

---

# Midterm

---

Daniel Halmrast

March 7, 2018

## PROBLEM 1

Construct explicitly a linear isometric bijection between  $\ell^1$  and  $c_0^*$ .

*Proof.* We define the linear bijection as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \ell^1 &\rightarrow c_0^* \\ \phi(y) &= (x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n x_n) \end{aligned}$$

First, we observe that  $\phi(y) \in c_0^*$ . To see this, note that  $\phi(y)$  is clearly linear, since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y)(\alpha x + \beta z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n (\alpha x_n + \beta z_n) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n y_n \\ &= \alpha \phi(y)(x) + \beta \phi(y)(z) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next, we show that  $\phi(y)$  is bounded. To see this, we compute directly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(y)(x)\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n x_n \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|y_n x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x\|_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|y_n\| \\ &= \|x\|_{\infty} \|y\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\phi(y)$  is bounded. Therefore,  $\phi(y) \in c_0^*$ .

Now, we show that  $\phi$  is a linear isometric bijection. First, observe that  $\phi$  is linear, since

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha y + \beta z) &= \left( x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha y_n + \beta z_n) x_n \right) = \left( x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha y_n x_n + \beta z_n x_n) \right) \\ &= \left( x \mapsto \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n x_n + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n x_n \right) \\ &= \alpha \phi(y) + \beta \phi(z)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that  $\phi$  is an isometry. From the proof that  $\phi(y)$  is bounded, we ascertained that

$$\|\phi(y)\| \leq \|y\|_1$$

Now, we just need to show that there is a sequence of elements  $x_i \in c_0$  of norm 1 for which  $\|\phi(y)(x_i)\| \rightarrow \|y\|_1$ .

We define  $(x_i)_n$  as

$$(x_i)_n = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(y_n), & n \leq i \\ 0, & n > i \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi(y)(x_i)| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n (x_i)_n \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^i y_n \text{sign}(y_n) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^i |y_n| \right| \\ &= \|y\|_1 - \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} |y_n|\end{aligned}$$

and since  $y \in \ell^1$ , we know that the tail goes to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\lim_i |\phi(y)(x_i)| = \|y\|_1$  as desired.

Clearly,  $\phi$  is a linear isometry. Now, we just need to show its bijective.

To see  $\phi$  is injective, we just need to show its kernel is trivial. So, suppose  $y \in \ell^1$  is such that  $\phi(y)$  is the zero map. This means that for all  $x \in c_0$ ,  $\phi(y)(x) = 0$ . So, consider the standard basis sequences  $e_i$  with a one in the  $i$ th spot, and zeroes elsewhere. Since

$$\phi(y)(e_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n (e_i)_n = y_i$$

it follows that  $\phi(y) = 0$  implies that  $y_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , and thus  $y = 0$ . So, the kernel is trivial, and  $\phi$  is injective.

Now, let  $f \in c_0^*$ . Define a sequence  $y$  as  $y_i = f(e_i)$  where  $e_i$  is the standard basis sequence defined above. Then, since every sequence in  $c_0$  can be uniquely written as a linear combination of  $e_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= f\left(\sum x^i e_i\right) \\ &= \sum x^i f(e_i) \quad \text{by linearity of } f \\ &= \sum x^i y_i \\ &= \phi(y)(x)\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\phi$  is surjective.

Thus,  $\phi$  is a linear isometric bijection, as desired.

□

## PROBLEM 2

Prove that  $c_0$  is not reflexive.

*Proof.* This proof relies on the following useful lemma

**Lemma 1.** *For  $X, Y$  normed linear spaces, if  $X \cong Y$  by a linear isometry, then  $X^* \cong Y^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear isometric bijection between  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then, for each bounded linear functional  $f \in Y^*$ , the pullback  $\phi^*(f) = f \circ \phi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $X$ . The fact that  $\phi^*(f)$  is linear is clear, since it is the composition of linear functions. Furthermore,  $\phi^*(f)$  is clearly bounded, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^*(f)(x)\| &= \|f(\phi(x))\| \\ &\leq \|\phi(x)\| \|f\| \\ &= \|x\| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\phi^*$  defines a map from  $Y^*$  to  $X^*$ . It should be clear that this is an isometry, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^*(f)\| &= \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} \|\phi^*(f)(x)\| \\ &= \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} \|f(\phi(x))\| \\ &= \sup_{y \in Y, \|y\|=1} \|f(y)\| \quad \text{since } \phi \text{ an isometric bijection} \\ &= \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

