

---

## Problem Set 3

---

Daniel Halmrast

October 20, 2017

### PROBLEM 1

#### PART 1

Prove 1.18.ii from the notes.

*Proof.* (Copied from homework 1)

Note that if the composite function  $(f, g)$  is measurable, then this statement reduces to part i, and the proof is complete.

So, let's prove that  $(f, g)$  is measurable, given  $f, g$  are each individually measurable. (Note that this construction works for general products of measurable spaces, where the product  $\sigma$ -algebra is given by  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$ . Generally, this says that the product measurable space has the universal property of product spaces).

Let  $E, F \in B(\mathbb{R})$  be measurable sets, and consider the product  $E \times F$ . The inverse image  $(f, g)^{-1}(E \times F) = f^{-1}(E) \cap g^{-1}(F)$  is the intersection of measurable sets (since  $f$  and  $g$  are both individually measurable), and is measurable.

Now, consider the "good set"

$$\mathcal{E} = \{G \mid G \in B(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } (f, g)^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

It is clear from above that we have the inclusion relations

$$B(\mathbb{R}) \times B(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{E} \subset B(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

Now,  $\mathcal{E}$  is clearly a  $\sigma$ -algebra, since both conditions on  $\mathcal{E}$  preserve complements and unions. Therefore, taking  $\sigma$  of the inclusion relations yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(B(\mathbb{R}) \times B(\mathbb{R})) &\subset \mathcal{E} \subset B(\mathbb{R}^2) \\ \implies B(\mathbb{R}^2) &\subset \mathcal{E} \subset B(\mathbb{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{E}$  is actually the whole Borel set  $B(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and thus  $(f, g)$  is a measurable function, as desired.  $\square$

## PART 2

Complete 2.6 from the notes.

Describe all measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that are finite  $\mu_c$ -almost everywhere in the counting measure, and find

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f d\mu_c$$

*Proof.* Note that the only subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  with zero measure is  $\emptyset$ , so if  $f$  is finite  $\mu_c$ -almost everywhere, then  $f$  is finite on all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  i.e.  $f$  is bounded.

Then, the integral becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f d\mu_c = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$$

This is clear to see by approximating  $f$  with simple functions that converge monotonically to  $f$ . Let

$$\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^i f_j \chi_{\{j\}}$$

It is clear that  $\phi_{i+1} \geq \phi_i$ , since  $\phi_i(n) = \phi_{i+1}(n)$  for all  $n < i$ , and for  $n > i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(n) &= 0 \\ &\leq \phi_{i+1}(n) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi_i > 0$  for all  $i$  (definition of simple function).

It's also clear that  $\phi_i \rightarrow f$  pointwise, since for fixed  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi_{x+j}(x) = f(x)$  for all  $j > 0$ .

Thus, the monotone convergence theorem tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \phi_i(x) d\mu_c(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_i(x) d\mu_c(x) \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i f_j \mu_c(\{j\}) &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(x) d\mu_c(x) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(x) d\mu_c(x) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

## PART 3

Do the same thing for the  $\delta$ -measure  $\mu_{\delta_p}$  on  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Note that any subset that does not contain  $p$  has measure zero. Thus,  $f$  is finite  $\mu_{\delta_c}$ -almost everywhere if and only if  $f(p)$  is finite. This is clear, since  $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$  has measure zero, so  $f$  can do whatever it wants on  $\Omega \setminus \{p\}$ . However, the measure of  $\{p\}$  is not zero, so  $f$  must be finite on  $\{p\}$ .

To compute the integral, we first observe the general fact that changing a function on a set of measure zero does not change the integral.

**Lemma.** For measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  from a measurable space  $\Omega$  such that  $f = g$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere,  $\int f = \int g$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} f d\delta - \int_{\Omega} g d\delta &= \int_{\Omega} (f - g) d\delta \\
&= \int_{\{x|f(x)=g(x)\}} (f - g) d\delta + \int_{\{x|f(x) \neq g(x)\}} (f - g) d\delta \\
&= \int_{\{x|f(x)=g(x)\}} (0) d\delta + 0 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\delta = \int_{\Omega} f(p) \chi_{\{p\}} d\delta$$

and the second integral is the integral of a simple function, and is just  $f(p)\delta(\{p\}) = f(p)$ . Thus,

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\delta = f(p)$$

□

#### PART 4

Show that the Dirichlet function is measurable, and calculate its integral.

*Proof.* The Dirichlet function is defined as the characteristic function on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . To see this is measurable, observe that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is measurable, since it is a countable disjoint union of points, which are all measurable.

The integral can easily be seen to be zero, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\mathbb{Q}) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{q\}\right) \\
&= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \mu(\{q\}) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So, by the lemma of the previous problem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{\mathbb{Q}} d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0 d\mu = 0$$

□

#### PART 5

Construct a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  satisfying the assumptions of Fatou's lemma such that

$$\lim \int f_n \neq \int \lim f_n$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]}$ . Then,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n d\mu = 1$  for all  $n$ , but  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x$ , so  $f_n$  converges pointwise to zero, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n d\mu &= 1 \\ &\neq 0 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu \end{aligned}$$

□

## PROBLEM 2

### PART A

Prove that there exists constants  $C_1(n), C_2(n)$  such that

$$C_1(n)r^n \leq \lambda^n B_r \leq C_2(n)r^n$$

*Proof.* To begin with, we note that the ( $\infty$ -norm) cube of radius  $r$ , defined as the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x_i| < r \ \forall i\}$$

contains the ball  $B_r$ . Thus, the volume of the cube  $V = (2r)^n$  is an upper bound for the Lebesgue measure of  $B_n$  (this follows from the monotonicity of the measure, and the fact that the Lebesgue measure preserves the standard volume of boxes).

Note also that the (1-norm) cube of radius  $r$ , defined as the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| < r\}$$

is contained in the ball  $B_r$ . This is clear, since the furthest away from the origin a point in the 1-cube of radius  $r$  can get is when exactly one coordinate is  $r$  with the rest zero. Since this is contained in  $B_r$ , every other point is as well.

The volume of the 1-cube of radius  $r$  is a bit trickier to compute. We know it looks like a cube with diagonal of length  $2r$ , which leads to a volume of  $V = (\frac{2r}{\sqrt{n}})^n$  which works as a lower bound for the Lebesgue measure for  $B_n$  by a dual argument to the one above.

Thus, we have

$$\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n r^n \leq \lambda^n B_n \leq (2)^n r^n$$

so,  $C_1(n) = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n$  and  $C_2(n) = 2^n$  function as the desired lower and upper bounds. □

### PART B

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and a fixed  $A \in (0, 1)$ , define  $I_k = (k, k + \frac{A}{2^k})$ . Find

$$\lambda^1 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \right)$$

*Proof.* To begin with, we note that each  $I_k$  is disjoint from any other. This is clear, since

$$\frac{A}{2^k} < 1 \ \forall k$$

so

$$k + \frac{A}{2^k} < k + 1 \quad \forall k$$

Therefore, by  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\lambda^1$ , we have

$$\lambda^1\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^1(I_k)$$

□