

Assume the expression e_0 has type T and the branch types are T_1, \dots, T_n . Let $TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be the type matched (i.e. the least type T_k such that $T \leq T_k$). Assume T 's class tag is m . For T_i , let m_i be its own class tag and M_i be the largest class tag among its children. Therefore,

$$T \leq T_i \Leftrightarrow m \in (m_i, M_i) \quad (1)$$

Assume $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$. The case branching algorithm is really simple.

Algorithm 1 Case Branching

```

 $i \leftarrow 1$ 
while  $i \leq n$  do
  if  $m \in (m_i, M_i)$  then
    return  $T_i$ 
  end if
   $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
end while
return Error

```

To prove its correctness, let $TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be the type chosen by the algorithm and \mathbb{T} be the set of all the types. The proposition can be stated formally as following:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall T_i \in \mathbb{T}, TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) = TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) \quad (\forall T \in \mathbb{T})$$

Proof. I will induct on n .

Base case ($n = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 TL(T; T_1) &= \begin{cases} T_1, & T \leq T_1 \\ \text{Error}, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 TC(T; T_1) &= \begin{cases} T_1, & m \in (m_1, M_1) \\ \text{Error}, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

According to (1), $TL(T; T_1) = TC(T; T_1)$

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume $\forall T_i \in \mathbb{T}, TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) = TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$

Inductive Step:

Notice that:

$$m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_{n+1} \Rightarrow T_i \not\leq T_1 \quad (2 \leq i \leq n+1) \quad (2)$$

If $m \in (m_1, M_1)$ then $TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) = T_1$. On the other hand, $m \in (m_1, M_1) \Rightarrow T \leq T_1$. According to (2), the rest of the types are no less than T_1 , indicating T_1 is T 's closest ancestor, i.e. $TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) = T_1$. Therefore, $TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) = TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1})$.

If $m \notin (m_1, M_1)$ then $TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) = TC(T; T_2, \dots, T_{n+1})$. On the other hand, $m \notin (m_1, M_1) \Rightarrow T \not\leq T_1$. According to the definition of TL , $TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) = TL(T; T_2, \dots, T_{n+1})$. According to the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
 TL(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}) &= TL(T; T_2, \dots, T_{n+1}) \\
 &= TC(T; T_2, \dots, T_{n+1}) = TC(T; T_1, \dots, T_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

□