Homework 4 - Berkeley STAT 157

Your name: Derek Tang, SID 3031878748, teammates Mahir Jethanandi,Stephanie Ortiz,Sophia Cheng,Andrew Tunggal (Please add your name, SID and teammates to ease Ryan and Rachel to grade.)

I worked with my project group but also did a lot of individual tinkering - I also changed my model significantly to try and improve upon my score but it did not make it better and now my current (linear) model sits at a error of around .13 and I found adding layers did not help when minimizing log price directly vs regular price for some reason

Handout 2/12/2019, due 2/19/2019 by 4pm in Git by committing to your repository.

In this homework, we will build a model based real house sale data from a [Kaggle competition (https://www.kaggle.com/c/house-prices-advanced-regression-techniques)](https://www.kaggle.com/c/house-prices-advanced-regression-techniques). This notebook contains codes to download the dataset, build and train a baseline model, and save the results in the submission format. Your jobs are

1. Developing a better model to reduce the prediction error. You can find some hints on the last section.
2. Submitting your results into Kaggle and take a sceenshot of your score. Then replace the following image URL with your screenshot.



We have two suggestions for this homework:

1. Start as earlier as possible. Though we will cover this notebook on Thursday's lecture, tuning hyper-parameters takes time, and Kaggle limits #submissions per day.

2. Work with your project teammates. It's a good opportunity to get familiar with each other.

Your scores will depend your positions on Kaggle's Leaderboard. We will award the top-3 teams/individuals 500 AWS credits.

Accessing and Reading Data Sets

The competition data is separated into training and test sets. Each record includes the property values of the house and attributes such as street type, year of construction, roof type, basement condition. The data includes multiple datatypes, including integers (year of construction), discrete labels (roof type), floating point numbers, etc.; Some data is missing and is thus labeled 'na'. The price of each house, namely the label, is only included in the training data set (it's a competition after all). The 'Data' tab on the competition tab has links to download the data.

We will read and process the data using `pandas`, an [efficient data analysis toolkit (http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/)](http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/). Make sure you have `pandas` installed for the experiments in this section.

In [456]:
```
# If pandas is not installed, please uncomment the following line:
# !pip install pandas

%matplotlib inline
import d2l
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, nd
from mxnet.gluon import data as gdata, loss as gloss, nn, utils
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
```

We downloaded the data into the current directory. To load the two CSV (Comma Separated Values) files containing training and test data respectively we use Pandas.

In [457]:
```
utils.download('https://github.com/d2l-ai/d2l-en/raw/master/data/kaggle_house_pred_train.csv')
utils.download('https://github.com/d2l-ai/d2l-en/raw/master/data/kaggle_house_pred_test.csv')
train_data = pd.read_csv('kaggle_house_pred_train.csv')
test_data = pd.read_csv('kaggle_house_pred_test.csv')
```

The training data set includes 1,460 examples, 80 features, and 1 label., the test data contains 1,459 examples and 80 features.

In [458]:
```
print(train_data.shape)
print(test_data.shape)
```

```
(1460, 81)
(1459, 80)
```

Let’s take a look at the first 4 and last 2 features as well as the label (SalePrice) from the first 4 examples:

In [459]:
```
train_data.iloc[0:4, [0, 1, 2, 3, -3, -2, -1]]
```

Out[459]:

	Id	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	SaleType	SaleCondition	SalePrice
0	1	60	RL	65.0	WD	Normal	208500
1	2	20	RL	80.0	WD	Normal	181500
2	3	60	RL	68.0	WD	Normal	223500
3	4	70	RL	60.0	WD	Abnorml	140000

In [460]:
```
#remove outliers
train_data = train_data.drop(train_data[(train_data['GrLivArea']>4000) & (train_data['SalePrice']<300000
```

We can see that in each example, the first feature is the ID. This helps the model identify each training example. While this is convenient, it doesn't carry any information for prediction purposes. Hence we remove it from the dataset before feeding the data into the network.

