1 MAP

 Definition 1. Two terms Γ ; $\Phi \mid \Delta \vdash t : \tau$ and Γ ; $\Phi \mid \Delta \vdash t' : \tau$ are semantically equivalent if they have the same set interpretation functor and relational interpretation functor, i.e., for every set environment ρ , we have that

$$\llbracket \Gamma ; \Phi \, | \, \Delta \vdash t : \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho = \llbracket \Gamma ; \Phi \, | \, \Delta \vdash t' : \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$$

and, for every relation environment ρ , we have that

$$\llbracket \Gamma ; \Phi \, | \, \Delta \vdash t : \tau \rrbracket \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Rel}} \rho = \llbracket \Gamma ; \Phi \, | \, \Delta \vdash t' : \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Rel}} \rho$$

and, moreover, the interpretations coincide on morphisms of environments as well.

When proving two terms semantically equivalent, we shall generally only check that their interpretation functors coincide on objects, i.e., on set or relation environments.

We define a composition operation between terms of type Nat and an identity term for functorial types, as convenient shorthands.

Definition 2. Let Γ ; $\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash t : \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F G \text{ and } \Gamma$; $\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s : \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} G H \text{ be terms. Then the composition } s \circ t \text{ of } t \text{ and } s \text{ is the term } \Gamma$; $\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash L_{\overline{\alpha}} x.s_{\overline{\alpha}}(t_{\overline{\alpha}} x) : \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F H.$

LEMMA 3. Let Γ ; $\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash t$: Nat $\overline{\alpha}FG$ and Γ ; $\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s$: Nat $\overline{\alpha}GH$ be terms. Then for any set environment ρ , the semantic interpretation of the composition is

$$\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s \circ t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho = \llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} G H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \circ \llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F G \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$$

Proof. For any set environment ρ and $d: \llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \Delta \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$, we have that

- $\llbracket \underline{\Gamma}; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s \circ t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d$
- $= \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s \circ t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d)_{\overline{A}} x$
- $= \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash L_{\overline{\alpha}}x.s_{\overline{\alpha}}(t_{\overline{\alpha}}x) : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}}FH \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho d)_{\overline{A}}x$
- $= \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x. \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\alpha} \mid \Delta, x : F \vdash s_{\overline{\alpha}}(t_{\overline{\alpha}}x) : H \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho [\overline{\alpha} := A] dx$
- $= \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} GH \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d)_{\overline{A}}(\llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\alpha} \mid \Delta, x : F \vdash t_{\overline{\alpha}} x : H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho [\overline{\alpha := A}] dx)$
- $= \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} GH \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d)_{\overline{A}}((\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} FG \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d)_{\overline{A}} x)$
- $= [\![\Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash s : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} G H]\!]^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d \circ [\![\Gamma; \emptyset \mid \Delta \vdash t : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F G]\!]^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho d \quad \square$

Definition 4. Let $\Gamma; \overline{\alpha} \vdash F$ be a type. Then the identity Id_F of F is the term $\Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash L_{\overline{\alpha}}x.x : Nat^{\overline{\alpha}}FF$.

Lemma 5. Let $\Gamma; \overline{\alpha} \vdash F$ be a type. Then for any set environment ρ , the semantic interpretation of the identity is

$$\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash Id_F : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}} F \, F \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho * = Id_{\lambda \overline{A}, \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\alpha} \vdash F \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \lceil \overline{\alpha} := \overline{A} \rceil}$$

1:2 Anon.

PROOF. For any set environment ρ , we have that

$$\begin{split} & & \left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash Id_F:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}}F\,F\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \\ & = & \left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash L_{\overline{\alpha}}x.x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}}F\,F\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \\ & = & \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.\left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha}\mid x:F\vdash x:F\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\left[\overline{\alpha:=A}\right]x \\ & = & \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda x.x \\ & = & \lambda \overline{A}.Id_{\left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha}\vdash F\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\left[\overline{\alpha:=A}\right]} \\ & = & Id_{\lambda \overline{A}.\left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha}\vdash F\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\left[\overline{\alpha:=A}\right]} \quad \Box \end{split}$$

The following result shows that terms of type Nat behave like actual natural transformations with respect to their source and target functorial types.

