is a sheaf.

## 4. Hodge star

The construction of the Hodge star in [Voi02, Section 5.1] is essentially: map  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{n-k}$  to the operator  $\alpha \wedge - \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda^k, \Lambda^n)$  and then use the metric to identify  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda^k, \Lambda^n)$  with  $\Lambda^k$ . Notice that the latter means that we take a form  $\alpha$  and we map it to the morphism which maps  $\cdot$  to the top-form  $g(\alpha, \cdot)$ Vol. This says that for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^{n-k}$ 

$$(4.0.1) \alpha \wedge *\beta = (\alpha, \beta) \text{Vol.}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** The operator \* satisfies the identity

$$(4.1.1) *2 = (-1)k(n-k) on Ak(X).$$

*Proof.* Observe that \* is an isometry, use skew-commutativity of product to obtain the  $(-1)^{k(n-k)}$  and finally Equation 4.0.1.

# 5. RIEMANN SURFACES

**Definition 5.1.** A meromorphic function on a Riemann surface is a holomorphic map to the Riemann sphere which is not identically equal to  $\infty$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A pole of a meromorphic function on a Riemann surface is any point x such that  $F(x) = \infty$ .

**Definition 5.3.** The *order* of a pole of a meromorphic function on a Riemann surface is the integer  $k_x$  such that the coordinate representation of f is  $f(x) = z^{k_x}$  near x.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a proper, non-constant holomorphic map between connected Riemann surfaces. Then the integer  $d(y) = \#f^{-1}(y)$  does not depend on  $y \in Y$ .

*Proof.* Using local representation of f as  $z^k$ . But see also ??: this number is locally constant because we can find a neighbourhood V of any point y such that any other y' in this neighbourhood has the same number of preimages. Using compactness fix neighbourhoods  $U_i$  of all the preimages of y, using that y is a regular value we may suppose the f is a diffeomorphism at the  $U_i$ , and then define  $V := \cap V_i \setminus f(M \setminus \cup U_i)$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** Let X be a compact Riemann surface. If there is a meromorphic function on X having exactly one pole, and that pole has order 1, then X is equivalent to the Riemann sphere.

*Proof.* A meromorphic function has no critical points! That is,  $\infty$  is a regular value, having one preimage by hypothesis. Then the degree of f is one, so that it must be a bijection (why?). And it has no critical points... (why?) So by Lemma something, its inverse must be holomorphic.

**Exercise 5.6.** Show that the canonical bundle K of a Riemann surface S has no base points.

*Proof.* Suppose p is a base point of K. We want to construct a meromorphic function with exactly one pole and use Lemma 5.5 to arrive at a contradiction. Any such function is an element of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(p))$ . Note that by Serre duality we have:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(p)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}(p) \otimes K^* \otimes K) = H^1(K(-p))^*$$

So it's enough to show that  $H^1(K(-p))$  is not zero. So consider the sheaf exact sequence twisted by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_p = \mathcal{O}_S(-p)$  of p:

$$0 \longrightarrow K(-p) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K(p) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we use the exact sequence in cohomology to prove that  $H^1(K(-p))$  is not zero. First,  $H^1(K(p)) = 0$  because by Serre duality it has the same dimension as the space of holomorphic functions vanishing at p, which is only the zero function since M is compact. Next,  $H^1(K)$  is not zero because by Dolbeault theorem it is  $H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R})$  which contains real 2-forms. Then  $H^1(K(-p))$  cannot be zero because it surjects onto a nontrivial space.

#### 6. Belyi functions

To understand Belyi functions we start by considering meromorphic functions  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  as ramified coverings:

**Proposition 6.1.** A nonconstant meromorphic function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ , considered as a mapping of the underlying topological space, is a ramified covering of the sphere  $S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

Be careful: isomorphic complex ramified coverings produce isomorphic Riemann surfaces (by definition), but the converse is certainly false. The same Riemann surface may be obtained by many different and pairwise non-isomorphic coverings. Just consider different meromorphic functions on the same surface.

