

---

## Problem Set 4

---

Daniel Halmrast

February 13, 2018

### PRELIMINARIES

**Lemma 1.** *For two paths  $f, g : I \rightarrow X$  with  $f \simeq g$  relative to  $\partial I$ , and  $h : I \rightarrow X$  with  $f(1) = g(1) = h(0)$ , then  $hf \simeq hg$ , where  $hf$  is the path that first traverses  $f$  first, and then  $h$  (similarly for  $hg$ ).*

*Similarly, if  $h : I \rightarrow X$  with  $h(1) = f(0) = g(0)$ , then  $fh \simeq gh$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F : I \times I \rightarrow X$  be the homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$  relative to  $\partial I$ , and let  $h : I \rightarrow X$  be such that  $f(1) = g(1) = h(0)$ . The homotopy between  $hf$  and  $hg$  is given by

$$H : I \times I \rightarrow X$$

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} F(2t, s), & \text{if } t < \frac{1}{2} \\ h(2(t - \frac{1}{2})), & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

That is, run the homotopy on the  $f$  section of the path, and leave  $h$  alone. Since the homotopy  $F$  fixes the endpoints, it follows that  $F(1, s) = h(0)$  for all  $s$ , and the homotopy  $H$  is well-defined.  $H$  is clearly continuous, then, by the pasting lemma. Therefore,  $H(t, 0) = hf \simeq H(t, 1) = hg$  as desired.

Suppose instead that  $h : I \rightarrow X$  is such that  $h(1) = f(0) = g(0)$ . Then, it follows that  $\bar{h}(0) = \bar{f}(1) = \bar{g}(1)$  which satisfies the hypotheses for the previous result, and so  $\bar{h}\bar{f} \simeq \bar{h}\bar{g}$ , and so  $\overline{fh} \simeq \overline{gh}$ , which immediately implies that  $fh \simeq gh$  as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 1

Show that the composition of paths satisfies the following property: if  $f_0 \cdot g_0 \simeq f_1 \cdot g_1$ , and  $g_0 \simeq g_1$ , then  $f_0 \simeq f_1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g_0 \simeq g_1$  (assumed to be relative to  $\partial I$ ), we have that

$$f_0 g_0 \simeq f_0 g_1$$

by Lemma 1. Now, since  $f_1 g_1 \simeq f_0 g_0$ , it follows that  $f_1 g_1 \simeq f_0 g_1$  by transitivity of  $\simeq$ .

Now, letting  $\bar{g}$  be the inverse path of  $g$ , we have that

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_1 g_1 \simeq f_0 g_1 & \\ f_1 g_1 \bar{g}_1 \simeq f_0 g_1 \bar{g}_1 & \text{by Lemma 1} \\ f_1 \simeq f_0 & \text{by } g_1 \bar{g}_1 \simeq 0 \text{ and Lemma 1} \end{array}$$

as desired. □

## PROBLEM 2

Show that the change of basepoint homomorphism  $\beta_h$  depends only on the homotopy class of  $h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g, h$  be paths in a space  $X$  with the same starting and ending points, and such that  $g \simeq h$ . We will show that  $\beta_h = \beta_g$ . In particular, we will show that conjugating by  $h$  is homotopic to conjugating by  $g$ .

So, let  $f$  be a loop based at the endpoint of  $g, h$ . We will show that  $\bar{g}fg \simeq \bar{h}fh$ . This, however, is just a straightforward application of Lemma 1.

To see this, we note that since  $g \simeq h$ , we have that  $fg \simeq fh$ . Now, since  $bag \simeq \bar{h}$ , we can also write

$$\bar{g}fg \simeq \bar{g}fh = \bar{g}(fh) \simeq \bar{h}(fh) = \bar{h}fh$$

as desired □

### PROBLEM 3

For a path-connected space  $X$ , show that  $\pi_1(X)$  is Abelian if and only if all basepoint-change homomorphisms  $\beta_h$  depend only on the endpoints of  $h$ .

