CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2014

Assignment 1030 Feedback

This feedback is effectively a code review; to keep it concise, notes are provided as a numbered list. Inline comments in your code illustrate an example of the numbered item (there may be more). Asterisks (*) denote high-priority issues. If any code review item is unclear or if the resulting proficiencies are not self-explanatory, please ask me.

Daniel Levine

dannymlevine / dannymlevine1@gmail.com

Updates for commits up to 12/12/2014 are shown inline:

- 1. Character (and other) IDs are typically for internal use only and are generally not visible to the user (no particular outcome—just a usage note)
- 2. Why wasn't form-control applied to the gender select element? (3a, 4d)
- 3. Misplaced modal-footer-element in the about, delete, and item spawning dialogs (3a, 4a, 4d)
- 4. Sledgehammer approach to updating the user interface upon character create, edit, or delete (3b, 4a)
- 5. Incorrect indentation (4*i*)
- 6. Missing title (was it really that hard to stick something in there?) (4a)
- 7. Tabs in the source code (4*c*)
- 8. Non-descriptive names (typically copy paste holdovers) (4e)
- 9. Unjustifiable inline CSS in HTML (4b)
- 10. Duplicate HTML element ID (4a, 4c)
- 11. Inappropriate/excessive spacing (4c)
- 12. Unbalanced HTML element tag (i.e., missing start or missing end) (4a)
- 13. Naming convention: HTML IDs/classes are typically not camel case nor start with an uppercase letter (4c)
- 14. Misplaced HTML element (4a)
- 15. Repeated markup that might be worth consolidating—create-vs.-edit views are virtually the same except that one creates something new while the other creates something pre-existing...might be better to use the same markup and have JavaScript set create-vs.-edit behavior (3a, 4b)
- 16. Inadequate spacing: space before braces; space after most punctuation (commas, semicolons, colons, etc.); separate function arguments; etc. (4);
- 17. Superfluous empty string concatenation (4a, 4c)
- 18. Potential consolidation into a list + iterator function (4b)
- 19. Misplaced assignment of document.ready callback (3b, 4a)
- 20. (new) Top-level scope code; no function wrapper (4b)

3a —	good enough for a hump (+)
3b —	no change
4a —	Application-level functionality is OK, but many code-level technical/semantic/syntactic glitches.
	definitely an improvement, but still a couple of loose ends that hold this back
4b —	this might have gone OK, but then the code went all top-level scope (not caught earlier due to bad indentation)
4c — -	Remember, indentation and spacing must reflect the structure of your code—ALWAYS.
	much better; huge improvement (+)

CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2014

Assignment 1030 Feedback

This feedback is effectively a code review; to keep it concise, notes are provided as a numbered list. Inline comments in your code illustrate an example of the numbered item (there may be more). Asterisks (*) denote high-priority issues. If any code review item is unclear or if the resulting proficiencies are not self-explanatory, please ask me.

