Final Exam

Daniel Halmrast

December 3, 2017

Signature:

PROBLEM 1

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let μ_n be a measure on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$ with $\mu_n(\Omega) = 1$. For every $E \in \mathscr{A}$, define

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n(E)}{2^n}$$

Give a careful proof that μ is a measure on $(\omega, \mathscr{A})$ with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$.

Proof. We wish to prove that μ is a measure on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$. That is, we wish to show that that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, that $\mu(E) \geq 0$ for all $E \in \mathscr{A}$, and that for a countable collection of disjoint sets $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for which $E_j \in \mathscr{A}$ for all j,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$$

To begin with, we note that since each μ_n is a measure, we have that $\mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\emptyset) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n(\emptyset)}{2^n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{2^n} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next, we note that since each μ_n is a measure, $\mu_n(E) \geq 0$ for all $E \in \mathscr{A}$. Thus, since both $\mu_n(E)$ and 2^n are greater than zero for each n, it must be that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n(E)}{2^n} \geq 0$$

as desired.

To show that μ is countably additive, we first prove the following lemma:

Lemma. *For a doubly indexed sequence $\{a_{ij}\}$ of positive numbers,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$$

provided that either sum converges.

Proof. We note first that a_{ij} can be thought of as a function from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Now, Tonelli's theorem tells us that for any positive function $f : \Omega \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ on the product space $\Omega \times \Sigma$ of σ-finite measure spaces $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$ and $(\Sigma, \mathscr{B}, \nu)$ such that f is measurable with respect to $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{B}$, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f(x,y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x,y) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y)$$

Now, consider the case where $\Omega = \Sigma = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\mu = \nu = \mu_c$ the counting measure. The function a_{ij} from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive (by hypothesis), and is measurable on $2^{\mathbb{N}} \otimes 2^{\mathbb{N}} = 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$, since every function is measurable with respect to this σ-algebra. Thus, applying Tonelli's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}} a_{ij} d\mu_c(j) \right) d\mu_c(i) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}} a_{ij} d\mu_c(i) \right) d\mu_c(j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Equipped with this result, we now prove that μ is countably additive. To do so, let $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable collection of disjoint measurable sets. Now, we know by the fact that each μ_n is a measure that

$$\mu_n\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(E_j)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \mu_n \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \mu_n(E_j)
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the above lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\mu_n(E_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\mu_n(E_j)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mu(E_j)\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Finally, we wish to show that $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. This follows from direct computation (observing that $\mu_n(\Omega) = 1$ for all n):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\Omega) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_n(\Omega)}{2^n}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\\ &= \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} - 1\\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Here, we used the standard formula for a geometric series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n = \frac{1}{1-a} - 1$$

for $0 < a < 1$. $\square$

Problem 2

Suppose $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Prove that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

Proof. We note first that the trivial case of $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ is clear, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^\infty} = 0 &\implies f = 0 \ \mu - \text{almost everywhere} \\ &\implies \|f\|_{L^p} = 0 \ \forall p \\ &\implies \lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the rest of this problem, it is assumed that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} > 0$.

Suppose first that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Then, we are free to scale f so that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. (This is clear, since

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|cf\|_{L^p} = c \lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

so

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|cf\|_{L^p} = \|cf\|_{L^\infty} \iff \lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

and so multiplying f by a constant will not change the equality.)

So, without loss of generality, let $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. We will show first that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq 1$$

To do so, we consider the altered function

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } f(x) \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x) > \|f\|_{L^\infty} \end{cases}$$

Now, we know that $\mu\{|f| > \|f\|_{L^\infty}\} = 0$ by the definition of the L^∞ norm, so it follows that $\tilde{f}$ and f differ only on a set of measure zero, and thus are in the same equivalence class in L^p for all p.

Now, we have that $\tilde{f} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$, and thus $\tilde{f}^p \leq 1$ for all $p \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\tilde{f}(x)|^p d\mu &\leq \int_\Omega 1 d\mu \\ &= \mu(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p} &= \left(\int_\Omega |\tilde{f}(x)|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and for $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, we have that $\lim_{p\to\infty} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$. Thus,

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq 1$$

as desired.

Now, we wish to show the reverse. That is, we wish to show that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \geq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

To do so, we consider the set $\{|f| > 1 - \epsilon\}$, which has positive measure for every $\epsilon > 0$ by the fact that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Thus, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p} &= \left(\int_\Omega |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left(\int_{|f|>1-\epsilon} |1-\epsilon|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= ((1-\epsilon)\mu(\{|f| > 1-\epsilon\}))^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{p\to\infty}((1-\epsilon)\mu(\{|f| > 1-\epsilon\}))^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$, it follows that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \geq 1$$

as desired.

Thus, for $\|f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, we have that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

as desired. $\square$