

# Problem Set #1

Danny Edgel  
Econ 714: Macroeconomics II  
Spring 2021

March 21, 2021

*Discussed and/or compared answers with Sarah Bass, Emily Case, Katherine Kwok, Michael Nattinger, and Alex Von Hafften*

## Question 1

Since both  $u$  and  $w$  are increasing, strictly concave, and twice differentiable, the solution to the consumer problem comes from the first-order conditions of the Lagrangian function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \theta u(c^1) + (1 - \theta)w(c^2) - \lambda(c^1 + c^2 - c) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c^1} &= \theta u'(c^1) - \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c^2} &= (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) - \lambda = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \theta u'(c^1) &= (1 - \theta)w'(c^2)\end{aligned}$$

Using the envelope condition, we can show the change in total utility given a change in  $c$ :

$$\begin{aligned}v'_\theta(c) &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c^1}{\partial c} + (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) \frac{\partial c^2}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c^1}{\partial c} + \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c^2}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial(c^1 + c^2)}{\partial c} = \theta u'(c^1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c^2)\end{aligned}$$

To show that  $v_\theta(c)$  is concave, we must prove that, for any  $c < c'$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$v_\theta(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c') \geq \lambda v_\theta(c) + (1 - \lambda)v_\theta(c')$$

Let  $f(c) = c^1$  and  $g(c) = c^2$  determine the value of  $c^1$  and  $c^2$  that maximize  $v_\theta$  such that  $f(c) + g(c) = c$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}v_\theta(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c') &= \theta u(f(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c')) + (1 - \theta)w(g(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c')) \\ \lambda v_\theta(c) + (1 - \lambda)v_\theta(c') &= \theta [\lambda u(f(c)) + (1 - \lambda)u(f(c'))] + (1 - \theta) [\lambda w(g(c)) + (1 - \lambda)w(g(c'))]\end{aligned}$$

Where, since  $u$  and  $w$  are concave,

$$\begin{aligned} u(f(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c')) &\geq \lambda u(f(c)) + (1 - \lambda)u(f(c')) \\ w(g(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c')) &\geq \lambda w(g(c)) + (1 - \lambda)w(g(c')) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $v_\theta(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c') - (\lambda v_\theta(c) + (1 - \lambda)v_\theta(c')) \geq 0$ , so  $v_\theta$  is concave.

## Question 2

Exercise 8.3 from Ljungqvist and Sargent

- a. A competitive equilibrium in this economy is a price system,  $\{q_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ , and an allocation,  $\{c_t^1, c_t^2\}_{t=0}^\infty$ , that solves each consumer's problem and clears both the goods and claims markets in every period,  $t$ :

$$c_t^1 + c_t^2 = y_t^1 + y_t^2 \quad q_t^1 + q_t^2 = 0$$

- b. Each agent solves their utility maximization problem in period zero, which is represented by the following Lagrangian function and features a single budget constraint:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t^i) - \lambda^i \left( \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t c_t^i - q_t y_t^i \right)$$

Then optimal consumption in each period, for each agent, has the following first order condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t^i} = \beta^t u'(c_t^i) - \lambda^i (q_t) = 0$$

Thus, we can determine that relative consumption across consumers is constant in every state and time period:

$$\frac{u'(c_t^1)}{u'(c_t^2)} = \frac{\lambda^1}{\lambda^2}$$

Furthermore, since markets are complete with full commitment, we know that consumers with perfectly insure, such that  $c_t^i(s^t) = c^i$  for all  $t$  and  $s^t$ . Thus, using each consumer's first order condition, we can solve:

$$\frac{\beta^t u'(c_t^i)}{u'(c_0^i)} = \frac{q_t}{q_0} \Rightarrow q_t = \beta^t q_0$$

Then each consumer's budget constraint implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t(s^t) c_t^i &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t y_t^i \\ c^i q_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t &= q_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^i \end{aligned}$$

So the allocation is independent of date 0 prices and the right-hand side of this equation depends on each consumer's endowment series:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^1 = 1 + \beta^3 + \beta^6 + \dots = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\beta^3)^t = \frac{1}{1 - \beta^3}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^2 = \beta + \beta^2 + \beta^4 + \beta^5 + \dots = \beta \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\beta^3)^t + \beta^2 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\beta^3)^t = \frac{\beta + \beta^2}{1 - \beta^3}$$

Thus, the competitive equilibrium allocation is:

$$c_t^1 = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^3} \quad c_t^2 = \frac{\beta - \beta^3}{1 - \beta^3}$$

c. The present discounted value of this asset is

$$p = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 0.05 \beta^t = \frac{1}{20(1 - \beta)}$$

So this would also be the price of the asset.

### Question 3

Exercise 8.4 from Ljungqvist and Sargent