SIMULATION & EXPERTED YALVES 401

EXPECTED VALVES: ASSUME: WEEK 4

EXPECTED VALVES: X=SCALAR

E[X] = THE EXPORTED VALUE OF X (THINK OF AS THE MEAN)

E[X] Y] = EXPECTED VALUE OF X GIVEN Y
AKA THE CONDITIONAL EXPERTATION

OF X GIVEN Y.

X COULD BE A VECTOR OR MATRIX, BUT FOR NOW LETS ASSUME ITS A SCALAR.

ASSUME X IS DISTRIBUTED ACCORDING TO SOME pdf (ie XNPdf(x))

1 B DISTRIBUTED AS

THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF X TO POST(X)

FACT:

$$E[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx$$

FACT:

$$E[f(k)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) \cdot p df(k) dk$$

(IMPORTANT

This is the part of fix).

I NOT THE PART OF FIX.

THE MEAN & EXPECTED VALVE TERMINOLOGY ARE SIMILAR BUT UST THE SAME, THE MEAN TYPICALLY REFERS TO THE AVERALE VALVE IN A SET OF (HISTORICAL) DATA, WHILE YOU TYPICALLY USE THE TERM "EXPERTED VALVE" TO REFER TO THE "FORECASTED MEAN" TITE WHAT WE EXPECT A DATA CENDENTINE MECHANISM WILL PRODUCE IN THE FUTURE - ON AUGRAGE

SOME EXPERTED VALUE RULES ALE".

ASSUME; Q & D ALE CONSTANTS (SCALARS)

X IS A RAWDOM VARIABLE

Y

"
"

RULES:

BE CALEFUL WY THIS MULTIPULATIVE CASE!

$$\frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} Const}$$
 = $Const$

$$e_{3} = \frac{4}{2} = e_{+e+e+e} = \frac{4e}{4} = e$$

$$\frac{e^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} e \cdot x_{i}} = \frac{e \cdot x_{1} + e \cdot x_{2} + e \cdot x_{3} + e \cdot x_{4}}{4}$$

$$= e \cdot \left\{ \frac{x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} + x_{4}}{4} \right\}$$

THE EXPECTED VALVE ANALOGY OF THE TWO ABOVE "FACTS" 15:

THE MOAN IS AKA THE FIRST MOMENT

" VARIANCE " " SECOND "

" SKOWNESS " " THIRD "

" KURTOSIS " " FOVETH "

So NOW 1575 1001 AT 71-

SO NOW LETS LOOK AT THE SEZUND MOMENT OF X (TO THE VARIANCE OF X)

FROM "BASIC STATS" YOU'VE SEEN

$$VAR(x) = \frac{N}{1=1} (x_1 - \overline{x})^2$$

SOMETIMES WRITTEN

LETS DO A LITTLE MATH AGESRA'S REWRITE AS

$$\sqrt{\chi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\chi_i^2 - Z\chi_i \cdot \overline{\chi} + \overline{\chi}^2)$$

$$= \frac{\sum (x_i^2)}{N} - 2 \frac{\sum x_i \cdot x}{N} + \frac{\sum x^2}{N}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (X_i^2)}{N} - 2 \times \frac{\sum X_i}{N} + \frac{\sum X_i}{N}$$

PECAL X IS A LOT LIKE EEXT.

THUS, $\frac{\sum f(x)}{N}$ is A LOT LIKE E[f(x)]

THUS, THE ABOVE EQT CAN BE WRITTEN IN EXPECTED VALVE TERMS AS

AND SINCE $E[f(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cdot p df(x) \cdot dx$ WE CAN WRITE 7

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} = E[x^2] - (E[x])^2$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx$$

$$= E[x^2]$$

$$= E[x^2]$$

AN EXAMPLE:

SUPPOSE X IS DISTRIBUTED UNIFORM ON THE RANGE 3 TO 5 (X CAN TAKE ON DNLY VALUES FROM 3 TO 5 INCLUSINE, AND EACH NUMBER FROM 3 TO 5 HAS THE SAME CHANCE OF BEING SELECTED).

