Conceptualizing Simultaneity: A Transnational Social Field Perspective on Society¹

Peggy Levitt Wellesley College and Harvard University

Nina Glick Schiller University of New Hampshire and Max Planck Institute of Social Anthropology

This article explores the social theory and consequent methodology that underpins studies of transnational migration. First, we propose a social field approach to the study of migration and distinguish between ways of being and ways of belonging in that field. Second, we argue that assimilation and enduring transnational ties are neither incompatible nor binary opposites. Third, we highlight social processes and institutions that are routinely obscured by traditional migration scholarship but that become clear when we use a transnational lens. Finally, we locate our approach to migration research within a larger intellectual project, taken up by scholars of transnational processes in many fields, think and reformulate the concept of society such that it is no er automatically equated with the boundaries of a single nation-



state.

Social scientists have long been interested in how immigrants are incorporated into new countries. In Germany and France, scholars' expectations that foreigners will assimilate is a central piece of public policy. In the United States, immigration scholars initially argued that to move up the socioeconomic ladder, immigrants would have to abandon their unique customs, language, values, and homeland ties and identities. Even when remaining ethnic became more acceptable, most researchers assumed that the importance of homeland ties would eventually fade. To be Italian American or Irish American would ultimately reflect ethnic pride within a multicultural United States rather than enduring relations to an ancestral land.



2021/03/19



Now scholars increasingly recognize that some migrants and their dedants remain strongly influenced by their continuing ties to their home try or by social networks that stretch across national borders. They see

© 2004 by the Center for Migration Studies of New York. All rights reserved. 0197-9183/04/3803.0147

¹This is a co-authored article, jointly conceived and written by both contributors.

migrants' crossborder ties as a variable and argue that to understand contemporary migration, the strength, influence, and impact of these ties must be empirically assessed. They call for a transnational perspective on migration (Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc, 1994). The resulting analyses, in combination with other scholarship on transnational dynamics, are building toward a new paradigm that rejects the long-held notion that society and the nation-state are one and the same.

This article is not intended as a comprehensive review of the transnational migration scholarship. In fact, a special volume of this journal, published in Fall 2003, does just that. Instead, we explore the social theory and ponsequent methodology that underpins studies of transnational migra-We argue that central to the project of transnational migration studies, 2 notes: and to scholarship on other transnational phenomena, is a reformulation of the concept of society. The lives of increasing numbers of individuals can no er be understood by looking only at what goes on within national daries. Our analytical lens must necessarily broaden and deepen because ants are often embedded in multi-layered, multi-sited transnational fields, encompassing those who move and those who stay behind. As a result, basic assumptions about social institutions such as the family, citizenship, and nation-states need to be revisited.

Once we rethink the boundaries of social life, it becomes clear that the incorporation of individuals into nation-states and the maintenance of transnational connections are not contradictory social processes. Simultaneity, or living lives that incorporate daily activities, routines, and institutions located both in a destination country and transnationally, is a possibility that needs to be theorized and explored. Migrant incorporation into a new land and transnational connections to a homeland or to dispersed networks of family, compatriots, or persons who share a religious or ethnic identity can occur at the same time and reinforce one applier.

Our goals in this study are told. First, we propose a social field

oach to the study of migration and distinguish between ways of being ways of belonging in that field. Second, we argue that assimilation and ring transnational ties are neither incompatible nor binary opposites. 3 notes: Instead, uggest thinking of the transnational migration experience as a of which, while anch pivots between host land and transnal connections. Third, we light social processes and institutions that are routinely obscured be additional migration scholarship but that become clear when we use a anational lens. Finally, we locate our approach to migration research within a larger intellectual project, undertaken

5-6

7-8 2 notes:

09

10-11

2 notes:

12-14

by scholars of transnational processes in a variety of fields, to reformulate the concept of society such that it is no longer automatically equated with or confined by the boundaries of a single nation-state.

FOUNDATIONAL APPROACHES TO THIS FIELD

There have already been several waves of transnational migration scholarship that have fine-tuned concepts and analyzed transnational relations in a much more nuanced manner than earlier formulations. Researchers have explored transnational identity formation and the economic, political, religious, and sociocultural practices that propel migrant incorporation and transnational connection at the same time (see, e.g., Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc, 1994; Smith and Guarnizo, 1998; Grasmuck and Pessar, 1991; Laguerre, 1998; Itzigsohn et al., 1999; Smith, 2003; Levitt, 2001a, b; Glick Schiller and Fouron, 2001a, b; Ebaugh and Chafetz, 2002; Kyle, 2001; Ostergaard-Nielsen, 2003; Fitzgerald, 2003; Landolt, 2001; Goldring, 2002; Vertovec, 2003; Gold, 2002; Koopmans and Statham, 2001; Riccio, 2001; Van der Veer, 2001; Abelman, 2002; Morgan, 1999; Faist, 2000a, b; Schiffauer, 1999; Sklair, 1998; Itzigsohn, 2000; Portes, Guarnizo and Landolt, 1999; Kivisto, 2001; Mahler, 1998; Duany, 2000; Morawska, 2003b, Eckstein and Barberia, 2002). They have proposed typologies to capture variations in the dimensions of transnational migration. The extent to which transnational migration is a new phenomenon or whether it shares similarities with its earlier incarnations has been the subject of much debate (see Foner, 2000; Glick Schiller, 1999; Smith, 2002; Morawska, 2003b; Weber, 1999). Several studies examine the scope of transnational practices among particular immigrant populations (see Portes, Haller and Guarnizo, 2002; Guarnizo, Portes and Haller, 2003; Itzigsohn and Saucedo, 2002). Finally, an emerging body of research tries to explain variations in transnational practices across groups (Levitt, 2002b; Itzigsohn and Saucedo, 2002; Portes, Haller and Guarnizo, 2002; Guarnizo, Portes and Haller, 2003).

To develop our theory and methodology further and to address the implications of simultaneous incorporation, we begin with a brief synthesis of the scholarship on transnational migration to date upon which a new theoretical synthesis can be built. We see four distinct traditions developing among scholars of transnational migration: the research done by sociologists and anthropologists in the United States; studies done by the Transnational Community Programme based at Oxford University; a literature on trans-

national families; and an effort to reformulate notions of space and social structure. Underlying these developments is a fundamental problem of social ry - how to rethink society if we do not take national boundaries for

[19] Transnational migration scholarship in the United States has been

20

ed by its critique of the unilinear assimilationist paradigm of classical ation research (Glick Schiller, 1999; Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc, 1994; Glick Schiller, Basch and Szanton Blanc, 1995). Some studies have focused on the kinds of networks that stretch between a sending community and its migrants (Grasmuck and Pessar, 1991; Levitt, 2001a; Rouse, 1992; Smith, 1998; Kyle 2001). Others have sought to determine the conditions under which migrants maintained homeland ties and identities and how commonplace transnational practices were among the migrant population as a whole (Morawska, 2003b; Levitt, 2003b; Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc, 1994). These studies revealed that a small but nonetheless significant number of migrants engage in regular economic and political transnational practices (Portes, Haller and Guarnizo, 2002; Guarnizo, Portes and Haller, 2003) and that many more individuals engage in occasional transnational activities. Some studies explore the relationship between migration and development, categorizing transnational migration as a product of late capitalism which renders small, nonindustrialized countries incapable of economic autonomy and makes them dependent on migrant-generated remittances (Itzigsohn, 2000; Portes, 2003; M.P. Smith and Guarnizo, 1998). The ways in which sending and receiving states continue to play a critical role in migrants' lives has also received a good deal of attention (Smith, 1998; Goldring, 2002; Levitt and de la Dehesa, 2003). More recent research on the second generation is in many ways a continuation of the debate on assimilation, with proponents of the classic approach arguing that transnational migration is an ephemeral first-generation phenomenon. Meanwhile, some transnationalists speak of new forms of transnational connection or replace the term second generation with transnational generation

compass youth in the homeland the new land (Levitt and Waters,

; Glick Schiller and Fouron, 20 vz.

While many U.S. researchers have focused on homeland/newland conons, the Oxford Transnational Communities Programme used a much der definition of transnational ties (see, e.g., Koopmans and Statham, 2001; Riccio, 2001; Van der Veer, 2001; Abelman, 2002; Morgan, 1999; Faist, 2000a; Schiffauer, 1999; Sklair, 1998; Castles, 1998). In this project,

21-23 3 notes:

25

26

2021/03/19 transnational connections forged by businesses, the media, politics, or relidi gion were all examined under the rubric of community. This work demonstrated that migrants are embedded in networks stretching across multiple and that migrants' identities and cultural production reflect their iple locations. Among the important findings of the Transnational Communities project was the need to distinguish between patterns of connection on the ground and the conditions that produce ideologies of connection and community (Gomez and Benton, 2002; Ostergaard-Neilsen, 2003).

Some of the U.S. and Oxford studies (Ballard, 2000) urge a reconceptualization of transnational kinship, although research in this area has developed a trajectory of its own (Chamberlin, 2002; Bryceson and Vuorela, 2002). Studies of transnational kinship document the ways in which family, networks constituted across borders are marked by gendered differences in 2021/03/19 power and status. Kin networks can be used exploitatively, a process of

^{d1} transnational class differentiation in which the more prosperous extract labor persons defined as kin. Kin networks maintained between people who remittances and those who live on them can be fraught with tension.

A fourth group of scholars use a transnational approach to migration to challenge social theory. Morawska (2001a, 2003a) proposes a conceptualization of migration as structuration to posit the continuing dynamic between structure and agency that extends into a transnational domain. Faist (2005, b), reasoning along similar lines, s to conceptualize a domain of border social relations he refers to astransnational social spaces. He privileges social relations and institutions, defining these spaces as charac-

say institutional, levels (Faist, 2000b:89). Guarnizo (1997) and Landolt (2001) refer to a transnational social formation.

Much of this work, however, views the social formations engendered d1 by transnational migration as unique. Instead, we propose that they are one indication, among many, that the nation-state container view of society does capture, adequately or automatically, the complex interconnectedness of

terized by a high density of interstitial ties on informal or formal, that is to

emporary reality. To do so requires adopting a transnational social field approach to the study of social life that distinguishes between the existence ansnational social networks and the consciousness of being embedded in

Such a distinction is also critical to understanding the experience of living simultaneously within and beyond the boundaries of a nation-state and to developing methodologies for empirically studying such experiences.

28

31 2021/03/19

32-33

BUILDING TO A TRANSNATIONAL SOCIAL FIELD THEORY **OF SOCIETY**

To further develop transnational migration studies, we revisit the concept of society as it has been generally deployed and put aside the methodological nationalism that has distorted many basic social science concepts (Martins, t; Smith, 1983). Methodological nationalism is the tendency to accept ation-state and its boundaries as a given in social analysis. Wimmer and Glick Schiller (2003) identified three variants of methodological nationalism: 1) Ignoring or disregarding the fundamental importance of nationalism for modern societies. This tendency often goes hand and hand with 2) naturalization, or taking for granted that the boundaries of the nation-state delimit and define the unit of analysis. Finally, 3) territorial limitation fines the study of social processes to the political and geographic boundof a particular nation-state. According to Wimmer and Glick Schiller (2003:578), the three variants may intersect and mutually reinforce each other, forming a coherent epistemic structure, a self-reinforcing way of looking at and describing the social world.