LEMMA 6 (NATURALITY). The terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}} F \, G, \overline{y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}} \sigma \, \tau} \vdash ((\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset} \overline{y}) \circ (L_{\overline{\gamma}} z. x_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}} z) : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}} F[\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\sigma}] \, G[\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\tau}]$$

and

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G, \overline{y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau} \vdash (L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\tau},\overline{\gamma}}z) \circ ((\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y}) : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]$$

are semantically equivalent.

PROOF. Let $\eta: \llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}} FG \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$ and $\overline{f}: \llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}} \sigma \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$. The semantic interpretation of the first term is

```
\begin{split} & [\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G,\overline{y}:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau + ((\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\tau}})_0\overline{y})\circ (L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}}z):\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\eta\overline{f} \\ & = [\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G,\overline{y}:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau + L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.((\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_0\overline{y})_{\overline{\gamma}}(x_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}}z):\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\eta\overline{f} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\,|\,x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G,\overline{y}:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau + (\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_0\overline{y}:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}] + ((\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_0\overline{y})_{\overline{\gamma}}(x_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}}z):G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]\eta\overline{f} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,y:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau + (\mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_0\overline{y}:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]])^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\overline{f})_{\overline{C}} \\ & ([\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\,|\,x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G,z:F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]+x_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}}z:G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]\eta z) \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset + \mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}}:\mathsf{Nat}^\emptyset(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau)(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}])]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\,\ast\overline{f})_{\overline{C}} \\ & ([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,x:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\,G+x]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\eta)_{\overline{[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}}, \overline{[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\gamma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}, \overline{[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]})]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\,\ast\overline{f})_{\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset + \mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}}:\mathsf{Nat}^\emptyset(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau)(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]))]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\,\ast\overline{f})_{\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset + \mathsf{map}_G^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}}:\mathsf{Nat}^\emptyset(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\,\tau)(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,G[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]))]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\,\ast\overline{f})_{\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}],\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}](\eta_{\overline{\Gamma};\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}],\overline{C}}) \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]\circ\eta_{\overline{\Gamma};\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}],\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]\circ\eta_{\overline{\Gamma};\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}],\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]\circ\eta_{\overline{\Gamma};\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}],\overline{C}} \\ & = \lambda\overline{C}.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\alpha},
```

By naturality of $\eta: \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda \overline{C}.[\![\Gamma; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash F]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho[\overline{\alpha}:= A][\overline{\gamma}:= C] \to \eta: \lambda \overline{A}.\lambda \overline{C}.[\![\Gamma; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash G]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho[\overline{\alpha}:= A][\overline{\gamma}:= C]$ we have that

$$[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash G]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]\circ\eta_{\overline{[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\sigma]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]},\overline{C}}=\eta_{\overline{[\![\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]},\overline{C}}\circ[\![\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash F]\!]^{\operatorname{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]$$

Proceeding analogously for the second term, we have that

$$\begin{split} &\lambda \overline{C}.\eta_{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|} \|^{\text{Set}} \rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|,\overline{C}} \circ \|\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash F\|^{\text{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}]} [\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}] \\ &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.\eta_{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|} \|^{\text{Set}} \rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|,\overline{C}} (\|\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash F\|^{\text{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}]} [\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{f_C}]k) \\ &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.\eta_{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|} \|^{\text{Set}} \rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|,\overline{C} \\ &((\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset}(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau)(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}])\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \neq \overline{f})_{\overline{C}}k) \\ &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.(\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG\vdash x\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \eta)_{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|} \|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|,\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\gamma\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}| \\ &((\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset}(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau)(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}])\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \neq \overline{f})_{\overline{C}}k) \\ &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.(\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG\vdash x\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \eta)_{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|,\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\gamma\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|} \\ &((\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau\vdash (\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}])\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}| \\ &((\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau\vdash (\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y}; \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}| \\ &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.(\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG, z: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y})_{\overline{\gamma}}k: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}| fk) \\ \\ 111 &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.(\|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG\vdash L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}}z\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \eta)_{\overline{C}} \\ &(\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\mid y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau, k: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y})_{\overline{\gamma}}k: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}| fk) \\ \\ 116 &= \lambda \overline{C}.\lambda k.(\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG\vdash U, \underline{\gamma}z.x_{\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}}z\|^{\text{Set}}\rho \eta)_{\overline{C}} \\ &(\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\mid y: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\overline{\sigma}\tau, k: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_F^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y})_{\overline{\gamma}}k: F[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\tau}]\|^{\text{Set}}\rho |\overline{\gamma};=\overline{C}|fk) \\ \\ 117 &= \|\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}FG\vdash U, \underline{\gamma}: \underline{\gamma}, \underline{\gamma}: \underline{\gamma}, \underline{\gamma},$$