The following paragraph is an approximate quote:

Proposition 6.1, and the fact that every Riemann surface admits a meromorphic function (which can be seen by Riemann-Roch, cf. [?, Fact 1.8.6]) show that every Riemann surface may be represented by a ramified covering of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . The following theorem, which affirms the converse statement, is one of the most fundamantal:

**Theorem 6.2** (Riemann's existence theorem). Suppose a base star is fixed in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , and the squence of its terminal vertices is  $R = [y_1, \ldots, y_k]$ . Then for any constellation  $[g_1, \ldots, g_k]$ ,  $g_i \in S_n$ , there exists a compact Riemann surface X and a meromorphic function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  such that  $y_1, \ldots, y_k$  are the critical values of f (i.e. f' vanishes at these points) and  $g_1, \ldots, g_k$  are the corresponding monodromy permutations. The ramified covering  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}P^1$  is independent of the choice of the base star in a given homotopy type and is unique up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* The heart of this theorem is the correspondence between constellations, which are abstract sets of permutations whose product is the identity and act transitively on the set of n elements (and are also maps on surfaces), and the monodromy group of a covering. Indeed: for a regular point  $y_0$  of a degree-n convering we get an action of the symmetric group of n elements on the fiber  $E := \pi^{-1}(y_0)$ ; taking each generator of the fundamental group to be any of these permutations we obtain a constallation (cf [?, Construction 1.2.13].

The other way around, [?, Proposition 1.2.15], we can define a group homomorphism  $\pi(S^2 \setminus \{p_i\}, y_0) \to G$  where G is the group of the constellation; the fact that the it is indeed a group homomorphism is due to the fact that both sets of generators satisfy the property that their product is identity. Then for any point x in the set of n elements we can consider its stabilizer. This corresponds to a subgroup  $M_x$  of  $\pi(S^2 \setminus \{p_i\}, y_0)$ . Such a subgroup determines a finite-sheeted covering of  $S^2 \setminus \{p_i\}$ . The covering is connected since G acts transitively on E. Habemus superficie.

The surprising result by Belyi is that for the case k=3 it will happen that the corresponding Riemann surfaces will be defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the field of algebraic numbers. Therefore, the absolut Galois group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{Q})$  (that is, the automorphism group of the field  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) acts on them, and thus on 3-constellations as well. The mysterious nature of the group and the simplicity of the objects on which it acts, gave rise to the following term which may look a bit strange: theory of dessins d'enfants.

**Definition 6.3.** If it is possible to realize a Riemann surface X by a system of equations with coefficients in a subfield  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , then we say that X is defined over K.

**Theorem 6.4** (Belyi). A Riemann surface X admits a model over the field  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of algebraic numbers if and only if there exists a covering  $X \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  unramified outside  $\{0,1,\infty\}$ . In such a case, the meromorphic function f can also be chosen in such a way that it will be defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

## 7. Analytic varieties

**Lemma 7.1.** [Huy05, Lemma 1.1.28] An analytic germ X is irreducible if and only iff I(X) is a prime ideal.

*Proof.* If X is irreducible let  $fg \in I(X)$ . Then  $V(I(X)) \subset V(f) \cup V(g)$ . But since X is irreducible we cannot express  $X \cap (V(f) \cup V(g))$  unless either of V(f) or V(g) are trivial or equal to  $X \cap V(f)$  or  $X \cap V(g)$ .

The converse I won't need right now.

# 8. Sheaf of holomorphic functions

For a definition of sheaf see Algebraic Geometry Definition 1.1.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension n. The functor

$$\mathcal{O}_X: Open_X^{op} \longrightarrow Set$$

$$U \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_X(U) := \{ f: U \to \mathbb{C}^n : f \text{ is holomorphic} \}$$

$$i: V \hookrightarrow V \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_X(i): \mathcal{O}_X(U) \to \mathcal{O}_X(V)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_X(i)$  is given by restriction, is a sheaf.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a presheaf, i.e. a functor, by definition: restriction maps the identity to the identity and preserves compositions.

To check the condition of being a sheaf let  $U_i$  be an open cover of some open set U of X and  $f_i: U_i \to X$  sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ , that is, holomorphic functions, which coincide pairwise in intersections. Then it is obvious that the function  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \mapsto f_i(x)$  for any  $U_i \ni x$  is well defined. It is also holomorphic since holomorphicity is a condition defined on open sets.

### 9. Analytic set

**Definition 9.1.** An analytic set or variety Y of a complex manifold X is a subset given locally as the zeros of a finite collection of holomorphic functions.