Because much of social science theory equates society with the boundaries of a particular nation-state, researchers often take rootedness and incorporation in the nation-state as the norm and social identities and practices enacted across state boundaries as out of the ordinary. But if we remove the blinders of methodological nationalism, we see that while nation-states are extremely important, social life is not confined by nation-state bound-Social and religious movements, criminal and professional networks, 2 notes: and general pance regimes as well as flows of capital also operate across borders.

nt developments in social theory have also challenged the nationstate container theory of society and provide insights into the nature of transnational flows that we build upon. Sassen, for example, reconfigured our understanding of the geography of cities by highlighting that some locations become global cities (Sassen, 1992). Discussing flexible capital accumulation, Harvey (1989) explored the time-space compressions that so revolutionize the objective qualities of space and time that we are forced to alter, sometimes in quite radical ways, how we represent the world to ourselves (p. 240). Other scholars have highlighted the interconnectedness of

ities through flows of media, capital, and people (Held et al., 1999). ever, much of this work, according to Ulrich Beck (2000), continues to envision states as the primary unit and treats globalization as a process of interconnection between states. Such theories, Beck argues, continue "the

38

35-37

3 notes:

2021/03/25

2 notes:

44

ainer theory of society" on which most of the sociology of the first age of modernity is based. He calls for a new paradigm that changes not only the relations between and beyond national states and societies, but also the inner quality of the social and political itself which is indicated by reflexive cosmopolitization (p. 1).

Along with Beck, Faist (2000a), Urry (2000) and a growing number of social theorists, we seek ways to move beyond the container theory of society. Many of these scholars, however, tend to underplay the concept of the social as they reconfigure the concept of society. Beck's formulation of reflexive cosmopolitization and much of the related literature on cosmopolitanism, for example, largely abandons an exploration of social relations and social context. In Beck's (2000) cosmopolitanism, as in Luhmann's world society, communication technologies become key. Global media flows and consumerism lead to a new form of consciousness. Social relations and social positioning fall out of the analysis; the individual and the global intersect. bout a concept of the social, the relations of power and privilege exercised by social actors based within structures and organizations cannot be studied or analyzed. In addition, by trying to move beyond methodological nationalism, much of this theory-building neglects the continuing power of

of the movement and connection of people, provide a useful corrective to these resights by highlighting the concept of field.

47-50 4 notes:

51-52

45-46

2 notes:

pse a view of society and social membership based on a concept of tield that distinguishes between ways of being and ways of belonging. The notion of social field exists in social science literature in several different forms. We draw here on the proposed by Bourdieu and by the Manchester school of anthropology. The dieu used the concept of social the co

the nation-state. Transnational migration studies, with their concrete tracing

2 notes: field to call attention to the ways in which social relationships are structured by power. The boundaries of a field are fluid and the field itself is created by the participants who are joined in struggle for social position. Society for Bourdieu is the intersection of various fields within a structure of politics (Jenkins, 1992:86). According to Bourdieu, either individuals or institutions

53-54 2 notes:

ccupy the networks that make up the field and link social positions. this approach does not preclude the notion of transnational social fields, he does not directly discuss the implications of social fields that are not coterminous with state boundaries.

The Manchester School also informs our framework because these scholars recognized that the migrants they studied belonged to tribal-rural localities and colonial-industrial cities at the same time. Migrant networks stretching between these two sites were righted as constituting a single social field created by a network of networks. Understanding society in this way, these researchers focused on a level of social analysis beyond the study of the individual.

Despite its importance, the term social field within transnational migration research has not been well defined. Eding on Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc (1994), we define society as a set of multiple interlocking networks of social relationships through which ideas, practices, and resources are unequally exchanged, organized, and transformed (see also Glick Schiller and Fouron, 1999; Glick Schiller, 1999, 2003). Social fields are multidimensional, encompassing structured interactions of differing forms, depth, and breadth that are differentiated in residue theory by the terms organization, institution, and social movement. are not necessarily contiguous with the boundaries of social fields. National social fields are those that stay within national boundaries while transnational social fields connect actors through direct and indirect relations across borders. Neither domain is privileged in our analysis. Ascertaining the relative importance of nationally restricted and transnational social fields should be a question of empirical analysis.

The concept of social fields is a powerful tool for conceptualizing the potential array of social relations linking those who move and those who stay behind. It takes us beyond the direct experience of migration into domains of interaction where individuals who do not move themselves maintain social relations across borders through various forms of communication. Individuals who have such direct connections with migrants may connect with others who do not. We should not assume that those with stronger social ties will be more transnationally active than those with weaker connections nor that the actions and identities of those with more indirect ties are less influenced the dynamics within the field than those with direct parameters of the field they are studying and the scope of the networks embedded within it, then empirically analyze the strength and impact of indirect transnational relations.

xample, there may be one central individual who maintains high levels of homeland contact and is the node through which information, resources, and identities flow. While other individuals may not identify with or take action based on those ties, the fact that they are part of the same transnational social field keeps them informed and connected so that they can act if events motivate them to do so. Recognizing that this individual is

61-62

59-60

63-64 2 notes: embedded in a transnational social field may be a better predictor of future transnational behavior than if we simply locate him or her solely within a nationally delimited set of relationships.

The concept of social field also calls into question neat divisions of

connection into local, national, transnational, and global. In one sense, all are local in that near and distant connections penetrate the daily lives of individuals lived within a locale. But within this locale, a person may participate in personal networks or receive ideas and information that connects them to others in a nation-state, across the borders of a nation-state, or 2 notes: globally, without ever having migrated. social fields as transcending the boundaries of nation-states, we also note that individuals within these fields are, through their everyday activities and relationships, influenced by multiple sets of laws and institutions. Their daily rhythms and activities respond not only to more than one state simultaneously but also to social institutions, such as religious groups, that exist within many states and across their borders.

67-69 3 notes:

70

65-66

A social field perspective also reveals that there is a rence between ways of being in cocial fields as opposed to ways of belonging (Glick Schiller, 2003; 2004).² sof being refers to the actual social relations and practices that individuals engage in rather than to the identities associated with their actions. Social fields contain institutions, organizations, and experiences, within their various levels, that generate categories of identity that are ascribed to or chosen by individuals or groups. Individuals can be embedded in a social field but not identify with any label or cultural politics associated with that field. They have the potential to act or identify at a particular time

2 notes:

In contrast, of belonging refers to practices that signal or enact an identity which demonstrates a conscious connection to a particular group. These actions are not symbolic but concrete, visible actions that mark belonging such as wearing a Christian cross or Jewish star, flying a flag, or 3 notes: choosing a particular cuisine.

aware of the kind of identity that the on signifies.

iduals within transnational social fields combine ways of being

because they live mit in the social field, but not all choose to do so.

76

and ways of belonging differently in specific contexts. One person might d1 have many social contacts with people in their country of origin but not

²Some analysts, such as Thomas Faist (2000a), contrast social ties with "symbolic ties." By emphasizing ways of being, rather than social ties, we develop a concept that decouples social relationships from a notion of common interest or norms.

77-78

² notes: identify at all as belonging to their homeland. are engaged in transnational ways of being but not belonging. Similarly, a person may eat certain foods or worship certain saints or deities because that is what their family has always done. By doing so, they are not signaling any conscious identification with a particular ethnicity or with their ancestral homes. Here again, they are not expressing a transnational way of belonging.

On the other hand, there are people with few or no actual social relations with people in the sending country or transnationally but who chave in such a way as to assert their identification with a particular group. use these individuals have some sort of connection to a way of belonging, through memory, nostalgia or imagination, they can enter the social field when and if they choose to do so. In fact, we would hypothesize that someone who had access to a transnational way of belonging would be likely to acquiit at some point in his or her life.

81-82 2 notes:

dividuals engage in social relations and practices that cross borders as a regular feature of everyday life, then they exhibit a transnational way of being. When people explicitly recognize this and highlight the transnational elements of who they are, then they are also expressing a transnational way of belonging. Clearly, these two experiences do not always go hand in hand.

Finally, locating migrants within transnational social fields makes clear that incorporation in a new state and enduring transnational attachments are not binary opposites (Morawska, 2003b; Levitt, 2003b). Instead, it is more useful to think of the migrant experience as a kind of gauge which, while anchored, pivots between a new land and a transnational incorporation. Movement and attachment is not linear or sequential but capable of rotating back and forth and changing direction over time. The median point on this gauge is not full incorporation but rather simultaneity of connection. Persons change and swing one way or the other depending on the context, thus moving our expectation away from either full assimilation or transnational connection but some combination of both. The challenge, then, is to explain the variation in the way that migrants manage that pivot and how host country incorporation and homeland or other transnational ties mutually influence each other. For example, Portes and his colleagues found that transnational entrepreneurs were more likely to be U.S. citizens, suggesting that by becoming full members of their new land, it became easier for them to run successful businesses involving their homeland. Similarly, some Latino communities use the same organizations to promote political integration in the United States that they use to mobilize around sending-country issues.

In this vein, Glick Schiller, Calgar and Karagiannis (2003) have proposed a useful distinction between mere connection and the kinds of connections that engage individuals institutionally in more than one nationstate. One can have friends, colleagues, or co-religionists with whom one communicates and exchanges information or objects across borders without ever coming into contact with the state or other institutions. But if one belongs to a church, receives a pension, or has investments in another land, one must necessarily negotiate his or her way through a set of public and private institutions that grounds those connections more firmly. For her "pivot" is rooted in two or more legal and regulatory systems, encouraging a greater sense of embeddedness in the transnational social field and making the connections within it more likely to endure.

METHODOLOGY

Methodology and theory have an intimate relationship. To develop a transnational framework for the study of migration, we need a methodology that allows us to move beyond the binaries, such as homeland/new land, citizen/ noncitizen, migrant/nonmigrant, and acculturation/cultural persistence, that have typified migration research in the past. On the other hand, a framework that privileges transborder processes rather than incorporation-oriented activity may not capture the interrelationship between transnational connection and ocial relationships within a single nation-state.

g a transnational framework implies several methodological shifts. First, we need to focus on the intersection between the networks of those who have migrated and those who have stayed in place, whether in the new land, homeland, or some other diasporic location. This focus allows for comparisons between the experiences of migrants and those who are only indirectly influenced by ideas, objects, and information flowing across borders. Although multi-sited research is ideal for studying these two different experiences, the impact of transnational relations can be observed by asking individuals about the transnational aspects of their lives, and those they are

Second, we hat capture migrants' simultaneous engagement in and orientation tow heir home and host complete. And these dynamics cannot just be studied at one point in time. a process rather than an event. Transnation ractices ebb and flow in response to particular incidents or crises. A many ways in which migrants periodically engage with their home countries

83

84-85 2 notes:

86-90

93-95
3 notes:

Each of the research methodologies used to study transnational migra-3 notes: tion has particular strengths. believe that ethnography is particularly believe that ethnography is particularly of transnational social fields.

cipant observation and ethnographic interviewing allow researchers to document how persons simultaneously maintain and shed cultural repertoires and identities, interact within a location and across its boundaries, and act in ways that are in concert with or contradict their values over time. The effects of strong and weak indirect ties within a transnational social field can be observed, and those connections, whether they take the form of institutional or individual actors, can be studied. Like surveys, ethnographic re
101-102

In to repertoire and identities, interact within a location and across its boundaries, and act in ways that are in concert with or contradict their values over time. The effects of strong and weak indirect ties within a transnational social field can be observed, and those connections, whether they take the form of institutional or individual actors, can be studied. Like surveys, ethnographic re
101-102

In the propertoire and identities in the contradict their values over time. The effects of strong and weak indirect ties within a transnational social field can be observed, and those connections, whether they take the form of institutional or individual actors, can be studied. Like surveys, ethnographic re
101-102

In the propertoire and individual actors are also begin with a random sale and individual actors.