IN GENERAL, THE P.D.F OF A UNIFORM DIST IN THE a TO b RANGE (akb) IS WRITTEN AS

$$pdf(x) = 0$$
 $x < a$

$$pdf(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \quad a \le x \le b$$

$$pdf(x) = 0 \quad b < x$$

(NOTE, YOU SHOULD CHECK THAT THE TOTAL)
AREA UNDER THE POF IS EXACTLY ONE

LETS FIND E[X]"

NOTE, INTUITIVELY WE KNOW THE ANSWER IS THE MIDPOINT BETWEEN 3 & 5 (= 4)

SINCE EVERY NUMBER IN THIS RANGE HAS THE SAME CHANCE OF BEING DRAWN,

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{3} x \cdot o \cdot dx + \int_{3}^{5} x \cdot (\frac{1}{5-3}) dx + \int_{5}^{\infty} x \cdot o \cdot dx$$

$$= o + \int_{3}^{5} x \cdot (\frac{1}{5-3}) dx + o$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{3}^{\infty} x dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} x^{2} \Big|_{3}^{5} = \frac{1}{4} x^{2} \Big|_{3}^{5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(5 \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(3 \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{25}{4} - \frac{9}{4} = \frac{16}{4} = 4$$
 THOJEHT!

[NOTE:] IN GENTLA, IF XNUNIFORM (a,b)
THON E[X] = a+b
2

図 ていUNIFORM(3,5) 中国 = 3+5=4

YALLANCE OF X: $\sqrt{x} = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx - \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot pdf(x) \cdot dx \right\}$

= \(\x^2 \frac{1}{5-3} \, \dx - (E[x])^2

 $= \frac{1}{2} \int_{3}^{2} x^{2} dx - (4)^{2}$

= 2·3 x3 15 - 16

 $= \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{3}{3} \left[\frac{5}{3} - 16 \right] = \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{5^3 - 3^3}{5^3} \right] - 16$

= 16 =

NEDATIVE-MISTAKE IF THIS IS

VALLANCE CANNOT BE NEZ-ATIVE!

For Ardem (Lip) DIST

Q: WHAT OCCURS IF WE TROAT E[X2] AS
E[X]. E[X]?

THAT 15, GIVEN F(x) = x2

SUPPOSE WE WANT TO KNOW E (f(x))

Q: CAN WE JUST COMPUTE ELX) AND SOUALE IT?
A: NO!

Suppose f(x) = x2 & XN UNIFORM (3,5)

WHAT IS $E[f(x)] = E[x^2]$? IS IT $E[x] \cdot E[x]$? $(= 4 \cdot 4 = 16)$?

LETS SEE:

$$E[X^{2}] = \int_{3}^{5} X^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{53} \cdot dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{3}^{2} X^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} X^{3} \Big|_{3}^{5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} (125 - 27) = 16\frac{1}{3} \neq 16$$

LETS LOOK @ 3(x) = x5

0: 15
$$E[X^5] = (E[X])^5$$
?
= (4)5
= 1024?

LET'S SEE:

$$E[X5] = \int_{3}^{5} x^{5} \cdot \frac{1}{5-3} \cdot dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} x^{6} \Big|_{3}^{5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \Big(15,625 - 729 \Big) = 1241 \frac{1}{3} x^{6}$$

THIS, IF ASKED TO FIND THE EXPERTED

VALUE OF A FUNCTION, BE CAREFULL TO

NOT DO THIS

OK
$$\rightarrow$$
 E[g(x)] = A + B·E[x] + C·E[x²]
NOT OK \rightarrow = A + B·E[x] + C·(E[x])²

THAT IS (E[x]) = E[x2]

WHAT IF WE DID NOT KNOW HOW

TO INTECRATE AT ALL (OR THE INTERNAL

IS DIFFICULT TO EVALUATE)

Q: WHAT TO DO?

A: MONTE CANLO SIMULATION WOULD WORK!

SIMULATION:

MONTE CALLO SIMULATION TYPICALLY
MEANS TO DRAW A RANDOM VARIABLE (OR A
SET OF RN'S) FROM SOME ASSUMED PLAF

(WHICH BY ASSUMPTION APPEARS TO BE GENERATING
DATA) AND THEN GIVEN THE INDIVIDUAL DRAWS
FROM THE PLAF, EMPLOY THEM IN DETERMINING
THE DISTRIBUTION OF SOME OTHER FUNCTION

(WHICH HAS OUR RANDOM VARIABLE(S) AS INPUT(S)).

