
Homework 1

Daniel Halmrast

October 11, 2018

PROBLEM 1

Show that multiparticle nonrelativistic quantum can be recovered from QFT. Namely, define

$$H = \int d^3x a^\dagger(x) \left(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U(x) \right) a(x) + \int d^3x d^3y V(x-y) a^\dagger(x) a^\dagger(y) a(y) a(x)$$

and

$$|\psi, t\rangle = \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n; t) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) |0\rangle$$

We will show that $|\psi, t\rangle$ satisfies the abstract Schrodinger equation if and only if ψ satisfies the Schrodinger equation

$$i\partial_t \psi = H\psi$$

for

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + U(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} V(x_i - x_j)$$

We calculate $H|\psi, t\rangle$ directly in three parts. That is:

$$\begin{aligned} H|\psi, t\rangle &= \int d^3x a^\dagger(x) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 a(x) |\psi, t\rangle \\ &\quad + \int d^3x a^\dagger(x) U(x) a(x) |\psi, t\rangle \\ &\quad + \int d^3x d^3y V(x-y) a^\dagger(x) a^\dagger(y) a(y) a(x) |\psi, t\rangle \end{aligned}$$

For this calculation, we'll use the fact that

$$a(x) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1}_{\pm} \delta(x-x_i) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) + (-1)^n_{\pm} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) a(x)$$

where $(-1)^n_{\pm}$ indicates the $(-1)^n$ only appears in the fermionic calculation, and $a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i)$ indicates that the i th creation operator is omitted. This is calculated by iterated application of the rule

$$a(x) a^\dagger(y) = \pm a^\dagger(y) a(x) + \delta(x-y)$$

with a plus sign for bosonic calculations, and a minus sign for fermionic calculations.

We can now calculate directly the three components of $H|\psi, t\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d^3x a^\dagger(x) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 a(x) |\psi, t\rangle &= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3x a^\dagger(x) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 a(x) \psi a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3x a^\dagger(x) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \delta(x - x_i) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) + (-1)^n a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) a(x) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3x a^\dagger(x) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \delta(x - x_i) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \sum_{i=1}^n a^\dagger(x_i) \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 \psi \\
&\quad \left((-1)^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 \psi \left((-1)^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_i) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 \psi \left(a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we integrated over x , and the δ factors in the sum mean that integrating over x just swaps x with x_i . We also used integration by parts implicitly to go from line 4 and 5 to line 6 and 7 by shifting over the ∇^2 onto $a^\dagger(x)$ before integrating out the x , changing it to a $\nabla_i^2 a^\dagger(x_i)$, then integrating by parts again to move it back to ψ . Finally, in the fermionic calculation, we note that the factor of $(-1)^{i-1}$ disappears when we move $a^\dagger(x_i)$ across to the i th position, as it will pick up an extra factor of $(-1)^{i-1}$.

We carry the exact same calculations for the second integral, without the integration by parts, to find

$$\int d^3x a^\dagger(x) U(x) a(x) |\psi, t\rangle = \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \sum_{i=1}^n U(x_i) \psi a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n)$$

And finally, we calculate the third integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d^3x d^3y V(x-y) a^\dagger(x) a^\dagger(y) a(y) a(x) |\psi, t\rangle &= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3x d^3y \psi V(x-y) a^\dagger(x) a^\dagger(y) a(y) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)_{\pm}^{i-1} \delta(x-x_i) a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3y \sum_{i=1}^n \psi V(x_i-y) a^\dagger(x_i) a^\dagger(y) a(y) \\
&\quad \left((-1)_{\pm}^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3y \sum_{i=1}^n \psi V(x_i-y) (-1)_{\pm} a^\dagger(y) a^\dagger(x_i) a(y) \\
&\quad \left((-1)_{\pm}^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3y \sum_{i=1}^n \psi V(x_i-y) a^\dagger(y) (a(y) a^\dagger(x_i) \pm \delta(x_i-y)) \\
&\quad \left((-1)_{\pm}^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The first calculation was done identical to the other two integrals, and the second calculation was done by direct evaluation of the commutators. Now, if we integrate out y in the part of the integral with $\delta(x_i-y)$, we get a factor of $V(0) = 0$, and so that integral goes to zero. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3y \sum_{i=1}^n \psi V(x_i-y) a^\dagger(y) a(y) a^\dagger(x_i) \\
&\quad \left((-1)_{\pm}^{i-1} a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(\hat{x}_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n d^3y \sum_{i=1}^n \psi V(x_i-y) a^\dagger(y) a(y) \\
&\quad \left(a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_i) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We then carry the exact same calculation out for y , integrating out the factors of δ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \psi V(x_i-x_j) \\
&\quad \left(a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \right) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus, since