In [461]:

```
all_features = pd.concat((train_data.iloc[:, 1:-1], test_data.iloc[:, 1:]))
all_features
```

Out[461]:

	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	LotArea	Street	Alley	LotShape	LandContour	Utilities	LotConfig	...	ScreenPorch	PoolAre
0	60	RL	65.0	8450	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1	20	RL	80.0	9600	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	FR2	...	0	
2	60	RL	68.0	11250	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
3	70	RL	60.0	9550	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
4	60	RL	84.0	14260	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	FR2	...	0	
5	50	RL	85.0	14115	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
6	20	RL	75.0	10084	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
7	60	RL	NaN	10382	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
8	50	RM	51.0	6120	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
9	190	RL	50.0	7420	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
10	20	RL	70.0	11200	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
11	60	RL	85.0	11924	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
12	20	RL	NaN	12968	Pave	NaN	IR2	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	176	
13	20	RL	91.0	10652	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
14	20	RL	NaN	10920	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
15	45	RM	51.0	6120	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
16	20	RL	NaN	11241	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	CulDSac	...	0	
17	90	RL	72.0	10791	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
18	20	RL	66.0	13695	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
19	20	RL	70.0	7560	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
20	60	RL	101.0	14215	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
21	45	RM	57.0	7449	Pave	Grvl	Reg	Bnk	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
22	20	RL	75.0	9742	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	

	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	LotArea	Street	Alley	LotShape	LandContour	Utilities	LotConfig	...	ScreenPorch	PoolAre
23	120	RM	44.0	4224	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
24	20	RL	NaN	8246	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
25	20	RL	110.0	14230	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
26	20	RL	60.0	7200	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
27	20	RL	98.0	11478	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
28	20	RL	47.0	16321	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	CulDSac	...	0	
29	30	RM	60.0	6324	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.
1429	30	RM	50.0	7030	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1430	50	RM	75.0	9060	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1431	30	C (all)	69.0	12366	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1432	190	C (all)	50.0	9000	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1433	50	C (all)	60.0	8520	Grvl	NaN	Reg	Bnk	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1434	120	RM	41.0	5748	Pave	NaN	IR1	HLS	AllPub	Inside	...	153	
1435	120	RM	44.0	3842	Pave	NaN	IR1	HLS	AllPub	Inside	...	155	
1436	20	RL	69.0	23580	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1437	90	RL	65.0	8385	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1438	20	RL	70.0	9116	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
1439	80	RL	140.0	11080	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
1440	20	RL	NaN	50102	Pave	NaN	IR1	Low	AllPub	Inside	...	138	
1441	20	RL	NaN	8098	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1442	20	RL	95.0	13618	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
1443	20	RL	88.0	11577	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1444	20	NaN	125.0	31250	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1445	90	RM	78.0	7020	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1446	160	RM	41.0	2665	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	

	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	LotArea	Street	Alley	LotShape	LandContour	Utilities	LotConfig	...	ScreenPorch	PoolAre
1447	20	RL	58.0	10172	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1448	90	RL	NaN	11836	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	
1449	180	RM	21.0	1470	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1450	160	RM	21.0	1484	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1451	20	RL	80.0	13384	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1452	160	RM	21.0	1533	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1453	160	RM	21.0	1526	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1454	160	RM	21.0	1936	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1455	160	RM	21.0	1894	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1456	20	RL	160.0	20000	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1457	85	RL	62.0	10441	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	
1458	60	RL	74.0	9627	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	

2917 rows × 79 columns

In [462]:
```
#all_features["PoolQC"] = all_features["PoolQC"].fillna("None")
#all_features["MiscFeature"] = all_features["MiscFeature"].fillna("None")
#all_features["Alley"] = all_features["Alley"].fillna("None")
#all_features["Fence"] = all_features["Fence"].fillna("None")
#all_features["FireplaceQu"] = all_features["FireplaceQu"].fillna("None")

#feature engineering: better than filling in nans with 0

all_features = all_features.drop(['PoolQC', 'MiscFeature', 'Alley', 'Fence', 'FireplaceQu', 'Utilities']

all_features["LotFrontage"] = all_features.groupby("Neighborhood")["LotFrontage"].transform(
    lambda x: x.fillna(x.median()))

for col in ('GarageType', 'GarageFinish', 'GarageQual', 'GarageCond'):
    all_features[col] = all_features[col].fillna('None')

for col in ('GarageYrBlt', 'GarageArea', 'GarageCars'):
    all_features[col] = all_features[col].fillna(0)

for col in ('BsmtFinSF1', 'BsmtFinSF2', 'BsmtUnfSF','TotalBsmtSF', 'BsmtFullBath', 'BsmtHalfBath'):
    all_features[col] = all_features[col].fillna(0)

for col in ('BsmtQual', 'BsmtCond', 'BsmtExposure', 'BsmtFinType1', 'BsmtFinType2'):
    all_features[col] = all_features[col].fillna('None')

all_features["MasVnrType"] = all_features["MasVnrType"].fillna("None")
all_features["MasVnrArea"] = all_features["MasVnrArea"].fillna(0)

all_features['MSZoning'] = all_features['MSZoning'].fillna(all_features['MSZoning'].mode()[0])
all_features["Functional"] = all_features["Functional"].fillna("Typ")

all_features['Electrical'] = all_features['Electrical'].fillna(all_features['Electrical'].mode()[0])
all_features['KitchenQual'] = all_features['KitchenQual'].fillna(all_features['KitchenQual'].mode()[0])

all_features['Exterior1st'] = all_features['Exterior1st'].fillna(all_features['Exterior1st'].mode()[0])
all_features['Exterior2nd'] = all_features['Exterior2nd'].fillna(all_features['Exterior2nd'].mode()[0])

all_features['SaleType'] = all_features['SaleType'].fillna(all_features['SaleType'].mode()[0])
all_features['MSSubClass'] = all_features['MSSubClass'].fillna("None")
```