So, we conclude that

 $= \|\Gamma; \emptyset \mid x : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}} F G, \overline{y} : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}} \sigma \tau \vdash (L_{\overline{y}} z. x_{\overline{\tau}, \overline{y}} z) \circ ((\mathsf{map}_{F}^{\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset} \overline{y}) : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}} F[\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\sigma}] G[\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\tau}] \|^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \eta \overline{f} \|^{\mathsf{Nat}} f$

The case for the relational interpretation is analogous.

We have a special case of Lemma 6 following from the naturality of $\operatorname{in}_H:\operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\lambda\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}]\ (\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}:$

COROLLARY 7. Let $\Gamma; \phi, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H$ and $\overline{\Gamma; \gamma \vdash \sigma}$ and $\overline{\Gamma; \gamma \vdash \tau}$ be types. Then the terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid \overline{y:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\;\tau}\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}}^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y})\circ (L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.(\mathsf{in}_{H})_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\gamma}}z)\\ : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\tau}$$

and

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid \overline{y:\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}\sigma\;\tau}\vdash (L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.(\mathsf{in}_H)_{\overline{\tau},\overline{\gamma}}z)\circ((\mathsf{map}_{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]}^{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\tau}})_{\emptyset}\overline{y})\\ :\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\sigma}]\,(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\tau}$$

are semantically equivalent.

Proof. The two terms are derived from those in Lemma 6 by instantiating the term variable x with $\operatorname{in}_H:\operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}]\ (\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}.$

The next lemma states that the map of the composition of two functors is (equivalent to) the composition of their maps.

1:4 Anon.

Lemma 8. Let

$$\Gamma; \overline{\psi}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \quad \overline{\Gamma; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\phi} \vdash K} \quad \overline{\Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash F} \quad \overline{\Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash G}$$

be types. Then the terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\psi} := \overline{K}]}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}} : \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset}(\overline{\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}FG})(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\overline{\psi} := \overline{K}][\overline{\phi} := \overline{F}] \ H[\overline{\psi} := \overline{K}][\overline{\phi} := \overline{G}])$$

and

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{map}_{H}^{\overline{K[\overline{\phi} := F]},\overline{K[\overline{\phi} := G]}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}}} : \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset} (\overline{\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}FG}) (\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\overline{\psi} := K[\overline{\phi} := F]] \ H[\overline{\psi} := K[\overline{\phi} := G]])$$

are semantically equivalent. (Notice that F and G's context is extended with the $\overline{\alpha}$ variables by weakening).

PROOF. Throughout this proof we shall use the fact that the variables $\overline{\alpha}$ can be added to the environment of F and G by weakening, even though they do not appear in those types. As a consequence, which is true only because F and G contain no $\overline{\alpha}$'s, $H[\overline{\psi}:=_{\overline{\alpha}}K[\overline{\phi}:=_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{F}]]=H[\overline{\psi}:=_{\overline{\alpha}}K][\overline{\phi}:=_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{G}]=H[\overline{\psi}:=_{\overline{\alpha}}K][\overline{\phi}:=_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{G}]$. Also, observe that a natural transformation $\eta: \llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset \vdash \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}FG\rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}}\rho$ corresponds, by weakening, to a natural transformation $\eta: \llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset \vdash \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}FG\rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}}\rho$ which is trivially natural in the α 's. Then for every natural transformation $\eta: \llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset \vdash \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}FG\rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}}\rho$, $\overline{C}:\operatorname{Set}$, and * the unique element of the singleton, we have that

$$(\llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{\underline{H}}^{\overline{K[\overline{\phi}:=\overline{F}]},\overline{K[\overline{\phi}:=\overline{G}]}})\circ\overline{\mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}}}]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\ast\overline{\eta})_{\overline{C}}$$

