

---

# Homework 5

---

Daniel Halmrast

May 15, 2018

## PROBLEM 1

Let  $E, F$  be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. Prove that  $P_E P_F = P_E$  if and only if  $E \subseteq F$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $P_E P_F = P_E$ . Then, in particular,

$$P_E^* = (P_E P_F)^* = P_F^* P_E^* = P_F P_E = P_E$$

by self-adjointness of projections. Thus,  $P_E P_F = P_F P_E = P_E$ , and the projections commute. Thus, the von Neumann algebra  $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$  is Abelian, and is isometrically  $*$ -isomorphic to  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  for some measure space  $(X, \mu)$ . In particular, the projections  $P_E, P_F$  get sent to self-adjoint idempotents  $P_E \mapsto M_{\chi_S}$  and  $P_F \mapsto M_{\chi_{S'}}$  for some measurable subsets  $S, S' \subset X$ .

Now, the requirement  $P_F P_E = P_E$  corresponds to the requirement

$$M_{\chi_{S'}} M_{\chi_S} = M_{\chi_S}$$

which means that  $S \subset S'$ . This, in turn, implies that  $E \subset F$ .

Indeed,  $E$  is the subspace of  $H$  on which  $P_E$  is the identity, which corresponds to the subspace

$$\tilde{E} = \int_S^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x)$$

on which  $M_{\chi_S}$  is the identity. Similarly,

$$\tilde{F} = \int_{S'}^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x)$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{F}$  (since  $S \subset S'$ ) and so  $E \subset F$  as well.

For the converse direction, assume that  $E \subset F$ . Then, on  $F$ ,  $P_F = I_F$ , and  $P_F P_E = I_F P_E = P_E$ . Furthermore, on  $F^\perp$ ,  $P_F P_E = 0 = P_E$ . Thus, on all of  $H = F \oplus F^\perp$ ,  $P_F P_E = P_E$  as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 2

Characterize the closed subspaces  $E, F$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  satisfy  $P_F P_E = P_E P_F$ .

*Proof.* I assert that  $P_E$  and  $P_F$  commute if and only if  $H$  can be decomposed into the four orthogonal components

$$H = E \cap F \oplus E \cap F^\perp \oplus E^\perp \cap F \oplus E^\perp \cap F^\perp$$

To see this, suppose first that  $P_E$  and  $P_F$  commute. Then, consider the Abelian von Neumann algebra  $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$ . Like before, we use the Borel functional calculus to identify  $W^*(P_E, P_F, I)$  with  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  acting on  $\tilde{H} = \int_X^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x)$ , which is unitarily equivalent to  $H$  (in the sense that there is a unitary transformation  $U$  such that  $\tilde{H} = UH$  and  $UW^*(P_E, P_F, I)U^* = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ ).

Under this identification,  $P_E \mapsto M_{\chi_S}$ , and  $P_F \mapsto M_{\chi_{S'}}$  for some measurable subsets  $S, S' \subset X$ . In particular, this decomposes  $\tilde{H}$  into four orthogonal components

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \int_X^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{S \cap S'}^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S \cap S'^c}^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S' \cap S^c}^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x) \oplus \int_{S^c \cap S'^c}^\oplus H(x) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

which translates into the decomposition on  $H$  as

$$H = E \cap F \oplus E \cap F^\perp \oplus E^\perp \cap F \oplus E^\perp \cap F^\perp$$

as desired.

Conversely, suppose  $H$  can be decomposed this way. Then, let  $v \in H$  be decomposed as

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4$$

where  $v_1 \in E \cap F$ ,  $v_2 \in E \cap F^\perp$ ,  $v_3 \in E^\perp \cap F$  and  $v_4 \in E^\perp \cap F^\perp$ .

We compute the effect of  $P_E P_F$  and  $P_F P_E$  directly.

$$\begin{aligned} P_E P_F(v) &= P_E P_F(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4) \\ &= P_E(v_1 + v_3) \\ &= v_1 \\ P_F P_E(v) &= P_F P_E(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4) \\ &= P_F(v_1 + v_2) \\ &= v_1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $P_E P_F = P_F P_E$  as desired. □

### PROBLEM 3

Let  $E, F$  be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space  $H$ . An operator  $U$  is said to be a partial isometry from  $E$  to  $F$  if  $U|_E$  is an isometry onto  $F$ , and  $U|_{E^\perp} = 0$ . Prove that  $U$  is a partial isometry  $\iff U^*U$  is a projection  $\iff UU^*$  is a projection.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $U$  is a partial isometry from  $E$  to  $F$ . I assert that  $U^*U = P_E$ . To see this, suppose  $e \in E$ ,  $v \in H$  and let  $v = e' + v'$  where  $e' \in E$  and  $v' \in E^\perp$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U^*Ue|v \rangle &= \langle U^*Ue|e' + v' \rangle \\ &= \langle Ue|Ue' \rangle + \langle Ue|Uv' \rangle \\ &= \langle e|e' \rangle + 0 \\ \implies \langle U^*Ue - e|v \rangle &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and since this holds for all  $v \in H$ ,  $U^*Ue - e = 0$  and thus  $U^*Ue = e$  and  $U^*U$  is the identity on  $E$ .

