

Problem Set 1

Daniel Halmrast

January 23, 2018

PROBLEM 1

Construct an explicit deformation retraction of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to S^{n-1} .

Proof. The straight-line homotopy from v to $\frac{v}{\|v\|}$ satisfies the criteria for a deformation retract. Namely, the retract is given by

$$r : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$$
$$r(v) = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$$

With homotopy

$$F : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \times I \rightarrow S^{n-1}$$
$$F(v, t) = (1 - t)v + t \frac{v}{\|v\|}$$

□

PROBLEM 2

Show that a map homotopic to a homotopy equivalence is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map, which is homotopic to a homotopy equivalence $g : X \rightarrow Y$ with homotopy inverse $h : Y \rightarrow X$. That is, $g \circ h \simeq \mathbb{1}_Y$ and $h \circ g \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$. Furthermore, let $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ be the homotopy between f and g .

First, let's consider the map $h \circ f : X \rightarrow X$. We wish to show $h \circ f \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$. To do so, let's consider the homotopy

$$h \circ F : X \times I \rightarrow X$$

This is the composition of two continuous functions, and so it is continuous. Furthermore, since $F(0, x) = f(x)$ and $F(1, x) = g(x)$, this is actually a homotopy between $h \circ f$ and $h \circ g$. Now, since $h \circ f \simeq h \circ g \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$ and homotopy equivalence is an equivalence relation, it follows immediately that $h \circ f \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$.

Now, consider the map $f \circ h : Y \rightarrow Y$. We wish to show $f \circ h \simeq \mathbb{1}_Y$. To do so, consider the homotopy

$$F \circ (h \times \mathbb{1}_I) : Y \times I \rightarrow Y$$

It is easy to see this is a homotopy between $f \circ h$ and $g \circ h$, and so we have that $f \circ h \simeq g \circ h \simeq \mathbb{1}_Y$, and so $f \circ h \simeq \mathbb{1}_Y$, as desired. \square

PROBLEM 3

A deformation retraction in the weak sense of a space X to a subspace A is a homotopy $f_t : X \rightarrow X$ such that $f_0 = \mathbb{1}_X$, $f_1(X) \subset A$, and $f_t(A) \subset A$ for all t . Show that if X deformation retracts onto A in the weak sense, then the inclusion map $i : A \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $f_t : X \rightarrow X$ be a deformation retraction in the weak sense of X onto A , and let i be the inclusion map from A to X . We will show that $i \circ f_1 \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$ and that $f_1 \circ i \simeq \mathbb{1}_A$.

Considering $i \circ f_1$, we note that this is actually equal to f_1 , since the inclusion map is the identity on A , and f_1 maps into A . Now, f_1 is homotopic to f_0 which is equal to $\mathbb{1}_X$, and so by transitivity of homotopy equivalence, $f_1 \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$.

Now, let's consider $f_1 \circ i$. We note first that this is equal to $f_1|_A$, since i is the identity on A . Furthermore, the restrictions $f_t|_A$ define a homotopy from $f_1|_A$ to $f_0|_A$, and so we have that

$$f_1 \circ i = f_1|_A \simeq f_0|_A = \mathbb{1}_X|_A = \mathbb{1}_A$$

as desired. Thus, i is a homotopy equivalence with homotopy inverse f_1 . \square

PROBLEM 4

Show that if a space X deformation retracts to a point $x \in X$, then for each neighborhood U of x in X , there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x such that the inclusion map $V \rightarrow U$ is nullhomotopic.

Proof. Let $F : X \times I \rightarrow X$ be the deformation retraction of X onto $x_0 \in X$, and let U be a neighborhood of x_0 . Now, consider the open set

$$F^{-1}(U) \subset X \times I$$

Now, since $F(x_0, t) = x_0$ for all t , we know that $\{x_0\} \times I$ is in $F^{-1}(U)$. Applying the tube lemma, we find an open set V containing x_0 for which $V \times I \subset F^{-1}(U)$. In particular, this means that for all $v \in V$, we have that $F(v, t) \in U$ for all t .

Now we are ready to show that the inclusion map from V to U is nullhomotopic. We note that $F \circ (i \times \mathbb{1}_I) : V \times I \rightarrow U$ defines a homotopy from $f_0 \circ i = i$ to $f_1 \circ i = c_{x_0}$, where c_{x_0} is the constant function to x_0 . This is clear, since the image of i is $V \subset U$, and the image of V under F is always in U , as proved above. Thus, since the domain of F matches the image of i , and the image of F stays inside U , this is a well-defined homotopy.

Therefore, $i \simeq c_{x_0}$ as desired. \square

PROBLEM 5

Consider the subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as

$$X = [0, 1] \times \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{r\} \times [0, 1 - r]$$

PART A

Show that X deformation retracts to any point in $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$, but not any other point.

Proof. To construct the deformation retraction of X to a point in the interval $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$, we first note that X deformation retracts onto $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ via the straight-line homotopy along the y -axis. It is clear also that the unit interval deformation retracts to any point on it via the straight-line homotopy along the x -axis. Running the first homotopy for the first half time, and running the second homotopy for the second half time yields a deformation retraction of X onto a point in the interval $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$.

