We've seen that Laplace's equation can describe a relatively simple situation - a capacitor but it's very useful across many contexts.

Our approach to solving Laplace's equation needs to be generalized, so that we can talkle D2V=0 with given boundary Conditions for more general circumstances.

It might be that V(x,y, 2) is quite Complicated; in some cases, it night be a Tx2+422 but that only describes a very nestrocted set of

In many cases we will find that V(x,y,Z) = (function of x) k (another function of y)*

(austher function of Z)

For example, ex sin(y) cos(2) but really need to be need to know

Fren if this isn't the solution, we will find that it might be some combination (sum) of finctions like this.

We will find using the ausate (guess) V(x,y,z) = X(x) Y(y) Z(z) will solve $\nabla^2 v = 0$ in many cases.

Phy 481 Sep. of Variables 3 with V= X(x) Y(y) Z(2) $20 \Delta_3 \Lambda = 0$ gives us, X'(x) y(y) Z(z) + y'(y) X(x) Z(z) + Z'(z) X(x) y(y)=0 Whene X" means dx we will divide both sides by V=XYZ toget, $\frac{X''(x)}{X(x)} + \frac{Y''(y)}{Y(y)} + \frac{Z''(z)}{Z(z)} = 0$ pure fraction "" " " " " " of x of z 7 Clicker Question: f(x)+g(y)+h(z)=0 With this test function, we find that each piece X"/x must be equal to a Constant! So, $\frac{\chi''}{\chi} = c_1 \qquad \frac{y''}{y} = c_2 \qquad \frac{z''}{z} = c_3$ with c1 + c2 + c3 = 0

We reduced our problem to solving three ordinary order differential equations!

Doesn't $\chi''(x) = C_1 \chi(x)$ look familiar!? It has very simple solutions.

X(x) = Ae JC, x + Be JC, x

two undetermined constants (2nd order)

Clicker Question: What do solutions look like for C1>0? C1<0?

1f. c, 70, He^{JC, x} + Be^{JC, x} exponential functions

If C, <0, we get complex exponentials that can be written as sin a cos using the Euten theorem

A'sin (JC, X) + B'as(JC, X) IP (, =0 then we get a simple linear function

We changed our 3D PDE into 3 (easy) ODEs But the cost we pay is lots of unknown constants showing up for which we use Bounday conditions to determine.

Let's start with a 2D Cartesian example to get a sense of the process we use to determine the coefficients. So we will solve $7^2V=0$ where we seek V(x,y) (i.e., no 2-dependence).

l'hysically , me set p something uniform in Z so that nothing varies in that direction. Seperation of Variables will give,

 $X''(x) = C_1 X(x)$ and $Y(y) = C_2 Y(y)$ with C1+C2=0 SO C2=-C1!

Which one is positive? * Clicker Question

We can use the Physics at the boundary If C, >0 the X = Ae+JC, X + Be-JC, X

which is no good b/c we will never have X(u) = 0 or X(a) = 0!

Ci=0 also no good b/c can't make it vanish at 8 x a unless its zeropeveywhere! SD C, <0!

We will call C1 = -k2 to clearly indicate that it is a negative constant! so,

 $C_2 = -C_1 = k^2$ is positive.

X(x) = Asin (kx) + Bcos(kx) 19(y) = Ce+ky + De-ky

15 the general solution, but me have 4 coefficients and k to determine! Lets use our Bounday Conditions. (1) V(x=0) has to vanish as the left wall is grounded.

So X(0) = 0 which means,

X(0) = Asin(0) + Bcos(0) = B = 0

X(x) = Asrukx

(2) V(y->00) has to varish as me one infinitely far from the "hot" sheet.

50, Y(y>0)=0 which mums,

Y(y -> 00) = Cek(00) + Dek(00) => C=0

y(y) = De-ky

So our solution thus fair is,

V(x,y) = X(x) Y(y) = Asin(kx) De-ky

= C'sin(kx)e C'=AD just

(3) V(x=a) = 0 as the right wall is grounded.

So, C'sin(ka)e-ky = o for any y.

C' to blc that makes V(x, y) = 0
for all xdy. (not twe for y=0!)

So what do we do?

** Clicker Question: when does sin(ka) e vanish?

Duy 481 Sep. of Variables Let's use this,

$$V_o(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(\frac{n\pi x}{a})$$

multiply both sides by Sin ("TX") and integrate form of a Sin(n'mx) Vo(x) d= Ja I Cn sin(ntx) sin("xx) dx

$$\int_{0}^{q} V_{D}(x) \sin\left(\frac{w'Hx}{q}\right)_{dx} = C_{n'} \frac{q}{2} \qquad SD,$$

$$C_n = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a V_a(x) \sin\left(\frac{u\pi x}{a}\right) dx$$

gets us all the chs!

that will give us V(x, y) everywhere!

V(x,y) = Z Cn Sin(ntx) e - ntry/a

with $C_n = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{\pi} V_0(x) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) dx$

nestice why Vo(x)
needs to be "well behaved"

It's ugly but it's exact! What does it look like?.

Con is different based on Vo(x)!