*Proof.* (  $\implies$  ) Suppose that for a path-connected space  $X$ , we have that  $\pi_1(x)$  is Abelian. Furthermore, let  $h, g$  be two paths in  $X$  such that  $g(0) = h(0) = x_0$  and  $g(1) = h(1) = x_1$ . Furthermore, let  $f$  be a loop based at  $x_1$ . We wish to show that  $\beta_h([f]) = \beta_g([f])$  which is equivalent to showing that  $\beta_{\bar{g}}\beta_h([f]) = [f]$ . Now,  $\beta_{\bar{g}}\beta_h([f])$  is just  $[g\bar{h}fh\bar{g}]$ . Note however that  $h\bar{g}$ ,  $g\bar{h}$ , and  $f$  are loops based at  $x_1$ . Since  $\pi_1(X)$  is Abelian, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &= [g\bar{h}][f][h\bar{g}] \\ &= [f][g\bar{h}][h\bar{g}] \\ &= [f][g\bar{h}h\bar{g}] \\ &= [f] \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(  $\impliedby$  ) Suppose that  $X$  is such that for any two paths  $h, g$  with  $h(0) = g(0) = x_0$  and  $h(1) = g(1) = x_1$ , we have that  $\beta_h = \beta_g$ . We wish to show that for any two elements  $[f_1], [f_2] \in \pi_1(X)$ , we have that  $[f_1][f_2] = [f_2][f_1]$ . Alternately, we can show that  $[f_1][f_2][\bar{f}_1] = [f_2]$ . This is obvious, though, since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  satisfy the hypotheses for  $h, g$ , which implies that  $\beta_{f_1} = \beta_{f_2}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} [f_2][\bar{f}_1] &= \beta_{\bar{f}_1}[f_2] \\ &= \beta_{f_2}[f_2] \\ &= [f_2][f_2][f_2^{-1}] \\ &= [f_2] \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

## PROBLEM 4

Show that if a subspace  $x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is locally star-shaped, then every path in  $X$  is homotopic in  $X$  to a piecewise linear path. Show specifically this holds when  $X$  is open, and when  $X$  is a union of finitely many closed convex sets.

*Proof.* To begin with, let  $\gamma$  be a path in  $X$ . At each point  $\gamma(t) \in X$ , let  $S_t$  be a star-shaped neighborhood around  $\gamma(t)$ . In particular,  $\{S_t\}$  is an open cover of  $\gamma(I)$ , and since  $\gamma(I)$  is compact, it follows that there is some finite subcover  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . In particular, we can take a finite subcover such that each point  $\gamma(t)$  is in at most two open sets in the subcover, and the preimages  $\gamma^{-1}(S_i)$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(S_j)$  are distinct (neither contains the other). We further require that each open set in the subcover be an interval. Finally, order the subcover sequentially. That is, let  $S_1$  be the open set containing  $\gamma(0)$ , and let  $S_i$  be the open set that overlaps with  $S_{i-1}$ . Define a set of partition points  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  such that  $t_i$  lies in the intersection of  $S_i$  and  $S_{i+1}$ .

Now, for each  $S_i$ , let  $x_i$  be the distinguished point in the star-shaped neighborhood. That is, for each  $x \in S_i$ , the line segment from  $x$  to  $x_i$  is in  $S_i$ .

We are finally ready to describe the homotopy from  $\gamma$  to a piecewise linear function. We note first that each  $S_i$  is simply connected. In particular, all paths in  $S_i$  with fixed endpoints are homotopic to each other.

On  $S_1$ , we can homotope the segment of the path  $\gamma([0, t_1])$  to the path obtained by taking the line segment from  $\gamma(0)$  to  $x_1$  and then the line segment from  $x_1$  to  $\gamma(t_1)$ . Generally, on  $S_i$ , homotope the path  $\gamma([t_{i-1}, t_i])$  to the path from  $\gamma(t_{i-1})$  to  $x_i$ , then from  $x_i$  to  $\gamma(t_i)$ . Finally, in  $S_n$ , we homotope the path  $\gamma([t_n, 1])$  to the path from  $\gamma(t_n)$  to  $\gamma(1)$ .

