#### Analysis

# Homework 3

## Daniel Halmrast

April 23, 2018

### Problem 1

Prove that for X a compact metric space, the multiplicative linear functionals on C(X) are exactly the point evaluation functionals

$$\delta_x(f) = f(x)$$

*Proof.* We first establish the following result:

**Lemma 1.** For every multiplicative linear functional  $\phi$  on a unital Banach algebra  $\mathscr{A}$ , the kernel of  $\phi$  is a maximal ideal in  $\mathscr{A}$ . Conversely, every maximal ideal in  $\mathscr{A}$  is the kernel of some multiplicative linear functional.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a multiplicative linear functional on  $\mathscr{A}$ . We know that  $\ker(\phi)$  is a closed ideal in  $\mathscr{A}$ , since it is the kernel of an algebra homomorphism. Furthermore, this ideal is maximal. This follows from the fact that  $\operatorname{im}(\phi) = \mathbb{C} \cong \mathscr{A}/\ker(\phi)$ , which has dimension one (here, we used the fact that  $\phi \neq 0$ , since the zero functional is not multiplicative since it has to send I to 1).

That is, we have shown that for  $\phi$  a multiplicative linear functional on  $\mathscr{A}$ ,  $\ker(\phi)$  is a maximal ideal in  $\mathscr{A}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We examine the space  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}$ . Specifically, we show that for each nonzero  $X + \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}$ ,  $X + \mathcal{M}$  is invertible. This follows from the fact that the ideal

$$\mathcal{J}_X = \{AX + Y \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, Y \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

properly contains

$$\mathscr{M} = \{0X + Y \mid Y \in \mathscr{M}\}\$$

and so  $\mathcal{J}_X = \mathscr{A}$  by maximality of  $\mathscr{M}$ . Thus, there is some  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  and  $Y \in \mathscr{M}$  with

$$AX + Y = I$$

and so  $X+\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. We finally observe that this implies that  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}\cong\mathbb{C}$  isometrically. This can be seen directly. For ease of notation, we denote  $X:=X+\mathcal{M}\in\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}$ . Now, we know that

$$\sigma(X) \neq \emptyset$$

However, since each  $X \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}$  that is nonzero is invertible, the spectrum can contain at most one element. This is because at most one of

$$X - \lambda_1 I$$

$$X - \lambda_2 I$$

is zero, and the other must be invertible. Thus,  $\sigma(X) = \{\lambda\}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\Phi(X) = \lambda \in \sigma(X)$$

is easily seen to be a bijective multiplicative linear isometry.

Putting it all together, let  $q: \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}/\mathscr{M}$  be the canonical quotient map. Then, the map

$$\Phi \circ q : \mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a multiplicative linear functional with kernel  $\mathcal{M}$ , as desired.

With this lemma, the problem is easy. To characterize the multiplicative linear functionals on C(X), we just need to characterize its maximal ideals. Specifically, we will show that the maximal ideals of C(X) are

$$\mathcal{M}_x = \{ f \in C(X) \mid f(x) = 0 \}$$

That is,  $\mathcal{M}_x$  is the set of functions that vanish at x.

We first show that  $\mathcal{M}_x$  is maximal (the fact that it is an ideal is clear). To see this, suppose  $\mathscr{I}$  is another ideal containing  $\mathcal{M}_x$  with  $\mathscr{I} \neq \mathcal{M}_x$ . Then, there is some  $f \in \mathscr{I}$  with f(x) > 0. Now, we also know that there is some  $g \in C(X)$  with  $g^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{x\}$ . That is, g vanishes only at x. We can also force g(y) > 0 for all  $y \neq x$ .

Thus  $f, g \in \mathscr{I}$ , and thus so is f + g. Furthermore, by construction  $f + g \neq 0$ , and so  $\frac{1}{f+g}$  is well-defined. Thus, f + g has an inverse in C(X), and since  $f + g \in \mathscr{I}$ ,  $\mathscr{I} = C(X)$  and  $\mathscr{M}_x$  is a maximal ideal, as desired.

We can also show that these are the only maximal ideals. Suppose  $\mathscr{I}$  is a maximal ideal such that for each  $x \in X$ , there is some  $f_x \in \mathscr{I}$  with  $f_x(x) = 0$ . Since each  $f_x$  is continuous, there is a neighborhood  $U_x$  around x for which  $f_x$  is nonzero in  $U_x$ . This forms an open cover of X, which has a finite subcover indexed by  $x_i$ . Now, take the function

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_{x_i})^2$$

which is a finite sum and product of things in  $\mathscr{I}$ , and is thus in  $\mathscr{I}$ . However,  $F(y) \neq 0$  for all  $y \in X$ , and so F(y) is invertible. Thus,  $\mathscr{I} = C(X)$ .

Thus, all maximal ideals of C(X) are of the form  $\mathcal{M}_x$ . Each multiplicative linear functional  $\phi_x$ , then, has kernel  $\mathcal{M}_x$  and is thus of the form

$$\phi_x(f) = f(x)$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

### PROBLEM 2

Prove that these functionals are exactly the extreme points of K, the positive part of the unit ball in  $C(X)^*$ .

*Proof.* We first show that these are extreme points of K. To see this, suppose  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in K$  with

$$ev_x = \phi_x = t\psi_1 + (1-t)\psi_2$$

We wish to show  $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \phi_x$ . To do so, we invoke the Riesz-Markov theorem to translate into a statement about measures. That is, the statement above is equivalent to

$$\delta_x = t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_2$$

where we know that  $\|\mu_1(X)\| = \|\mu_2(X)\| = 1$ . However, this means that for all  $E \subset X$ ,

$$\delta_x(E) = t\mu_1(E) + (1-t)\mu_2(E)$$

which, when considering the cases  $x \in E$  and  $x \notin E$ , we see that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \delta_x$ , and thus ev<sub>x</sub> is an extreme point.

Next, we show that these are all the extreme points. To see this, suppose  $\mu \in C(X)^*$  with  $\mu \neq \delta_x$  for any x. In particular, we know that we can find  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$  such that  $X = S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ , and  $\mu(S_1), \mu(S_2) > 0$ . Then, we have

$$\mu = \frac{\mu(S_1)}{\mu(X)} \left( \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(S_1)} \chi_{S_1} \mu \right) + \frac{\mu(S_2)}{\mu(X)} \left( \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(S_2)} \chi_{S_2} \mu \right)$$

<++>