As we said, we can bring to bear all the mathematical tools neterned in vacuum. Let's do an example using the general to solution to Laplace's equation is spherical coordinates (with azimuthal Symmetry).

In alinear drelectors the bound change is proportional to the free change such that, PB = -D. P = -D. (8 KeD) = - (Ke) Pf If there's no he change in the naterial, then there's no bound volume change, all the net change resides at the surface. thus, TV=0 describes the potential. Our Boundary conditions are a bit different though,

Dahone - Dhelow = Of GEABONE Eathorse - Epelow Epelow = Of

or

Eathorse DVathorse - Epelow DV below = -Of The foliation is still continuous,

Valone = Vbelow

Phy481 BV publiques uf t Z Example! A homogenous linear dielectric sphere (rad, R) with E isplaced in a uniform electric field E=Eo2. Find E everywhere!

Laplace's equation applies because the dielectric is linear. We will use sphrical Coordinates for this, but first let's unite out our Boundary Conditions!

Viu = Vout at r=R

Vout -> - Eor coso for r>R (as before)

Edvin = to dvout why? ble there's no free change!

V(1,0) = I (Azr + Be/re+1) Pelcoso)

r<R: All the Bes > 0 b/c most be finite! Vin = I Aerl Pe(coro)

r>R: All the Als >0 b/c must be finite (except l=1) >> b/c Boundary Condition

Vout = -Forcoso + 2 Be/19+1 Pe(coso)

Let's match Vin & Vout at r=R

I Ae Re Pe (1080) = - FOR (1080 + I Ret Pe (1080)

For $l \neq 1$, $A_{l}R^{l}P_{l}(cos\theta) = \frac{B_{l}}{R^{l}H}P_{l}(cos\theta)$ So that $A_{l} = \frac{B_{l}}{R^{l}H}$ for $l \neq 1$

for l=1, $A_1R\cos\theta = -E_0R\cos\theta + \frac{B_1}{R^2}\cos\theta$ $+\sin\theta$, $A_1 = -\frac{E_0}{R^3} + \frac{B_1}{R^3}$

Now 1et's match the normal derivatives,

E drin = GodVout

E I la Re Proceso = Es Escoso = Es (1+1) Be Proceso)

with t/fo = ER,

ER I l Ae R 1-1 Pe (coso) = - Eo coso - E (2+1) Be Pe (coso)

 $\frac{f_{0r} l \neq 1}{\xi_{R} l A_{2} R^{1-1} P_{2}(cos\theta)} = -\frac{(l+1)B_{2}}{R^{1+2}} P_{2}(cos\theta)$ $\xi_{R} l A_{2} R^{1-1} = -\frac{(l+1)B_{2}}{R^{2+2}}$

Ae = Be = O satisfies both this and the previous equation (if it works, its unique!)

$$\varepsilon_R A_1 = -E_o - \frac{2B_0}{R^3}$$

Doing a little algebra,

$$E_{\mathcal{L}}\left(-\frac{E_0}{E_0} + \frac{B_1}{B_1}\right) = -E_0 - \frac{B_3}{2B_1}$$

$$B_1\left(\frac{f_R}{R^3} + \frac{2}{R^3}\right) = -E_0 + \frac{f_R}{MM}$$

$$\frac{B_1}{R^3}\left(\xi_{R+2}\right) = \left(\xi_{R}-1\right)E_0$$

So that
$$B_1 = \left(\frac{\epsilon_R - 1}{\epsilon_R + 2}\right) R^3 = 0$$

80 that
$$A_1 = -\frac{3}{f_R+2} E_0$$

Yhus,

$$V_{in}(r, \sigma) = A_{ir} cos \sigma = -\frac{3}{6r+2} E_{or} cos \sigma$$

or
$$V_{in}(z) = -\frac{3}{6r+2} E_0 z$$
 thus,

$$V_{ov} + (V_{i} e) = -E_{i} \cos e + \frac{B_{i}}{\Gamma^{2}} P_{i} (\cos e)$$

$$-\frac{\partial V_{out}}{\partial r} = E_0 - \frac{2(\epsilon_R - 1)/(\epsilon_R + 2)}{r^3} R^3 E_0 \cos \theta$$

$$-\frac{1000+}{r00} = \frac{-1}{r} \left(\frac{(t_{e}-1)/(t_{e}+2)}{r^{2}} p^{3} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{t_{e}-1}{r^{2}} \right) + \frac$$

$$\frac{1}{E}(r, \theta) = E_0 \left[\left(1 - 2 \frac{(E_R - 1)}{(E_R + 2)} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^3 \cos \theta \right) \hat{r} + \left(\sin \theta + \frac{(E_R - 1)}{(E_R + 2)} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^3 \sin \theta \right) \hat{\theta} \right]$$

In slab 1,

$$\overrightarrow{E}_1 = \frac{\overrightarrow{D}}{\epsilon_R \epsilon_0} = \frac{\overrightarrow{D}}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_0} = -\frac{G_F}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_0} \stackrel{\checkmark}{=}$$

To find the charge at that surface, ne must choose an in for both E, & Ez, which is the same. in this case let's pick tê;

$$-\frac{\nabla f}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_0} + \frac{\nabla f}{\epsilon_2 \epsilon_0} = \frac{\nabla f}{\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) = \frac{\nabla}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\sigma = \nabla_f \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_z} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_i} \right) = \sigma_f \left(\frac{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_z}{\epsilon_i \epsilon_z} \right)$$

This method was fairly straight forward because of the Boundary Condition. We could have used the polarization instead and added the results from each Pin contribution. Let's do that,

Atthe surface, we can find the bound charge due to each polarization. Notice is is different for each.

$$\frac{s(a)1}{\hat{n}_{1}=-2} + \hat{n}_{2}=+2$$

$$5(ab2)$$

$$\sigma_{1} = \vec{P}_{1} \cdot \hat{n}_{1} = \sigma_{f}(\vec{e}_{1} - 1)\hat{z} \cdot (-\hat{z}) = (1 - \vec{e}_{1})\sigma_{f}$$

$$\sigma_{2} - \vec{P}_{2} \cdot \hat{n}_{2} = \sigma_{f}(\vec{e}_{2} - 1)\hat{z} \cdot (+\hat{z}) = (\vec{e}_{2} - 1)\hat{\sigma}_{f}$$

$$\sigma_{B} = \sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{2}} - 1\right)\sigma_{f} = \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{2}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}}\right)\sigma_{f}$$

 $\nabla = \left(\frac{F_1 - F_2}{F_1 + 2}\right) \nabla_F \quad \text{as we found using the BC's.}$

Notice that this method is a bit more difficult than the other method.