## Twoway\_Tables.R

## monca016

Mon Oct 08 18:23:54 2018

```
# H0 for Shopping at X, Y, Neither
# and Saw Ad, Did Not See Ad
          Shopped
                    Shopped
                              Neither
                                        Sum
##
             at X
                       at Y
# Saw Ad |
              100
                        80
                               220
                                       400
# Did Not |
               25
                        20
                                55
                                        100
  # See Ad |
               125
                        100
                                 275
                                         500
# Multinomial: Testing K proportions for a single variable
  # An employer wants to know which days of the week employees are absent in a five day work week
  # The day of the week for a random sample of 60 absences was recorded
  # actual number of absences per day M-F
ObsCounts <- c(15, 12, 9, 9, 15)
OhsCounts
## [1] 15 12 9 9 15
  # expected number if all days are the same
  # This is just 60 / 5 = 12
ExpCounts <- rep(12, times = 5)</pre>
ExpCounts
## [1] 12 12 12 12 12
  # expected probabilities
ExpProb <- ExpCounts/sum(ExpCounts)</pre>
ExpProb
## [1] 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
  # Multinomial test
chisq.test(ObsCounts, p = ExpProb)
##
   Chi-squared test for given probabilities
## data: ObsCounts
## X-squared = 3, df = 4, p-value = 0.5578
  # cell by cell contributions to observed Chi-Square value: ((Obs-Exp)^2/E)
  # Higher values indicate where the largest contributions to the
  # X^2 value come from as an indication of where the
```

## [1] 0.75 0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75

# relationship is, if there is one

(chisq.test(ObsCounts, p = ExpProb)\$residuals)^2

```
library(readxl)
## Warning: package 'readxl' was built under R version 3.4.4
# Contigency Table
  # Transit Railroads is interested in the relationshpi between travel distances and the ticket class purchased.
  # A random sample of 200 passengers is taken.
Transit <- read_excel("Transit.xlsx", na = "NA", col_names = TRUE)</pre>
  # create and save contigency table
TransitTable <- table(Transit)</pre>
TransitTable
##
          Distance
## Class 1-100 101-200 201-300 301-400 401-500
           6 8 15
## First
                                     21
                                             10
            14
##
    Second
                     16
                             17
                                     14
                                             6
##
    Third
             21
                    18
                             16
                                    12
                                              6
 # Test of independence
chisq.test(TransitTable)
##
##
   Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: TransitTable
## X-squared = 15.923, df = 8, p-value = 0.0435
  # cell-by-cell contributions to observed Chi-Square value: ((Obs-Exp)^2/Exp)
(chisq.test(TransitTable)$residuals)^2
##
          Distance
## Class
                1-100
                        101-200
                                   201-300
                                              301-400
   First 3.22682927 1.67936508 0.02500000 3.37659574 1.75151515
  Second 0.00511285 0.26474058 0.05263682 0.19339632 0.25466757
## Third 2.43376044 0.46502935 0.13187215 1.54905421 0.51318804
  # cell-by-cell expected values
chisq.test(TransitTable)$expected
##
          Distance
## Class
           1-100 101-200 201-300 301-400 401-500
## First 12.300 12.60 14.40 14.100
                                            6.60
    Second 13.735 14.07 16.08 15.745
##
                                            7.37
    Third 14.965 15.33 17.52 17.155
                                            8.03
```

```
# R 5.1 Clinic
# A health clinic with 5 offices is open 7 days a week.
# Ops manager is currently maintaining the previous staffing level that is balanced on weekdays and reduced on Sat/Sun.
# Her predecessor claimed that patient demand is fairly level during the week and about 25% on Sat/Sun.
# Current manager suspects that the staff wants their weekends free
# She decides to study patient demand to see whether assumed demand pattern holds
# Ops manager takes random sample of 10 days for each day of the week over past year.
# For 70 days observed, actual and predicted patient counts by day are:
           0bs
                      Exp
# Sunday
           450
                      645
# Monday
           662
                      862
# Tuesday 831
                      862
# Wednesday 1042
                      862
# Thursday 1103
                      862
# Friday 1075
                      862
# Saturday 437
                      645
# Total
           5600
                      5600
Clinic_ObsCounts <- c(450, 662, 831, 1042, 1103, 1075, 437)
Clinic_ObsCounts
## [1] 450 662 831 1042 1103 1075 437
Clinic_ExpCounts <- c(645, 862, 862, 862, 862, 862, 645)
Clinic_ExpCounts
## [1] 645 862 862 862 862 862 645
Clinic_ExpProb <- Clinic_ExpCounts/sum(Clinic_ExpCounts)</pre>
Clinic_ExpProb
# A. State the appropriate hypothesis
# There is no relationship between the number of patients and the day of the week;
# Patient demand is fairly level during the week and 25% reduced on Saturday and Sunday
# B. Perform a goodness-of-fit test to determine whether the patient demand follows the predicted pattern.
chisq.test(Clinic_ObsCounts, p = Clinic_ExpProb)
```

```
##
## Chi-squared test for given probabilities
##
## data: Clinic_ObsCounts
## X-squared = 331.15, df = 6, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

## [1] 58.953488 46.403712 1.114849 37.587007 67.379350 52.632251 67.075969

(chisq.test(Clinic\_ObsCounts, p = Clinic\_ExpProb)\$residuals)^2

```
# C. What are the assumptions for the test? Check the assumptions as needed and possible.
  # Random sampling
  # Process stability
  # np >= 5, the sample size has to be big enough that our proportion size is at least 5 for all of my days of the week
# R 5.2 Gasoline
# An investigation of brand loyalty, members of a random sample of car owners were asked about the brand of
# gasoline in their last two purchases.
Gasoline <- read_excel("Gasoline.xlsx", na = "NA", col_names = TRUE)</pre>
GasolineTable <- table(Gasoline$`Second-last`, Gasoline$Last)</pre>
#GasolineTable <- table(Gasoline)</pre>
GasolineTable
##
##
        1 2 3 4
##
    1 47 14 27 14
    2 18 63 33 13
    3 26 48 59 16
    4 30 23 29 53
chisq.test(GasolineTable)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: GasolineTable
## X-squared = 114.12, df = 9, p-value < 2.2e-16
(chisq.test(GasolineTable)$residuals)^2
##
##
                                      3
   1 21.8764183 8.0874729 0.2001518 1.3561017
##
## 2 4.7713302 18.9654562 0.3614979 4.8770563
## 3 2.3792598 0.5847585 5.9655403 5.0642490
    4 0.1065681 6.5298009 2.5406117 30.4527412
# A. Is there evidence of a relationship between the brands of gasoline in successive fillups?
# B. What are the assumptions for the test? Check the assumptions as needed and possible.
  # Random sampling
  # Process stability
  # H0 is true
# C. What is the nature of the relationship, if it exists?
```