On each open set, we homotoped to a piecewise linear path, and they agree on the intersection, and so the resulting path is piecewise linear as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 5

Show that for every space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a) Every map  $S^1 \rightarrow X$  is homotopic to the constant map, with image a point.
- (b) Every map  $S^1 \rightarrow X$  extends to a map  $D^2 \rightarrow X$ .
- (c)  $\pi_1(X, x_0) = 0$  for all  $x_0 \in X$ .

*Proof.* ((a)  $\implies$  (b)) Suppose  $f : S^1 \rightarrow X$  is such that  $f$  is homotopic to a constant map  $x_0$ . In particular, we have a homotopy  $F : S^1 \times I \rightarrow X$  with  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $F(x, 1) = x_0$ .

Now, the disk  $D^2$  is homeomorphic to the quotient  $(S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\}$  via the homeomorphism

$$\phi : D^2 \rightarrow (S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\} \quad \phi(r, \theta) = [(\theta, r)]$$

Where the coordinates on  $D^2$  are polar coordinates with  $r \leq 1$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , and  $[(\theta, r)]$  is the equivalence class of the point  $(\theta, r) \in S^1 \times I$ .

Now, we can define  $\tilde{F} : (S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\} \rightarrow X$  to be the unique map that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ & \searrow q & \nearrow \tilde{F} \\ & (S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\} & \end{array}$$

commute. Here,  $q$  is the canonical quotient map.  $\tilde{F}$  is well-defined, since  $F$  is constant on the fibers of  $q$ . This is clear, since the only nontrivial fiber of  $q$  is the subspace  $S^1 \times \{1\}$ , which  $F$  sends identically to  $x_0$ .

Thus, using the homeomorphism above, we find the map  $\tilde{F} \circ \phi$  to be an extension of  $f$ . This is evident, since  $\tilde{F} \circ \phi|_{\partial D^2}$  is just  $\tilde{F}|_{S^1 \times \{0\}}$  which is just  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  as desired.

((b)  $\implies$  (c)) Suppose that any map  $f : S^1 \rightarrow X$  can be extended to a map  $\tilde{f} : D^2 \rightarrow X$ . Now, let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary. We will show that  $\pi_1(X, x_0) = 0$ . In particular, we will show that any loop based at  $x_0$  is homotopic to the constant loop.

So, let  $f : S^1 \rightarrow X$  be a loop such that  $f(0) = x_0$ . By (b), we know that such an  $f$  extends to a  $\tilde{f} : D^2 \rightarrow X$ . Now, via the homeomorphism above, we have a map

$$\tilde{F} : (S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\} \rightarrow X$$

given by  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{f} \circ \phi^{-1}$ . Furthermore, for  $q : S^1 \times I \rightarrow (S^1 \times I)/S^1 \times \{1\}$  the canonical quotient map, we have a map

$$F : S^1 \times I \rightarrow X$$

given by  $F = \tilde{F} \circ q$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} F|_{S^1 \times \{0\}} &= \tilde{F}|_{S^1 \times \{0\}} \\ &= \tilde{f}|_{\partial D^2} \\ &= f \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$F|_{S^1 \times \{1\}} = \tilde{F}|_{[S^1 \times \{1\}]} = x_1$$

for some  $x_1 \in X$ . This is because  $q(S^1 \times \{1\}) = [S^1 \times \{1\}]$  is just a single point.

Thus,  $F$  defines a homotopy from  $f$  to the constant map  $x_1$  as desired.

((c)  $\implies$  (a)) Suppose that  $\pi_1(X, x_0) = 0$  for all  $x_0 \in X$ . Trivially, each loop in  $X$  is homotopic to a constant loop, as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 6

Let  $[S^1, X]$  be the set of homotopy classes of maps  $S^1 \rightarrow X$  with no conditions on basepoints, and let  $\Phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow [S^1, X]$  be the natural map obtained by ignoring basepoints. Show that  $\Phi$  is onto if  $X$  is path-connected, and that  $\Phi([f]) = \Phi([g])$  if and only if  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  are conjugate in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . Hence  $\Phi$  induces a one-to-one correspondence between  $[S^1, X]$  and the set of conjugacy classes in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  if  $X$  is path-connected.