POWER

114

migrants move from a place where the state has relatively little power within the global interstate system to a more powerful state. At the same time, many migrants gain more social power, in terms of leverage over people, property, and locality, with respect to their homeland than they did 115-116

2 notes: before migrating. this complex intersection between personal losses and gains that any analysis of power within transnational social fields must grapple with. Furthermore, migration often opens up the possibility for transnational migrants to contribute, both positively and negatively, to changes in the global economic and political system. For example, long distance nationalist movements have long influenced nation building and national transformation. Lithuania would not have become Lithuania without immigrants in the United States first imaging its emergence and then mobilizing to make it a reality (Glazer, 1954). Former Iraqi exiles are now playing a critical role in rebuilding the Iraqi state. Transnational migrants can also strengthen, alter, or thwart global religious movements like Islamic 117-118 jundamentalism, Christian fundamentalism, or Hindu nationalism.

only can migrants potentially shift the position of states within the world economic order, they can also influence the internal functions of states as well. They may be forces for privatization because they want telephone systems that work and private schools and hospitals where their family members will be well attended. They may pressure states to institute conservative legislation that preserves traditional values. Acting within their transnational social fields, migrants may also fuel movements for rights, social justice, and anti-imperialist struggles.

Transnational migrants also shift power by redefining the functions of the host state. There are many instances, such as in the Cuban, Israeli, and Irish communities, in which migrants have successfully mobilized host country legislatures to support their homeland projects. The Mexican state and Mexican transnational migrants living in the United States have altered the ways in which some U.S. institutions categorize and process individuals. The Mexican state's issuing of the *matricula consular*, a consular ID card, to legal and unauthorized Mexican migrants in the United States has enabled migrants to pressure banks, motor vehicle bureaus, and car insurance companies to be more responsive to them.

Using a transnational social field perspective allows for a more systematic study of the social processes and institutions that have been routinely obscured by traditional migration scholarship and even by some studies of transnational migration. New perspectives emerge on a number of issues, including the traditional migration on gender hierarchies and racialized identities; family dynamics; the significance of nation-states, membership and citizenship; and the role of religion. In the following section, we discuss each in turn.

(119)

HOW CLASS, RACE, AND GENDER ARE MUTUALLY CONSTITUTED WITHIN TRANSNATIONAL SOCIAL FIELDS

Scholars have tended to study class, race, and gender as discrete realms of experience. Here we build on feminist theory by recognizing that since these social locations are mutually constituted, we must discuss them together. We approached three as hierarchical positions that entail differential social power. on these varying statuses illustrate the analytical limits of methodological nationalism. Social scientists often use national income statistics to assess the socioeconomic status of migrants without considering the other statuses that they occupy. But when society differs from polity and is made up of sets of social relationships in intersecting and overlapping national and transnational social fields, individuals occupy different gender, racial, and class positions within different states at the same time. Egnizing that migrant behavior is the product of these simultaneous multiple statuses of race, class, and gender makes certain social processes more understandable.

For example, a transnational perspective can help explain contradictory

data on the political attitudes and actions of immigrants. In some cases, immigrant women, who find themselves racialized in their new homes, 122-123 ppear to be ite conservative with respect to struggles for rights and 2 notes: recognition. migrants of color in the United States, for example, often strive to differentiate themselves from African Americans rather than join efforts to advance minority group civil rights (Waters, 1999). They may re-enforce or even reinvent gender distinctions and hierarchies that are more rigid and traditional than those in their ancestral homes (Espiritu, 1997; Lessinger, 1995; Caglar, 1995). They accept low-status jobs in their new home, tolerate employment discrimination, and resist political projects or labor protests that would redress these wrongs. Ironically, this heightened gender stratification often occurs in households where immigrant women have entered the workforce and men have begun to share the responsibility for childrearing and housekeeping, thereby redefining other aspects of gender dynamics in more egalitarian terms.

Consideration of migrants' multiple positions within transnational social fields helps explain this seeming conservative and contradictory behavior (Pessar and Mahler, 2003). In individuals elaborate markers of gender after they migrate, they may be preserving or creating status in other locations within the transnational social field. Conservative positions of women and men in relationship to struggles for rights or "family values" may be linked to the class position of migrants in the homeland. Migrants who

120

121

are laborers, home health aides, or domestic workers in countries of immigration may also be educated and middle-class homeowners or business people in their homelands. Men who may have higher status than women at home are generally more interested in maintaining political homeland connections and identities (Grasmuck and Pessar, 1991). In contrast, women migrants may use income they earn abroad to improve their social standing at home. Transnational religious systems, such as Islam or Charismatic Christianity, also provide venues for asserting one's enhanced status and for acquiring social capital and resources (Peterson and Vásquez, 2001).

TRANSNATIONAL FAMILIES

Much work on globalization and transnational phenomena focuses on production. But reproduction also takes place across borders and is an important, if understudied, aspect of the migration experience. Just as transnational migration studies prompt us to rethink the terrain in which social processes take place, they also challenge our understanding of social reproduction.

Numerous studies illustrate the ways in which the boundaries of family life change over the life cycle. Members of the second and third generations in Europe and the United States continue to return to the Middle East and South Asia to find marriage partners (Hooghiemstra, 2001; Lesthaeghe, 2002; Levitt, 2002b). Increasing numbers of women have joined the ranks of men who head transnational families (Parrenas, 2001; Hondagneu-Sotelo and Avila, 2003). Transnational family life entails renegotiating communication between spouses, the distribution of work tasks, and who will migrate and who will stay behind via long distance (Pessar and Mahler, 2001). Nonmigrants also imagine the gendered lives of their migrant peers and change their ideas about successful marriages and suitable marital partners. Levitt (2001a) found that the young women in the Dominican village she studied only wanted to marry men who had migrated because they were

e adults make family decisions, children are the central axis of family migration and often a critical reason why families move back and forth and sustain transnational ties (Orellana et al., 2001; Zhou, 1998). Adult-centered studies obscure the ways in which child raising actively shapes families' journeys, the spaces they move in, and their experiences within those social fields. This is particularly true as children mature into young adults. Kandel and Massey (2002), for example, found a culture of

migration so deeply embedded in the Mexican communities they studied that transnational migration became the norm. Young men, in particular, came to see migration as an expected rite of passage and as the way to achieve economically what they could not attain in Mexico.

The studies we describe attest to the fact that in migrant households that are constituted transnationally and across generations, living transnationally often becomes the norm (Nyberg Sorenson and Fog Olwig, 2002). How must we rethink conventional wisdom about the family in response? First, using a transnational lens reveals the changing nature of the family as 127-128) socioeconomic strategie nit and how family ties are worked and reworked 2 notes: over time and space. prah Bryceson and Ulla Vuorela (2002) use the term relativizing to refer to the ways in which individuals establish, maintain, or curtail ties to specific family members. Within transnational social fields, individuals actively pursue or neglect blood ties and fictitious kinship. Based 129-130 pn their particular needs, individual tegically choose which connections 2 notes: to emphasize and which to let slide. social reproduction occur transnationally in response to at least two social and cultural contexts. Even children who never return to their parent's ancestral homes are brought up in households where people, values, goods and claims from somewhere else are present on a daily basis. Similarly, the children of nonmigrants are raised in social networks and settings entirely permeated by people, resource and what Levitt (1999) has called social remit2 notes: tances from the host country. these individuals, the generational experience is not territorially bounded. It is based on actual and imagined experiences that are shared across borders regardless of where someone was born or now lives. Locating miggants and their families squarely within transnational so-133-136 4 notes: cial fields requires hing the notion of generation and the term second generation (Glick Schiller and Fouron, 2002). ceptualizing generation as a lineal process, involving clear boundaries between one experience and the other, does not accurately capture the experience of living in a transnational field because it implies a separation in migrants' and nonmigrants' socialization and social networks that may not exist. It also fails to take into account that generational experiences are shaped by common experiences during youth that create a shared worldview or frame of reference which influences subsequent social and political activism (Mannheim, 1952; Eckstein, 2002).

While many researchers now acknowledge the salience of transnational ties for the immigrant generation, many predict these ties will weaken among their children. In the United States, these researchers find that the transna-

tional activities of the second generation are confined primarily to certain groups who are, by and large, physically and emotionally rooted in the United States and lack the language, cultural skills, or desire to live in their ancestral homes. Since these individuals are only occasional transnational activists, and their activities are confined to very specific are per social life, hey are likely to have minimal long-term consequences (baut, 2002;

2 notes: Kasinitz et al., 2002).

But whether or individuals forge or maintain some kind of trans-depend on the extent to which they are reared in 3 notes: a transnational space. Clearly, transnational receivities will not be central to the lives of most of the second generation, those who engage in them will not do so with the same frequency and intensity as their parents. But surveys concluding that transnational practices will be inconsequential may be short sighted. They may overlook the effect of the many periodic, selective transnational activities that some individuals engage in at different stages 142-143 Leir lives (Levitt, 2002b; Glick Schiller and Fouron, 2002; Smith, 2002). may also fail to differentiate between ways of being and possible ways of belonging - that the desire and ability to engage in transnational practices 144-145 ebb and flow at different phases of the lifecycle and in different contexts. 2 notes: point of marriage or child rearing, the same individuals who showed little regard for a parental homeland and culture may activate their connections within a transnational field in search of spouses or values to teach to their children (Espiritu and Tham, 2002). The children of Gujaratis who go back to India to find marriage partners, the second generation Pakistanis who begin to study Islam and Pakistani values when they have children, or the Chinese American business school students who specialize in Asian banking are doing just that.

THE NATION-STATE: THE POLITICAL LIMITS AND EXTENSIONS OF TRANSNATIONAL SOCIAL FIELDS

The use of a transnational social field perspective and the concept of simultaneity also draws attention to the changing nature of political activism and the nation-state and how these are shaped and shape the transnational social fields in which they are embedded. Both migrants and refugees continue to engage in a variety of cross-border political practices directed at their home and host countries. Some of the early work on transnational migration predicted that these activities would weaken or, in some cases, bring about the decline of the nation-state. Instead, what we see is a reformulation of the

state as it assumes new functions, abdicates responsibilities for others, and redefines who its members are. Future research needs to explore why some states change in response to their increasingly transnational constituencies and others do not. We also need to ask which functions states abandon, under what conditions, and what new roles they assume. Finally, we need to identify the new kinds of organizations and collectivities that step in to fill

146-147 he gap left by the changing state.

150-152

3 notes:

153

It is within sending states that we find the greatest changes in laws, policy, and migrant practices on both the national and local levels. The vulnerable geopolitical position of many peripheral sending states, increasing poverty in the wake of structural adjustment policies, and the racial barriers migrants encounter explain recent trends toward extending the boundaries

148-149 of citizenship (Basch, Glick Schiller and Szanton Blanc, 1994; Guarnizo, ² notes: ²⁰⁰³; Itzigsohn, 2000). The governments of many states, even within West-Europe, see the utility of having access to populations settled elsewhere.

Ireland, Greece, Italy and Portugal have recently developed both policies and

States have developed a range of policies that reflect who they are

rhetoric that embrace their communities abroad.

fining as their membership. Some states pursue homelands policies that urage state contact with temporary migrants to facilitate their return. Other states develop global nations' policies that encourage enduring links to nanent settlers abroad to ensure their continued national membership oyalty rather than their return (Goldring, 2002; Smith, 1998). But not all sending states are the same. They vary with respect to how willing and able they are to encourage transnational activism and how willing they are to give emigrants and their descendants political rights, including the right to vote while living abroad. We suggest the following categorization to capture the variation in possible arenas and types of state responses toward emigrants. States vary with respect to law or the degree to which they extend political rights. They vary with respect to rhetoric or the kind of ideology of

nationhood that is promulgated. And they vary with respect to public policy

The Extension of Political Rights

154-156 3 notes: The extension of rights is mandated aw. Some states distinguish between two categories of membership — enship and nationality. Citizenship lineates the character of a member's rights and duties within the national 157-159

or the kinds of programs and policies that they pursue.

y. Nationality legally delineates a category of belonging without grant-

full citizenship rights.