Furthermore, for  $v' \in E^\perp$ ,

$$U^*Uv' = U^*(0) = 0$$

and so  $U^*U$  is the zero map on  $E^\perp$ . Thus,  $U^*U$  agrees with  $P_E$  at all points, so  $U^*U = P_E$  as desired.

Conversely, suppose  $U^*U$  is a projection  $P_E$  for some closed subspace  $E$ . Define  $F = U(E)$ . We will first show that  $U$  is an isometry of  $E$  onto  $F$ . To see this, suppose  $e, e' \in E$ . We calculate directly

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Ue|Ue' \rangle &= \langle U^*Ue|e' \rangle \\ &= \langle P_Ee|e' \rangle \\ &= \langle e|e' \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and thus  $U$  is an isometry from  $E$  to  $F$ . Note that this immediately implies that  $F$  is a closed subspace. Finally, we show that  $U|_{E^\perp} = 0$ . Let  $v' \in E^\perp$ , Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Uv'\|^2 &= \langle Uv'|Uv' \rangle \\ &= \langle U^*Uv'|v' \rangle \\ &= \langle 0|v' \rangle = 0\end{aligned}$$

and so  $U^*U|_{E^\perp} = 0$  as desired. Thus,  $U$  is a partial isometry.

Finally, we show that if  $U$  is a partial isometry, then  $U^*$  is a partial isometry, which will complete the proof. So, suppose  $U$  is a partial isometry. We will show that  $U^*$  is a partial isometry from  $F$  to  $E$ . First, we check that  $U^*|_F$  is an isometry. Now, for each  $f \in F$ , there is some  $e \in E$  with  $Ue = f$ . Thus, for  $e, e' \in E$ ,  $f, f' \in F$  with  $Ue = f, Ue' = f'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U^*f|U^*f' \rangle &= \langle U^*Ue|U^*Ue' \rangle \\ &= \langle P_Ee|P_Ee' \rangle \\ &= \langle e|e' \rangle \\ &= \langle Ue|Ue' \rangle \\ &= \langle f|f' \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and so  $U^*$  is an isometry from  $F$  to  $E$ . Finally, we show that  $U^*|_{F^\perp} = 0$ . This is immediate, since

$$\ker U^* = U(H)^\perp = F^\perp$$

where we used the identity  $\ker A^* = A(H)^\perp$  for all bounded operators  $A$ .

Note that this completes the proof. If  $U$  is a partial isometry, then  $U^*$  is a partial isometry, which implies that  $U^{**}U^* = UU^*$  is a projection. Similarly, if  $UU^* = U^{**}U^*$  is a projection, then  $U^*$  is a partial isometry, and thus  $U^{**} = U$  is a partial isometry as well.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 4

Prove that the subspace of self-adjoint operators on  $B(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is a subset of the linear subspace spanned (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) by  $I$  and the three Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and prove that the span of  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$  is isometrically isomorphic to a three-dimensional real Hilbert space under the operator norm.

*Proof.* We observe first that for any  $T \in B(\mathbb{C}^2)$  self-adjoint, we have the condition

$$T_j^i = \overline{T_i^j}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^1 &= \overline{T_1^1} \\ T_2^2 &= \overline{T_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $T_i^i$  is real. Furthermore, we have the relation

$$T_2^1 = \overline{T_1^2}$$

Finally, we observe that we can decompose  $T$  into a scalar part and a trace-free part by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= T - \text{tr}(T)I \\ T &= \text{tr}(T)I + C \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to show that  $T$  is a linear combination of  $I$  and the Pauli matrices. In particular, we will show that  $C$  is a linear combination of the Pauli matrices.

For  $C$ , we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^1 &= -C_2^2 \\ C_2^1 &= \overline{C_1^2} \end{aligned}$$

as well as the requirement that  $C_1^1$  is real. Thus, we have three degrees of freedom. That is,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta + \gamma i \\ \beta - \gamma i & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

which decomposes as

$$C = \alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3$$

and so

$$T = \text{tr}(T)I + C$$

is a linear combination of  $I$  and  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$  as desired.