$$=(\llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{H}^{\overline{K[\overline{\phi}:=\overline{F}]},K[\overline{\phi}:=\overline{G}]}]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\ast(\llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}}]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\ast\overline{\eta})_{\overline{C}}$$

$$=\llbracket\Gamma;\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H\rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\underline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.(\llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}}]]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\ast\overline{\eta})_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}]$$

$$=\llbracket\Gamma;\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H\rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\underline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma},\overline{\phi}\vdash K]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{A}][\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\overline{\phi}:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{B},\overline{C}}]]$$

$$=\llbracket\Gamma;\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H\rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\underline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma},\overline{\phi}\vdash K]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{A}][\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\overline{\phi}:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}]]$$

$$=\llbracket\Gamma;\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H\rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\overline{\phi}:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}][\underline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.[\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma},\overline{\phi}\vdash K]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{A}][\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\overline{\phi}:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}]]$$

$$=\llbracket\Gamma;\overline{\gamma},\overline{\phi}\vdash H[\overline{\psi}:=K]]^{\mathrm{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]}[\overline{\phi}:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}]$$

$$=(\llbracket\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\psi}:=K]}^{\overline{F},\overline{G}}]^{\mathrm{Set}}\rho\ast\overline{\eta})_{\overline{C}}$$

The case for the relational interpretation is analogous.

Type application $\phi \bar{\tau}$ yields a map acting functorially on both the type constructor variable ϕ and its arguments $\bar{\tau}$. Such action consists in mapping along the type constructor variable first and the arguments later, or, equivalently, the arguments first and the type constructor variable later. That the two ways of describing the action are equivalent is due to naturality.

LEMMA 9 (MAP OF TYPE APPLICATION). Consider the following types

$$\overline{\Gamma;\phi,\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau} \quad \Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H \quad \Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash K \quad \overline{\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash F} \quad \overline{\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash G}$$

Let $\overline{I} = \overline{F}$, H and $\overline{J} = \overline{G}$, K be lists of types. Then the terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash L_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}).L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]},\overline{\gamma}}\Big(\big((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{F}][\phi:=H]},\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=G][\phi:=K]}})_{\emptyset}(\overline{(\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y})})\big)_{\overline{\gamma}}z\Big)\\ : \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset}(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H\ K\times \overline{\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}}F\ G})(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}][\overline{\psi}:=F][\phi:=H]\ K[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}][\overline{\psi}:=G][\phi:=K])$$

and

$$\Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash L_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}).L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.\underbrace{\left((\mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{t}[\overline{\psi} := \overline{F}][\phi := H], \overline{\tau[\overline{\psi} := \overline{G}][\phi := K]}\right)_{\emptyset}((\overline{\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}))}_{\overline{\gamma}}\left(x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi} := \overline{F}][\phi := H], \overline{\gamma}}z\right)$$

$$: \mathsf{Nat}^{\emptyset}(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}}HK \times \overline{\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}}FG})(\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\overline{\beta} := \tau][\overline{\psi} := F][\phi := H]K[\overline{\beta} := \tau][\overline{\psi} := G][\phi := K]) \tag{2}$$

are semantically equivalent to map \bar{q}, \bar{q} .

Proof. To begin with, observe that the terms ${\color{blue}1}$ and ${\color{blue}2}$ are semantically equivalent. This follows from the fact that the terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x,\overline{y}\vdash (L_{\overline{\gamma}}w.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=G]}[\phi:=K],\overline{\gamma}}w)\circ \left((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=F]}[\phi:=H],\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=G]}[\phi:=K]})_{\emptyset}(\overline{(\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y})})\right)\\ : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\gamma}}H[\overline{\beta}:=\tau][\overline{\psi}:=F][\phi:=H]K[\overline{\beta}:=\tau][\overline{\psi}:=G][\phi:=K]$$

and

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x,\overline{y}\vdash \left((\mathsf{map}_{K}^{\overline{t}[\overline{\psi:=F}][\phi:=H],\overline{\tau}[\overline{\psi:=G}][\phi:=K]}\right)_{\emptyset}(\overline{(\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y})})\right)\circ \left(L_{\overline{Y}}z.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi:=F}][\phi:=H],\overline{Y}}}z\right)\\ :\mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{Y}}H[\overline{\beta}:=\tau][\overline{\psi}:=F][\phi:=H]K[\overline{\beta}:=\tau][\overline{\psi}:=G][\phi:=K]$$

are semantically equivalent by Lemma 6. Thus, it will suffice to prove that any of them, say term 1, is semantically equivalent to map $\bar{l}, \bar{l}, \bar{l}$.