**Lemma 9.2.** Let  $Y \subset X$  be an analytic subvariety of a complex manifold X equipped with the subspace topology. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_Y: Open_Y^{op} \longrightarrow Set$$

$$U \cap Y \longmapsto \{f|_{U \cap Y}: f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)\}$$

is a sheaf.

*Proof.* This is a presheaf for the same reasons as the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a presheaf. The fact that it is a sheaf follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf and by definition of subspace topology; namely for any family of functions defined over an open cover  $\{U_i \cap Y\}$  of some open set  $U \cap Y$  we can reconstruct a function on U that restricted to  $U \cap Y$  is as desired.

Recall that any map of sheaves of groups has well-defined kernel, see Lemma 2.1.

**Definition 9.3.** Let  $Y \subset X$  be an analytic subvariety of a complex manifold X. The *ideal sheaf* of Y, denoted  $\mathcal{I}_Y$ , is the kernel of the restriction map  $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

This just says that  $\mathcal{I}_X(U)$  is the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$  that vanish under the restriction map, i.e. that vanish at points of Y.

Since the restriction map is surjective, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

Notice that for any open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_Y(U)$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . (Indeed, if a function vanishes at Y then its product with any other function will also vanish.)

**Definition 9.4.** An analytic variety  $V \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is *irreducible* if V cannot be written as the union of two distinct analytic varieties  $V_1, V_2 \subset U$ , both distinct to V.

**Lemma 9.5.** If V is an irreducible analytic hypersurface given locally as  $V = \{f = 0\}$ , then f is irreducible in  $\mathcal{O}_p$ .

*Proof.* If f = gh and neither of g and h are units and they are distinct, we could express V as the union of two distinct varieties: V(g) and V(h). (If one of them, was a unit then the vanishing set would be all of U.)

**Definition 9.6.** The *germ* of a set in the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is given by a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . To subsets X, Y define the same germ if there exists an open neighbourhood  $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $U \cap X = U \cap Y$ . A germ is called *analytic* if there are functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that X and  $Z(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  define the same germ.

Now the adventure of proving that the ideal sheaf is a line bundle for hypersurfaces begins.

See Algebraic Geometry Lemma 7.8 for the proof that the ideal sheaf of an irreducible codimension-1 closed subscheme of a smooth scheme is a line bundle. Now I will try to prove this for the case of an analytic subvariety of a (smooth) complex manifold.

First notice that in the case that Y is an irreducible subvariety, this ideal is prime: if  $fg \in \mathcal{I}_Y(U)$ , then  $V(f) \cup V(g) \supset Y \cap U$  so that  $(V(f) \cup V(g)) \cap Y = Y \cap U$ , and then it must be true that  $V(f) \cap Y \subseteq V(g) \cap Y$  or that  $V(f) \cap Y \supseteq V(g) \cap Y \cap U$  since Y is irreducible, and thus, say,  $V(f) \supseteq Y \cap U$ , that is,  $I(V(f)) \subseteq Y \cap U$  so that  $f \in I(Y \cap U)$ .

If we further ask that Y is a hypersurface, we want to show that  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  is locally principal. If  $f \in \mathcal{I}_Y(U)$  is the defining function of some hypersurface Y of a variety X on an open set  $U \subset X$ , we shall have that  $\mathcal{I}_Y(U) = (f)$ . That is, if g also vanishes at  $Y \cap U$ , we want to see that g = fh for some  $h \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ .

**Lemma 9.7.** The ideal of an irreducible analytic hypersurface is a height-1 prime ideal.

*Proof.* The fact that it is prime comes from the fact that Y is irreducible as explained above.

Now suppose that  $0 \subset \mathfrak{p} \subseteq I$ . Then  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an analytic variety that contains Y. At regular points of both  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  and Y, both are smooth manifolds, but since Y is of codimension 1 and  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  does not equal all of Y, we conclude that they coincide. Thus, in a neighbourhood of a regular point we have  $\mathfrak{p} = I(V(\mathfrak{p})) = I$  by the holomorphic Nullstellensatz ??.

Now we shall use the Gauss Lemma 6.3 to prove:

**Lemma 9.8.** The stalk  $\mathcal{O}_n := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  is a UFD.

*Proof.* By induction on n. For n = 0 it is trivial. Suppose  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$  is a UFD. Then by the Gauss Lemma 6.3,  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$  is a UFD too. Thus we may express any Weierstrass polynomial g as a product of irreducible elements (uniquely up to multiplication by units).