160

161

162

163

164

2021/03/28

Sending states have promulgated a range legal distinctions to delineate categories of citizenship and nationality: denial of dual citizenship or any form of dual access to rights – countries such as Haiti and Germany allow no dual sets of rights; 2) dual nationality with the granting of some privileges to emigrants and their descendants but not full dual citizen— Mexico and India have taken this position, legally recognizing nationals in some way; 3) dual citizenship in which emigrants and their descendants are accorded full rights, when they return to the homeland, even by also hold the passport of another country— states as disparate as France, Ireland, Greece, the Dominican Republic, Brazil, Italy, and Portugal follow this policy; and dual citizenship with rights while abroad— people living abroad, from countries such as Colombia, have the right to elect representatives to the home-country legislature. 4

The expansion of dual nationality or citizenship, in their different forms, means that even persons who are not active participants in transnational politics or even situated in transnational social fields, have access to those memberships if they want to claim them. As an identity strategy, an investment strategy, or even an exit strategy, multiple memberships endow the individual with several potential positions with respect to the state.

States like China, Ireland, Portugal, and Haiti propose a national self-

Ideology of Nationhood

concept based on blood ties linking residents around the world to their respective homelands (Glick Schiller, forthcoming). They have redefined their territories to include those living outside them. They may do this, as in the Haitian case, without granting dual citizenship or nationality. For this reason, it is useful to distinguish legal connections from ideologies of long-distance nationalism. Building on Anderson's original concept, Glick Schiller and Fouron (2001a) define long-distance nationalism as a set of about belonging that link together people living in various geographic ions and motivate or justify their taking action in relation to an ances-

³However, Germany allows dual citizenship for *Ausiedler*, Jews, and persons whose countries do not allow the repudiation of citizenship; and Haiti, without altering citizenship laws, considers its diaspora as a part of the Haitian nation.

⁴The number of countries permitting some form of dual belonging is increasing rapidly. In Latin America alone, ten countries allowed some form of dual nationality or citizenship in 2000 while only four countries had such provisions prior to 1991 (Jones-Correa, 2002). Other countries recognize dual membership selectively, with specific signatories.

tral territory and its government. As in other versions of nationalism, the concept of a territorial homeland governed by a state that represents the nation remains salient, but national borders are not thought to delimit membership in the nation. Citizens residing within the territorial homeland view emigrants and their descendants as part of the nation, whatever legal citizenship the émigrés may have.

These ideologies of nationhood shift over time, at different periods of nation building (Glick Schiller, forthcoming). Globally, before World War I, science endorsed the concept of nation as based on race. In the middle of the twentieth century, when the rhetoric of blood and race was discredited and the populations of nation-states became viewed as only those who lived within national territories, states tended not to make claims on their emigrant populations. Dictators such as Salazar of Portugal or Duvalier of Haiti denounced expatriates, who often organized in opposition to their regimes. Since the 1970s, during the current period of globalization, a language of blood has once again emerged and is deployed by a variety of states. Malaysia uses descent to differentiate populations considered native Malaysians with full citizenship rights from other populations such as persons of Chinese and Indian ancestry (Ong, 1999; Bunnell, 2003). Portugal has reclaimed its emigrant populations, allowing dual citizenship and organizing councils of Portuguese abroad. In promoting its case for entrance into the European Union, Portugal argued it would bring special access to countries like Brazil as well as a special relation to Lusophonic populations in Africa (Feldman-Bianco, 2002).

Changing Functions of the State

165-166 2 notes:

s adopt some tasks and abandon others in response to transnational migration. In Levitt and de la Dehesa's (2003) review of transnational migration and redefinitions of the state, they found that Latin American governments instituted several different programs and policies toward emigrants. They reformed ministerial and consular services to be more responsive to emigrant needs. They put into place investment policies designed to attract and channel economic remittances. They granted dual citizenship or nationality, the right to vote from abroad, or the right to run for public office. They extended state protections or services to nationals living abroad that went beyond traditional consular services. Finally, they implemented symbolic policies designed to reinforce emigrants' sense of enduring membership.

Sending states institute these policies for a variety of reasons. For one thing, remittances far exceed the funds received for official development assistance or foreign portfolio investment in many less-developed countries (Naim, 2002). According to the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), "in 2002, remittances to Latin America alone rose by 18 percent to \$32 billion from 2001 levels, or 32 percent of the \$103 billion worldwide estimated to be remitted to developing countries" (University of California, Davis, 2003). But sending nations' economic motivations to sustain strong ties to migrants go beyond remittances. Immigrants trade with their home countries and bring in large quantities of tourist dollars. Successful entrepreneurs from countries as diverse as India, Israel, China, Brazil, Taiwan, 167-169 Mexico and Pakistan not only contribute money but entrepreneurial and pological energy and skills. Brain drain can become brain circulation or

gain (Saxenian, 2002). Finally, states court emigrant loyalties because they see them as a potential political force in the host country that can Innce their economic and foreign policy interests (Mahler, 2000; Levitt, a). Some states even promote host-country political integration so that emigrants are better situated to act on their behalf.

States are not the only political actors that define their constituencies transnationally or that carry out activities across borders. Political parties may operate abroad, especially if emigrants have settled in sizeable numbers and with sufficient ties to influence elections in the homeland. Mexican, Dominican, and Haitian politicians campaign in the United States on a regular basis. Each of the three principal Dominican political parties has a U.S.-based organization trying to capture support among Dominicans along the eastern seaboard. In the Turkish case, parties with dominant religious and nationalist agendas, like the nationalist Milli Hareket Partisi or the religious Saadet Partisi, frequently send leaders to northern Europe to rally support (Ostergaard-Nielsen, 2003).

Regions of large countries, such as Brazil or India, may also begin to act as transnational agents, regardless of the national government's stance. This is especially true in situations where the majority of emigrants leave from a ^{2021/03/28} few regions or provinces. Substate policies are different from the transnational activities of national governments in that regional governments do not control immigration and formal citizenship and their transnational activities driven by efforts to promote extraterritorial regional or local loyalties r than nation-building (Baubock, 2003). In the Brazilian case, the municipal government of Governador Valadares and the state government of Minas Gerais created investment funds and business promotion schemes

170

designed to build on migrants' localized loyalties. The money raised was used to support projects directed at municipal development. Likewise in India, the Gujarat State government has instituted a number of initiatives to encourage long-distance economic projects, including offering tax breaks and bureaucratic support to potential investors, that are separate from any efforts by the national government or political parties to stimulate Non-Resident Indian (NRI) involvement (Levitt, 2002b).

Even units as small as towns may define themselves transnationally and engage in development-oriented activities. In such cases, the actors are usually emigrants living abroad who organize hometown associations. For example, Mexican, Salvadoran, and Dominican hometown associations now fund and implement numerous community development projects that were previously the purview of the state (Goldring, 2002; Landolt, 2001). They assume this role in an age of neoliberalism in which states increasingly eschew roles they were rarely able to fulfill in countries beyond the capitalist core.

Based on their stances towards emigrants with regard to law, rhetoric, and public policy, we identify several broad categories of migrant-sending

173-174 tates.

175

Some states have become Transnational Nation-States in that they their emigrants as long-term, long-distance members. Consular officials 2 notes: and other government representatives are still seen as partially responsible for emigrants' protection and representation. These states also grant emigrants dual citizenship or nationality. Often these are states, or specific regions within

2021/03/28 them, that have become so dependent on remittances that transnational micreats' contributions and participation have become an integral part of national 176-178 y (Guarnizo, Portes and Haller, 2003). States such as El Salvador, Mexico,

3 notes: Portugal, the Dominican Republic, and B fall into this category.

More common are gically Selective States that encourage some forms of long-distance economic and political nationalism but want to selectively and strategically manage what immigrants can and cannot do. Like transnational nation-states, these states also recognize the enormous political and economic influence migrants wield, on which they have come to depend. On the one hand, they want to ensure the continued home country involvement of emigrants, whom they recognize are unlikely to return. On the other hand, they want to maintain some level of control over emigrants' home ties, lest migrant interests conflict with those of the state. Such states partial and changing packages of tax privileges and services to emits, encourage long-distance membership, but never grant the legal rights

of citizenship or nationality or the franchise. They walk a fine line between providing enough incentives to reinforce long distance membership while not over-serving migrants and making nonmigrants resentful. India, Barbados, Ireland, the Philippines, Haiti, and Turkey have all tried, at various times, to obtain support from populations abroad without granting full participation in their internal political activities.

These arrangements are by no means static. Diasporic agitation for dual citizenship led the Filipino government to pass legislation in 2003 that allows dual citizenship and restores Filipino citizenship to those people who previously lost their citizenship by becoming citizens of other countries. The Senate President remarked when the Citizenship Retention Bill was signed, "It is our affirmation to the age-old adage that 'once a Filipino, always a Filipino'" (Javellana-Santos, 2003). The same year, India granted persons four generations removed from migration and citizens of specific countries.

uch as the United States and Green ritain dual citizenship (Khanna, 3 notes:

A third type of state is the terested and Denouncing State. States

ting this stance treat migrants as if they no longer belong to their eland. Any overtures migrants make vis a vis their ancestral home are

viewed as suspect because migrants are seen as having abandoned the homeland or even as traitors to its cause. This stance was more common prior to the current period of globalization. Even today, however, when governments face vocal and powerful political opposition abroad, they may try to discredit emigrants' influence. Cuba's relationship to Cubans in the United States provides one such example that is particularly interesting since remittances factor so importantly in Cuba's economic life (Cervantes-Rodríguez, 2003; Eckstein and Barberia, 2002). Slovakia kept populations abroad at arm's-length following the Cold War, allowing them no representation within the new political system (Skrbi, 1999).

MEMBERSHIP AND CITIZENSHIP

Understanding migration from a transnational social field perspective also entails revisiting the meaning of nation-state membership (Yuval-Davis, 1997; Delgado and Stefanicic, 2003). While states grant membership through laws that accord legal citizenship and nationality, people also make demands of states regardless of their legal status. Therefore, persons without full citizenship may act as substantive or social citizens, claiming rights or assuming privileges that are, in principle, accorded to citizens (Flores and Benmayor, 2000). This is the case when immigrants without citizenship

184

185

189

fight and die as members of a host country's military, protest in the streets about public policies, and access various social programs and services without 2021/03/28 being citizens. Individuals connected through social networks to a transna-

rional social field make claims, take actions, and may even see themselves as

bers of a country in which they have not lived.

Substantive citizenship as exercised within transnational social fields differs from findings of proponents of post-national citizenship (Soysal, 1994). These scholars put aside the domain of nation-states and look to global rights regimes to protect and represent individuals living outside their homelands. Persons in transnational social fields who are refugees or religious or racial minorities may draw on plural legal systems in their quest for rights. But the international rights regime, as has often been noted, is still very much dependent on individual states for enforcement (Foblets, 2002; Woodman, 2002)

Persons living within transnational social fields may not make claims on states as legal or substantive citizens until a particular event or crisis occurs. They may engage in lobbying, demonstrating, organizing or campaigns of public information to influence either the government of the state in which they now reside, their homeland, or some other state to which they 2021/03/28 are connected. Simply focusing on legal rights and formal membership overlooks this broader set of people who, to varying degrees, act like mem-

of a society while not formally belonging to it. By so doing, they ence and are influenced by the state. Glick Schiller and Fouron (2001a)

2 notes: propose the term "transborder citizens" to reflect those who may or may not

tizens of both their sending and receiving polities but who express some

of social citizenship in one or both.