Example:

ie X 15 PULLED RANDONLY FROM THE INTOWAL O TO 1.00.

Suppose WE WANTED TO FIND THE EXPECTED VALUE OF $g(x) = e^{(x^2)}$

ALSO SUPPOSE WE DID NOT HAVE A CALCULUS BACKGRUUND ... WHAT TO DO?

DO THE FOLLOWING:

- DISTRIBUTION, SAY .372 = X
- 2) PLUG THE VALUE OF X (=.372) INTO THE FUNCTION (ie EVALUATE EXP(.372) = 1.1484) AND SAVE THIS VALUE.
- 3) REPEAT STEPS () AND (2) A LARGE
 # OF TIMES, 5MY, 10,000 (USING A
 COMPUTEN PROGRAM)
- 4 COMPUTE THE MEAN & VARIANCE OF THE 10,000 VALUES OF g(x). THIS PROVIDES YOU WITH AN ESTIMATE OF THE EXPECTED VALUE OF g(x) (= E[g(x)]) AND THE YARIANCE OF g(x).

THAT YOU GENDLATED, YOU COULD BETOLMINE
THE UPPER & LOWER 570 TAILS. THAT
15 THE LARLEST 500 OF THE 19,000
DRAWS (593) RESIDE W THE UPPER TAIL
WHILE THE SMALLEST 500 OF THE 19,000
DRAWS COMPRISES THE LOWER TAIL
THIS IDEA OF CONSTRUCTING THE EMPIRICAL
DISTRIBUTION OF 9(K) ALLOWS FOX
HYPOTHESIS TESTING, THE COMPUTATION OF
P-VALUES ETC.

EXAMPLE # 2

SUPPOSE YOU ARE EVALUATING & PROPOSET?

PROJECT (IE CAPITAL BUDGETING) - AND WE

KNOW WE WANT TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL

IF THE EXPECTED VALUE IS POSITIVE.

SUPPOSE OUR ENGINEERS HAVE BEEN ABLE
TO ESTIMATE THE FIXED & VALIABLE PRODUCTION
COSTS, (INCLUDING THE UP-FRONT CAPTRAL
COUSTRUCTIONI COSTS AND THE OPERATING COSTS
THEREAFTER). REMAINING

THE PRIMARY QUESTION IS, WHAT PRICE
DO WE EXPERT TO CHARGE (AND THIS
MIGHT BE A RANDOM VARIABLE AS MARKET
FORCES CHANGE) AND WHAT QUANTITY DO
WE EXPERT TO SELL?

TYPICALLY, FINANCE TEXTBOOKS WILL TELL YOU TO SIMPLY INSBUT E[PRICE] &
E[OVANTITY] INTO YOUR ANALYSIS (PROBABLY BEING DONE VIA A SPREADSHEET) AND COMPUTE THE EXPECTED VALVE. BUT IS
THAT THE BEST WAY? NO!

UPON THIS TYPICAL APPROACH:

FIRST OF ALL, WE ARE NOW TALKING
ABOUT 2 VALIABLES X AND Y (BAD Q)

FACH OF WHICH COMB FROM SOME PAF.

0

. I

JUST LIKE IT IS NOT OK TO WRITE

E[X2] = E[X] · E[X]

IT IS TYPICALLY NOT OK TO WRITE

AN) E[XY] = E[X] · E[Y]

NOT ASSUME THAT EXPECTED REVENUE

ELP-9] IS EDWAR TO ELP] TIMES

E[9]

NOTE, ED (414.1) IS OK IF

X 13 INDEPENDENT OF Y, OR

IF THE COVARIANCE BETWEEN X

Y IS ZERO.

HOWINDL VIA SUPPLY DEMAND FORCES

B IS A FUNCTION OF PRICE! THUS

E[P.B] \$\neq E[P] \cdot E[Q]

THAT THIS POINT COMES FROM THE
EXPRESSION'S

E[X.Y] = E[X]. E[Y]

OTHERWISE IS WRONG!