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . We want to express f as a product of (unique up to multiplication by units) of irreducible elements. By Weierstrass Preparation Theorem 2.3 there

is a Weierstrass polynomial  $g \in \mathcal{O}_n[w]$  and a holomorphic function not vanishing on 0 (i.e. a unit of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ ) such that f = gh. By the previous remark g is factored uniquely up to multiplication by units as  $g = g_1 \dots g_m$ . This shows existence of the factorization.

To prove uniqueness suppose that  $f = f_1 \dots f_k$  for some irreducible  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . Since f does not vanish in the w axis, neither can each  $f_i$ , so that we may decompose each of them as  $f_i = g'_i h_i$  by Weierstrass Preparation Theorem. Since  $f_i$  is irreducible, it follows that  $g'_i$  is irreducible. Then we have that

$$f = gh = \prod g_i' \prod h_i$$

so by uniqueness in Weierstrass Preparation Theorem we conclude that  $g = \prod g'_i$ , and by uniqueness from the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_n[w]$  is a UFD we conclude that g coincides with  $\prod g'_i$  up to multiplication by units.

**Lemma 9.9.** The ideal sheaf of an irreducible codimension-1 analytic subvariety of a smooth complex manifold is a line bundle.

*Proof.* By definition, our subvariety Y is locally defined by the zeroes of a single holomorphic function defined on the ambient manifold X. That is, at every  $p \in Y$  there is an open set  $U \subset X$  such that  $U \cap Y = f^{-1}(0)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . Since U is an open set of a complex manifold, the ring  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is isomorphic to the ring  $\mathcal{O}_n$  of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  in a neighbourhood of the origin; that's by Definition ?? since a holomorphic function on a manifold is defined as such if its composition with the coordinate chart is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

By Lemma 9.5, since Y is irreducible it follows that f is irreducible and so is the ideal of functions vanishing on Y.

By Lemma 9.8, we prove identically as in Lemma 7.8 that the ideal of functions vanishing on Y is principal.

**Definition 9.10.** Let D := S be an effective Cartier divisor, that is, an analytic hypersurface of a complex manifold. The ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_S := \mathcal{O}_X(-S)$  of S is the dual line bundle of the *line bundle associated to the divisor* D, which is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ .

## 10. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

It is immediate that  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$  if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal (of any ring): if  $f^k \in \mathfrak{p}$  then either  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $f^{k-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ , etc.

It is also easy to see that for any ideal I of functions or germs of functions (i.e. either  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  or  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_n$ ) we have  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq I(V(I))$ . Indeed, if  $f^k \in I$ , then  $f^k(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V(I)$ , and since  $\mathbb C$  has no nonzero divisors we see that f(x) = 0, that is  $f \in I(V(I))$ .

It remains to show that  $I(V(I)) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ 

# 11. SINGULARITIES AND DIMENSION

The key statement is that the set of smooth points of a complex variety is dense.

## 12. RIEMANN-ROCH FORMULAS

**Theorem 12.1** (Riemann-Roch for curves). Let D be a divisor on a compact Riemann surface X, that is, D is a collection of d points on X.

$$(12.1.1) h^0(D) - h^0(K - D) = d - g + 1$$

**Exercise 12.2.** Prove that if X is a complex smooth curve with  $g \geq 2$  then  $h^0(T_X) = 0$ .

*Proof.* First you notice that the dimension of the holomorphic 1-forms is the genus. This is just because the genus  $p_a$  is defined as  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ , which is just  $h^0(K_X)$  by Serre duality. Then you say well if you have a holomorphic vector field, pair it with the nonzero holomorphic 1-form. This gives a nonzero function, but it must be constant because X is compact. Then it is actually nowhere vanishing. This says the vector field cannot vanish anywhere, which means the tangent bundle of the curve is trivial. Apparently elliptic curves, i.e. g = 1 are the

**Theorem 12.3** (Riemann-Roch for line bundles on surfaces). Let L be a line bundle on a complex surface X, and  $K_X = \Omega^2 X$  the canonical bundle of X. Then

(12.3.1) 
$$\chi(L) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}(L, L - K_X)$$

## 13. Adjunction formula

Perhaps the most accessible statement that could be interpreted as "adjunction formula" is that the line bundle associated to a smooth hypersurface is the normal bundle.

