30.05.2021

RAHUL M

1 MS 20 ET 048

## CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(1) Explain the ideals and eights contained in freamble

(11) Describe the night to freedom (deticle 19-22) neith the help of victorant case studies.

## ( Preamble

IDEALS

· somereignty

· socialism

· sicularism

democracy

· supublic

- Peramber is

RIGHTS

\* Justice

\* Liberty

\* Equality

\* Fralernly

\* Dignity of individual

\* unity and intiguity of nation

& Rueamble is turated as the very soul of Indian constitution. It is reegarded as the intuduction of constitution of India and is also known as the key to open the minds of makers of the constitution

(1) sommign state: when the superme power is rested on with the nation itself it is called a someneign state. I somenign state is dotally independent both internally and externally also there is no superior cauthority to control it.

- (11) Socialist State: In a socialist state the means of production such as land, laker, capital and organisation will be fully or paertially under the control of government and exploitation of morkers will not be these
- (III) Secular state: A nation which maintains a mutral attitude towards all regions is known as a secular state. There is no stay religion in a secular state and all religions are treated. equally with equal respect
- (IV) Democratic Brate: A democratic country is gowrned by a government which is elected by the proper, composed of the people and functions for the people.
- (V) Republic: In a supublic the head of the mation will be an elected person and there will not be special fruinlege to anyone.
  - of the people of India.
    - . Justice: aconomic, social and policial
    - · Likerty : of thought, expression, belief, faith and worship
    - · Equality: of status and opportunities
    - · Fraterity: assuming the dignity of the undunudual and the centry and integrity of the nation.

[11], dutich 19 guarantees six fundamental ferredoms to the citizens of India. The ferredoms are incorporated in article 19(1) and can be claimed only by the citizens of India. The freedom, are not absolute. The state can impose reasonable orestericions on them

-> case Law connected with Deticle 19(1)(a) >> Bijoe Emmanuel V. State of Kerala [National Anthum Case]

Respondent: State of Kerala

Faces: Cront of Kerala made it mandatory to Hustudent to sing national animum for educational institutions. Byoe Emmanuel and soften students who were followers of Jenovan's witnessess a barturular sect of Cheristianity, sufficient to sing national anthem as this welligious belief framented to do so. Students were expelled from the school alleging that they insulted the national anthem. Students approached High court of kerala but the court agreed to the expelsion. Then they beefeeld an appeal in S. C challengers, the judgment of Kerala H. C. After considering the appeal, the Supreme court in its dand mark gudgment declared that freedom of speech and expression associationes freedom of solvere the approach of speech and expression associations of speech made say the school midated the shocker of fundamental orights

+ ducice 20 - The nights smailable to an accused ferson

Protection against Fx-post facto law dutien 20(1) is about suights against ex post facto law which means that the state cannot make criminal law with outhospectine effect. According to this autien an accused burdon for shall purished for an offence committed by him, only in accordance weith the law monich weas perwailing at the time of commissions of the crime. If new criminal law or an increased funishment is not applicable for a fast crime

Dublice 20 (2) peronides vight against double jeopardy which says that person shall be prosecuted and punished for an offence committed by him only once. The grounds for claiming the defence of double jeopardy:

- (1) There must be an accused person
- (11) Prosecution must have been taken place against the person in a concemptant court
- (111) The court must have connected the Berson

If all the conditions are satisfied a second prosecution on the dame offence is completely prohibited.

Perotection against Self Incrimination

Article 20(3) protects the accused from self incrumination. According to this carricle a person whale not be compelled to become a writness against himself. The state cannot impose compulsion on an accused person to remeal impoundation in his personal knowledge. However collecting the finger print, handwesting sample, photograph etc of an accused person will not amount to self incrumination

moderticle 21 - Right to life and personal liberty

duticle 21 guarantees eight to dife and personal liberty to citizen

as well as foreigners

personal liberty except according to the perocedure established by the law according to this article the state can interfere with the life and personal diberty of peopline only on the following conditions.

- There should be law which purious such interference
- · The law must provide a percadure which is just, leasonable and fair
- -> Important case laws bertaining to detice 21

> Maneka Grandhi v union of India - The scheld that 'seight to teravel abusad is a fundamental seigent

Petitioner: Maneka Grandhi

Rispordent: union of India

The petitioner in this case Mareka Gardhi was about to travel cabusad and her passport got interrupted impounded by the passport author, using the provisions of Indian act 1967, Maneka Gandhi requested the regional passport officer to inform about the creasion for the impoundment, However they deried and origined to gui her a reason. The filed a petition byone SC, shallinging the action of passport authority being volatile of article 21.

The Supreme court held that the action of passport authority mislated drivile &1. The procedure adopted by the authority for impounding the passport was not vuasonable and was against the beinciples of natural justice.

14 Article 21-A - Right to education

Jt is the duty of the government to beloude free and compulsory reducation to all children in India in between the ages of 6 to 14 years.

JP unnikuishnan v State of Andhera Peradeza

Even before the incorporation of article 21-A into the constitution Supreme covert through his case said that seight to education is a fundamental right