

# Homework #3

Danny Edgel  
Econ 713: Microeconomics II  
Spring 2021

April 19, 2021

## Problem 1

- a) Regardless of whether the wage is set based on effort, the agent chooses whichever level of effort maximizes expected utility. If the principal paid  $w_H$  for high effort and  $w_L$  for low effort, then the incentive compatibility constraint for implementing high effort is:

$$\sqrt{w_H} - 1 \geq \sqrt{w_L} - \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \sqrt{w_H} \geq \sqrt{w_L} + \frac{1}{2}$$

Whereas, if the wage is set only based on output, then the following inequality must be satisfied in order to induce high effort:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{w_H} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{w_L} - 1) &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{w_H} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left(\sqrt{w_L} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{w_H} &\geq \sqrt{w_L} + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is not optimal for the principal to pay a wage contract that is fixed with respect to effort if the principal can observe effort. The intuition behind this result is that, if the principal can observe effort, then the wage premium for high effort comes only at the agent's utility cost from high effort (and it costs the agent  $1/2$  to put in extra effort). However, if the principal pays only if the project pays off, then wage premium for high effort internalizes the risk of low output despite high effort.

- b) Since the principal is risk-neutral, they have the following conditional payoff function:

$$\pi(w|e) = Pr(y = 8|e)(8 - w) + Pr(y = 0|e)(-w)$$

And they must pay the agent  $w$  such that  $\sqrt{w} - g(e) \geq 0$ . Assuming that the principal is a monopsonist, set  $\sqrt{w} = g(e)$ . Then, given the result from a), the principal chooses  $w_H = 1$  and  $w_L = 1/4$ , which satisfies the

incentive compatability constraint. If profit from paying  $w_H$  exceeds that from paying  $w_L$ , then the principal will implement high effort:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(8-1) + \frac{1}{2}(-1) &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left(8 - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) \\ 3 &\geq \frac{3}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the principal should implement high effort by offering only a wage of 1 for high effort and a wage of 1/4 for low effort.

- c) If effort is not observable, then the principal will pay based on output and must pay a premium of 2 in order to induce high effort. Again assuming that the principal has all bargaining power, they will set  $w_H$  and  $w_L$  to maximize expected profit while satisfying the individual rationality constraint, which depends on whichever level of effort is implemented. The IR constraint for low effort is:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{w_H} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left(\sqrt{w_L} - \frac{1}{2}\right) &\geq 0 \\ \sqrt{w_H} + 3\sqrt{w_L} &\geq 2\end{aligned}$$

To implement high effort, the principal must satisfy both the agent's IC and IR constraints. To see whether satisfying the IC constraint also satisfied the IR constraint, we can assume that the IC constraint is met with equality and plug it into the IR constraint:

$$\sqrt{w_H} = \sqrt{w_L} + 2 \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{w_L} + 2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{w_L} - 1) \geq 0 \quad (\text{IR})$$

$$\sqrt{w_L} \geq 0$$

Thus, if the IC constraint for high effort is satisfied, the IR is also satisfied. Then the optimal construct for implementing high effort is  $(w_L, w_H) = (4, 0)$ . The optimal construct for low effort can be solved by solving:

$$\max_{w_L, w_H} \frac{1}{4}(8 - w_H) - \frac{3}{4}w_L \text{ s.t. } \sqrt{w_H} + 3\sqrt{w_L} \geq 2$$

Letting the IR constraint hold with equality and substituting for  $w_H$ , we can solve from the FOC:

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}(2 - 3\sqrt{w_L})\left(-\frac{3}{2\sqrt{w_L}}\right) - \frac{3}{4} &= 0 \\ \frac{6}{\sqrt{w_L}} &= 12 \\ w_L &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \Rightarrow w_H &= \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Then, the across the two optimal contracts, the principal has expected payoffs:

$$\pi_L = \frac{1}{4} \left( 8 - \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\pi_H = \frac{1}{2} (8 - 4) - \frac{1}{2} (4) = 0$$

Thus, the principal should implement low effort if they cannot observe effort.

## Problem 2

If the bank implements high effort on the part of the entrepreneur, then it chooses  $x$  to solve:

$$\max_x P_1(z - x) - r \text{ s.t.} \quad \begin{aligned} P_1x - c_1 &\geq P_2x(\text{IC}) \\ P_1x - c_1 &\geq 0(\text{IR}) \end{aligned}$$

The IR constraint is clearly satisfied whenever the IC is satisfied, and the IC constraint binds, since the bank's bliss point is  $x = 0$ . Thus, the bank chooses:

$$x = \frac{c_1}{P_1 - P_2}$$

If the firm chooses to implement low effort, then the IR constraint doesn't bind since low effort is costless to the entrepreneur; the solution is simply  $x = 0$ .

## Problem 3

- a) If there are no consumers in this market, consumers know that the monopolist has no incentive to produce a good with high quality, so they will not purchase the good at any strictly positive price. Thus, a pure-strategy PBE is  $q = 0, p = 0$ . To see why this is the case, consider an equilibrium where  $p > 0, q = 1$ , and trade occurs. Then consumers are taking on faith that  $q = 0$ , so the firm can increase its profits by choosing  $q = 0$ , so this cannot be an equilibrium. However,  $p > 0$  and  $q = 0$  cannot be an equilibrium, because consumers could improve their payoffs by not buying the good. Therefore, there is no equilibrium where  $q = 1$  or where  $p > 0$  and trade occurs.
- b) With a proportion  $\alpha$  of consumers who can observe the quality of the good, the seller now has an incentive to produce a high-quality good. Suppose the seller chooses  $q = 1$ . Then, to choose the price, the seller solves:

$$\max_p p - c_1 \text{ s.t.} \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(p - c_1) &\geq (1 - \alpha)p(\text{IC}) \\ p - c_1 &\geq 0(\text{IR}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in any equilibrium where the firm chooses  $q = 1$ , it also chooses:

$$p = \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha - 1} \right) c_1$$

This equilibrium is only possible, then, if at least half of the consumers are informed.