Partial membership in two polities challenges core aspects of governance in at least two ways. First, dual belonging calls into question the very notion of governance because it is not readily obvious which state is ultimately responsible for which aspects of transnational migrants' lives. Where should those who live across borders get health care, pay taxes, or serve in the army? Which state assumes the primary responsibility for migrants' protection and representation? What happens when migrants are sentenced to the death penalty in their host country while the death sentence is prohibited in their country of origin?

Furthermore, transborder citizens' multiple experiences of governmentality and political socialization do not occur in isolation from one another. Persons in transnational social fields are exposed to different ideas of citizen

rights and responsibilities and different histories of political practice. As a result, they enter the political domain with a broader repertoire of rights and responsibilities than citizens who live only within one state. The fact that migrants may also have direct experience with international rights regimes provides them with grist to reconceptualize their relationship to the state 2021/03/28 (Pessar, 2001; Levitt and Wagner, 2003). Migrants bring ideas about governance with them that transform host-country politics, they reformulate their ideas and practices in response to their experiences with host states, and communicate these social remittances back to those in their homelands embers of their networks settled in other states. The kind of political culture that emerges and the kinds of claims made of states vary as a result. Haitian migrants, for example, infused the U.S. political system with calls for a Haitian government that was more responsible to its people (Glick Schiller and Fouron, 2001a). Shared experiences of democratic incorporation in the receiving state may feed back into transnational activities that lead to more

RELIGION: FIELDS OF BEING AND BELONGING WITHIN AND BEYOND THE STATE

transparent politics at home (Shain, 1999).

While most scholars acknowledge the salience of migrants' transnational economic, political, and sociocultural practices, they have only recently begun to pay attention to the relationship between transnational migration and religion. In contrast to the other sections of this article, where we focus on the implications of research findings to date, our goal in this section is to summarize the emergent literature on religion and suggest directions for further work.

Religion as an ideology or as a set of practices is not coincident with the borders of nation-states. Its very lack of fit might partially explain why social scientists have largely ignored religion. Grand sociological theory in its various unilinear forms posited an evolution of society from religion to reason. Immigration theorists expected immigrants to develop religious institutions in the new land as part of the process of incorporation, but these institutions were expected to lose their force over several generations.

Religious cross-border connections are not all linked to migration; however, migrating populations may identify as religious diasporas rather than cling to a nation-state identity or use religious arenas to express membership in two polities. Conceptualizing society as intersecting transnational social fields that exist within and across the borders of states provides us with powerful tools for mapping and researching religious domains. Perhaps the

most productive distinction to be made is between religious ties that connect people to a homeland state and religious ties that form transnational networks of connections that are not state based, such as charismatic Christianity. A fairly large body of work charts the course of Christian, Hindu, and Muslim beliefs and institutions that cross national borders and link various populations (Beyer, 2001; Robertson, 1991; Vertovec and Peach, 1997). Global religious institutions shape migrants' transnational experiences, while migrants chip away at and recreate global religions by making them local. Migrant institutions are also sites where globally diffused models of social organization and individuals' local responses converge and produce new mixes of religious beliefs and practices. The study of transnational migration and religion, therefore, provides an empirical window into ways of being and belonging that cannot be encompassed by a nation-state (Levitt, 2003a). At the same time, these practices and ideas can be mobilized for specific state projects by transnational migrant populations, as in the case of support for Hindu nationalist politics on the part of Indian migrants who are fully incorporated into the United States.

Research on transnational migrants' religious practices has addressed a set of common themes and questions. Some of these studies are concerned with the kinds of religious institutional connections produced by transnational migration (Ebaugh and Chafetz, 2002; Yang, 2002; Levitt, 2004). Other studies ask how religion encourages or impedes transnational membership (Wellmeier, 1998; Menjívar, 1999; Peterson and Vásquez, 2001; Kastoryano, 2000). A third set of questions focuses on the relationship between religion and politics and how it changes when actors are engaged transnationally. Such questions touch on ways of belonging, whether to two or more states or to a transborder religious community, asking whether access to the power of God or Gods is a way of gaining protection from the power of states (Peterson and Vásquez, 2001; Menjívar, 2002). Migrants denied citizenship and excluded from mainstream economic institutions often look to their religious communities as sites for establishing alternative identities (Guest, 2002). Transnational migrants often use religion to create alternative geographies that may fall within national boundaries, transcend but coexist with them, or create new spaces that, for some individuals, are more meaningful and inspire stronger loyalties than politically-defined terrains (Levitt, 2003a). By doing so, they extend the boundaries of their spiritual practices and superinscribe them onto the actual physical landscape where they settle (McAlister, 2002). By building and conducting rituals at a shrine to their national patron saint, Cuban exiles in Miami created what 194

195

198

Tweed (1999) calls transtemporal and translocative space. The rituals enacted within it enable migrants to recover a past when they lived in Cuba and to imagine a future when they will return.

EXPANDING THE CONVERSATION

192-193 2 notes: Clearly, migration is only one of a range of social processes that transcends <mark>=</mark>_nal_boundaries. Numerous social movements, businesses, media, episternic communities, and various forms of governance are also organized across boundaries. Persons living in transnational social fields can engage in multiple transnational processes at the same time. The transnational identities and institutions that emerge in response to these other processes are not well understood. Although they are the subject of an increasing body of

scholarship, more often than not this research treats transnational economic, 2021/03/28 political, and social processes as if they were not connected to each other. We must explore how transnational practices and processes in different domains

to and inform one another to understand how these developments are

ing the boundaries of social life.

Migration scholars can begin this conversation by systematically examining the forms and consequences of different kinds of transnational activities and collectivities, analyzing how they relate to one another, and

196-197 pring how they define and redefine our world (Khagram and Levitt, How do migrant cross-border activities compare to those engaged in by indigenous rights proponents and religious group members? How do organizing strategies, diffusion of ideas, and cultural negotiations compare in transnational social movements to those undertaken in transnational professional groups or production networks? In what ways do these different kinds of transnational memberships complement or subvert one another? What are rights and responsibilities that actors and institutions associate with national belonging?

New methodological and conceptual tools are needed to understand these processes. Because the social sciences originated in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries as part of the project of creating modern nation-states, terms like government, organization, and citizenship carry with them embedded nationalist assumptions that impair our capacity to see and understand transnational processes. Our conceptual categories implicitly take as given that the nation-state is the natural default category of social organization. The best that social science generally does is compare corporations, migrants, or institutions across national contexts rather than focus on firms and markets as parts of transnational fields of investment, production, distribution, and exchange. Persons can engage simultaneously in more than pation-state and a nation-state does not delimit the boundaries of mean-land social relations. We need new analytical lenses that can bring to light the myriad social processes that cross boundaries. We need new conceptual categories that no longer blind us to these emergent social forms or prevent us from reconceptualizing the boundaries of social life.

REFERENCES

Abelman, N.

2002 "Mobilizing Korean Family Ties: Cultural Conversations across the Border." Working Paper, Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC – 0–11.

Ballard, R.

2000 "The South Asian Presence in Britain and Its Transnational Connections." Paper presented at the International Workshop on Transnational Research, sponsored by the Social Science Research Council and the Economic and Social Research Council (U.K.), Oxford University.

Basch, L., N. Glick Schiller and C. Szanton Blanc, eds.

1994 Nations Unbound: Transnational Projects, Postcolonial Predicaments, and Deterritorialized Nation-States. Langhorne, PA: Gordon and Breach.

Baubock, R.

2003 "Towards a Political Economy of Migrant Transnationalism," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):700–723.

Beck, U.

2000 "The Cosmopolitan Perspective: Sociology in the Second Age of Modernity," *British Journal of Sociology*, 5(1):79–107.

Beyer, P.

2001 "Introduction." In Religion in the Process of Globalization. Ed. P. Beyer. Wurzburg, Germany: Ergon Verlag. Pp. I–XLIV.

Bryceson, D. F. and U. Vuorela

2002 The Transnational Family: New European Frontiers and Global Networks. Oxford and New York: Berg.

Bunnell, T.

2003 "Repositioning Malaysia: High-tech Networks and the Multicultural Rescripting of National Identity," *Political Geography*, 21:105–124.

Caglar, A.

1995 "German Turks in Berlin: Social Exclusion and Strategies for Social Mobility," New Community, 21(3):309–323.

Castells, M.

1996 The Rise of Network Society. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Castles, S.

1998 "New Migrations, Ethnicity, and Nationalism in Southeast and East Asia." Paper delivered to the Transnational Communities Programme Seminar Series at Oxford University. June 12.

Cervantes-Rodríguez, M.

2003 "Exile, Identities, and Cuba's Nation-building Project a Century Later." Unpublished paper.

Chamberlin, M.

2002 "Language, Identity and Caribbean Families: Transnational Perspectives." Paper presented at the Conference Caribbean Migration in Metropolitan Countries: Identity, Citizenship and Models of Integration, Maison de Sciences de l'Homme, Paris.

Delgado, R. and J. Stefanicic, eds.

2003 Critical Race Theory: The Cutting Edge. Second Edition. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Duany, J.

2000 "Nation on the Move: The Construction of Cultural Identities in Puerto Rico and the Diaspora," *American Ethnologist*, 27(1):5–30.

Ebaugh, H. R. and J. Chafetz

2002 Religion across Borders: Transnational Religious Networks. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press.

Eckstein, S.

2002 "On Deconstructing and Reconstructing the Meaning of Immigrant Generations." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation.* Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publications. Pp. 367–399.

Eckstein, S. and L. Barberia

2002 "Grounding Immigrant Generations in History: Cuban Americans and Their Transnational Ties," *International Migration Review*, 36(3):799–838.

Espiritu, Y.

1997 Asian Women and Men: Labor, Laws, and Love. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Espiritu, Y. and T. Tran

2002 "Viet Nam, Nuoc Toi (Vietnam, My Country): Vietnamese Americans and Transnationalism." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation*. Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publication. Pp. 367–399.

Faist, T.

2000a The Volume and Dynamics of International Migration. New York: Oxford University Press.

2000b "Transnationalization in International Migration: Implications for the Study of Citizenship and Culture," *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 23(2):189–222.

Feldman-Bianco, B.

2002 "Brazilians in Portugal, Portuguese in Brazil: Constructions of Sameness and Difference." In Colonial Continuities: The Portuguese Experience. Special Issue Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power, 8(4).

Fitzgerald, D.

2003 "Beyond 'Transnationalism': Mexican Hometown Politics at an American Labor Union," Ethnic and Racial Studies, 27(2):228–247.

Flores, W. V. and R. Benmayor, eds.

2000 Latino Cultural Citizenship: Claiming Identity, Space, and Rights. Tempe, AZ: Bilingual Review Press.

Foblets, M. C.

2002 "Muslims, a New Transnational Minority in Europe? Cultural Pluralism, Fundamental Liberties and Inconsistencies in the Law." Paper delivered at the conference Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding Legal Relations in a Contracting World. Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology, Halle, Germany. November 7–9.

Foner, N.

2000 From Ellis Island to JFK: New York's Two Great Waves of Immigration. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Foucault, M.

1980 Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977. New York: Pantheon.

Gabaccia, D. and F. Iacovetta, eds.