If ρ is a set environment and * is the unique element of the singleton, we have that the interpretation of the term 1 is given by

$$\begin{split} & \left[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash L_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}).L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]},\overline{\gamma}}(((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))_{\overline{\gamma}}z)\right]\!\!\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \\ &= \lambda\eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\big[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x,\overline{y}\vdash L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]},\overline{\gamma}}(((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))_{\overline{\gamma}}z)\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\eta\overline{\epsilon} \\ &= \lambda\eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.\big[\!\!\left[\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\mid x,\overline{y},z\vdash x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]},\overline{\gamma}}(((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))_{\overline{\gamma}}z)\right]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]\eta\overline{\epsilon}z \\ &= \lambda\eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{C}}(([\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\mid x,\overline{y},z\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))_{\overline{\gamma}}z)]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]\eta\overline{\epsilon}z) \\ &= \lambda\eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\lambda z.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{C}}(([\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x,\overline{y}\vdash (\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\eta\overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}}z) \\ &= \lambda\eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]},\overline{C}}\circ([\Gamma;\emptyset\mid x,\overline{y}\vdash (\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}))]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho\eta\overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}}z) \end{split}$$

where the term variables are typed as $x: \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} HK$, $\overline{y: \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}} FG}$, and $z: H[\overline{\beta} := \overline{\tau}][\overline{\psi} := \overline{F}][\phi := H]$.

Observe that the terms

$$(\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta} := \overline{\tau}]}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y})$$

$$(\mathsf{map}_{H}^{\overline{\tau}[\overline{\psi} := \overline{F}][\phi := H]}, \overline{\tau[\overline{\psi} := \overline{G}][\phi := K]})_{\emptyset}((\overline{\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}))$$

and

1:6 Anon.

are semantically equivalent because of Lemma 8. Then for all $\eta: [\Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} HK]^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$ and $\epsilon: [\Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma}} FG]^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho$, we have that

$$\begin{split} & (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, x, \overline{y} \vdash (\mathsf{map}_{\overline{H[\beta \coloneqq \tau]}}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}) \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \eta \overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}} \\ = & (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, x, \overline{y} \vdash (\mathsf{map}_{\overline{H[\beta \coloneqq \tau]}}^{\overline{I[\beta \coloneqq \tau]}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}) \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}})_{\emptyset}((\overline{\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y})) \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \eta \overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}} \\ = & (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{map}_{\overline{H}}^{\overline{I[\psi \coloneqq \overline{F}]}} \rrbracket^{(\phi \coloneqq H)}, \overline{\tau[\psi \coloneqq \overline{G}]} \rrbracket^{(\phi \coloneqq K)} \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, x, \overline{y} \vdash (\overline{\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}) \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \eta \overline{\epsilon}))_{\overline{C}} \\ = & \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq \overline{C}]} [\overline{\beta} \coloneqq (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, x, \overline{y} \vdash (\overline{\mathsf{map}_{\tau}^{\overline{I}, \overline{J}}})_{\emptyset}(x, \overline{y}) \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho \eta \overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}}] \\ = & \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq \overline{C}]} [\overline{\beta} \coloneqq \llbracket \Gamma; \phi, \overline{\psi}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq \overline{C}]} [\overline{\psi} \coloneqq \lambda \overline{A}. \epsilon_{\overline{A}.\overline{C}}] [\phi \coloneqq \lambda \overline{B}. \eta_{\overline{B}.\overline{C}}]] \end{split}$$

