



Daniel Traian Pele

Bucharest University of Economic Studies
Faculty of Cybernetics, Statistics, and Economic Informatics
daniel.pele@csie.ase.ro

Academic Year 2025-2026



logo_csie.png



logo_ase.png

Outline

- 1 The Complete Analysis Workflow
- 2 Case Study 1: Bitcoin Volatility Analysis
- 3 Case Study 2: Sunspot Cycle Analysis
- 4 Case Study 3: US Unemployment with Structural Break
- 5 Model Selection: A Practical Guide
- 6 Summary and Key Takeaways

Course Overview: Methods Covered

Classical Methods


- Ch 1: Time Series Fundamentals
- Ch 2: ARMA Models
- Ch 3: ARIMA Models
- Ch 4: SARIMA Models
- Ch 5: GARCH Models

Advanced Methods

- Ch 6: VAR & Granger Causality
- Ch 7: Cointegration & VECM
- Ch 8: Modern Extensions
- Ch 9: Prophet & TBATS

Today: Apply ALL to Real Data!

The Complete Analysis Workflow



Key Principle

Model diagnostics may require returning to model selection (iterative process)

Real Datasets for This Chapter

Bitcoin Returns


- Daily crypto data
- 2019-2024
- Extreme volatility
- ARIMA + GARCH

Sunspot Numbers

- Monthly 1990-2023
- Classic 11-year cycle
- Long-period seasonality
- SARIMA analysis

- Monthly 2015-2023
- Bureau of Labor Stats
- COVID-19 shock
- Structural breaks

Bitcoin: Data Overview



- **Data:** Bitcoin daily prices and log returns (2019-2024)
- **Key events:** COVID crash, 2021 bull run, crypto winter 2022

Step 1: Stationarity Testing

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test

- H_0 : Unit root (non-stationary)
- H_1 : Stationary

Results on Bitcoin:

Series	ADF Statistic	p-value
Prices	-0.87	0.79
Log Returns	-42.1	< 0.001

- ⇒ Prices: non-stationary (random walk)
⇒ Returns: stationary

KPSS Test (Confirmation)


- H_0 : Stationary
- H_1 : Unit root

Prices: KPSS = 5.83**

Returns: KPSS = 0.12

Both tests confirm: use log returns!

Step 2: ACF/PACF Analysis of Returns



- **Returns:** Near white noise (weak linear dependence)
- **Squared returns:** Strong persistence \Rightarrow volatility clustering
- **Implication:** GARCH model essential for Bitcoin!

Step 3: ARIMA Model for Returns

Model Selection using AIC/BIC:


Model	AIC	BIC
ARIMA(0,0,0)	9524	9530
ARIMA(1,0,0)	9522	9534
ARIMA(0,0,1)	9523	9535
ARIMA(1,0,1)	9520	9538

Best: ARIMA(1,0,1) but marginal improvement

Key Insight

Crypto returns are notoriously unpredictable. The “alpha” is in understanding **volatility dynamics**, not predicting direction!

Step 4: GARCH Model for Volatility



GARCH(1,1) Model:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

- Bitcoin shows $\alpha + \beta \approx 0.95$ (high persistence)
- COVID and May 2021 periods show massive volatility spikes

Bitcoin: Summary of Approach




Key Findings:

- Returns are nearly unpredictable
- Extreme volatility clustering
- GARCH captures risk dynamics

Practical Use:


- Risk management (VaR, CVaR)
- Position sizing
- Volatility trading strategies

Sunspots: A Classic Long-Cycle Dataset



- **Data:** Monthly sunspot numbers, 1990-2023
- **Characteristics:** Famous \sim 11 year solar cycle (132 months)

Step 1: Decomposition Analysis



- **Trend:** Long-term average sunspot activity
- **Cycle:** 11-year solar cycle (Schwabe cycle)
- **Challenge:** Very long seasonal period ($m = 132$)

Step 2: Handling Long Seasonality

The Challenge:

- Standard SARIMA with $m = 132$ requires estimating many parameters
- Seasonal differencing at lag 132 loses 11 years of data!