*Proof.* We first show that if  $X$  is path-connected, then  $\Phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow [S^1, X]$  is onto. To see this, let  $[f] \in [S^1, X]$  be some loop in  $X$ . In particular, since  $X$  is path-connected, there is a path  $\gamma$  from  $f(0)$  to  $x_0$ . Then,  $\gamma \cdot f \cdot \gamma^{-1}$  (first do  $\gamma^{-1}$ , then  $f$ , then  $\gamma$ ) is a loop based at  $x_0$ , and  $[\gamma f \gamma^{-1}]$  is an element of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . Furthermore, this identification is stable with respect to homotopy. That is, for  $f \simeq g$ ,  $\gamma f \gamma^{-1} \simeq \gamma g \gamma^{-1}$  and so it follows immediately that  $\Phi([\gamma f \gamma^{-1}]) = [f]$ . Since  $[f]$  was arbitrary,  $\Phi$  is onto as desired.

Now we show that  $\Phi([f]) = \Phi([g])$  if and only if  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  are conjugate to each other.

( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $\Phi([f]) = \Phi([g])$ . This means that  $[f] = [g]$  (as a general homotopy, not relative to the basepoint). Now, let  $f_t : S^1 \rightarrow X$  be the homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . In particular,  $f_t(0) = \gamma(t)$  is a loop based at  $x_0$  that tracks how the basepoint moves in the homotopy. We will show that  $f$  is homotopic to  $\gamma^{-1}g\gamma$  relative to the basepoint.

Now, we define a family of paths  $\gamma_s$  as the path  $\gamma$  restricted to the domain  $[0, s]$ . Similarly,  $\gamma_s^{-1}$  will be the inverse of  $\gamma_s$ , which is just  $\gamma^{-1}$  restricted to the domain  $[1-s, 1]$ .

Now, we can construct the homotopy between  $f$  and  $\gamma^{-1}g\gamma$ . Let  $h_s$  be the homotopy defined as

$$h_s = \gamma_s^{-1} f_s \gamma_s$$

which is well-defined with respect to path concatenation, since  $\gamma_s(1) = \gamma(s) = f_s(0)$  and  $\gamma_s^{-1}(0) = \gamma_s(1) = \gamma(s) = f_s(1)$ . Clearly this homotopy is relative to the starting point of the loop as well, since  $\gamma_s(0) = x_0$  for all  $s$ , and  $\gamma_s^{-1}(1) = x_0$  for all  $s$ .

Thus,  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  are conjugate to each other in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose instead that  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  are conjugate to each other in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . We can write  $[g] = [\gamma^{-1}f\gamma]$  for some  $[\gamma]$  in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . Let  $f_t$  be the homotopy from  $g$  to  $\gamma^{-1}f\gamma$ . Then, we just need to show that  $\gamma^{-1}f\gamma$  is homotopic to  $f$  as a general homotopy. This is clear, however, by considering  $\gamma^{-1}f\gamma$  as a loop based at  $f(0)$  which is given as  $\gamma\gamma^{-1}f$ . This is the same loop (up to reparameterization), it just has a new base point. However, in  $\pi_1(X, f(0))$ , this is homotopic to  $f$  by canceling  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma^{-1}$ . Thus,  $\gamma^{-1}f\gamma$  is homotopic to  $f$  (and it is assumed to be homotopic to  $g$ ) and so  $f \simeq g$  without regard to basepoint.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 7

Let  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  be compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Use Borsuk-Ulam theorem to show that there is one plane  $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $P$  simultaneously divides each  $A_i$  into two pieces of equal measure.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Now, for  $\theta \in S^1$ , we can define a plane whose normal has polar coordinate  $\theta$  and azimuthal coordinate  $\phi$  which cuts  $A_1$  in half. This is a clear application of the intermediate value theorem, by varying the translation of the plane from the origin and looking at the function  $f(r)$  which measures how much of  $A_1$  is above (in the direction of the normal) the plane.