Next, we show that the span of  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$  under the operator norm is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To do so, we will show that the operator norm coincides with the pullback metric from  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Specifically, we define a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \langle \sigma_i \rangle_{i=1}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \Phi(\sigma_i) &= e_i \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with its usual inner product. In particular, if we denote the inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as  $\eta$ , we can define  $\Phi^*(\eta)$  by

$$\Phi^*(\eta)(u, v) = \eta(\Phi(u), \Phi(v))$$

In order to show that this metric coincides with the operator norm, we have to show that

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \Phi^*(\eta)(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3, \alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$$

Now, since  $\sigma_i$  are all self-adjoint, any (real) linear combination of them will be as well. Thus,

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \|(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3)^2\|$$

We compute the right-hand side directly

$$(\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3)^2 = \alpha^2\sigma_1^2 + \beta^2\sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2\sigma_3^2 + \alpha\beta\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} + \alpha\gamma\{\sigma_1, \sigma_3\} + \beta\gamma\{\sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$$

where  $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\}$  is the anticommutator of  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_j$ . Now, it is well-known that the Pauli matrices satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$$

as well as the relation

$$\sigma_i^2 = I$$

(where  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  is the levi-civita symbol) which forces

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k + \delta_{ij}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} &= \sigma_i\sigma_j + \sigma_j\sigma_i \\ &= i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k + \delta_{ij} + i\varepsilon_{jik}\sigma_k + \delta_{ji} \\ &= i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k - i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k + 2\delta_{ij} \\ &= 2\delta_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3)^2 &= \alpha^2\sigma_1^2 + \beta^2\sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2\sigma_3^2 + \alpha\beta\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} + \alpha\gamma\{\sigma_1, \sigma_3\} + \beta\gamma\{\sigma_2, \sigma_3\} \\ &= \alpha^2\sigma_1^2 + \beta^2\sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2\sigma_3^2 \\ &= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)I \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|\alpha\sigma_1 + \beta\sigma_2 + \gamma\sigma_3\|^2 = \|(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)I\| = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)\|I\| = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)$$

as desired. Thus, the pullback metric coincides with the operator norm, and  $\Phi$  is a linear isometric isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 5

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space. Prove  $T^*T$  is positive for all  $T \in B(H)$ . Prove that every positive operator is equal to  $T^*T$  for some  $T \in B(H)$ . Can we ensure  $T$  is self-adjoint?

*Proof.* First, we show  $T^*T$  is positive. That is, we need to show that

$$\langle T^*T\eta|\eta\rangle \geq 0 \forall \eta \in H$$

This follows immediately, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^*T\eta|\eta\rangle &= \langle T\eta|T\eta\rangle \\ &= \|T\eta\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $T^*T$  is positive.

Next, we show that every positive operator can be written this way. In particular, let  $A$  be a positive operator. We know that  $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$ , since  $A$  is positive. Therefore, the square root function is defined on  $\sigma(A)$ . Through the continuous functional calculus, we can define

$$\sqrt{A} = \int_{\sigma(A)} \sqrt{z} dE(z)$$

for  $E$  the projection-valued measure associated to  $A$  (so that  $A = \int_{\sigma(A)} z dE(z)$ ). This satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{A}\sqrt{A} &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \sqrt{z} dE(z) \int_{\sigma(A)} \sqrt{z} dE(z) \\ &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \sqrt{z}\sqrt{z} dE(z) \\ &= \int_{\sigma(A)} z dE(z) = A \end{aligned}$$

and so by setting  $T = T^* = \sqrt{A}$  we achieve the desired result. □

## PROBLEM 6

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space, and let  $T \in B(H)$  be self-adjoint. Suppose  $\sigma(T) = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \cup [1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$ . Prove there is a projection  $P$  for which  $\|T - P\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the projection-valued measure associated to  $T$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \int_{\sigma(T)} z dE(z) \\ I &= \int_{\sigma(T)} dE(z) \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$P = \int_{\sigma(T)} \chi_{[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]}(z) dE(z) = E([1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon])$$

which is a projection. Then,

$$T - P = \int_{\sigma(T)} (z - \chi_{[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]}(z)) dE(z)$$