Option 1: Fourier Terms

- Add sine/cosine regressors
- Period = 132 months
- Fewer parameters than full SARIMA

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \left[a_k \sin\left(\frac{2\pi kt}{132}\right) + b_k \cos\left(\frac{2\pi kt}{132}\right) \right]$$


Option 2: AR Model

- High-order AR captures cycle
- AR(12) or AR(24) often sufficient
- Simple and effective

Classic Result

Sunspots are well-modeled by AR(9) or AR(12) models (Yule, 1927)

Step 3: SARIMA Forecasting



Model: AR(12) with Fourier terms for 11-year cycle

- Captures the quasi-periodic behavior
- Forecast uncertainty grows significantly with horizon

Sunspots: Model Comparison


Model	RMSE	MAE	Notes
AR(12)	28.4	22.1	Simple, interpretable
ARIMA(2,0,2) + Fourier	26.8	20.5	Good cycle capture
TBATS	25.2	19.8	Automatic cycle detection
Prophet	29.1	23.4	Less suited for long cycles

Key Lesson

For very long seasonal periods, consider:

- Fourier regression terms
- TBATS (automatic cycle selection)
- High-order AR models

US Unemployment: COVID-19 Shock



- Data: US Unemployment Rate, monthly, 2015-2023 (BLS)
- Shock: From 3.5% to 14.7% in one month (April 2020)!

Handling Structural Breaks

Option 1: Truncate Data

- Use only post-COVID data
- Pro: Clean, no breaks
- Con: Lose historical patterns

Option 2: Dummy Variables

- Add COVID indicator
- Pro: Uses all data
- Con: Complex in ARIMA


Option 3: Prophet with Changepoints

- Automatic detection
- Pro: Handles breaks naturally
- Con: May need tuning

Recommendation

For COVID-impacted data, Prophet's changepoint detection or regime-switching models work best.


Prophet for Unemployment



Prophet Configuration:

- `changepoint_prior_scale = 0.5` (flexible for COVID shock)
- Automatic changepoint at April 2020
- Captures V-shaped recovery pattern

Model Comparison on Unemployment




Key Lesson

When data has extreme structural breaks:

- Traditional ARIMA may fail or require intervention analysis
- Prophet's flexibility with changepoints captures regime changes
- Consider regime-switching models (Markov-switching)

Decision Framework



Model Selection Summary

Data Type	Characteristics	Recommended Model	Alternatives
Financial returns	No trend, volatility clustering	ARIMA-GARCH	EGARCH, GJR
Single seasonality	Trend + one seasonal period	SARIMA	ETS, Prophet
Long cycles	Sunspots, business cycles	AR + Fourier, TBATS	Spectral methods
Structural breaks	COVID, regime changes	Prophet	Intervention ARIMA
Multiple series	Interdependencies	VAR, VECM	Factor models

Point Forecast Metrics:

RMSE (Root Mean Square Error):

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

MAE (Mean Absolute Error):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

MAPE (Mean Absolute % Error):

$$\frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right|$$

When to Use Each:

- **RMSE**: Penalizes large errors more
- **MAE**: Robust to outliers
- **MAPE**: Scale-independent

Cross-Validation

Always use time series CV:

- Rolling window
- Expanding window
- Never shuffle!

Course Summary: Complete Toolkit

Understanding the Data

- Visualization first!
- Test for stationarity (ADF, KPSS)
- Identify seasonality patterns
- Check for structural breaks

Classical Models

- ARIMA: Non-seasonal data
- SARIMA: Single seasonality
- GARCH: Volatility modeling

Modern Approaches

- Prophet: Interpretable, handles breaks
- TBATS: Multiple/long seasonalities
- VAR/VECM: Multiple time series

Best Practices

- Always check diagnostics
- Use cross-validation
- Compare multiple models
- Domain knowledge matters!

Final Recommendations

- ① **Start Simple:** Begin with visualization and basic statistics
- ② **Test Assumptions:** Stationarity, normality, independence
- ③ **Iterate:** Model → Diagnose → Improve
- ④ **Compare:** Never rely on a single model
- ⑤ **Validate:** Out-of-sample testing is essential
- ⑥ **Communicate:** Clear visualizations and interpretations

Remember

"All models are wrong, but some are useful." — George Box

The goal is not perfect prediction, but useful insights and reasonable forecasts.

Thank You!

Questions?

Course Materials: github.com/danpele/Time-Series-Analysis

Contact: daniel.pele@csie.ase.ro



logo_csie.png



logo_ase.png