# Problem Set #6

Danny Edgel Econ 714: Macroeconomics II Spring 2021

March 3, 2021

Discussed and/or compared answers with Sarah Bass, Emily Case, Katherine Kwok, Michael Nattinger, and Alex Von Hafften

#### Questions 1

The monetary policy authority faces the following problem:

$$\min_{\{x_t, \pi_t, i_t\}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( x_t^2 + \alpha \pi_t^2 \right) \right], \text{ s.t.} \qquad \sigma \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \Delta x_{t+1} \right] = i_t - \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] - r_t^n$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_t$$

Using the primal approach, we can optimize the Lagrangian, considering only the NKPC constraint:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left(x_{t}^{2} + \alpha \pi_{t}^{2}\right) - \lambda_{t} \left(\pi_{t} - \kappa x_{t} - \beta \pi_{t+1} - u_{t}\right)\right]$$

Which has the following first order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_t} = -\beta^t x_t + \kappa \lambda_t = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi_t} = \begin{cases} -\beta^t \alpha \pi_t - \lambda_t + \beta \lambda_{t-1} = 0, & t \ge 1 \\ -\beta^t \alpha \pi_t - \lambda_t = 0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Combining these FOCs enables us to derive an optimal policy rule:

$$\alpha \kappa \pi_t + \Delta x_t = 0, \ t \ge 1 \qquad \qquad \alpha \kappa \pi_0 + x_0 = 0$$

Let  $x_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0$ ; then, we can represent the optimal rule as a single equation:

$$\alpha \kappa \pi_t + \Delta x_t = 0$$

Since this holds for all t, we can prove via induction that  $\alpha \kappa p_t + x_t = 0$ :

$$\alpha\kappa(p_0 - p_{-1}) + (x_0 - x_{-1}) = \alpha\kappa p_0 + x_0 = 0$$
  
$$\alpha\kappa(p_t - p_{t-1}) + (x_t - x_{t-1}) = \alpha\kappa p_t + x_t - (\alpha\kappa p_{t-1} + x_{t-1}) = 0$$

We can use this optimal policy rule and the NKPC (adjusted to use  $p_t-p_{t-1}$  instead of  $\pi$ ) to contruct a linear system from which to solve for equilibrium dynamics:

$$-\beta \mathbb{E}[p_{t+1}] + p_t - p_{t-1} - \kappa(-\alpha \kappa p_t) = u_t$$
$$-\beta \mathbb{E}[p_{t+1}] = -(1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2) p_t + p_{t-1} + u_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}\left[p_{t+1}\right] \\ p_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha\kappa^2}{\beta} & -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_t \\ p_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u_t$$

To determine equilibrium dynamics in this model, we must find the eigenvalues of the matrix in this linear system:

$$(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha \kappa^2}{\beta} - \lambda)(-\lambda) + \frac{1}{\beta} = 0$$
$$\lambda^2 - (1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha \kappa^2}{\beta})\lambda + \frac{1}{\beta} = 0$$

Because this system has one state and one choice variable,  $\lambda_1 > 1$  and  $\lambda_2 < 1$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the eigenvalue associated with  $\mathbb{E}\left[p_{t+1}\right]$ . Without paying too much mind to the exact values of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  and omitting intermediate (and tedious) steps, we can find:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\beta} \left[ 1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2 \pm \sqrt{(1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2 - 4\beta)} \right]$$
$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \left[ (1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2 - (1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2 + 4\beta \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\beta}$$

Furthermore, we can see that  $\beta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 1 + \beta + \alpha \kappa^2$ . This enables us to write the NKPC with just our eigenvalues and lag operators:

$$-\beta(1-\lambda_1 L)(1-\lambda_2 L)L^{-1}p_t = u_t$$

$$(\beta\lambda_1 - \beta L^{-1})(1-\lambda_2 L)p_t = u_t$$

$$p_t - \lambda_2 p_{t-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \beta L^{-1}\right)^{-1} u_t$$

$$p_t = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{1-\beta\lambda_2 L^{-1}}\right) u_t + \lambda_2 p_{t-1}$$

Since we are given the distribution of the markup shock  $u_t$ , we can determine solve for  $p_t$  at any given t, with past realizations accounted for in  $p_{t-1}$  and expected future realizations given by the distribution of  $u_t$ :

$$p_{t} = \lambda_{2} p_{t-1} + \lambda_{2} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_{2} \beta)^{j} u_{t+j} \right]$$

$$= \lambda_{2} p_{t-1} + \lambda_{2} \left( u_{t} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{2} \beta)^{j} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ u_{t+j} \right] \right)$$

$$= \lambda_{2} p_{t-1} + \lambda_{2} \left( u_{t} + \left( \frac{\lambda_{2} \beta}{1 - \lambda_{2} \beta} \right) \overline{u} \right)$$

$$p_{t} = \lambda_{2} (p_{t-1} + u_{t}) + \left( \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1} - 1} \right) \overline{u}$$