Observe that, for each τ ,

$$\llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\gamma} \vdash \tau[\overline{\psi := G}] [\phi := K] \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho[\overline{\gamma := C}]$$

is equal to

$$\llbracket \Gamma; \phi, \overline{\psi}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash \tau \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}] [\overline{\psi} := \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash G \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}] [\overline{\alpha} := \underline{}]] [\phi := \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash K \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}] [\overline{\beta} := \underline{}]]$$

because of Lemma ?? (references lemma in draft document). Moreover, observe that $\lambda \overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}$ is a natural transformation

$$\lambda \overline{B}. \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho [\overline{\beta} := \overline{B}] [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}] \Rightarrow \lambda \overline{B}. \llbracket \Gamma; \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash K \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho [\overline{\beta} := \overline{B}] [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}]$$

and $\lambda \overline{A}.\epsilon_{\overline{A}}$ \overline{C} is a natural transformation

$$\lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma : \overline{\alpha}. \overline{\gamma} \vdash F \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho [\overline{\alpha} := \overline{A}] [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}] \Rightarrow \lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma : \overline{\alpha}. \overline{\gamma} \vdash G \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho [\overline{\alpha} := \overline{A}] [\overline{\gamma} := \overline{C}]$$

for any $\overline{C : Set}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{split} & [\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset \vdash L_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y}).L_{\overline{\gamma}}z.x_{\overline{\tau[\overline{\psi}=\overline{G}]}[\phi:=K],\overline{\gamma}}\big(\big((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}=\tau]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}})_{\emptyset}(x,\overline{y})\big)_{\overline{\gamma}}z\big)\big)^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \\ &= \lambda \eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{G}][\phi:=K]\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C],\overline{C}} \circ \big([\![\Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset \vdash \mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\beta}:=\tau]}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}}\big]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \eta\overline{\epsilon}\big)_{\overline{C}} \\ &= \lambda \eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\phi,\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C][\overline{\psi}:=\overline{\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash G}]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}][\overline{\alpha}:=\underline{]}][\phi:=\overline{\Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash K}]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}][\overline{\beta}:=\underline{]}],\overline{C}} \\ &\circ [\![\Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H]\!]^{\mathsf{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\beta:=[\Gamma;\phi,\overline{\psi},\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau]]^{\mathsf{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\overline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.\epsilon_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}][\phi:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}]] \\ &= \lambda \eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.\eta_{\overline{\|\Gamma;\phi,\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau\|^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\overline{\psi}:=\overline{\Gamma;\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma}\vdash G}]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}][\overline{\alpha}:=\underline{J}][\phi:=\Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash K]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}][\overline{\beta}:=\underline{J}],\overline{C}} \\ &\circ (\lambda\overline{B}.[\![\Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}\vdash H]]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C][\overline{\beta}:=B])([\![\Gamma;\phi,\overline{\psi},\overline{\psi},\overline{\gamma}\vdash\tau]]^{\mathsf{Set}}Id_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}[\overline{\psi}:=\lambda\overline{A}.\epsilon_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}][\psi:=\lambda\overline{A}.\epsilon_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}][\phi:=\lambda\overline{B}.\eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}}]) \\ &= \lambda \eta.\lambda\overline{\epsilon}.\lambda\overline{C}.([\![\Gamma;\emptyset]\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{\phi_{\overline{\gamma}}}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}}]]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \eta\overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}} \\ &= [\![\Gamma;\emptyset]\mid\emptyset\vdash \mathsf{map}_{\phi_{\overline{\gamma}}}^{\overline{I},\overline{J}}]^{\mathsf{Set}}\rho * \eta\overline{\epsilon})_{\overline{C}} \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is given by the definition of functorial action of the semantic interpretation for type application, in Definition ?? (the definition of the action of set interpretations of types on morphisms in SetEnv).

Finally, the proof for the relation interpretation is analogous to the above proof for the set interpretation. \Box

LEMMA 10. The terms

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid x: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=F][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}] \, F \vdash ((\mathsf{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset}x) \circ \mathsf{in}_{H}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}] \, F \vdash ((\mathsf{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset}x) \circ \mathsf{in}_{H}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}] \, F \vdash ((\mathsf{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset}x) \circ \mathsf{in}_{H}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}][\overline{\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, x : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} H[\phi := F][\overline{\alpha := \beta}] \, F \vdash x \circ \big((\mathsf{map}_{H[\overline{\alpha := \beta}]}^{(\mu\phi, \lambda\overline{\alpha}H)\overline{\beta}, F})_{\emptyset} ((\mathsf{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset} x) \big) \\ : \, \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} H[\phi := (\mu\phi, \lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha := \beta}] \, F \end{split}$$

are semantically equivalent.