2002 Women, Gender, and Transnational Lives: Italian Workers of the World. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Glazer, N.

1954 "Ethnic Groups in America: From National Culture to Ideology." In Freedom and Control in Modern Society. Ed. M. Berger, T. Abel and C. Page. New York: Van Nostrand.

Glick Schiller, N.

Forthcoming "Transborder Citizenship: Legal Pluralism within a Transnational Social Field." In *Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding Legal Relations in a Contracting World.* Ed. F. Bender Beckman and K. Bender Beckman. London: Ashgate.

Forthcoming "Long Distance Nationalism." In *Encyclopedia of Diasporas: Immigrant and Refugee Cultures Around the World.* Vol. 10. Ed. M. Ember, C. R. Ember and I. Skoggard. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Forthcoming "Blood and Belonging: Long-Distance Nationalism and the World Beyond." In *Complexities: Beyond Nature and Nurture*. Ed. S. McKinnon and S. Silverman. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

2004 "Transnational Theory and Beyond." In A Companion to the Anthropology of Politics. Ed. D. Nugent and J. Vincent. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

2003 "The Centrality of Ethnography in the Study of Transnational Migration: Seeing the Wetland Instead of the Swamp." In American Arrivals. Ed. N. Foner. Santa Fe, NM: School of American Research.

2003 "Transmigrants and Nation-States: Something Old and Something New in the U.S. Immigrant Experience." In *The Handbook of International Migration*. Ed. C. Hirshman, P. Kasinitz and J. DeWind. New York: The Russell Sage Foundation.

Glick Schiller, N., L. Basch and C. Blanc-Szanton

1995 "From Immigrant to Transmigrant: Theorizing Transnational Migration," *Anthropology Quarterly*, 68(1):48-63.

Glick Schiller, N., A. Calgar, and E. Karagiannis

2003 "Simultaneous Incorporation of Migrants." Paper delivered at the Max Planck Institute of Social Anthropology, Halle, Germany. July 17, 2003.

- Glick Schiller, N. and G. Fouron
- 2003 "Killing Me Softly: Violence, Globalization, and the Apparent State." In Globalization, the State and Violence. Ed. J. Friedman. Oxford: Altamira.
- 2002 "The Generation of Identity: Redefining the Second Generation within a Transnational Social Field." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation.* Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publications.
- 2001a Georges Woke Up Laughing: Long Distance Nationalism and the Search for Home. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- 2001b "I am Not a Problem without a Solution: Poverty, Transnational Migration, and Struggle." In *New Poverty Studies: The Ethnography of Politics, Policy and Impoverished People in the U.S.* Ed. J. Good and J. Maskovsky. New York: New York University Press.
- 1999 "Terrains of Blood and Nation: Haitian Transnational Social Fields," *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 22(2):340–366.

Gold, S.

2002 The Israeli Diaspora. Seattle: University of Washington Press.

Goldring, L.

- 2003 "Gender Status and the State in Transnational Spaces: The Gendering of Political Participation in Mexican Hometown Associations." In *Gender and U.S. Immigration*. Ed. P. Hondagneu-Sotelo. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- 2002 "The Mexican State and Transmigrant Organizations: Negotiating the Boundaries of Membership and Participation," *Latin American Research Review*, 37(3):55–99.

Gomez, E. T. and G. Benton

2002 "Transnationalism and the Essentializing of Capitalism: Chinese Enterprise, the State, and Identity in Britain, Australia, and Southeast Asia." Paper delivered at Transnational Communities Final Conference, Keeble College, Oxford. July 2.

Grasmuck, S. and P. Pessar

1991 Between Two Islands: Dominican International Migration. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Guarnizo, L. E.

2003 "The Economics of Transnational Living," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):666–699

1997 "The Emergence of a Transnational Social Formation and the Mirage of Return Migration among Dominican Transmigrants," *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power*, 4(2):281–322.

Guarnizo, L. E., A. Portes and W. Haller

2003 "Assimilation and Transnationalism: Determinants of Transnational Political Action among Contemporary Migrants," *American Journal of Sociology*, 108(6):121–148.

Guest, K.

2002 "Transnational Religious Networks among New York's Fuzhou Immigrants." In Re-

ligion across Borders. Ed. H. R. Ebaugh and J. Chafetz. Walnut Creek: Altamira Press. Pp. 149–165.

Harvey, D.

1989 The Condition of Postmodernity: An Enquiry into the Conditions of Cultural Change. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Held, D., A. McGrew, D. Goldblatt and J. Perraton

1999 Global Transformations. Cambridge: Polity.

Hondagneu-Sotelo, P. and E. Avila

2003 "I'm Here but I'm There: The Meaning of Latina Transnational Motherhood." In *Gender and U.S. Immigration.* Ed. P. Hondagneu-Sotelo. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Hooghiemstra, E.

2001 "Migrants, Partner Selection, and Integration: Crossing Borders?" *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 32(4):601-628.

Itzigsohn, J.

2000 Immigration and the Boundaries of Citizenship: The Institutions of Immigrants' Political Transnationalism, *International Migration Review*, 34(4):1126–1155.

Itzigsohn, J., C. Dore Cabral, E. Hernández Medina and O. Vázquez

1999 "Mapping Dominican Transnationalism: Narrow and Broad Transnational Practices," Ethnic and Racial Studies, 22(2):217-237.

Itzigsohn, J. and S. Giorguli Saucedo

2002 "Immigrant Incorporation and Sociocultural Transnationalism," International Migration Review, 36(3):766–799.

Javellana-Santos, J.

2003 "Citizenship Retention Act signed into Law," *Philippine News Online*. Accessed at: http://www.philippinenews.com/news/view article.html?article.id+70769de28b7244b86a587d6490538b>.

Jenkins, R.

1992 Pierre Bourdieu. London: Routledge.

Jones-Correa, M.

2002 "Under Two Flags: Dual Nationality in Latin America and Its Consequences for the United States," *International Migration Review*, 35(4):34–67.

Kandel, W. and D. Massey

2002 "The Culture of Mexican Migration: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis," Social Forces, 80(3):981–1004.

Kasinitz, P., M. C. Waters, J. H. Mollenkopf and M. Anil

2002 "Transnationalism and the Children of Immigrants in Contemporary New York." In The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation. Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publication. Pp. 96–122.

Kastoryano, R.

2000 "Settlement, Transnational Communities, and Citizenship," ISSJ, 165:307-312.

Khagram, S. and P. Levitt

2004 "Conceptualizing Transnational Studies." Hauser Center Working Paper No. 24. Harvard University.

Khanna, R.

2004 "Indian Parliament Approves Dual Citizenship." Accessed at: http://www.immigration.com/newsletter1/dualpio.html>.

Kivisto, P.

2001 "Theorizing Transnational Immigration: A Critical Review of Current Efforts," Ethnic and Racial Studies, 24(4):549–578.

Koopmans, R. and P. Statham

2001 "How National Citizenship Shapes Transnationalism: A Comparative Analysis of Migrant Claims-making in Germany, Great Britain, and the Netherlands." Working Paper Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC-01-10.

Kyle, D.

2001 Transnational Peasants. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Laguerre, M.

1998 Diasporic Citizenship. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Lamont, M.

2002 "Ordinary Cosmopolitans: Strategies for Bridging Boundaries among Non-College Educated Workers." Working Paper Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC-2k-03.

Landolt, P.

2001 "Salvadoran Economic Transnationalism: Embedded Strategies for Household Maintenance, Immigrant Incorporation, and Entrepreneurial Expansion," Global Networks, 1:217–242.

Laslett, B. and J. Brenner

1992 "Feminism and the Family: Two Decades of Thought." In *Rethinking the Family: Some Feminist Questions*. Ed. B. Thorne and M. Yalom. Boston: Northeastern University Press. Pp. 3–30.

Lesthaeghe, R.

2002 Turks and Moroccans in Belgium: A Comparison. Seminar presented at the Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard University.

Lessinger, J.

1995 From the Ganges to the Hudson. New York: Allyn and Bacon.

Levitt, P

2004 "Redefining the Boundaries of Belonging: The Institutional Character of Transnational Religious Life," *Sociology of Religion*, 35(1):174–196.

2003a "You Know, Abraham Really Was the First Immigrant': Religion and Transnational Migration," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):847–873.

2003b "Keeping Feet in Both Worlds: Transnational Practices and Immigrant Incorporation." In *Integrating Immigrants in Liberal Nation-States: From Post-Nationals to Transnational.* Ed. C. Joppke and E. Morawska. London: Macmillan-Palgrave.

2002a "The Ties that Change: Relations to the Ancestral Home over the Life Cycle." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation.* Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publication. Pp. 123–144.

2002b "Why Should I Retire to Florida When I Can Go To Lahore?: Defining and Explaining Variations in Transnational Migration." Paper presented at the Emerging Archi-

tectures of Transnational Governance Conference, Harvard University. December 2002.

2001a The Transnational Villagers. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

2001b "Transnational Migration: Taking Stock and Future Directions," Global Networks, 1(3):195–216.

1999 "Social Remittances: A Local-Level, Migration-Driven Form of Cultural Diffusion," *International Migration Review*, 32(4):926–949.

Levitt, P. and R. de la Dehesa

2002 "Transnational Migration and a Redefinition of the State: Variations and Explanations," *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 26(4):587-611.

Levitt, P. and S. Wagner

2003 "Refugee Rights and Wrongs: Global Cultural Diffusion among the Congolese in South Africa." Working Paper #23, Inter-University Committee on International Migration Rosemarie Rogers Working Paper Series. September.

Levitt, P. and M. Waters

2002 Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation. New Russell Sage Publications.

Mahler, S.

200

dl 2000 "Constructing International Relations: The Role of Transnational Migrants and Other Non-State Actors," *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power*, 7:197–232.

1998 "Theoretical and Empirical Contributions toward a Research Agenda for Transnationalism." In *Transnationalism from Below: Comparative Urban and Community Research. Vol.* 6. Ed. M. P. Smith and L. Guarnizo. New Brunswick and London: Transaction Publishers.

Mannheim, K.

1952 "The Problem of Generations." In Essays on the Sociology of Knowledge. Ed. P. Kecksckemeti. New York: Oxford University Press.

Marshall, T. H.

1964 Class, Citizenship, and Social Class: Essays by T. H. Marshall. Garden City, NY: Doubleday and Co.

Martins, H.

1974 "Time and Theory in Sociology." In Approaches to Sociology: An Introduction to the Major Trends in Sociology. Ed. J. Rex. London and Boston: Routledge and Kegan Paul. Pp. 246–294.

McAlister, E.

2002 Rara! Vadou, Power, and Performance in Haiti and its Diaspora. Los Angeles and Berkeley: University of California Press.

Menjívar, C.

2002 "Living in Two Worlds? Guatemalan-Origin Children in the United States and Emerging Transnationalism," *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 28(3):531–552.

1999 Religious Institutions and Transnationalism: A Case Study of Catholic and Evangelical

Salvadoran Immigrants," International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society, 12(4): 589–611.

Morawska, E.

- 2003a "Disciplinary Agendas and Analytic Strategies of Research on Immigration and Transnationalism: Challenges of Interdisciplinary Knowledge," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):611–640.
- 2003b "Immigrant Transnationalism and Assimilation: A Variety of Combinations and the Analytic Strategy It Suggest." In *Toward Assimilation and Citizenship: Immigrants in Liberal Nation-States.* Ed. C. Joppke and E. Morawska. Hampshire, UK: Palgrave Macmillan. Pp. 133–176.
- 2001a "Structuring Migration: The Case of Polish Income-Seeking Travelers to the West," Theory and Society, 3:47-80.
- 1989 "Labor Migrations of Poles in the Atlantic World Economy, 1880-1914," Comparative Study of Society and History, 31(2):237-270.
- 1987 "Sociological Ambivalence: The Case of Eastern European Peasant-Immigrant Workers in America, 1880s-1930s," *Qualitative Sociology*, 10(3):225-250.