In [463]:
```
#numerical variables ot categorical
all_features['MSSubClass'] = all_features['MSSubClass'].apply(str)

all_features['OverallCond'] = all_features['OverallCond'].astype(str)

all_features['YrSold'] = all_features['YrSold'].astype(str)
all_features['MoSold'] = all_features['MoSold'].astype(str)
```

In [464]:
```
#added a feature
all_features["totalSF"] = all_features['TotalBsmtSF'] + all_features['1stFlrSF'] + all_features['2ndFlrS
```

Data Preprocessing

As stated above, we have a wide variety of datatypes. Before we feed it into a deep network we need to perform some amount of processing. Let's start with the numerical features. We begin by replacing missing values with the mean. This is a reasonable strategy if features are missing at random. To adjust them to a common scale we rescale them to zero mean and unit variance. This is accomplished as follows:

$$x \leftarrow \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$$

To check that this transforms x to data with zero mean and unit variance simply calculate $\mathbf{E}[(x-\mu)/\sigma] = (\mu-\mu)/\sigma = 0$. To check the variance we use $\mathbf{E}[(x-\mu)^2] = \sigma^2$ and thus the transformed variable has unit variance. The reason for 'normalizing' the data is that it brings all features to the same order of magnitude. After all, we do not know **a priori** which features are likely to be relevant. Hence it makes sense to treat them equally.

In [465]:
```
numeric_features = all_features.dtypes[all_features.dtypes != 'object'].index
all_features[numeric_features] = all_features[numeric_features].apply(
    lambda x: (x - x.mean()) / (x.std()))
# after standardizing the data all means vanish, hence we can set missing values to 0
all_features = all_features.fillna(0)
```

Next we deal with discrete values. This includes variables such as 'MSZoning'. We replace them by a one-hot encoding in the same manner as how we transformed multiclass classification data into a vector of 0 and 1. For instance, 'MSZoning' assumes the values 'RL' and 'RM'. They map into vectors $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively. Pandas does this automatically for us.

In [453]:
```
# Dummy_na=True refers to a missing value being a legal eigenvalue, and creates an indicative feature fo
all_features = pd.get_dummies(all_features, dummy_na=True)
all_features.shape
```

Out[453]:
```
(2917, 356)
```

In [ ]:

You can see that this conversion increases the number of features from 79 to 331. Finally, via the `values` attribute we can extract the NumPy format from the Pandas dataframe and convert it into MXNet's native representation - NDArray for training.

In [454]:
```
n_train = train_data.shape[0]
train_features = nd.array(all_features[:n_train].values)
test_features = nd.array(all_features[n_train:].values)
train_labels = nd.array(train_data.SalePrice.values).reshape((-1, 1)).log()
train_features
```

Out[454]:
```
[[-0.209167   -0.21639955  0.64946836 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]
 [ 0.4981052  -0.06909654 -0.06141314 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]
 [-0.06771256  0.14225127  0.64946836 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]
 ...
 [-0.16201553 -0.14057052  0.64946836 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]
 [-0.06771256 -0.05411005 -0.77229464 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]
 [ 0.2623478  -0.02593035 -0.77229464 ...  1.          0.
   0.        ]]
<NDArray 1458x356 @cpu(0)>
```

Training

To get started we train a linear model with squared loss. This will obviously not lead to a competition winning submission but it provides a sanity check to see whether there's meaningful information in the data. It also amounts to a minimum baseline of how well we should expect any 'fancy' model to work.