Now, consider a point x not in the base interval. Consider also a neighborhood U of x that does not intersect the base interval. Any neighborhood $V \subset U$ containing x will necessarily intersect at least one other stalk than the one x is in (since the rationals are dense in \mathbb{R}), and these stalks will not be connected, since V does not intersect the base interval. Thus, the inclusion map of V into U cannot be nullhomotopic, and by problem 4, we know that X therefore cannot deformation retract onto x . \square

PART B

Let Y be the subset of \mathbb{R}^2 that is the union of infinite copies of X in a zigzag pattern. Show that Y is contractible, but does not deformation retract onto any point.

Proof. To show that Y is contractible, we reference part c of this problem, which asserts the existence of a deformation retraction in the weak sense of Y onto the zigzag subspace Z . Now, problem 3 guarantees that if Y deformation retracts onto Z in the weak sense, then the inclusion $i : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, and thus Y and Z have the same homotopy type. However, Z is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} , which has the homotopy type of a point. Therefore, by transitivity of the homotopy equivalence, Y has the homotopy type of a point as well.

Now, we must show that Y does not deformation retract onto any point. To do so, we look at any point x in Y . If x is not in Z , the same argument from part a can be applied to show that Y cannot deformation retract onto x . If x is in Z , we observe that x is actually in a stalk of the copy of X running parallel to the line segment of Z that x is on. Noting then that x is on a stalk, we apply the same argument as the one in part a to see that Y cannot deformation retract onto x . \square

PART C

Let Z be the zigzag subspace of Y homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . Show that there is a deformation retraction in the weak sense of Y onto Z , but no true deformation retraction.

Proof. We can construct a deformation retraction in the weak sense explicitly for Y onto Z . For each stalk, we define its “direction of motion” to be towards Z , and on Z we define its “direction of motion” to be towards the right. Now, the deformation retraction in the weak sense sends points at constant velocity 1 along the direction of motion. Away from Z , this is clearly continuous, and on Z , we see that all points are moving at the same speed, so whatever stalks Z is close to are retracting at the same speed Z itself is moving. Thus, points stay close to each other, and the motion is continuous. This is a weak deformation retraction, since it does not fix any point in Z , but every point in Z gets mapped to (for example, by the point exactly one unit of length before it on Z).

However, there is no true deformation retraction of Y onto Z , since if there were, it could be concatenated with a deformation retraction of Z onto a point in Z to yield a deformation retraction of Y onto a point in $Z \subset Y$. However, this would contradict part b, and so no such deformation retraction can exist. \square

PROBLEM 6

Prove that the homotopy F from the proof of lemma 1.2 is continuous.

Proof. Recall from the proof of lemma 1.2 that we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \times I & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & Z \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ M_f \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & M_f \end{array}$$

Where \tilde{F} was previously defined, and F is such that the diagram commutes. Now, F is unique, since the nontrivial fibers of π are stable with respect to \tilde{F} . This is clear, since \tilde{F} is a homotopy relative to $(X \times 1) \amalg Y$, and so it fixes that subspace.

Now, we wish to show that F is continuous. That is, we wish to show that $F^{-1}(U)$ is open for every $U \in M_f$ open. Recall first that for a quotient space $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$, a subset $U \subset Y$ is open if and only if $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is open in X . Thus, to show that $F^{-1}(U)$ is open, we wish to show that $\pi^{-1} \circ F^{-1}(U)$ is open. However, by commutativity of the diagram, this amounts to showing that $\tilde{F}^{-1} \circ \pi^{-1}(U)$ is open.

Now, $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z by the definition of the quotient topology. Furthermore, since \tilde{F} is continuous, $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U))$ is also open. Thus, it follows that $F^{-1}(U)$ is open as well, and F is continuous. \square

PROBLEM 7

Show that for homotopies $F, G : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(x, 1) = G(x, 0)$, the concatenation homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ given by

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} F(x, 2t), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ G(x, 2(t - \frac{1}{2})), & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let us reinterpret the question. Consider the quotient space $(Z = X \times I_1 \amalg X \times I_2)/\sim$ for $(x, 1_1) \sim (x, 0_2)$ with $1_1 \in I_1, 0_2 \in I_2$. That is, glue two copies of $X \times I$ to each other along the surface $X \times \{1\}$ and $X \times \{0\}$.

Now, this space is homeomorphic to $X \times I$ via the homeomorphism that sends (x, t) to $(x, \frac{t}{2})$. Furthermore, we can define \tilde{H} on Z as

$$\tilde{H}(x, t) = \begin{cases} F(x, t), & t \in I_1 \\ G(x, t), & t \in I_2 \end{cases}$$

This function will be well-defined with respect to the quotient, since if $(x, t_1) \sim (x, t_2)$, then it must be that $t_1 = 1, t_2 = 0$, and we are guaranteed that $F(x, 1) = G(x, 0)$. That is, \tilde{H} is constant on the nontrivial fibers of the quotient map.

Therefore, we can construct a map $H' : Z/\sim \rightarrow Y$ to be the unique function that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}} & Y \\ \downarrow \pi & \nearrow H' & \\ Z/\sim & & \end{array}$$

commute. It is easy to see that such an H' is continuous. First, observe that \tilde{H} is continuous, since it maps from the coproduct space Z and is continuous on each copy of $X \times I$. The universal property of coproducts then guarantees that \tilde{H} itself is continuous. Then, applying a similar argument to the one in problem 6, we find that H' is continuous as well.

It is clear that H and H' coincide up to homeomorphism. That is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z/\sim & \xrightarrow{H'} & Y \\ \downarrow \cong & \nearrow H & \\ X \times I & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Now, since H' is continuous, it follows immediately that H is continuous as well. □