**Theorem 13.1** (Adjunction formula I). If Y is a smooth hypersurface of a smooth complex manifold X, then  $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ .

**Lemma 13.2.** Let S be any curve on a surface X. Then

$$(13.2.1) 2p_a(S) - 2 = (S.S - K_X)$$

*Proof.* If S is nonsingular we may use the normal bundle short exact sequence. If S is singular we need to use the ideal sheaf short exact sequence, which means we think of S either as a closed embedded subscheme of X or as an analytic subvariety. Taking Euler class we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(-S)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

Since  $p_a(S) := 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ , we obtain that  $p_a(S) = 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - \chi(\mathcal{O}(-S))$ . To compute  $\chi(\mathcal{O}(-S))$  we use Riemann-Roch formula ?? for curves on surfaces, which gives

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(-S)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{(\mathcal{O}(-S).\mathcal{O}(-S) + \omega_X^*)}{2}$$

Taking duals on both entries of the intersection form and substituting in our previous expression for  $p_a(S)$  we obtain the result.

**Exercise 13.3.** Let S be a singular, irreducible complex curve on a K3 surface. Prove that  $(S.S) \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* This is just an application of Eq. 13.2.1. Since  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$  it suffices to show that  $p_a(S)$  is not zero. This follows fact that S is singular, since its arithmetic genus is defined as the genus of its normalization, which must be strictly positive since there exists at least one singularity.

#### 14. Chow's theorem

**Proposition 14.1.** Every codimension-p submanifold of a complex n-manifold has slice charts, i.e. for any  $p \in M$  there's a coordinate chart  $U \ni p$  such that points of M have coordinates  $(z_1, \ldots, z_n, 0, \ldots 0)$ .

*Proof.* This may be taken as the definition of smooth (real or complex) submanifold, or as a proposition using inverse function theorem (real or complex).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 14.2.** Stalk of holomorphic functions is a PID.

*Proof.* This can be proved via Weierstrass Preparation theorem 2.3 which implies Lemma ??, and this in turn implies Lemma ??.

Also, it can be shown directly for smooth hypersurfaces as in [Lee24] Lemma 3.38.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 14.3** (Chow for hypersurfaces). Every complex codimension-1 submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is algebraic.

### 15. Ampleness

**Definition 15.1.** Let L be a holomorphic bundle on a complex manifold X. A point  $x \in X$  is a base point of L if s(x) = 0 for all  $s \in H^0(X, L)$ .

**Definition 15.2.** Let L be a holomorphic bundle on a complex manifold X. The base locus Bs(L) is the sate of all base points of L.

**Proposition 15.3.** Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on a complex manifold X and suppose that  $s_0, \ldots, s_N \in H^0(X, L)$  is a basis. Then

$$\varphi_L: X \setminus Bs(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$
  
 $x \longmapsto (s_0(x): \ldots: s_N(x))$ 

defines a holomorphic map such that  $\varphi_L^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \cong L|_{X \setminus Bs(L)}$ .

**Definition 15.4.** The map  $\varphi_L$  in Proposition 15.3 is said to be associated to the complete linear system  $H^0(X, L)$  (i.e. the sections of L are what we call a complete linear system) whereas a subspace of  $H^0(X, L)$  is called a linear system of L.

**Definition 15.5.** *L* is *globally generated* by the sections  $s_0, \ldots, s_N$  if  $Bs(L, s_0, \ldots, s_N) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 15.6.** A line bundle L on a complex manifold is called *ample* if for some k > 0 and some linear system in  $H^0(X, L^k)$  the associated map  $\varphi$  is an embedding.

**Exercise 15.7.** Let L be an ample bundle on a K3 surface M. Prove that  $L^{\otimes 2}$  is globally generated (that is, for each  $x \in M$  there exists a section  $h \in H^0(L^{\otimes 2})$  which does not vanish in x).

*Proof.* As an outline for exposition:

- (1) Show that L has sections (by ampleness+Kodaira Vanishing+Riemann-Roch), pick a section C and note that if  $L^{\otimes 2}$  had base points they would be in C.
- (2) Show that restriction map is surjective (Kodaira Vanishing).