$$i\partial_t |\psi, t\rangle = \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n i\partial_t \psi a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n)$$

we equate both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n i\partial_t \psi a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n) \\
&= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + U(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} V(x_i-x_j) \right) \psi a^\dagger(x_1) \dots a^\dagger(x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Equating integrands yields the desired result. Thus, the abstract Schrodinger equation is solved if and only if ψ solves the regular Schrodinger equation.

PROBLEM 2

Show that the infinitesimal Lorentz transformations are antisymmetric.

We expand directly using $\Lambda_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu + \delta\omega_\nu^\mu$ and omitting products of infinitesimals as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda_\rho^\mu\Lambda_\sigma^\nu &= g_{\rho\sigma} \\ g_{\mu\nu}(\delta_\rho^\mu\delta_\sigma^\nu + \delta_\rho^\mu\delta\omega_\sigma^\nu + \delta_\sigma^\nu\delta\omega_\rho^\mu) &= g_{\rho\sigma} \\ g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\rho\nu}\delta\omega_\sigma^\nu + g_{\mu\sigma}\delta\omega_\rho^\mu &= g_{\rho\sigma} \\ g_{\rho\sigma} + \delta\omega_{\rho\sigma} + \delta\omega_{\sigma\rho} &= g_{\rho\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

and so it must be that $\delta\omega_{\rho\sigma} + \delta\omega_{\sigma\rho} = 0$ as desired.

PROBLEM 3

Derive the commutation relations on momentum creation and annihilation operators from the canonical commutation relations.

We know

$$a(k) = \int d^3x \exp(-ikx) (i\partial_t \phi(x) + \omega \phi(x))$$

and

$$\Pi(x) = \partial_t \phi(x)$$

along with the canonical commutation relations on ϕ and Π .

So, we calculate directly

$$\begin{aligned} a(k)a(k') &= \int d^3x \exp(-ikx) (i\partial_t \phi(x) + \omega \phi(x)) \int d^3x' \exp(-ik'x') (i\partial_t \phi(x') + \omega \phi(x')) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) (i\Pi(x) + \omega \phi(x)) \exp(-ik'x') (i\Pi(x') + \omega \phi(x')) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(-ik'x') (i\Pi(x)i\Pi(x') + i\Pi(x)\omega' \phi(x') + \omega \phi(x)i\Pi(x') + \omega\omega' \phi(x)\phi(x')) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(-ik'x') (i\Pi(x')i\Pi(x) + i\Pi(x')\omega \phi(x) + i\omega \delta(x-x') \\ &\quad + \omega' \phi(x')i\Pi(x) + i\omega' \delta(x-x') + \omega\omega' \phi(x')\phi(x)) \\ &= a(k')a(k) + \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(-ik'x') (i(\omega + \omega')\delta(x-x')) \\ &= a(k')a(k) + \int d^3x \exp(-ikx) \exp(-ik'x) i(\omega + \omega') \\ &= a(k')a(k) \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral disappeared because $\exp(i(k+k')x)$ disappears on a symmetric domain. The exact same argument is made for $a^\dagger(k)a^\dagger(k')$ just by complex conjugation.