Recalling our equation for the output gap, this equation can be used to describe the dynamics of  $x_t$ , as well:

$$x_t = \lambda_2 x_{t-1} - \lambda_2 \alpha \kappa u_t - \left(\frac{\lambda_2 \alpha \kappa}{\lambda_1 - 1}\right) \overline{u}$$

### Question 2

Under a discretionary policy, the planner can ensure that  $\alpha \kappa \pi_t + x_t = 0$  in every period. Then, since the NKPC holds each period, we can solve:

$$\pi_{t} = \kappa x_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_{t}$$

$$\pi_{t} = -\alpha \kappa^{2} \pi_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_{t}$$

$$\pi_{t} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \left( \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_{t} \right)$$

$$\pi_{t} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \right)^{j} \mathbb{E} \left[ u_{t+j} \right]$$

$$\pi_{t} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \right) u_{t} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \right)^{j} \mathbb{E} \left[ u_{t+j} \right]$$

$$\pi_{t} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \right) u_{t} + \left( \frac{\beta}{(1 + \alpha \kappa^{2})(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^{2})} \right) \overline{u}$$

Applying this to the optimal policy rule yields our equation for the output gap:

$$x_t = -\left(\frac{\alpha\kappa}{1 + \alpha\kappa^2}\right)u_t - \left(\frac{\beta\alpha\kappa}{1 - \beta + \alpha\kappa^2}\right)\overline{u}$$

#### Question 3

Under the  $\pi_t = 0$  rule, the NKPC yields the equilibrium allocation:

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_t \Rightarrow x_t = -\frac{u_t}{\kappa}$$

#### Question 4

Similar to in question 3, we can determine the equilibrium allocation by setting  $x_t = 0$  in the NKPC:

$$\pi_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + u_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \mathbb{E} \left[ u_{t+j} \right]$$
$$\pi_t = u_t + \left( \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right) \overline{u}$$

#### Question 5

To determine under which circumstances one policy is preferable to the other, we must first determine the expected welfare losses under each policy:, letting  $W_{\pi}$  and  $W_d$  denote welfare losses under an inflation-targeting and discretionary policy, respectively:

$$W_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left( -\frac{u_{t}}{\kappa} \right)^{2} \right] = \frac{\sigma^{2} + \overline{u}^{2}}{2\kappa^{2} (1 - \beta)}$$

$$\begin{split} W_d &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( (-\alpha \kappa \pi_t)^2 + \alpha \pi_t^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha (1 + \alpha \kappa^2)}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^2} \right) u_t + \left( \frac{\beta}{(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)} \right) \overline{u} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha (1 + \alpha \kappa^2)}{2(1 - \beta)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)^2} \right) \mathbb{E}_t \left[ u_t^2 \right] + 2 \left( \frac{\beta}{(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)^2(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)} \right) \mathbb{E}_t \left[ u_t \right] \overline{u} + \left( \frac{\beta^2}{(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)^2(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \beta)(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)} \left[ \sigma^2 + \overline{u}^2 + \left( \frac{2\beta}{1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \beta)(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)} \left[ \sigma^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta}{1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \beta)(1 + \alpha \kappa^2)} \left[ \sigma^2 + \left( \frac{1 + 2\alpha \kappa^2 + \alpha^2 \kappa^4}{(1 - \beta + \alpha \kappa^2)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \end{split}$$

The social planner prefers an inflation-targeted policy if  $W_{\pi} \leq W_d$ :

$$\frac{\sigma^2 + \overline{u}^2}{2\kappa^2 (1 - \beta)} \le \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \beta)(1 + \alpha\kappa^2)} \left[ \sigma^2 + \left( \frac{1 + 2\alpha\kappa^2 + \alpha^2\kappa^4}{(1 - \beta + \alpha\kappa^2)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right]$$

$$\left( \frac{1 + \alpha\kappa^2}{\kappa^2} \right) \sigma^2 - \alpha\sigma^2 \le \left[ \frac{\alpha(1 + 2\alpha\kappa^2 + \alpha^2\kappa^4)}{(1 - \beta + \alpha\kappa^2)^2} - \frac{1 + \alpha\kappa^2}{\kappa^2} \right] \overline{u}^2$$

$$\sigma^2 \le \left[ \frac{\alpha\kappa^2 (1 + 2\alpha\kappa^2 + \alpha^2\kappa^4)}{(1 - \beta + \alpha\kappa^2)^2} - 1 - \alpha\kappa^2 \right] \overline{u}^2$$

