

# 1 Preliminaries

**Homework 1.** Prove that  $V^{**} \cong V$  for finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ .

From this, it is clear that  $T_p^*M \otimes T_pM \cong \text{Hom}(T_pM, T_pM)$  for a manifold  $M$ .

Recall the tangent bundle  $TM$  is defined as

$$TM = \coprod_{p \in M} T_pM$$

and a vector field on the manifold  $M$  is simply a section of the tangent bundle projection  $TM \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ . In other words, a vector field is a function  $f : M \rightarrow TM$  such that  $\pi \circ f = \text{id}$ . Requiring the section to be smooth makes it into a smooth vector field.

We can also do the same thing for the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  to obtain a covector field.

Now, we can take the tensor product of copies of  $TM$  and  $T^*M$  to obtain our tensor bundles, and tensor fields will be sections of these bundles.

Let  $(U, \phi)$  be a smooth chart on  $M$  with coordinate functions  $x^i$ , coordinate vector fields  $\partial_i$ , and coordinate one-forms  $dx^i$ . Recall that  $dx^i$  is defined to be the dual basis to  $\partial_i$ , that is,

$$dx^i(\partial_j) = \delta_j^i$$

Recall also that the exterior derivative of a function  $df$  is defined as

$$df(v) = v(f)$$

and this definition applied to the coordinate functions  $x^i$  (yielding  $dx^i$ ) coincides with the definition above. Note that  $\partial_i$  form a basis for  $T_pM$  and  $dx^i$  form a basis for  $T_p^*M$ . Tensor products of them, then, form a basis for the tensor product space.

**Homework 2.** Prove that, for a vector space  $V$  with basis  $v_i$ , dual basis  $v^i$ , the set

$$\{v^i \otimes v^j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$$

forms a basis for  $V^* \otimes V^*$ . Here  $v^i \otimes v^j(u, v) = v^i(u)v^j(v)$ .

## 2 Affine Connections

### 2.1 The Metric

**Def. 2.1.** Let  $M^n$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ . A Riemannian Metric  $g$  on  $M$  is a rank  $(0, 2)$  tensor (a section of  $T^*M \otimes T^*M$ ) that is symmetric and positive-definite. In other words,  $g$  is a rank  $(0, 2)$  tensor that restricts to an inner product on the tangent space at every point.

We can express  $g$  in local coordinates!

$$g_{ij} = g(\partial_i, \partial_j)$$

or

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$$

## 2.2 Integration of Top Degree Differential Forms

Let  $M^n$  be an orientable  $n$ -dimensional manifold, and  $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ . Furthermore let  $(U, \phi)$  be a positive coordinate chart. On  $U$  we have that

$$\omega = f dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

for some  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .

Now, let  $K \subset U$  be compact. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \omega &= \int_{\phi(K)} \phi^{-1*} \omega \\ &= \int_{\phi(K)} f \circ \phi^{-1} \phi^{-1*} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi^{-1*} dx^n \\ &= \int_{\phi(K)} f \circ \phi^{-1} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral is just the standard integral in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Is this definition independent of choice of coordinates? Let's check. Let  $(V, \psi)$  be another coordinate chart containing  $K$ . Then, the integral with respect to this coordinate system is

$$\int_K \omega = \int_{\psi(K)} g \circ \psi^{-1} dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n$$

for  $g$  defined as

$$\omega = h dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n$$

with coordinate functions  $y^i$ . The claim is that these integrals are equal.

Consider the change-of-coordinates map  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  from the  $x^i$  to the  $y^i$  coordinate system. Since  $K$  is in both  $U$  and  $V$ , its image  $\phi(K)$  lies in the domain of  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$ .

All that remains is to apply the change of variables to the integrals. Recall that if one has a diffeomorphism  $F : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  for compact  $\Omega_i$ , one has that

$$\int_{\Omega_2} f dy^1 \dots dy^n = \int_{\Omega_1} f \circ F |J_F| dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

where  $|J_F|$  is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix for  $F$ .

**Homework 3.** Check that the two integrals claimed to be equal are actually equal.

Now we have an idea for how to integrate  $\omega$  on a single chart, let's extend this. Let  $(\eta_i, U_i)$  be a partition of unity of  $M$  where each  $U_i$  is contained in a single chart on  $M$ . Then,

$$\omega = \sum \omega \eta_i$$

and we can integrate by extending linearly

$$\int_K \omega = \sum \int_K \omega \eta_i$$

where the right hand side has integrals over functions supported in a single chart, and is well-defined. But is this independent of the choice of partition of unity? Short answer: yes (Optional homework).