Thus, all we have to show is the final commutator. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} a(k)a^\dagger(k') &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(ik'x') (i\Pi(x) + \omega \phi(x)) (-i\Pi(x') + \omega' \phi(x')) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(ik'x') (i\Pi(x)(-i\Pi(x')) \\ &\quad + i\Pi(x)\omega' \phi(x') + \omega \phi(x)(-i\Pi(x')) + \omega \phi(x)\omega' \phi(x')) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(ik'x') (-i\Pi(x')i\Pi(x) \\ &\quad + \omega' \phi(x')i\Pi(x) - i\omega' \delta(x-x') + (-i\Pi(x'))\omega \phi(x) - i\omega i\delta(x-x') + \omega' \phi(x')\omega \phi(x)) \\ &= \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(ik'x') (-i\Pi(x')i\Pi(x) \\ &\quad + \omega' \phi(x')i\Pi(x) + \omega' \delta(x-x') + (-i\Pi(x'))\omega \phi(x) + \omega \delta(x-x') + \omega' \phi(x')\omega \phi(x)) \\ &= a^\dagger(k')a(k) + \int d^3x d^3x' \exp(-ikx) \exp(ik'x') (\omega + \omega')\delta(x-x') \\ &= a^\dagger(k')a(k) + \int d^3x \exp(i(k'-k)x) (\omega' + \omega) \\ &= a^\dagger(k')a(k) + (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(k-k') \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

PROBLEM 4

PART A

Find an expression for $[\phi(x), P^i]$.

We carry out two expansions here on a . First:

$$\phi(x - a) = \phi(x) - \partial_\mu \phi(x) a^\mu + O(a^2)$$

and

$$T(a) = I + iP^\mu a_\mu$$

From the expression for the $T(a)$ action on $\phi(x)$ we know that (by killing $O(a^2)$ terms)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x)T(a) &= T(a)\phi(x - a) \\ \phi(x)T(a) &= T(a)(\phi(x) - \partial_\mu \phi(x) a^\mu) \\ \phi(x)(I + iP^\mu a_\mu) &= (I + iP^\mu a_\mu)\phi(x) - (I + iP^\mu a_\mu)\partial_\nu \phi(x) a^\nu \\ \phi(x)iP^\mu a_\mu &= iP^\mu a_\mu \phi(x) - \partial^\mu \phi(x) a_\mu \\ \phi(x)P^\mu a_\mu &= P^\mu a_\mu \phi(x) + i\partial^\mu \phi(x) a_\mu\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[\phi(x), P^\mu] = i\partial^\mu \phi(x)$$

as desired.

PART B

Show the time component of the calculation above is the Heisenberg equation of motion.

This follows immediately, noting that $P^0 = H$, and so

$$[\phi(x), H] = i\partial^0 \phi(x) = i\partial_t \phi(x)$$

as desired.

PART C

Derive the Klein-Gordon equation from the Heisenberg equation for a free particle.

We'll use the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int \tilde{d}k \omega a^\dagger(k) a(k)$$

along with the free-field expression

$$\phi(x) = \int \tilde{d}k (a(k) \exp(ikx) + a^\dagger(k) \exp(-ikx))$$

as derived in the text. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
i\dot{\phi}(x) &= [\phi(x), H] \\
&= \phi(x)H - H\phi(x) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k a(k) \exp(ikx) + a^\dagger(k) \exp(-ikx) \int \tilde{d}k \omega a^\dagger(k) a(k) \\
&\quad - \int \tilde{d}k \omega a^\dagger(k) a(k) \int \tilde{d}k' (a(k') \exp(ik'x) + a^\dagger(k') \exp(-ik'x)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) (a(k) a^\dagger(k') a(k') - a^\dagger(k') a(k') a(k)) \\
&\quad + \omega \exp(-ikx) (a^\dagger(k) a^\dagger(k') a(k') - a^\dagger(k') a(k') a^\dagger(k)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) (a(k) a^\dagger(k') a(k') - a^\dagger(k') a(k) a(k')) \\
&\quad + \omega \exp(-ikx) (a^\dagger(k') a^\dagger(k) a(k') - a^\dagger(k') a(k') a^\dagger(k)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) (a(k) a^\dagger(k') - a^\dagger(k') a(k)) a(k') \\
&\quad + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k') (a^\dagger(k) a(k') - a(k') a^\dagger(k)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) ([a(k), a^\dagger(k')]) a(k') \\
&\quad + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k') ([a^\dagger(k), a(k')]) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) ((2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(k - k')) a(k') \\
&\quad + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k') (- (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(k - k')) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \omega \exp(ikx) ((2\pi)^3 2\omega) a(k) \\
&\quad - \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k) ((2\pi)^3 2\omega) \\
&= (2\pi)^3 2\omega^2 \left(\int \tilde{d}k (a(k) \exp(ikx) - a^\dagger(k) \exp(-ikx)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\dot{\phi}(x) = (2\pi)^3 2\omega^2 \left(\int \tilde{d}k (-ia(k) \exp(ikx) + ia^\dagger(k) \exp(-ikx)) \right)$$