In [468]:
```
#linear model better with log price, neural network better with non log price

loss = gloss.L2Loss()

def get_net():
    net = nn.Sequential()
    net.add(nn.Dense(1))
    net.initialize()
    return net
```

House prices, like shares, are relative. That is, we probably care more about the relative error $\frac{y-\hat{y}}{y}$ than about the absolute error. For instance, getting a house price wrong by USD 100,000 is terrible in Rural Ohio, where the value of the house is USD 125,000. On the other hand, if we err by this amount in Los Altos Hills, California, we can be proud of the accuracy of our model (the median house price there exceeds 4 million).

One way to address this problem is to measure the discrepancy in the logarithm of the price estimates. In fact, this is also the error that is being used to measure the quality in this competition. After all, a small value δ of $\log y - \log \hat{y}$ translates into $e^{-\delta} \leq \frac{\hat{y}}{y} \leq e^{\delta}$. This leads to the following loss function:

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log y_i - \log \hat{y}_i\right)^2}$$

In [469]:
```
def log_rmse(net, features, labels):
    # To further stabilize the value when the logarithm is taken, set the value less than 1 as 1.
    clipped_preds = nd.clip(net(features), 1, float('inf'))
    rmse = nd.sqrt(2 * loss(net(features), labels).mean())
    return rmse.asscalar()
```

Unlike in the previous sections, the following training functions use the Adam optimization algorithm. Compared to the previously used mini-batch stochastic gradient descent, the Adam optimization algorithm is relatively less sensitive to learning rates. This will be covered in further detail later on when we discuss the details on [Optimization Algorithms (../chapter_optimization/index.md)](../chapter_optimization/index.md) in a separate chapter.

In [470]:
```
def train(net, train_features, train_labels, test_features, test_labels,
          num_epochs, learning_rate, weight_decay, batch_size):
    train_ls, test_ls = [], []
    train_iter = gdata.DataLoader(gdata.ArrayDataset(
        train_features, train_labels), batch_size, shuffle=True)
    # The Adam optimization algorithm is used here.
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'adam', {
        'learning_rate': learning_rate, 'wd': weight_decay})
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        for X, y in train_iter:
            with autograd.record():
                l = loss(net(X), y)
            l.backward()
            trainer.step(batch_size)
        train_ls.append(log_rmse(net, train_features, train_labels))
        if test_labels is not None:
            test_ls.append(log_rmse(net, test_features, test_labels))
    return train_ls, test_ls
```

k-Fold Cross-Validation

The k-fold cross-validation was introduced in the section where we discussed how to deal with “Model Selection, Underfitting and Overfitting" (underfit-overfit.md). We will put this to good use to select the model design and to adjust the hyperparameters. We first need a function that returns the i-th fold of the data in a k-fold cros-validation procedure. It proceeds by slicing out the i-th segment as validation data and returning the rest as training data. Note - this is not the most efficient way of handling data and we would use something much smarter if the amount of data was considerably larger. But this would obscure the function of the code considerably and we thus omit it.

In [471]:
```
def get_k_fold_data(k, i, X, y):
    assert k > 1
    fold_size = X.shape[0] // k
    X_train, y_train = None, None
    for j in range(k):
        idx = slice(j * fold_size, (j + 1) * fold_size)
        X_part, y_part = X[idx, :], y[idx]
        if j == i:
            X_valid, y_valid = X_part, y_part
        elif X_train is None:
            X_train, y_train = X_part, y_part
        else:
            X_train = nd.concat(X_train, X_part, dim=0)
            y_train = nd.concat(y_train, y_part, dim=0)
    return X_train, y_train, X_valid, y_valid
```

The training and verification error averages are returned when we train k times in the k-fold cross-validation.

In [472]:
```
def k_fold(k, X_train, y_train, num_epochs,
           learning_rate, weight_decay, batch_size):
    train_l_sum, valid_l_sum = 0, 0
    for i in range(k):
        data = get_k_fold_data(k, i, X_train, y_train)
        net = get_net()
        train_ls, valid_ls = train(net, *data, num_epochs, learning_rate,
                                   weight_decay, batch_size)
        train_l_sum += train_ls[-1]
        valid_l_sum += valid_ls[-1]
        if i == 0:
            d2l.semilogy(range(1, num_epochs + 1), train_ls, 'epochs', 'rmse',
                         range(1, num_epochs + 1), valid_ls,
                         ['train', 'valid'])
        print('fold %d, train rmse: %f, valid rmse: %f' % (
            i, train_ls[-1], valid_ls[-1]))
        print(net(X_train))
        print(y_train)
    return train_l_sum / k, valid_l_sum / k
```

Model Selection

We pick a rather un-tuned set of hyperparameters and leave it up to the reader to improve the model considerably. Finding a good choice can take quite some time, depending on how many things one wants to optimize over. Within reason the k-fold crossvalidation approach is resilient against multiple testing. However, if we were to try out an unreasonably large number of options it might fail since we might just get lucky on the validation split with a particular set of hyperparameters.

