## Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 11: Object-oriented functional programming

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 31, 2022

### Overview

- We've now covered:
  - basics of functional programming (with semantics)
  - basics of modular and OO programming (via Scala examples)
- Today, finish discussion of "programming in the large":
  - some more advanced OO constructs
  - and how they co-exist with/support functional programming in Scala
  - list comprehensions as an extended example

### Advanced constructs

- So far, we've covered the "basic" OOP model (circa Java 1.0), plus some Scala-isms
- Modern languages extend this model in several ways
- We can define a structure (class/object/trait) inside another:
  - As a member of the enclosing class (tied to a specific instance)
  - or as a static member (shared across all instances)
  - As a local definition inside a method
  - As an anonymous local definition
- Java (since 1.5) and Scala support "generics"
   (parameterized types as well as polymorphic functions)
- Some languages also support mixins (e.g. Scala traits)



## Motivating inner class example

- A nested/inner class has access to the private/protected members of the containing class
- So, we can use nested classes to expose an interface associated with a specific object:

```
class List<A> {
  private A head;
  private List<A> tail;
  class ListIterator<A> implements Iterator<A> {
    ... (can access head, tail)
  }
}
```

## Classes/objects as members

 In Scala, classes and objects (and traits) can be nested arbitrarily

```
class A { object B { val x = 1 } }
scala> val a = new A

object C {class D { val x = 1 } }
scala> val d = new C.D

class E { class F { val x = 1 } }
scala> val e = new E
scala> val f = new e.F
```

### Local classes

 A local class (Java terminology) is a class that is defined inside a method

```
def foo(): Int = {
  val z = 1
  class X { val x = z + 1}
  return (new X).x
}
scala> foo()
res0: Int = 2
```

## Anonymous classes/objects

- Given an interface or parent class, we can define an anonymous instance without giving it an explicit name
- In Java, called an anonymous local class
- In Scala, looks like this:

```
abstract class Foo { def foo() : Int }
val foo1 = new Foo { def foo() = 42 }
```

 We can also give a local name to the instance (useful since this may be shadowed)

```
val foo2 = new Foo { self =>
  val x = 42
  def foo() = self.x
}
```

## Parameterized types

- As mentioned earlier, types can take parameters
- For example, List[A] has a type parameter A
- This is related to (but different from) polymorphism
  - A polymorphic function (like map) has a type that is parameterized by a given type.
  - A parameterized type (like List[\_]) is a type constructor: for every type T, it constructs a type List[T].

## Defining parameterized types

- In Scala, there are basically three ways to define parameterized types:
  - In a type abbreviation (NB: multiple parameters)

```
type Pair[A,B] = (A,B)
```

• in a (abstract) class definition

```
abstract class List[A]
case class Cons[A](head: A, tail: List[A])
     extends List[A]
```

in a trait definition

```
trait Stack[A] { ...
}
```

## Using parameterized types inside a structure

- The type parameters of a structure are implicitly available to all components of the structure.
- Thus, in the List[A] class, map, flatMap, filter are declared as follows:

```
abstract class List[A] {
    ...
    def map[B](f: A => B): List[B]
    def filter(p: A => Boolean): List[A]
    def flatMap[B](f: A => List[B]): List[B]
    // applies f to each element of this,
    // and concatenates results
}
```

## Parameterized types and subtyping

- By default, a type parameter is invariant
  - That is, neither covariant nor contravariant
- To indicate that a type parameter is covariant, we can prefix it with +

```
abstract class List[+A] // see tutorial 6
```

 To indicate that a type parameter is contravariant, we can prefix it with -

```
trait Fun[-A,+B] // see next few slides...
```

 Scala checks to make sure these variance annotations make sense! Advanced constructs

# Type bounds

- Type parameters can be given subtyping bounds
- For example, in an interface (that is, trait or abstract class) I:

```
type T <: C
```

says that abstract type member T is constrained to be a subtype of C.

- This is checked for any module implementing I
- Similarly, type parameters to function definitions, or class/trait definitions, can be bounded:

```
fun f[A <: C](...) = ...
class D[A <: C] { ... }
```

Upper bounds A >: U are also possible...