we can carry the exact same calculations out to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
i\ddot{\phi}(x) &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega^2 \left(\int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) ([-ia(k), a^\dagger(k')]) a(k') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k') ([ia^\dagger(k), a(k')]) \right) \\
&= (2\pi)^3 2\omega^2 \left(\int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' \omega \exp(ikx) (-i(2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(k - k')) a(k') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k') (-i(2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta(k - k')) \right) \\
&= (2\pi)^3 2\omega^2 \left(\int \tilde{d}k \omega \exp(ikx) (-i(2\pi)^3 2\omega) a(k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \omega \exp(-ikx) a^\dagger(k) (-i(2\pi)^3 2\omega) \right) \\
&= -i(2\pi)^6 4\omega^4 \phi(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\phi} &= -(2\pi)^6 4\omega^4 \phi(x) \\
&= -(2\pi)^6 4(k^2 + m^2)^2 \phi(x) \\
&= -((2\pi)^3)^2 4(k^4 + m^4 + 2k^2 m^2) \phi(x)
\end{aligned}$$

which (I think) reduces to the Klein-Gordon equation.

PART D

We compute the commutator directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) \int d^3x' \Pi(x') \nabla \phi(x') &= \int d^3x' \phi(x) \Pi(x') \nabla \phi(x') \\
&= \int d^3x' (\Pi(x') \phi(x) + i\delta(x - x')) \nabla \phi(x') \\
&= \int d^3x' (\Pi(x') \phi(x) \nabla \phi(x') + i\delta(x - x') \nabla \phi(x')) \\
&= \int d^3x' \Pi(x') \nabla \phi(x') \phi(x) + i \nabla \phi(x) \\
&= P \phi(x) + i \nabla \phi(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[\phi(x), P^i] = i \partial^i \phi(x)$$

as desired.

PART E

Express P in terms of momentum state creation and annihilation operators.

We do this directly.

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \int d^3x \Pi(x) \nabla \phi(x) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' d^3x (-i\omega a(k) \exp(ikx) + i\omega a^\dagger(k) \exp(-ikx)) (ik' a(k') \exp(ik'x) - ik' a^\dagger(k') \exp(-ik'x)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' d^3x (\omega k a(k) a(k') \exp(i(k + k')x) - \omega k a(k) a^\dagger(k') \exp(i(k - k')x) \\
&\quad - \omega k a^\dagger(k) a(k') \exp(i(k' - k)x) + \omega k a^\dagger(k) a^\dagger(k') \exp(-i(k + k')x)) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \tilde{d}k' (\omega k a(k) a(k') (2\pi)^3 \delta(k + k') - \omega k a(k) a^\dagger(k') (2\pi)^3 \delta(k - k') \\
&\quad - \omega k a^\dagger(k) a(k') (2\pi)^3 \delta(k - k') + \omega k a^\dagger(k) a^\dagger(k') (2\pi)^3 \delta(k + k')) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k (\omega k a(k) a(-k) (2\pi)^3 - \omega k a(k) a^\dagger(k) (2\pi)^3 \\
&\quad - \omega k a^\dagger(k) a(k) (2\pi)^3 + \omega k a^\dagger(k) a^\dagger(-k) (2\pi)^3) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k (-\omega k a(k) a^\dagger(k) (2\pi)^3 \\
&\quad - \omega k a^\dagger(k) a(k) (2\pi)^3) \\
&= \int \tilde{d}k \omega k (2\pi)^3 (-a(k) a^\dagger(k) + a^\dagger(k) a(k))
\end{aligned}$$