3D Tracking - Collision Detection/Avoidance

Contents

1 FP.1 Matching 3D Objects

Figure 1: Matching of bounding boxes. Same colors indicate bounding box match.

The matching of the bounding boxes detected by the Yolo neural network is keypoint based.

1. In a first step keypoints are detected, described, and matched between the previous and current frame. Then these matchings are associated to the bounding boxes to get a bounding box similiariy metric.

   This metric is calculated by iterating thorugh all keypoints of box i and the corresponding matches in box j. Then the distance of the corresponding points is summed:

   $$d_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{u \in \text{keyPtsBox}_i} \sum_{n \in \text{kptMatchBox}_j} \text{dist}(p_u - p_n), \tag{1}$$

   whereby N is the number of keypoint pairs considered. Moreover if there are not enough keypoint matches between two bounding boxes - no similiarity metric is calculated.

Figure 2: Matrix of bounding box similarities.

2. Then from the bounding box similiary metric table the most proimising correspondences are extraced. As a match the one with the highest similiary metric $d_{i,j}$ is choosen. The goal is a 1:1 correspondence, thus the matching of multiple boxes is not allowed.

The result of this procedure can be seen in figure 2. Mached bounding boxes are associated the same color.

2 FP.2 LidarBased TTC

Figure 3: Lidar points of the front vehicle in the go lane - in top view.

To calculate the TTC from lidar based measurements, the keypoints associated with the ego lane bounding box are evaluate - see figure 3. The formula for calculating the lidar based time to collision is

$$TTC_{lidar} = \bar{x}_k \frac{dt}{\bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k} \tag{2}$$

To gain some robustness against outliers, the center of the vehicle is calculated and only points near the vehicle center are taken into account. Furthermore the lidar points are sorted in an ascending order (closest first) and the 0.6 percentile is taken. Maybe Ransac would also be an option here.

3 FP.3 Associate Keypoint Correspondences with Bounding Boxes

To associate keypoints to bounding boxes all keypoint matches are iterated. Then the keypoints that are within the bounding box ROI are kept. This criteria is checked using the contain method of OpenCV.

```
for (auto match : kptMatches)
{ // loop through kptMatches
    cv::KeyPoint kptCurrent = kptsCurr[match.trainIdx];

    if (boundingBox.roi.contains(kptCurrent.pt))
    { // current match is within bounding box
        boundingBox.keypoints.push_back(kptCurrent);
        boundingBox.kptMatches.push_back(match);
    }
}
```

4 FP.4 CameraBased TTC

(a) relative distances between keypoints in an image

(b) selected keypoint distances between successive frames

Figure 4: Keypoint count and size distribution - plotted for first image

To compute the camera TTC - the keypoint matches from one bounding box are considered. Then the distance ratios between all keypoints of the matches associated with the bounding box are calculated

$$r = \frac{d_{i,j,curr}}{d_{i,j,prev}} = \frac{|p_{i,curr} - p_{j,curr}|}{|p_{i,prev} - p_{j,prev}|} \tag{3}$$

With the distance ratios the TTC can be calculateds as.

$$TTC_{camera} = \frac{dt}{1 - \bar{r}}, \tag{4}$$

where by $\bar{r}$ is the median of all keypoint matches distance ratios.

# 5 Performance evaluation

Figure 5: Estimation of TTC from lidar and camera over image frame

6 FP.5 Performance Evaluation 1

The formula for calculating the lidar based time to collision is

$$TTC = \bar{x}_k \frac{dt}{\bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k} \tag{5}$$

In seen in figure 6 the Lidar TTC estimates seem pretty plausible. Errors are generally due to:

- constant velocity model
- surface shape/properties of reflecting object
- lidar/framerate accuracy
- partial occlusion
- outlier handling / angle of previous car

Figure 6: Estimation of TTC from lidar and camera over image frame

5

7 FP.5 Performance Evaluation 2

The TTC estimate for different detector descriptor combinations is shown in figure 7


Figure 7: Estimation of TTC from lidar and camera over image frame for different detector / descriptor combinations.

In general the camera estimates seem more consistent than the lidar estimate. But for some frames the TTC is largely off. The problem is mostly due to the keypoint descriptors / detectors and the matching algorithm. The following problems occure for camera based TTC estimation:

- erroneous matching
- changes in illumnation / viewpoint
- partial occlusion

In figure 8 one can see, that keypoints in the shadow are matched and even one in the tree. Cross matching (also match from previous to current frame and keep only intersection of matches) could help to aliviate that problem.


Figure 8: Example of erroneous matching.