Homework 5

Daniel Halmrast

May 13, 2018

Problem 1

Let E, F be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. Prove that $P_E P_F = P_E$ if and only if $E \subseteq F$.

Proof. Suppose first that $P_E P_F = P_E$. Then, in particular,

$$P_E^* = (P_E P_F)^* = P_F^* P_E^* = P_F P_E = P_E$$

by self-adjointness of projections. Thus, $P_E P_F = P_F P_E = P_E$, and the projections commute. Thus, the von Neumann algebra $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$ is Abelian, and is isometrically *-isomorphic to $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ for some measure space (X, μ) . In particular, the projections P_E, P_F get sent to self-adjoint idempotents $P_E \mapsto M_{\chi_S}$ and $P_F \mapsto M_{\chi_{S'}}$ for some measurable subsets $S, S' \subset X$.

Now, the requirement $P_F P_E = P_E$ corresponds to the requirement

$$M_{\chi_{S'}}M_{\chi_S}=M_{\chi_S}$$

which means that $S \subset S'$. This, in turn, implies that $E \subset F$.

Indeed, E is the subspace of H on which P_E is the identity, which corresponds to the subspace

$$\tilde{E} = \int_{S}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x)$$

on which M_{χ_S} is the identity. Similarly,

$$\tilde{F} = \int_{S'}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x)$$

Clearly, $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{F}$ (since $S \subset S'$) and so $E \subset F$ as well.

For the converse direction, assume that $E \subset F$. Then, on F, $P_F = I_F$, and $P_F P_E = I_F P_E = P_E$. Furthermore, on F^{\perp} , $P_F P_E = 0 = P_E$. Thus, on all of $H = F \oplus F^{\perp}$, $P_F P_E = P_E$ as desired. \square

PROBLEM 2

Characterize the closed subspaces E, F of a Hilbert space H satisfy $P_F P_E = P_E P_F$.

Proof. I assert that P_E and P_F commute if and only if H can be decomposed into the four orthogonal components

$$H = E \cap F \oplus E \cap F^{\perp} \oplus E^{\perp} \cap F \oplus E^{\perp} \cap F^{\perp}$$

To see this, suppose first that P_E and P_F commute. Then, consider the Abelian von Neumann algebra $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$. Like before, we use the Borel functional calculus to identify $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$ with $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ acting on $\tilde{H} = \int_X^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x)$, which is unitarily equivalent to H (in the sense that there is a unitary transformation U such that $\tilde{H} = UH$ and $UW^*(P_E, P_F, I)U^* = L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$).

Under this identification, $P_E \mapsto M_{\chi_S}$, and $P_F \mapsto M_{\chi_{S'}}$ for some measurable subsets $S, S' \subset X$. In particular, this decomposes \tilde{H} into four orthogonal components

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H} &= \int_{X}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{S \cap S'}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S \cap S'^{c}}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S' \cap S^{c}}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S^{c} \cap S'^{c}}^{\oplus} H(x) d\mu(x) \end{split}$$

which translates into the decomposition on H as

$$H = E \cap F \oplus E \cap F^{\perp} \oplus E^{\perp} \cap F \oplus E^{\perp} \cap F^{\perp}$$

as desired.

Conversely, suppose H can be decomposed this way. Then, let $v \in H$ be decomposed as

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4$$

where $v_1 \in E \cap F$, $v_2 \in E \cap F^{\perp}$, $v_3 \in E^{\perp} \cap F$ and $v_4 \in E^{\perp} \cap F^{\perp}$. We compute the effect of $P_E P_F$ and $P_F P_E$ directly.

$$P_E P_F(v) = P_E P_F(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)$$

$$= P_E(v_1 + v_3)$$

$$= v_1$$

$$P_F P_E(v) = P_F P_E(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)$$

$$= P_F(v_1 + v_2)$$

$$= v_1$$

and thus $P_E P_F = P_F P_E$ as desired.

PROBLEM 3

Let E, F be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H. An operator U is said to be a partial isometry from E to F if $U|_E$ is an isometry onto F, and $U|_{E^{\perp}} = 0$. Prove that U is a partial isometry $\iff U^*U$ is a projection $\iff UU^*$ is a projection.

Proof. Suppose first that U is a partial isometry from E to F. I assert that $U^*U = P_E$. To see this, suppose $e \in E$, $v \in H$ and let v = e' + v' where $e' \in E$ and $v' \in E^{\perp}$. Then,

$$\langle U^*Ue|v\rangle = \langle U^*Ue|e'+v'\rangle$$

$$= \langle Ue|Ue'\rangle + \langle Ue|Uv'\rangle$$

$$= \langle e|e'\rangle + 0$$

$$\implies \langle U^*Ue - e|v\rangle = 0$$

and since this holds for all $v \in H$, $U^*Ue - e = 0$ and thus $U^*Ue = e$ and U^*U is the identity on E.

Furthermore, for $v' \in E^{\perp}$,

$$U^*Uv' = U^*(0) = 0$$

and so U^*U is the zero map on E^{\perp} . Thus, U^*U agrees with P_E at all points, so $U^*U=P_E$ as desired.