Now, let  $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the function that measures how much of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  is above the plane defined above. This function is pretty clearly continuous, since a small change  $d\Phi$  in the angle of the plane results in at most a change of  $Rd\Phi$  in the area above the plane (for  $R$  the bound on the compact sets  $A_i$ ).

Thus, there exists a point  $x \in S^2$  for which  $f(x) = f(-x)$ . That is, there is a point for which the plane divides  $A_1$  in half (by construction), and for which the amount of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  (individually) above the plane is the same as the amount of  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  above the same plane with opposite orientation. However, this just means that this plane cuts  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  each in half, as desired.  $\square$



## PROBLEM 8

If  $X_0$  is the path component of  $X$  containing  $x_0$ , show that the inclusion  $X_0 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1(X_0, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$ .

*Proof.* In particular, we wish to show that the inclusion map  $i$  induces a map  $i^*$  which is surjective with trivial kernel.

The fact that  $i^*$  is surjective is trivial, since a loop  $f$  based at  $x_0$  in  $X$  must stay in its path-component. Therefore, the image of  $f$  is completely contained in  $X_0$ , and easily factors through  $i$ . That is, there is some  $\tilde{f} : S^1 \rightarrow X_0$  based at  $x_0$  for which  $i \circ \tilde{f} = f$ . Clearly, then,  $i^*([\tilde{f}]) = [f]$ . This is a well-defined map, since if  $\tilde{f} \simeq \tilde{g}$ , we have that  $f \simeq g$  after inclusion, and so  $i^*([\tilde{f}]) = i^*([\tilde{g}])$ .

Now, suppose  $[f]$  is such that  $i^*([f]) = [0]$ . In particular, this means that  $f \circ i \simeq x_0$ . However, any homotopy between two maps must stay in its path component for all  $t$  (Given  $f_t$  a homotopy,  $f_t(x)$  for fixed  $x$  is a continuous map from  $I$  into  $X$ , and thus must be mapped into a single path-component).

Therefore, since  $f \circ i \simeq x_0$ , and such a homotopy stays in  $X_0$ , we can easily restrict the homotopy to  $X_0$  without issue, which leads to a homotopy  $f \simeq x_0$ .

Thus,  $i^*$  is surjective with trivial kernel, and is an isomorphism. □

## PROBLEM 9

Given a space  $X$  and a path-connected subspace  $A$  containing  $x_0$  the basepoint, show that the map  $\pi_1(A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$  induced by the inclusion map is surjective if and only if every path in  $X$  with endpoints in  $A$  is homotopic to a path in  $A$ .

*Proof.* (  $\implies$  ) Suppose first that every path in  $X$  with endpoints in  $A$  is homotopic to a path in  $A$ . Now, let  $[f] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ . By hypothesis,  $[f]$  contains a loop  $g$  in  $A$  based at  $x_0$  (since  $x_0 \in A$ ), and so  $i^*([g]) = [f]$ .

(  $\impliedby$  ) Suppose conversely that there is a path  $f$  in  $X$  with endpoints in  $A$  that is not homotopic to a path in  $A$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a path in  $A$  connecting  $f(0)$  to  $f(1)$ . Then, the concatenation  $f \cdot \gamma$  is a loop in  $X$ . However, since  $f$  is not homotopic to any path in  $A$ , it follows that  $f \cdot \gamma$  is not homotopic to a loop in  $A$  (if it were, it would also be homotopic to a loop in  $A$  passing through  $f(1)$ , and either the part of the loop going to  $f(1)$  or the part of the loop going from  $f(1)$  would be homotopic to  $f$ , a contradiction). Therefore,  $[f \cdot \gamma]$  is not in the image of  $i^*$ , and so  $i^*$  is not surjective.  $\square$