PROOF. Let ρ be a set environment, \overline{B} and \overline{C} be sets, and η be a natural transformation in $\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \vdash \operatorname{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} H[\phi := F][\overline{\alpha := \beta}] F \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho$. Then we have that

$$\begin{split} & (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash ((\mathrm{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset} x) \circ \mathrm{in}_H \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash (\mathrm{fold}_{H,F})_{\emptyset} x \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathrm{in}_H \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathrm{fold}_{H,F} \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho * \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ (\mathrm{in}_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & = (fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}))_{\overline{B}} \circ (\mathrm{in}_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & = ((fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})) \circ \mathrm{in}_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & = ((fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})) \circ \mathrm{in}_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & = ((\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}) \circ (T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]} (fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}))))_{\overline{B}} \\ & = (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}) \circ (T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]} (fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{Y}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})))_{\overline{B}} \\ & = \eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ \llbracket \Gamma; \phi, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{B}][\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]} [\phi := hat_{\overline{A'}} \cdot (fold_{T_{\rho[\overline{\gamma}:=C]}} (\lambda \overline{A}.\eta_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}))_{\overline{A'}}] \\ & = \eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ \llbracket \Gamma; \phi, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{B}][\overline{\gamma}:=\overline{C}]} [\phi := hat_{\overline{A'}} \cdot (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash fold_H^F \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho * \eta)_{\overline{A'},\overline{C}}] \\ & = \eta_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathrm{map}_H^{\mu\phi,\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},F} \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash fold_H^F \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho * \eta))_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash x \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash (\mathrm{map}_H^{\mu\phi,\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},F})_{\emptyset} ((fold_H^F)_{\emptyset} x) \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash x \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \circ (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid x \vdash (\mathrm{map}_H^{\mu\phi,\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},F})_{\emptyset} ((fold_H^F)_{\emptyset} x) \rrbracket^{\mathrm{Set}} \rho \eta)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \end{aligned}$$

where the tenth equality is given by weakening.

It is a general property of the semantic *in* to be invertible, its inverse being given in terms of *fold*. We shall prove that this fact holds for the semantic interpretation of the syntactic in and fold.

The next lemma states the syntactic analogue of the fact that, for an endofunctor H, the composition $in_H \circ fold_H(Hin_H)$ is the identity on the fixed point μH .

LEMMA 11. The terms

$$\begin{split} \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, \emptyset \vdash & \mathsf{in}_{H} \circ (\mathsf{fold}_{H, H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset} ((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\beta}], (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset} & \mathsf{in}_{H}) \\ & : \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta} (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta} \end{split}$$

and

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash Id_{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}\,(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}$$

are semantically equivalent.

1:8 Anon.

PROOF. Let ρ be a set environment, \overline{B} and \overline{C} be sets, and * be the unique element of the singleton. Then we have that

$$\begin{split} & \text{346} \\ & \text{347} \\ & \text{348} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \circ (\text{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset}((\text{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset} \text{in}_{H}) \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & \text{348} \\ & = (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & \text{349} \\ & \text{0}(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash (\text{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset}((\text{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset} \text{in}_{H}) \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & \text{350} \\ & = (in_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *)))_{\overline{B},\overline{C}} \\ & \text{351} \\ & = (in_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{A}} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{A}} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{A}} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}}})_{\overline{A}} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{map}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ & \text{0}(fold_{T_{\rho[\gamma:=C]}^{\text{Set}})_{\overline{A}} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{map}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\text{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]} \wedge (\llbracket \Gamma; \emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \text{in}_{H_{\rho[\gamma:=(\mu\phi$$