### Traits as mixins

- So far we have used Scala's trait keyword for "interfaces" (which can include type members, unlike Java)
- However, traits are considerably more powerful:
  - Traits can contain fields
  - Traits can provide ("default") method implementations
- This means traits provide a powerful form of modularity: mixin composition
  - Idea: a trait can specify extra fields and methods providing a "behavior"
  - Multiple traits can be "mixed in"; most recent definition "wins" (avoiding some problems of multipel inheritance)
- Java 8's support for "default" methods in interfaces also allows a form of mixin composition.

### Tastes terrific, and look at that shine!

Shimmer is a floor wax!

```
trait FloorWax { def clean(f: Floor) { ... } }
```

No, it's a delicious dessert topping!

```
trait TastyDessertTopping {
  val calories = 1000
  def addTo(d: Dessert) { d.addCal(calories) }
}
```

• In Scala, it can be both:

```
object Shimmer extends FloorWax
with TastyDessertTopping { ... }
```

### Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain...

- Scala bills itself as a "multi-paradigm" or "object-oriented, functional" language
- How do the "paradigms" actually fit together?
- Some features, such as case classes, are more obviously "object-oriented" versions of "functional" constructs
- Until now, we have pretended pairs,  $\lambda$ -abstractions, etc. are primitives in Scala
- They are not primitives; and they need to be implemented in a way compatible with Java/JVM assumptions
  - But how do they really work?

## Function types as interfaces

• Suppose we define the following interface:

```
trait Fun[-A,+B] { // A contravariant, B covariant
  def apply(x: A): B
}
```

- This says: an object implementing Fun[A,B] has an apply method
- Note: This is basically the Function trait in the Scala standard library!
  - Scala translates f(x) to f.apply(x)
  - Also, {x: T => e} is essentially syntactic sugar for new Function[Int,Int] {def apply(x:T) = e }!

### Iterators and collections in Java

 Java provides standard interfaces for iterators and collections

```
interface Iterator<E> {
 boolean hasNext()
 E next()
interface Collection<E> {
 Iterator<E> iterator()
```

 These allow programming over different types of collections in a more abstract way than "indexed for loop"

### Iterators and foreach loops

• Since Java 1.5, one can write the following:

```
for(Element x : coll) {
   ... do stuff with x ...
}
```

Provided coll implements the Collection<Element> interface

This is essentially syntactic sugar for:

```
for(Iterator<Element> i = coll.iterator();
   i.hasNext(); ) {
   Element x = i.next();
   ... do stuff with x ...
}
```

### foreach in Scala

 Scala has a similar for construct (with slightly different syntax)

```
for (x <- coll) { ... do something with x ... }
```

For example:

```
scala> for (x <- List(1,2,3)) { println(x) }
1
2
3</pre>
```

### foreach in Scala

 The construct for (x <- coll) { e } is syntactic sugar for:

```
coll.foreach{x \Rightarrow ... do something with x ...}
```

```
if x: T and coll has method foreach: (A \Rightarrow ()) \Rightarrow ()
```

- Scala expands for loops before checking that coll actually provides foreach of appropriate type
- If not, you get a somewhat mysterious error message...

```
scala> for (x <- 42) {println(x)}
<console>:11: error: value foreach is not a
  member of Int
```

# Comprehensions: Mapping

- Scala (in common with Haskell, Python, C#, F# and others) supports a rich "comprehension syntax"
- Example:

```
scala> for(x <- List("a","b","c")) yield (x + "z") res0: List[Int] = List(az,bz,cz)
```

This is shorthand for:

```
List("a","b","c").map{x => x + "z"}
```

where map[B](f: A => B): List[B] is a method of List[A].

 (In fact, this works for any object implementing such a method.)

# Comprehensions: Filtering

• Comprehensions can also include filters

This is shorthand for:

```
List("a","b","c").filter{x => x != "b"}
.map{x => x + "z"}
```

where filter(f: A => Boolean): List[A] is a method of List[A].

### Comprehensions: Multiple Generators

Comprehensions can also iterate over several lists

This is shorthand for:

```
List("a","b","c").flatMap{x =>
  List("a","b","c").flatMap{y =>
   if (x != y) List(x + y) else {Nil}}}
```

where flatMap(f: A => List[B]): List[B] is a method of List[A].

### Summary

- In the last few lectures we've covered
  - Modules and interfaces
  - Objects and classes
  - How they interact with subtyping, type abstraction
  - and how they can be used to implement "functional" features (particularly in Scala)
- This concludes our tour of "programming in the large"
- (though there is much more that could be said)
- Next time:
  - imperative programming