At the limit as  $\beta \to 1$ , this inequality simplifies cleanly:

$$\sigma^{2} \leq \left[ \frac{\alpha\kappa^{2}(1 + 2\alpha\kappa^{2} + \alpha^{2}\kappa^{4})}{(\alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} - 1 - \alpha\kappa^{2} \right] \overline{u}^{2}$$

$$\leq \left[ \frac{(\alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}((\alpha\kappa^{2})^{-2} + 2 + \alpha\kappa^{2})}{(\alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} - 1 - \alpha\kappa^{2} \right] \overline{u}^{2}$$

$$\leq \left( (\alpha\kappa^{2})^{-2}2 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - 1 - \alpha\kappa^{2} \right) \overline{u}^{2}$$

$$\sigma^{2} \leq \frac{\overline{u}^{2}}{1 + \alpha^{2}\kappa^{4}}$$

#### Question 6

Following the same steps and logic as in question 5, we can determine the necessary relationship between  $\sigma^2$  and  $\overline{u}^2$  such that an output-targeting montary policy is optimal. First, we must find the welfare loss from an output policy,  $W_x$ :

$$W_{x} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \alpha \left( u_{t} + \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \right) \overline{u} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha \beta}{2(1-\beta)} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ u_{t}^{2} \right] + 2 \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \right) \overline{u} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ u_{t} \right] + \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^{2} \overline{u}^{2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha \beta}{2(1-\beta)} \left[ \sigma^{2} + \left( \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta} + \frac{\beta^{2}}{(1-\beta)^{2}} \right) \overline{u}^{2} \right]$$

$$W_{x} = \frac{\alpha \beta}{2(1-\beta)} \left[ \sigma^{2} + \left( \frac{\beta(2-\beta)}{(1-\beta)^{2}} \right) \overline{u}^{2} \right]$$

Then,

$$W_x \leq W_{\pi}$$

$$\frac{\alpha\beta}{2(1-\beta)} \left[ \sigma^2 + \left( \frac{\beta(2-\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + \overline{u}^2}{2\kappa^2(1-\beta)}$$

$$\alpha\beta \left[ \sigma^2 + \left( \frac{\beta(2-\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2} \right) \overline{u}^2 \right] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + \overline{u}^2}{\kappa^2}$$

$$(\alpha\beta\kappa^2 - 1)\sigma^2 \leq \left[ 1 - \frac{2\kappa^2\beta(2-\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2} \right] \overline{u}^2$$

$$\sigma^2 \leq \left( \frac{1 - \frac{2\kappa^2\beta(2-\beta)}{(1-\beta)^2}}{\alpha\beta\kappa^2 - 1} \right) \overline{u}^2$$

At the limit of  $\beta \to 1$ , this converges to:

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{\overline{u}^2}{\alpha \kappa^2 - 1}$$

Thus, if  $\alpha \kappa^2 < 1$ , output targeting is never preferred to inflation targeting.

## Question 7

Recall the final two equations from question 1, which decribe the dynamics of inflation and output in this model:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= \lambda_2 (p_{t-1} + u_t) + \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - 1}\right) \overline{u} \\ x_t &= \lambda_2 x_{t-1} - \lambda_2 \alpha \kappa u_t - \left(\frac{\lambda_2 \alpha \kappa}{\lambda_1 - 1}\right) \overline{u} \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $u_t = \overline{u} = 0$  for all t, the value of p and x in any period t are:

$$p_t = \lambda_2^t p_{-1} \qquad \qquad x_t = \lambda_2^t x_{-1}$$

Then  $p_{-1}=x_{-1}=0$  would give a first-best allocation. From the NKPC, we know that  $\Delta x_t=-\alpha\kappa\pi_t$ . Then, by the NKIS, we can determine  $\pi_t$  under the Taylor rule:

$$\sigma \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \Delta x_{t+1} \right] = i_{t} - \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] - r_{t}^{n}$$
$$-\alpha \kappa \sigma \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] = \phi \pi_{t} - \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] - r_{t}^{n}$$
$$\pi_{t} = \frac{1}{\phi} \left[ (1 - \alpha \kappa \sigma) \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \pi_{t+1} \right] + r_{t}^{n} \right]$$

Thus, as  $\phi \to \infty$ ,  $\pi_t \to 0$  for all t, indicating that the first-best allocation is achieved.