Notice that

$$\begin{split} &\lambda \overline{A}. (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi \coloneqq (\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha} \coloneqq \overline{\beta}], (\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}} \\ &= \lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma;\phi,\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha} \coloneqq A][\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq C]} [\phi \coloneqq \lambda \overline{B'}. (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{A},\overline{B'},\overline{C}}] \\ &= \lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma;\phi,\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha} \coloneqq A][\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq C]} [\phi \coloneqq \lambda \overline{B'}. (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} \rho *)_{\overline{B'},\overline{C}}] \\ &= \lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma;\phi,\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha} \coloneqq A][\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq C]} [\phi \coloneqq \lambda \overline{B'}. (in_{T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]}})_{\overline{B'}}] \\ &= \lambda \overline{A}. \llbracket \Gamma;\phi,\overline{\alpha},\overline{\gamma} \vdash H \rrbracket^{\mathsf{Set}} Id_{\rho[\overline{\alpha} \coloneqq A][\overline{\gamma} \coloneqq C]} [\phi \coloneqq in_{T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]}}] \\ &= \lambda \overline{A}. T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]} in_{T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]}} \overline{A} \\ &= T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]} in_{T^{\mathsf{Set}}_{\rho[\overline{\gamma} \vDash C]}} \overline{A} \end{split}$$

Then we use the above calculation to compute

$$\begin{array}{l} (in_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ \circ (fold_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}})_{\overline{B}} (\lambda \overline{A}. (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \operatorname{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho * (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash \operatorname{in}_{H} \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}} \rho *))_{\overline{A},\overline{C}}))_{\overline{B}} \\ = (in_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}})_{\overline{B}} \circ (fold_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}} (T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}) in_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}}))_{\overline{B}} \\ = (in_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}}) \circ fold_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}} (T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}) in_{T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}}))_{\overline{B}} \\ = (Id_{\mu T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C}})_{\overline{B}} \\ = Id_{(\mu T^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\gamma}:=C})})_{\overline{B}} \\ = Id_{(\Gamma;\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}+(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta})^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\beta}:=\overline{B}}|_{\overline{\gamma}:=C}} \\ = (\llbracket \Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash Id_{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}} \rrbracket^{\operatorname{Set}}_{\rho|\overline{\beta}:=\overline{B}}|_{\overline{\gamma}:=C}} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the first equality follows from the previous calculation, the third equality is a semantic property of *fold* and *in*, and the last equality is by Lemma 5.

The proof for the relation interpretation is analogous.

The next lemma states the syntactic analogue of the fact that, for an endofunctor H, the composition $fold_H(Hin_H) \circ in_H$ is the identity on the fixed point $H(\mu H)$.

LEMMA 12. The terms

$$\begin{split} \Gamma; \emptyset \, | \, \emptyset \vdash (\mathsf{fold}_{H, H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset} ((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha} := \overline{\beta}], (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset} \mathsf{in}_{H}) \circ \mathsf{in}_{H} \\ : \, \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}} H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}] \, H[\phi := (\mu \phi. \lambda \overline{\alpha}. H) \overline{\beta}] \end{split}$$

and

 $\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash Id_{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]}: \mathsf{Nat}^{\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}}H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}] H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]$ are semantically equivalent.

PROOF. The term

$$\Gamma;\emptyset\mid\emptyset\vdash(\mathsf{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset}((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}\mathsf{in}_{H})\circ\mathsf{in}_{H}$$

because of Lemma 10, is semantically equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \Gamma;\emptyset\,|\,\emptyset\,\vdash ((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}\mathsf{in}_{H}) \\ &\circ (\mathsf{map}_{H}^{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset} \\ &\qquad \qquad ((\mathsf{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset}((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha}:=\overline{\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}\mathsf{in}_{H})) \end{split}$$

which, because of functoriality (map notes, pages 1-2), is semantically equivalent to

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash (\mathsf{map}_{H}^{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}$$

$$(\mathsf{in}_{H} \circ (\mathsf{fold}_{H,H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]})_{\emptyset}((\mathsf{map}_{H}^{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}][\overline{\alpha:=\beta}],(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}\mathsf{in}_{H}))$$

which, because of Lemma 11, is semantically equivalent to

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash (\mathsf{map}_{H}^{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta},(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})_{\emptyset}(Id_{(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}})$$

which, because of functoriality (map notes, page 3), is semantically equivalent to

$$\Gamma;\emptyset \mid \emptyset \vdash Id_{H[\phi:=(\mu\phi.\lambda\overline{\alpha}.H)\overline{\beta}]} \qquad \qquad \Box$$