### 2.3 Integration on an Orientable Smooth Riemannian Manifold

Recall that a Riemannian manifold has a volume form

$$dvol = \sqrt{|g_{ij}|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

which is obtained by taking an orthonormal frame  $e_i$  and considering the dual frame  $\omega^i$  defined as

$$\omega^i e_j = \delta_j^i$$

and letting

$$dvol = \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n$$

This construction is independent of choice of orthonormal frame.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon_i$  be another orthonormal frame with dual frame  $\alpha^i$ . Then,  $\epsilon_i = a_i^j e_j$  and  $\alpha^i = b_j^i \omega^j$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^n &= b_{j_1}^1 \omega^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge b_{j_n}^n \omega^{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} b_{\sigma(1)}^1 \dots b_{\sigma(n)}^n \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n \\ &= |b| \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n \\ &= \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

where the last line was obtained from the fact that  $b$  is the orthogonal change-of-basis matrix from  $e$  to  $\epsilon$ .  $\square$

Then, we define

$$\operatorname{Vol}(K) = \int_K dvol$$

## 2.4 Integrating a Non-Orientable Manifold

How do we integrate a manifold that is not orientable? The previous construction was coordinate-independent only because we chose positive oriented coordinates...

Let  $K \subset U$  be a compact set in a single chart on the manifold. Then, we can define

$$\text{Vol}(K) = \int_K \sqrt{|g_{ij}|} dx^n$$

Now, this is independent of choice of coordinates, since if  $K$  lies in the intersection of two charts, we can use the Jacobian change-of-variables formula to show that the two calculations of the volume are equal.

The problem is that  $dy^n = \det(J_{x \rightarrow y}) dx^n$  depends also on the sign of the determinant of the Jacobian.

On an orientable Manifold, we have  $dvol \in \Omega^n(M)$  (i.e.  $dvol \in \Gamma(\Lambda^n T^*M)$ ), and in fact a manifold is orientable if and only if it admits a nowhere-vanishing top degree form.

**Homework 4.** *Prove that a manifold is orientable if and only if it admits a nowhere-vanishing top degree form.*

## 2.5 Existence of Metrics

**Theorem 1.** *On each smooth manifold  $M$  there exists smooth Riemannian metrics.*

*Proof.* Let  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  be an atlas of  $M$ , and  $\eta_j$  be a partition of unity subordinate to it. Then, on each  $U_i$  we have a smooth Riemannian metric given by

$$g_i = dx_i^1 \otimes dx_i^1 + \dots + dx_i^n \otimes dx_i^n$$

Then, we define

$$g = \sum \eta_i g_i$$

□

## 2.6 Lower-Dimensional Integration on Riemannian Manifolds

Suppose we want to find the arc length of a curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ . We can define the length of  $\gamma$  to be

$$L(\gamma) = \int_I |\gamma'| dt$$

where  $|\gamma'|$  is the length of the tangent vector with respect to the metric.

**Def. 2.2.** *Let  $p, q \in M$  be points in a connected manifold  $M$ . We define the distance between  $p$  and  $q$  to be*

$$\inf_{\gamma \in C^\infty(I, M)} \{L(\gamma) \mid \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q\}$$

Note that we can relax the condition that  $\gamma$  be smooth to  $\gamma$  being only piecewise smooth, since any piecewise smooth curve is uniformly approximated by smooth curves.

This distance, denoted  $d(p, q)$ , turns out to metrize the manifold.

**Theorem 2.**  *$d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric on  $M$ , and the metric topology generated by  $d$  coincides with the topology of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $d$  is a metric. Symmetry of  $d$  should be obvious, since  $L(\gamma) = L(-\gamma)$  and the curves from  $p$  to  $q$  directly coincide with curves from  $q$  to  $p$  via the map  $\gamma \mapsto -\gamma$ .

Now,  $d$  is also clearly positive-definite, since the length functional is positive-definite.

It should also be clear that  $d(p, q) = 0$  if and only if  $p = q$ . Clearly, if  $p = q$ , then the constant curve  $\gamma(t) = p$  has length zero, so  $d(p, p) = 0$ . Now, if  $p \neq q$ , then since  $M$  is Hausdorff, they must have positive distance from each other. This follows from the second claim that the topologies coincide.

The triangle inequality follows from the fact that given three points  $p, q, m$ , the curve going from  $p$  to  $m$ , and then from  $m$  to  $q$ , is a curve from  $p$  to  $q$ , and so  $d(p, q) \leq d(p, m) + d(m, q)$  (since it is part of the infimum).

Now, we show that the topologies coincide... □

**Homework 5.** *Show that the topology on  $M$  coincides with the metric topology from  $d$ .*