Morgan, G.

1999 "Transnational Communities and Business Systems." WPTC-99-14.

Naim, M.

2002 "The New Diaspora: New Links between Émigrés and Their Home Countries Can Become a Powerful Force for Economic Development," Foreign Policy, 131:96–99.

Nyberg Sorenson, N. and K. Fog Olwig, eds.

2002 Work and Migration: Life and Livelihoods in a Globalizing World (Transnationalism).

London: Routledge.

Ong, A.

1999 Flexible Citizenship: The Cultural Logics of Transnationality. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Orellana, M. F., B. Thorne, A. Chee and W. S. E. Lam

2001 "Transnational Childhoods: The Participation of Children in Processes of Family Migration," *Social Problems*, 48(4):572–592.

Østergaard-Nielsen, E.

2003 "The Politics of Migrants' Transnational Political Practices," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):760–786.

Parrenas, R. S.

2001 "Mothering From a Distance: Emotions, Gender, and Intergenerational Relations in Filipino Transnational Families," *Feminist Studies*, 27(2):361–391.

Pessar, P.

2003 "Anthropology and the Engendering of Migration Studies." In American Arrivals: Anthropology Engages the New Immigrants. Ed. N. Foner, Sante Fe, NM: School of American Research.

^{2001 &}quot;Women's Political Consciousness and Empowerment in Local, National, and Trans-

national Contexts: Guatemalan Refugees and Returnees." In *Gendering Transnational Spaces.* Ed. S. Mahler and P. Pessar. Special Issue. *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power,* 7(4):461–500.

Pessar, P. and S. Mahler

2003 "Transnational Migration: Bringing Gender In," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):812-843.

2001 "Gendered Geographies of Power: Analyzing Gender across Transnational Spaces." In Gendering Transnational Spaces. Ed. S. Mahler and P. Pessar. Special Issue. Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power, 7(4):441–460.

Peterson, A. L. and M. Vásquez

2001 "Upwards: Never Down: The Catholic Charismatic Renewal in Transnational Perspective." In Christianity, Social Change, and Globalization in the Americas. Ed. A. Peterson, P. Williams and M. Vásquez. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Portes, A.

2003 "Conclusion: Theoretical Convergencies and Empirical Evidence in the Study of Immigrant Transnationalism," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):874–892.

Portes, A., L. Guarnizo and P. Landolt

1999 "Introduction: Pitfalls and Promise of an Emergent Research Field," *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 22:463–478.

Portes, A., W. Haller and L. Guarnizo

2002 "Transnational Entrepreneurs: The Emergence and Determinants of an Alternative Form of Immigrant Economic Adaptation," American Sociological Review, (67):278– 298

Riccio, B.

2001 "Disaggregating the Transnational Community: Senegalese Migrants on the Coast of Emilia-Romagna." Working Paper, Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC-01–11.

Robertson, R.

1991 "The Globalization Paradigm: Thinking Globally." In New Developments in Theory and Research: Religion and the Social Order Volume I. Ed. D. G. Bromley. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press. Pp. 204–224.

Rouse, R.

1992 "Making Sense of Settlement: Class Transformation, Cultural Struggle, and Transnationalism among Mexican Migrants in the United States." In *Towards a Transnational Perspective on Migration: Race, Class Ethnicity and Nationalism Reconsidered.* Ed. N. G. Glick Schiller, L. Basch and C. Blanc Szanton. New York: New York Academy of Sciences.

Rumbaut, R.

2002 "Severed or Sustained Attachments? Language, Identity, and Imagined Communities in the Post-Immigrant Generation." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation*. Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publication. Pp. 43–95.

Sassen, S.

1992 Global Cities. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Saxenian, A. L.

2002 "Local and Global Networks of Immigrant Professionals in Silicon Valley." Public Policy Institute of California. Schiffauer, W.

1999 "Islamism in the Diaspora: The Fascination of Political Islam among Second Generation German Turks." Working Paper Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC 99-06.

Shain, Y.

1999 Exporting the American Creed Abroad. New York: Oxford University Press.

Sklair, L.

1998 "Transnational Practices and the Analysis of the Global System." Paper delivered at the Transnational Communities Programme Seminar Series. May.

Skrbis, Z.

1999 Long Distance Nationalism: Diasporas, Homelands and Identities. Aldershot, England: Ashgate.

Smith, A. D.

1983 "Nationalism and Social Theory," British Journal of Sociology, 34(1):19-38.

Smith, M. P. and L. Guarnizo, eds.

1998 Transnationalism from below: Comparative Urban and Community Research. Volume 6. New Brunswick and London: Transaction Publishers.

Smith, R.

1998 "Transnational Localities: Community, Technology, and the Politics of Membership with the Context of Mexico-U.S. Migration." In *Transnationalism from Below: Comparative Urban and Community Research, Volume 6.* Ed. M. P. Smith and L. Guarnizo. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.

Smith, R. C.

2003 "Diasporic Memberships in Historical Perspective: Comparative Insights from the Mexican, Italian and Polish Cases," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):724–759.

2002 "Life Course, Generation and Social Location as Factors Shaping Second-Generation Transnational Life." In *The Changing Face of Home: The Transnational Lives of the Second Generation*. Ed. P. Levitt and M. Waters. New York: Russell Sage Publication. Pp. 145–168.

Soysal, Y.

1994 Limits of Citizenship: Migrants and Postnational Membership Europe. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press.

Stichweh, P.

2000 "Systems Theory as an Alternative to Action Theory? The Rise of 'Communication' as a Theoretical Option," *Acta Sociologica*, 43:(1):5-14.

Tweed, T.

1999 Our Lady of Exile. New York: Oxford University Press. University of California.

University of California, Davis

2003 Latin America, Remittances, Migration News, 10(2), Accessed at http://migration.ucdavis.edu/mn/more entireissue.php?idate=2003-048 number=2>.

Urry, J.

2000 "The Global Media and Cosmopolitanism." Paper presented at Transnational America Conference, Bavarian American Academy, Munich, June 2000, published by the Department of Sociology, Lancaster University at http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/sociology/soc056ju.html. Van der Veer, P.

2001 "Transnational Religion." Working Paper Transnational Communities Programme, WPTC-01-08.

Vertovec, S.

2003 "Migration and Other Modes of Transnationalism: Towards Conceptual Cross-Fertilization," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):641–665.

Vertovec, S. and C. Peach

1997 Islam in Europe: The Politics of Religion and Community. London: Macmillan Press, Ltd.

Waters, M. C.

1999 Black Identities: West Indian Immigrant Dreams and American Realities. New York and Cambridge, MA: Russell Sage Foundation.

Weber, D.

1999 "Historical Perspectives on Mexican Transnationalism: With Notes from Angumacutiro," Social Justice, 26(3):1043–1578.

Wellmeier, N. I.

1998 "Santa Eulalia's People in Exile: Maya Religion, Culture, and Identity in Los Angeles." In *Gatherings in Diaspora: Religious Communities and the New Immigration.* Ed. R. S. Warner and J. Wittner. Philadelphia: Temple University Press. Pp. 97–123.

Wimmer, A. and N. Glick Schiller

2003 "Methodological Nationalism, the Social Sciences and the Study of Migration: An Essay in Historical Epistemology," *International Migration Review*, 37(3):576–610.

Woodman, G. R.

2002 "Customary Laws of Ethnic Minorities in the U.K." Paper delivered at the conference *Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding Legal Relations in a Contracting World.* Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology, Halle, Germany. November 7–9.

Yang, F.

2002 "Chinese Christian Transnationalism: Diverse Networks of a Houston Church." In Religions across Borders: Transnational Religious Networks. Ed. H. R. Ebaugh and J. Chafetz. Lanham, MD: Altamira Press. Pp. 175–204.

Yuval-Davis, N.

1997 Gender and Nation. London and Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Zhou, M.

1998 "Parachute Kids in Southern California: The Educational Experience of Chinese Children in Transnational Families," *Educational Policy*, 12(6):682–704.



Conceptualizing simultaneity: A transnational social field perspective on society

Levitt, Peggy; Schiller, Nina Glick

01	d I	Page 1
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	not within a single nation-state	
02	d I	Page 1
	19/3/2021 15:37	
02	d I	Page 1
03	u i	Page 1
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	some migrants remain strongly influenced by continu	uing ties
	to their home countries that span across national bo	•
	·	
04	d I	Page 1
	19/3/2021 15:37	
05	d l	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	

	19/3/2021 15:37 cannot look only at what goes on within national be to understand completely the lives of individuals	oundaries
07	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37 embedded in multi-layered, multi-sited transnation fields	al social
08	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
09	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
10	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
11	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
12	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
13	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	

Page 2

d I

06

14	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
15	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
16	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
17	d I	Page 2
	19/3/2021 15:37	
18	d I	Page 4
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	how can society be rethought if national boundaries	s exist?
19	d I	Page 4
	19/3/2021 15:37	
20	d I	Page 4
	19/3/2021 15:37	
21	d I	Page 4
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	replace second generation with "transnational gen encompass youth in homeland and new land	eration" to

dΙ Page 4 22 19/3/2021 15:37 d I Page 4 23 19/3/2021 15:37 us vs oxford definition of transnational ties dΙ Page 4 24 19/3/2021 15:37 dΙ Page 5 25 19/3/2021 15:37 according to oxford programme, migrants are embedded in networks stretching across multiple states and identities and cultural production reflect multiple locations d I Page 5 26 19/3/2021 15:37 27 d I Page 5 19/3/2021 15:37 similar to my-toan's work as well as conversation with vy and kim d I Page 5 28 19/3/2021 15:37

19/3/2021 15:37 social spaces d I Page 5 30 19/3/2021 15:37 dΙ Page 5 (31) 19/3/2021 15:37 not unique but shows complex interconnectedness of comtemporary reality dΙ 32 Page 5 19/3/2021 15:37 study of transn. social networks and consciousness of being within them d I Page 5 33 19/3/2021 15:37 d I Page 5 34 19/3/2021 15:37 Page 6 d I 35 19/3/2021 15:37

Methodological nationalism is the tendency to accept the nation-state and its boundaries as a given in social analysis.