(3) Show that inclusion map is not surjective. For this it's enough to show that  $\dim |D| = \dim |D-p| + 1$ . This follows by writing the formula of Riemann-Roch for both of these Weil divisors (have to pass to Weil divisors). But you will need to make sure that  $h^0(K-D)$  and  $h^0(K-(D-p))$  are zero.

First we need to show that L has sections. To see this I will shot that since L is ample,  $L^2>0$ . Indeed, if mL is very ample we apply by Riemann-Roch, Kodaira Vanishing and the fact that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)=2$  on a K3 to obtain  $h^0(mL)=2+\frac{1}{2}m^2L^2$  which must be positive since mL has sections by being very ample. Notice  $L^2$  cannot be zero as  $h^0(mL)=2$  would imply we have an embedding of a K3 surface into  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Applying again Riemann-Roch and Kodaira vanishing we see that  $h^0(L)>0$ . Let C be a section of L.

Notice that if  $L^{\otimes 2}$  had any base points, they would have to be on C. Indeed, since C is the vanishing set of a section  $s \in H^0(L)$ , we must have  $s \otimes s \in H^0(L^{\otimes 2})$  vanishing in any base point of  $L^{\otimes 2}$ .

Then we consider the ideal exact sequence for C and tensor by  $L^{\otimes 2}$  to obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{\otimes 2}(-C) \longrightarrow L^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

Notice that the term on the left is actually L since the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_M(-C) = \mathcal{I}_C$  is dual to L because C is defined as the vanishing set of a section of L. Passing to cohomology we obtain

$$H^0(L^{\otimes 2}) \longrightarrow H^0(L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^1(L)$$

where the latter term vanishes by Kodaira Vanishing because L is ample. This says that every section of  $L^{\otimes 2}|_C$  is the restriction to C of a section of  $L^{\otimes 2}$ . In turn this shows that it's enough to show that the bundle  $L^{\otimes 2}$  has no base points along C.

By adjunction formula for (possibly singular) curves on smooth surfaces and by the fact that M is smooth, we see that  $2p_a(C) - 2 = (L.L)$  so that

$$4p_a(C) - 4 = (2L.L) = \deg_C(L^{\otimes 2})$$

Notice that since  $L^2 > 0$  we exclude the cases that  $p_a(C) = 0, 1$ .

To conclude pick a point  $p \in C$ . Saying that p is not a base point of  $L^{\otimes 2}|_C$  is the same as saying that not every section of  $L^{\otimes 2}|_C$  vanishes at p, that is, that  $h^0(L^{\otimes 2}|_C(-p)) < h^0(L^{\otimes 2}|_C)$ .

Now I will prove that since the degree of  $L^{\otimes 2}|_C$  and  $L^{\otimes 2}|_C(p)$  is greater than  $2p_a(C)$  we have that  $h^0(\omega_C \otimes (L^{\otimes 2}|_C)^{\vee}) = 0 = h^0(\omega_C \otimes (L^{\otimes 2}|_C(-p))^{\vee})$ . For this we need to see these line bundles as Weil divisors by simply taking sections, whose vanishing sets are finite sets of points with multiplicities. Then their degree is the sum of the multiplicities. This definition makes degree additive with respect to tensor product (we may take local sections and sum degrees). By Riemann-Roch on the curve C, we know that  $\deg \omega_C = 2p_a - 2$ . Then

$$\deg_C(\omega_C \otimes L^{\otimes 2}) = \deg_C(\omega_C) + \deg_C(L^{\otimes 2}) = 2p_a - 2 - (4p_a(C) - 4) < 0$$

and a similar computation works for  $L^{\otimes 2}(-p)$  as it has the same degree of  $L^{\otimes 2}$  minus 1. This implies that neither of these bundles can have sections since any section would provide a linearly equivalent effective divisor. This would be a contradiction since both  $L^{\otimes 2}$  and  $L^{\otimes 2}(-p)$  have sections. Therefore  $h^0(\omega_C \otimes (L^{\otimes 2}|_C)^{\vee}) = 0 = h^0(\omega_C \otimes (L^{\otimes 2}|_C(-p))^{\vee})$  as claimed.

Finally we apply Riemann-Roch to  $L^{\otimes 2}$  and  $L^{\otimes 2}(-p)$  to obtain that  $h^0(L^{\otimes 2}) > h^0(L^{\otimes 2}(-p))$ .

