Problem Set #1

Danny Edgel Econ 717: Applied Econometrics Spring 2022

February 14, 2022

The attached file, edgel_ps1_log.log, includes all console output for this problem set. The coefficients and standard errors of all requested regressions are reported in table 1 below. The label at the top of each column corresponds to the question for which the regression was run (e.g., "Q2" corresponds to the regression from question 2). For Question 6, which requests two regressions, the columns are labeled with the name of the specification.

The weights used in the variance-weighted least squares (VWLS) are the standard error of the OLS estimation.

 $0.0195 \ 0.0227$

The method of using sample take-up rates to determine prediction accuracy is better for assessing phenomena that occur in very small numbers. However, it suffers from endogeneity. For example, if the dependent variable is whether a person commutes by bike and an independent variable is the miles of bike paths in the city, then

Table 1: Regression Results

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	m Q2	Q3	m Q5	Logit	Probit
Treated	0.0444	0.0444	0.0520	0.334	0.184
	(0.0337)	(0.0324)	(0.00356)	(0.252)	(0.138)
$Client_Age$	0.000440	0.000440	-0.000657	0.00328	0.00215
	(0.00188)	(0.00184)	(0.000240)	(0.0138)	(0.00763)
Client_Married	0.0299	0.0299	0.0371	0.233	0.133
	(0.0482)	(0.0456)	(0.00708)	(0.366)	(0.200)
Client_Education	-0.00347	-0.00347	-0.00134	-0.0248	-0.0133
	(0.00380)	(0.00374)	(0.000441)	(0.0274)	(0.0153)
HH_Income	3.36e-06	3.36e-06	2.66e-06	2.30e-05	1.32e-05
	(3.57e-06)	(3.72e-06)	(4.80e-07)	(2.43e-05)	(1.39e-05)
muslim	-0.0184	-0.0184	-0.0371	-0.133	-0.0713
	(0.0357)	(0.0352)	(0.00377)	(0.259)	(0.144)
$Hindu_SC_Kat$	-0.0289	-0.0289	-0.0577	-0.216	-0.115
	(0.0505)	(0.0492)	(0.00672)	(0.375)	(0.206)
Constant	0.106	0.106	0.133	-2.084	-1.249
	(0.0866)	(0.0758)	(0.0116)	(0.653)	(0.364)

Standard errors in parentheses

Table 2: Predicted Probabilities

	$_{\rm LPM}$	Logit	Probit
Mean	0.168	0.168	0.168
Std. Dev.	0.033	0.033	0.033
Min	0.077	0.091	0.088
p5	0.112	0.117	0.115
p25	0.145	0.143	0.144
Median	0.170	0.167	0.168
p75	0.190	0.189	0.190
p95	0.219	0.224	0.223
Max	0.287	0.313	0.312

Table 3: Mean Partial Effects

	$_{ m LPM}$	Logit	Probit	Quartic LPM
Mean Partial Effect	0.000440	0.000454	-	-
7a)	-	-	0.000535	-
7b)	-	-	0.000360	-
7c)	-	-	0.000570	0.000560
7d)	-	-	0.000534	-

Table 4: Prediction Rates

	In-Sample		Out-of-Sample	
	≥ 0.5	$\geq \hat{p}$	≥ 0.5	$\geq \hat{p}$
LPM	0.832	0.519	0.829	0.527
Quartic LPM	0.834	0.504	0.829	0.534
Logit	0.832	0.542	0.829	0.541
Probit	0.832	0.533	0.829	0.541

Table 5: Probit Model Comparison

Table 5: Frobit Model Comparison				
	(1)	(2)		
VARIABLES				
Treated	0.184	0.182		
	(0.138)	(0.138)		
$Client_Age$	0.00215	0.00293		
-	(0.00763)	(0.00752)		
Client_Education	-0.0133	-0.0136		
	(0.0153)	(0.0152)		
HH_Income	1.32e-05	1.25 e-05		
	(1.39e-05)	(1.38e-05)		
$Hindu_SC_Kat$	-0.115	-0.110		
	(0.206)	(0.205)		
1.Client_Married	$0.133^{'}$,		
	(0.200)			
1.muslim	-0.0713			
	(0.144)			
1.Client_Married#1.muslim	,	-0.0760		
		(0.151)		
Constant	-1.249	-1.154		
	(0.364)	(0.320)		
	,	, ,		
LRI	0.00863	0.00769		

Standard errors in parentheses