

Technical Document Rubric

Topic of Technical Document Reviewing: Light Buoy

Reviewee: Dane Sobol

Date: 12/7/2018

Reviewer: Danny Hong

Scores		Description
5	Excellent	Went above and beyond the basic responsibilities
4	Very Good	Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do
3	Acceptable	Usually did what he/she was supposed to do
2	Marginal	Sometimes failed to meet the basic responsibilities
1	Unacceptable	Failed to meet the basic responsibilities

Topics	Description	Scores
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The flow of the document is smooth and easy to follow.• The process of the design flows in a sequential order and can be easily replicated when necessary.• Same Ideas are group together and are consistent with one another	5
Writing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There are no grammatical or spelling errors.• The sentence structure and flow are well written and smoothly transitions with one another• Punctuations are used correctly and helps strengthen the paper in terms of transition, flow, and organization	5
Figures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All figures are related to the topic of the technical document• All figures in the paper were used and were referred to• Figures were easily understood and did not cause any impedance on the reading	4
Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The presentation of the technical document is organized and looks professionally written• Headers and titles can be easily identified• Subheaders are easily identified and are not mixed up with the main headers	5
Total (Out of 16)		19

Comments/Improvements:

The GitHub readme file was very well organized and the writing was very well structured. It was easy for me to follow through with the construction process of the Light Buoy. The instructions were clearly written out for me to understand each minor detail for each phase of the construction. However, the figures of the GitHub took a while to load.

Overall Grade: A