In [473]:
```
k, num_epochs, lr, weight_decay, batch_size = 5, 300, .001, 0.000001, 64
train_l, valid_l = k_fold(k, train_features, train_labels, num_epochs, lr,
                          weight_decay, batch_size)
print('%d-fold validation: avg train rmse: %f, avg valid rmse: %f'
      % (k, train_l, valid_l))
```

```
[[12.212055]
 [12.176426]
 [12.261673]
 ...
 [12.628108]
 [11.902309]
 [11.953934]]
<NDArray 1458x1 @cpu(0)>

[[12.247694]
 [12.109011]
 [12.317166]
 ...
 [12.49313 ]
 [11.864462]
 [11.901584]]
<NDArray 1458x1 @cpu(0)>
fold 4, train rmse: 0.087785, valid rmse: 0.133232

[[12.240268]
```

You will notice that sometimes the number of training errors for a set of hyper-parameters can be very low, while the number of errors for the K-fold cross validation may be higher. This is most likely a consequence of overfitting. Therefore, when we reduce the amount of training errors, we need to check whether the amount of errors in the k-fold cross-validation have also been reduced accordingly.

Predict and Submit

Now that we know what a good choice of hyperparameters should be, we might as well use all the data to train on it (rather than just $1 - 1/k$ of the data that is used in the crossvalidation slices). The model that we obtain in this way can then be applied to the test set. Saving the estimates in a CSV file will simplify uploading the results to Kaggle.

In [411]:
```
def train_and_pred(train_features, test_feature, train_labels, test_data,
                   num_epochs, lr, weight_decay, batch_size):
    net = get_net()
    train_ls, _ = train(net, train_features, train_labels, None, None,
                        num_epochs, lr, weight_decay, batch_size)
    d2l.semilogy(range(1, num_epochs + 1), train_ls, 'epochs', 'rmse')
    print('train rmse %f' % train_ls[-1])
    # apply the network to the test set
    preds = (nd.exp(net(test_features)).asnumpy())
    # reformat it for export to Kaggle
    test_data['SalePrice'] = pd.Series(preds.reshape(1, -1)[0])
    submission = pd.concat([test_data['Id'], test_data['SalePrice']], axis=1)
    submission.to_csv('submission.csv', index=False)
```

Let's invoke the model. A good sanity check is to see whether the predictions on the test set resemble those of the k-fold crossvalication process. If they do, it's time to upload them to Kaggle.

In [412]:
```
train_and_pred(train_features, test_features, train_labels, test_data,
               num_epochs, lr, weight_decay, batch_size)
```




```
train rmse 0.090696
```

A file, `submission.csv` will be generated by the code above (CSV is one of the file formats accepted by Kaggle). Next, we can submit our predictions on Kaggle and compare them to the actual house price (label) on the testing data set, checking for errors. The steps are quite simple:

- Log in to the Kaggle website and visit the House Price Prediction Competition page.
- Click the “Submit Predictions” or “Late Submission” button on the right.
- Click the “Upload Submission File” button in the dashed box at the bottom of the page and select the prediction file you wish to upload.
- Click the “Make Submission” button at the bottom of the page to view your results.

Hints

1. Can you improve your model by minimizing the log-price directly? What happens if you try to predict the log price rather than the price?
2. Is it always a good idea to replace missing values by their mean? Hint - can you construct a situation where the values are not missing at random?
3. Find a better representation to deal with missing values. Hint - What happens if you add an indicator variable?
4. Improve the score on Kaggle by tuning the hyperparameters through k-fold crossvalidation.
5. Improve the score by improving the model (layers, regularization, dropout).
6. What happens if we do not standardize the continuous numerical features like we have done in this section?

Note for converting this notebook into PDF. If you use 'File -> Download as -> PDF', you may get the error that svg cannot converted because inkscape is not installed and cannot find PNG images. The easiest way is printing this notebook as a PDF in your browser. Or, you can install inkscape to convert SVG (On macOS, you may `brew cask install xquartz inkscape` , on Ubuntu, you may `sudo apt-get install inkscape`) and change the image URL to local filenames.