### 16. Bertini's Theorem

#### 17. Serre duality

Theorem 17.1 (Serre duality). [Voi02] II.5.32. The pairing

$$H^{q}(X,\mathcal{E})\otimes H^{n-q}(X,\mathcal{E}^{*}\otimes K_{X})\to H^{n}(X,K_{X})\cong\mathbb{C}$$

is perfect.

So when you put the dual  $\vee$  on one of these you get isomorphism. Our course version says:

$$H^k(X,\mathcal{L})^{\vee} = H^{n-k}(X,\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^*)$$

### 18. Kodaira Vanishing Theorem

**Theorem 18.1** (Kodaira Vanishing). Suppose k is a field of characteristic 0, and X is a smooth projective k-variety. Then for any ample invertible sheaf L,  $H^i(X, K_X \otimes L) = 0$  for i > 0.

*Proof.* No proof in [Vak25].

#### 19. Kodaira Embedding Theorem

The forward implication is easy and sometimes not considered as part of the theorem.

Compare this theorem with Nakai-Moishezon Criterion 35.1.

**Theorem 19.1** (Kodaira Embedding). Suppose M is a compact complex manifold. A holomorphic line bundle  $L \to M$  is ample if and only if it is positive. Thus M is projective if and only if it admits a holomorphic line bundle.

*Proof.* The easy implication as follows. If L is ample then there is N such that  $L^{\otimes N}$  is very ample, meaning by Misha's definition that the canonical map is an embedding such that  $L^{\otimes N} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ , which has degree 1 by definition as in [Vak25, 15.4.14].

### 20. Hypercomplex manifolds

**Exercise 20.1.** Let M be a compact hypercomplex manifold of real dimension 4, equipped with a quaternionic Hermitian structure, and V the space of closed SU(2)-invariant 2-forms. Prove that V is finite-dimensional.

 ${\it Proof by Arpan.}$  Consider the Hodge star operator with respect to the Riemannian metric on M.

- (1) A closed anti-self-dual form is harmonic. Indeed, by some characterization a form is harmonic  $\iff$  it is d-closed and  $d^*$ -closed. So if  $\alpha$  is self-dual and d-closed we get  $d^*\alpha = (-1)^{-\bullet} * d * \alpha = 0$  since  $d\alpha = 0$ .
- (2) The space of harmonic forms is finite-dimensional (analysis, cf. Fredholm theory).
- (3) I should be able to prove that an  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ -invariant closed form is anti selfdual.

- (a) Define  $\Lambda^+$  :=self-dual 2-forms and  $\Lambda^-$  :=anti-self dual 2-forms. Notice that  $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^-$ , this is by 4.1, since we can see \* as an involutive endomorphism of  $\Lambda^2$ , so that it has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , given the desired decomposition as its eigenspace decomposition.
- (b) Claim.  $\Lambda^+ = \operatorname{span}(\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K)$ .
- (c) Claim.  $\omega_I, \omega_J$  and  $\omega_K$  are SU(2)-invariant. The last two items imply that SU(2) $\Lambda^+ = \Lambda^+$ .
- (d) Claim.  $SU(2) \curvearrowright \Lambda^+$  has no fixed points. We conclude that if  $\alpha$  is SU(2)-invariant (i.e. a fixed point of  $SU(2) \curvearrowright \Lambda^2$ ) it's positive part will vanish, so that  $\alpha$  is anti-self-dual.

*Proof.* Idea: show that Hodge star  $*\omega$  is in the SU(2)-orbit of  $\omega$  and conclude that  $\int \omega \wedge *\omega = 0$ , implying that  $\|\omega\| = 0$ .

# Exercise 20.2.

*Proof.* Idea: find a counterexample. The easiest should be a Kummer surface. It looks possible to find an almost hypercomplex structure on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  passing to the torus and then to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient, but not clear what will happen after blowing up.  $\square$ 

### References

- [Huy05] D. Huybrechts, Complex geometry: An introduction, Universitext (Berlin. Print), Springer, 2005.
- [Lee24] J.M. Lee, Introduction to complex manifolds, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 2024.
- [Vak25] R. Vakil, The rising sea: Foundations of algebraic geometry, Princeton University Press, 2025.
- [Voi02] Claire Voisin, Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry i, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2002.