By the symmetry of this problem  $\phi^{-1}$  also induces an isometry  $\phi^{-1*} : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ . This map clearly inverts  $\phi^*$ , since

$$\phi^{-1*} \circ \phi^*(f) = (y \mapsto f(\phi(\phi^{-1}(y)))) = y \mapsto f(y) = f$$

and thus  $\phi^*$  is a linear isometric bijection between  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  as desired. □

Thus, since  $\ell^1 \cong c_0^*$ , we have that

$$\ell^{1*} \cong c_0^{**}$$

but  $\ell^{1*} \cong \ell^\infty \not\cong c_0$  and so  $c_0 \not\cong c_0^{**}$  and thus is not reflexive. □

### PROBLEM 3

For  $X$  a Banach space, suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$  strongly for  $x_n, x \in X$ , and  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in weak-\* for  $\phi_n, \phi \in X^*$ . Prove that  $\phi_n(x_n) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ . Prove by counterexample that this does not hold if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly.

*Proof.* We wish to evaluate  $\lim_n \|\phi_n(x_n) - \phi(x)\|$ , which we will directly show is zero. So, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary, and let  $N$  be such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$  (we can do this, since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  strongly, and  $\phi_n(x) \rightarrow \phi(x)$  since  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in weak-\*). Now, since  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in weak-\*, we know the set  $\{\phi_n\}$  is strongly bounded (we proved this in homework 5). Let  $C$  be such a bound. That is, for all  $n$ ,  $\|\phi_n\| \leq C$ . Then, we have for  $n > N$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_n(x_n) - \phi(x)\| &= \|\phi_n(x_n) - \phi_n(x) + \phi_n(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \|\phi_n(x_n) - \phi_n(x)\| + \|\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)\| \\ &= \|\phi_n(x_n - x)\| + \|\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\phi_n\| \|x_n - x\| + \|\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)\| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2C\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and thus,  $\lim_n \|\phi_n(x_n) - \phi(x)\| = 0$  as desired.

Now for a counterexample to show this does not hold if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly. Let  $X = \ell^1$ , and let  $x_n = e_n$  the standard basis sequences. Furthermore, let  $\phi_n((x_i)) = x_n = \sum (e_n)_i x_i$ . Now,  $\phi_n$  can be identified with the sequence  $e_n$ , which is in  $\ell^\infty$  and thus represents an element of  $\ell^{1*}$ . However,  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$  in weak and weak-\* (respectively), but  $\phi_n(x_n) = 1$  for all  $n$ , which converges to  $1 \neq \phi(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

## PROBLEM 4

Show that  $X^*$  separates points.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  be distinct points. We wish to find a functional  $\phi \in X^*$  for which  $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$ . We will consider two cases.

First, suppose  $y = \lambda_0 x$  for some scalar  $\lambda_0$ . Then, construct  $\phi$  on  $\text{span}(x)$  as

$$\phi(\lambda x) = \lambda$$

Clearly, this is a bounded linear functional, since

$$\|\phi(\lambda x)\| = |\lambda| = \frac{|\lambda|\|x\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|\lambda x\|$$

Thus, we can extend it to the whole space  $X$  using Hahn-Banach. This defines a functional  $\phi \in X^*$  with  $\phi(x) = 1$  and  $\phi(y) = \lambda_0$ , and so  $\phi$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  as desired.

Now, suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent. Again, we define a functional on  $\text{span}(x) \oplus \text{span}(y)$  as

$$\phi(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha$$

clearly this is a bounded linear functional, since it is just projection onto  $\text{span}(x)$  composed with the bounded linear functional defined before. Since the projection operator is a bounded linear operator, it follows that the composition is a bounded linear operator into  $\mathbb{C}$  i.e. a bounded linear functional.

Thus,  $\phi$  can be extended to the whole space, and  $\phi(x) = 1$ , and  $\phi(y) = 0$ . Thus,  $\phi$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  as desired.  $\square$