Let  $f = z - \chi_{[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]}(z)$ , defined on  $\sigma(T)$ . Now,  $\|f\|_\infty = \varepsilon$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - P\|^2 &= \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \left\langle \int f dE h \mid \int f dE h \right\rangle \\ &= \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \left\langle \int \bar{f} dE \int f dE h \mid h \right\rangle \\ &= \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \left\langle \int |f|^2 dE h \mid h \right\rangle \\ &= \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \int |f|^2 d\mu_{h,h} \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \| |f|^2 \|_\infty \int d\mu_{h,h} \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \| |f| \|_\infty^2 \int d\mu_{h,h} \\ &= \sup_{h \in H, \|h\|=1} \| |f| \|_\infty^2 \|h\|^2 = \| |f| \|_\infty^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_{h,g}$  is the induced (complex) measure defined as

$$\mu_{h,g}(S) = \langle E(S)h \mid g \rangle$$

Thus,

$$\|T - P\| \leq \|f\|_\infty = \varepsilon$$

as desired. □



## PROBLEM 7

Let  $H$  be a separable complex Hilbert space,  $T \in B(H)$  self-adjoint, and suppose  $T$  is invertible. Find a continuous path (with respect to the norm topology) from  $T$  to  $I$  that stays in  $GL(H) \subset B(H)$  the invertible elements of  $B(H)$ . Can we also ensure the path stays in the self-adjoint subset of  $GL(H)$ ?

*Proof.* Recall that every operator  $T \in B(H)$ ,  $T$  has a polar decomposition

$$T = PU$$

where  $P$  is positive and  $U$  is a partial isometry. Furthermore, if  $T$  is invertible, then  $U$  is unitary. So, let  $T$  be decomposed as such. Furthermore, we write

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \exp(Q) \\ U &= \exp(iH) \end{aligned}$$

for self-adjoint  $Q$  and  $H$  ( $Q$  is just  $\log(P)$ , and  $H$  is guaranteed to exist for unitary operators as the generator for  $U$ ).

Now, we can write

$$T = \exp(Q + iH)$$

and define a one-parameter group of operators

$$T_t = \exp(t(Q + iH))$$

with the property that  $T_0 = I$ ,  $T_1 = T$ , and  $T_t T_{-t} = I$  for all  $t$ . Thus, this one-parameter group is a subset of  $GL(H)$ , and has endpoints at  $I$  and  $T$ , as desired. Furthermore, it is continuous in  $T$ , since

$$T_t = \exp(tQ) \exp(tiH)$$

and  $\exp(tQ)$ ,  $\exp(tiH)$  are both continuous with respect to  $t$ , so their product is as well.

Now, we cannot guarantee that any path will stay in the self-adjoint subset of  $GL(H)$ . Take for a counterexample  $B(H) = H = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $T = -1$ . The self-adjoint invertible operators in  $\mathbb{C}$  are  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , which has two path components.  $-1$  and  $1$  are not in the same path-component, so there cannot be a path that joins them in the self-adjoint subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

## PROBLEM 8

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space, and  $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$  a  $C^*$  algebra containing  $T$  a self-adjoint invertible operator. Prove that  $T^{-1}$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $C^*(T)$  is the minimal unital  $C^*$  algebra containing  $T$ . In particular,  $C^*(T) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . So, we will show that  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Recall that the continuous functional calculus gives us a isometric  $*$ -isomorphism (say,  $\gamma$ ) between  $C^*(T)$  and  $C(\sigma(T))$  in the sup norm. In particular, since  $T$  is invertible,  $0 \notin \sigma(T)$ , and so the function  $f(z) = z^{-1}$  is well-defined. Furthermore, we know that

$$\gamma(T) = z$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \gamma^{-1}(1) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}(zz^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}(z)\gamma^{-1}(z^{-1}) \\ &= T\gamma^{-1}(z^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\gamma^{-1}(z^{-1})$  is the inverse of  $T$ , as desired. Thus,  $T^{-1}$  is in  $C^*(T) \subset \mathcal{A}$ , as desired.  $\square$

## PROBLEM 9

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra containing  $T$  a self-adjoint operator. Let  $E = \overline{T(H)}$ . Prove that  $P_E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $W^*(T)$  is the minimal unital von Neumann algebra containing  $T$ . Thus,  $W^*(T) \subset \mathcal{M}$ . We will show that  $P_E \in W^*(T)$ .

Recall that the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators gives us a projection-valued measure on the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$ . Now, if  $T$  has trivial kernel, then  $E = H$ , and  $P_E = I$ , which is always in  $W^*(T)$  by definition. If  $T$  has a kernel, then  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ , and in particular (letting  $F$  be the projection-valued measure associated to  $T$ )

$$F(\{0\}) = P_{\ker(T)}$$

since  $0$  is in the point spectrum of  $T$ , and the projection-valued measure on singletons in the point spectrum yields the projection onto the associated eigenspace. Thus,

$$1 - P_{\ker(T)} = F(\chi_{\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}})$$

is projection onto  $\ker(T)^\perp = \overline{T(H)}$  and is in  $W^*(T)$  as desired. □