Conversely, suppose U^*U is a projection P_E for some closed subspace E. Define F = U(E). We will first show that U is an isometry of E onto F. To see this, suppose $e, e' \in E$. We calculate directly

$$\langle Ue|Ue'\rangle = \langle U^*Ue|e'\rangle$$

= $\langle P_Ee|e'\rangle$
= $\langle e|e'\rangle$

and thus U is an isometry from E to F. Note that this immediately implies that F is a closed subspace. Finally, we show that $U|_{E^{\perp}} = 0$. Let $v' \in E^{\perp}$, Then,

$$||Uv'|| = \langle Uv'|Uv'\rangle$$
$$= \langle U^*Uv'|v'\rangle$$
$$= \langle 0|v'\rangle = 0$$

and so $U^*U|_{E^{\perp}}=0$ as desired. Thus, U is a partial isometry.

Finally, we show that if U is a partial isometry, then U^* is a partial isometry, which will complete the proof. So, suppose U is a partial isometry. We will show that U^* is a partial isometry from F to E. First, we check that $U^*|_F$ is an isometry. Now, for each $f \in F$, there is some $e \in E$ with Ue = f. Thus, for $e, e' \in E$, $f, f' \in F$ with Ue = f, Ue' = f',

$$\langle U^* f | U^* f' \rangle = \langle U^* U e | U^* U e \rangle$$

$$= \langle P_E e | P_E e' \rangle$$

$$= \langle e | e' \rangle$$

$$= \langle U e | U e' \rangle$$

$$= \langle f | f' \rangle$$

and so U^* is an isometry from F to E. Finally, we show that $U^*|_{F^{\perp}}=0$. This is immediate, since

$$\ker U^* = U(H)^\perp = F^\perp$$

where we used the identity $\ker A^* = A(H)^{\perp}$ for all bounded operators A.

Note that this completes the proof. If U is a partial isometry, then U^* is a partial isometry, which implies that $U^{**}U^* = UU^*$ is a projection. Similarly, if $UU^* = U^{**}U^*$ is a projection, then U^* is a partial isometry, and thus $U^{**} = U$ is a partial isometry as well.

Problem 4

Prove that the subspace of self-adjoint operators on $B(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is a subset of the linear subspace spanned (over \mathbb{R}) by I and the three Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and prove that the span of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$ is isometrically isomorphic to a three-dimensional real Hilbert space under the operator norm.

Proof. We observe first that for any $T \in B(\mathbb{C}^2)$ self-adjoint, we have the condition

$$T_i^i = \overline{T_i^j}$$

In particular,

$$T_1^1 = \overline{T_1^1}$$

$$T_2^2 = \overline{T_2^2}$$

and so T_i^i is real. Furthermore, we have the relation

$$T_2^1 = \overline{T_1^2}$$

Finally, we observe that we can decompose T into a scalar part and a trace-free part by

$$C = T - tr(T)I$$
$$T = tr(T)I + C$$

Now we are ready to show that T is a linear combination of I and the Pauli matrices. In particular, we will show that C is a linear combination of the Pauli matrices.

For C, we have the relations

$$C_1^1 = -C_2^2$$

$$C_2^1 = \overline{C_1^2}$$

as well as the requirement that C_1^1 is real. Thus, we have three degrees of freedom. That is,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta + \gamma i \\ \beta - \gamma i & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

which decomposes as

$$C = \alpha \sigma_1 + \beta \sigma_2 + \gamma \sigma_3$$

and so

$$T = \operatorname{tr}(T)I + C$$

is a linear combination of I and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$ as desired.

Next, we show that the span of $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$ under the operator norm is isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . To do so, we will show that the operator norm coincides with the pullback metric from \mathbb{R}^3 . Specifically, we define a linear isomorphism

$$\Phi: \langle \sigma_i \rangle_{i=1}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\Phi(\sigma_i) = e_i$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 with its usual inner product. In particular, if we denote the inner product of \mathbb{R}^3 as η , we can define $\Phi^*(\eta)$ by

$$\Phi^*(\eta)(u,v) = \eta(\Phi(u),\Phi(v))$$

In order to show that this metric coincides with the operator norm, we have to show that

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \Phi^*(\eta)(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3, \alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$$

Now, since σ_i are all self-adjoint, any (real) linear combination of them will be as well. Thus,

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \|(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3)^2\|$$

We compute the right-hand side directly

$$(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3)^2 = \alpha^2\sigma_1^2 + \beta^2\sigma_2^2 + \gamma\sigma_3^2 + \alpha\beta\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} + \alpha\gamma\{\sigma_1, \sigma_3\} + \beta\gamma\{\sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$$

where $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\}$ is the anticommutator of σ_i and σ_j . Now, it is well-known that the Pauli matrices satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$$

as well as the relation

$$\sigma_i^2 = I$$

(where ε_{ijk} is the levi-civita symbol) which forces

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k + \delta_{ij}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} &= \sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i \\ &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k + \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{jik} \sigma_k + \delta_{ji} \\ &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k - \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k + 2\delta_{ij} \\ &= 2\delta_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\alpha \sigma_1 + \beta \sigma_2 + \gamma \sigma_3)^2 = \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_2^2 + \gamma \sigma_3^2 + \alpha \beta \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} + \alpha \gamma \{\sigma_1, \sigma_3\} + \beta \gamma \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$$
$$= \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_2^2 + \gamma \sigma_3^2$$
$$= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)I$$

and so

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta^2\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \|(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)I\| = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)\|I\| = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)$$

as desired. Thus, the pullback metric coincides with the operator norm, and Φ is a linear isometric isomorphism, as desired.

PROBLEM 5

<++>