Page 5

dΙ

29

36	d I	Page 6
	19/3/2021 15:37	
37	d I	Page 6
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	3 variants of methodological nationalism	
38	d I	Page 6
	19/3/2021 15:37	
39	d I	Page 6
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	methodological nationalism	
(40)		
40	d I	Page 6
40	19/3/2021 15:37	Page 6
40	19/3/2021 15:37	
41		Page 6
	19/3/2021 15:37	
	19/3/2021 15:37 d I	
	19/3/2021 15:37 d I	
41	19/3/2021 15:37 d I 19/3/2021 15:37 d I 25/3/2021 2:30	Page 6
41	19/3/2021 15:37 d I 19/3/2021 15:37 d I	Page 6
41	19/3/2021 15:37 d I 19/3/2021 15:37 d I 25/3/2021 2:30 container theory of society	Page 6
41	19/3/2021 15:37 d I 19/3/2021 15:37 d I 25/3/2021 2:30	Page 6

dΙ Page 7 44 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 7 d I 45 25/3/2021 2:30 moving beyond methodological nationalism and "container theory" but still need to take into account relations of power and privilege exercised by social actions and the nation state dΙ Page 7 46 25/3/2021 2:30 dΙ Page 7 47 25/3/2021 2:30 dΙ Page 7 48 25/3/2021 2:30 ways of being vs ways of belonging Page 7 49 d I 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 7 dΙ 50 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 7 51 25/3/2021 2:30

25/3/2021 2:30 bordieuian: social field calls attentions social relationships are structured by power dΙ Page 7 53 25/3/2021 2:30 bourdieu does not directly discuss implications of social fields direct connection with state boundaries d I Page 7 54 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 8 55 d I 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 8 56 25/3/2021 2:30 focus on analysis beyond study of individual d I Page 8 57 25/3/2021 2:30 social field definition d I Page 8 58 25/3/2021 2:30

Page 7

dΙ

52

d I Page 8 59 25/3/2021 2:30 national boundaries are not contiguous with boundaries of social fields d I 60 Page 8 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 8 61 25/3/2021 2:30 to effectively use social field theory: 1. define paramenters of field studied and scope of networks embedded 2. empiracally analyze the strength and impact of direct and indirect transn. relations d I Page 8 62 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 8 63 25/3/2021 2:30 example of social field 64 d I Page 8 25/3/2021 2:30

25/3/2021 2:30

d I

65

transnational social fields transcending borders

Page 9

dΙ Page 9 66 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 9 d I 67 25/3/2021 2:30 ways of being vs ways of belonging parag. dΙ Page 9 68 25/3/2021 2:30 dΙ Page 9 69) 25/3/2021 2:30 ways of being: actual social relations and practices individuals engage in rather than identities associated with actions dΙ Page 9 70 25/3/2021 2:30 71 dΙ Page 9 25/3/2021 2:30 ways of belonging: practices that signal or enact an identity which demonstrates a conscious connection to a particular group

72 d l Page 9

73	d I	Page 9
	25/3/2021 2:30	
		ion and awareness of kind of identity the
	action signifies	
74	d I	Page 9
	25/3/2021 2:30	
		-
75	d l	Page 9
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	great examples	
76	d I	Page 9
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	d I	Dama 10
77		Page 10
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	engaged in transnat	ional ways of being but NOT belonging
78	d I	Page 10
	25/3/2021 2:30	
70	d I	Page 10
79		rage 10
	25/3/2021 2:30	way of halanging
	a connection with a	way or belonging
80	d I	Page 10
	25/3/2021 2:30	

dΙ Page 10 81 25/3/2021 2:30 conbination of ways of being and belonging. but they don't go hand-in-hand. dΙ Page 10 82 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 11 83 25/3/2021 2:30 dΙ Page 11 84 25/3/2021 2:30 transnational framework and methodologies. only need to ask individuals about transnational aspects of their lives and thoes they are connected to in a single setting.

85 d l Page 11 25/3/2021 2:30

86 d l Page 11

25/3/2021 2:30

need tools that capture simultaneous engagement

87 d l Page 11

88	d I	Page 11
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	transnational migration is a process and NOT an e	event
89	d I	Page 11
	25/3/2021 2:30	
90	d I	Page 11
	25/3/2021 2:30	
91	d I	Page 11
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	cannot be a one-time event. needs to be an on-go	ing
	process	
92	d I	Page 11
	25/3/2021 2:30	
93	d I	Page 12
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	captured during shifting, challenging, goal-setting	times
94	d I	Page 12
	25/3/2021 2:30	
95	d I	Page 12
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	longitudinal study	

25/3/2021 2:30

97 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

ethnography as being suited for studying creation and durability of transnational social fields

98 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

99 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

participant observation and ethnographic interviewing allow for look into simultaneous:

- -maintaining/shedding cultural repertoires and identities
- -interact within a location and across its boundaries
- -act in ways that are in concert with or contradict values over time

100 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

101 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

no intention of returning home. why no intention? what if they do want to return? does this change the dynamic of research?

dΙ Page 12 102 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 12 d I 103 25/3/2021 2:30 power and hegemony of more than one state when migrants belong to multiple settings dΙ Page 12 104 25/3/2021 2:30 d I 105 Page 12 25/3/2021 2:30 migrants embedded in multiple legal and political institutions merely by being part of a nation as power Page 12 dΙ 106 25/3/2021 2:30 107 dΙ Page 12 25/3/2021 2:30 power pervasive and permeates Page 12 dΙ 108 25/3/2021 2:30 Page 12 109 d I 25/3/2021 2:30

25/3/2021 2:30

can act upon them. have agency

111 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

112 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

113 d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

most migrants move from place where state has little power with global interstate system to a more power state. true for VKs in VN?

At the same time they gain more social power over people property and locality to their homeland than before migrating

114) d l Page 12

25/3/2021 2:30

115 d l Page 13

25/3/2021 2:30

this intersection between losses and gains that transnational social fields needs to grapple with

116 d l Page 13

117 dΙ Page 13 25/3/2021 2:30 migrants can influence state's position in world economic order AND internal functions as well 118 d I Page 13 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 13 119 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 14 120 25/3/2021 2:30 121 d I Page 14 25/3/2021 2:30 d I Page 14 122 25/3/2021 2:30 to differentiate themselves from others, some migrant women take on more rigid and even reinvent gender distinctions and hierarches than those in their ancestral homes d I Page 14 123 25/3/2021 2:30

d I

25/3/2021 2:30

124

Page 14

25/3/2021 2:30

children are "central axis" of family migration and critical reason why families move back and forth

126 d l Page 15

25/3/2021 2:30

127 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

"relativizing" refers to ways in which individuals establish, maintain, or curtail ties to specific family members

128 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

129 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

socialization and social reproduction occur transnationally in response to TWO cultural contexts:

- 1. even children don't return to parents' ancestral homes just by being around people, values, cultural artifacts and claims from somewhere else are present on a daily basis
- 2. simlarly childrens parents who are nonmigrants are raised and surrounded by social networks and resources from the host country. Levitt (1999) calls "social remitances".

130 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

not territorially bounded. based on actual or imagined experiences that are shared across borders regardless of where someone was born or now lives

132 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

133 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

rethinking of notion of "generation" and "second generation"

134 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

clear separation between migrant and nonmigrant's socialization and social networks may not exist.

THEREFORE cannot accurately capture expreience of living in transnational social field

135 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

136 d l Page 16

25/3/2021 2:30

137 d l Page 17

138	d I	Page 17
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	reared in transnational space	
139	d I	Page 17
	25/3/2021 2:30	
140	d I	Page 17
	25/3/2021 2:30	g
	not necessarily true with VKs living in VN	
	,	
141	d I	Page 17
	25/3/2021 2:30	
	201012021 2.00	
(110)		
142	d l	Page 17
142	25/3/2021 2:30	
142	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener	
142	25/3/2021 2:30	
	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential.	ations will
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential.	
	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential.	ations will
	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential.	ations will
	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential.	ations will
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential. d I 25/3/2021 2:30	ations will Page 17
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential. d I 25/3/2021 2:30 d I	ations will Page 17
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential. d I 25/3/2021 2:30 d I 25/3/2021 2:30	ations will Page 17
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential. d I 25/3/2021 2:30 d I 25/3/2021 2:30	ations will Page 17
143	25/3/2021 2:30 rebuttal against scholars who say that future gener render transnational ties inconsequential. d I 25/3/2021 2:30 d I 25/3/2021 2:30 VK research! on spousal search and child rearing.	Page 17 Page 17 read me!

28/3/2021 1:21

within the sending states that the greatest changes in state policy occur

147 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

148 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

advantage and utility in sending states having migrants in other countries

149 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

150 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

some states encourage migrants to keep contact with them

151 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

other states develop "global nations' policies" and want national loyalty instead of migrant return

152 d l Page 18

28/3/2021 1:21

153	d I	Page 18
	28/3/2021 1:21	
154	d I	Page 18
	28/3/2021 1:21	
	citizenship vs nationality	
155	d I	Page 18
	28/3/2021 1:21	within
	citizenship=character of member's rights and duties polity	within
156	d I	Page 18
	28/3/2021 1:21	
157	d I	Page 18
157	28/3/2021 1:21	
157		
	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship	rights
157	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship	
	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship	rights
	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21	rights Page 18
	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21 d I	rights
158	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21	rights Page 18
158	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21 d I 28/3/2021 1:21	Page 18
158	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21 d I 28/3/2021 1:21	rights Page 18
158	28/3/2021 1:21 nationality=category of belonging w/o full citizenship d I 28/3/2021 1:21 d I 28/3/2021 1:21	Page 18

161 d l		Page 19
28/3	3/2021 1:21	
162 d l		Page 19
28/3	3/2021 1:21	
163 d l		Page 19
	3/2021 1:21	
long	distance nationalism def	
		D 40
164 d l	W0004 4:04	Page 19
20/3	3/2021 1:21	
165 d l		Page 20
		raye 20
	pt and abandon	
166 d l		Page 20
28/3	3/2021 1:21	
167 d l		Page 21
	3/2021 1:21	
"rev	erse brain drain" or "brain ciruculation" or "brain	gaın"
168 d I		Page 21
		1 age 21
28/3	3/2021 1:21 e courts emigrants b/c can see them advancing	1 age 21

dΙ Page 21 169 28/3/2021 1:21 Page 21 d I 170 28/3/2021 1:21 dΙ 171 Page 21 28/3/2021 1:21 substate courting of emigrants are more about promoting extraterritorial regional or local loyalties than "nation-building" dΙ 172 Page 21 28/3/2021 1:21 d I Page 22 173 28/3/2021 1:21 transnational nation-states 174 dΙ Page 22 28/3/2021 1:21 Page 22 d I 175 28/3/2021 1:21 become so depending on emigrant contributions Page 22 176 d I 28/3/2021 1:21

177	d I	Page 22
	28/3/2021 1:21 VN falls in this category. want involvement but don't grant legal rights	want to
178	d I	Page 22
	28/3/2021 1:21	
179	d I	Page 22
	28/3/2021 1:21	
		D 00
180	d l	Page 23
	28/3/2021 1:21	
	disinterested and denouncing	
181	d I	Page 23
	28/3/2021 1:21	
	not a part of homeland	
182	d I	Page 23
102		1 age 25
	28/3/2021 1:21	
183	d I	Page 23
	28/3/2021 1:21	

28/3/2021 1:21

some people, even though they have never lived in the sending country, make claims and take advantages of rights that citizens get even though they aren't citizens

185 d l Page 24

28/3/2021 1:21

186 d l Page 24

28/3/2021 1:21

can't just focus on legal rights and formal membership because some people act like members of a society w/o formally belonging to it.

THUS influencing and are influenced BY the state

187 d l Page 24

28/3/2021 1:21

"transborder citizens"

188 d l Page 24

28/3/2021 1:21

189 d l Page 24

28/3/2021 1:21

28/3/2021 1:21

migrants come and influence host states and send these "social remitances" back to their homelands or members of their networks settled in other states

191 d l Page 25

28/3/2021 1:21

192 d l Page 27

28/3/2021 1:21

migration is but one of the many processes that transcent notaional boundaries

193 d l Page 27

28/3/2021 1:21

194) d l Page 27

28/3/2021 1:21

interrelatedness of transnational practices and processes to social life

195 d l Page 27

28/3/2021 1:21

196 d l Page 27

28/3/2021 1:21

questions to be addressed!

197	dl	Page 27
	28/3/2021 1:21	
198	d I	Page 27
	28/3/2021 1:21	
199	d I	Page 28
	28/3/2021 1:21	
200	d I	Page 34
	19/3/2021 15:37	