THUS, GIVEN THE DEPENDENCE OF 9 ONP IT IS BETTER TO FIND THE MEAN (EXPECTED) NOV OF THE PROJECT IN A MORE CALEFUL MANNER, — SUCH AS VILL MONTE CALLO SIMULATION.

VIA A MULTI-VARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTED)

A MULTI-VARIATE (MV) NORMAL DISTRIBUTION.

SIMILAR IN IDEA TO THE UNIVARIATE

NORMAL THAT YOU ARE ARIZEMBY

FAMILIAN WITH, EXCEPT IT DEGREES

HOW A SET (IN OUR CASE & SET OF 2)

OF RANDOM VARIABLES IS GENDRATED.

PECAL:

UNIVARIATE Pdf ~ N (M, 42)

Pdf(K) = -1/2 (X-M)^2

AS; (IN MATRIX FORM)

 $f(\vec{x}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ DET}(\hat{x}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}-\vec{\mu})\cdot\hat{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\vec{x}-\vec{\mu})\right\}$ $||N\times||V_{\text{ECTOR}}||W||$ $|(2\times||W|)$

CASE

 $\vec{X} = NXI$ PANDOMLY DRAWN VECTOR $\vec{M} = NXI$ VECTOR CONSISTING OF

THE EXPERTED VALVES OF THE

ELEMENTS COMPRISING \vec{X}

= NXN VAR-CON MATRIX DESCRIBING THE "SECOND MOMBUTS" OF THE N PANDOM VARIABLES,

IN OUR EXAMPLE?

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ S \end{bmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} E[P] \\ E[G] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2 \times 1$$

SO, LETS ASSUME
$$E[p] = 50\%$$
 UNITS
$$E[q] = 1,000 \text{ UNITS}$$

$$\sqrt[3]{p} = .09 \implies \sqrt[3]{p} = .3$$

$$\sqrt[3]{q} = 360,000 \implies \sqrt[3]{q} = 600$$
HOW DID WE PICK THESE?
$$WELL - 7$$

THESE #'S SHOULD COME FROM OBSERVING
HOW MUCH PRICES & QUANTITIES VARY
ACROSS SIMILAR PRODUCTS. -WE DO HAVE & LOT OF CONTROL

WE DO HAVE A LOT OF CONTROL

OVER PRICES SO ITS T RELATIVE

TO ITS MEAN SHOULD BE "SMALL".

RECAU THE STATISTIC "COEFICIENT OF VARIATION"

CV = X

1

IN OUR EXAMPLE, WE'LL USE ELX] = CVX

THUS FOR PRICE, WITH LOTS OF CONTROL

OVER IT, WE WANT A "SMARL" CUP, SO

I PICKET T=.3 GIVING

$$CV_p = \frac{.3}{5.00} = .06$$

HOWEVER QUANTITY SOLD IS VOLY HARD TO
"PIN DOWN" (IT HAS HIGH T) SO I
CHOOSE A MUCH LARVER CN FOR 9.
I CHOOSE

WE NEED TO DETERMINE THE COLLANTION
BETWEEN PEG.

WE KNOW THAT AS PT QUANTITY SOLD WILL LIKELY DECLINE. THUS WE EXPERT THAT THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PEG

WE COULD DO A LOT MORE ANALYSIS

INTO ESTIMATING THE CORRELATION BETWEEN

P & 9 / BUT FOR NOW LETS JUST

ASSUME IT'S EQUAL TO -0.5

THUS, OUR CORR MATRIX LOOKS LIKE

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - -0.5 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$
AND W/ $\sqrt{P} = .09$

$$\sqrt{q} = 360,000$$

$$\sqrt{q} = 360,000$$

WE GET THE VAR-CON MATRIX OF P99

$$= \begin{bmatrix} .09 & -16,200 \\ -16,200 & +360,000 \end{bmatrix}$$

WE NOW HAVE FULLY DEFINED THE MULTIINPUT PARAMETERS (M \$ \$) TO THE MULTIVARIATE NORMAL PD + ON THE BOTTOM
OF PASE 415.
GIVEN THIS (AND USING A COMPUTER)
WE'D REPEAT THE ESSENCE OF THE
ALGORITHM ON PAGE 412. THAT IS

- DGIVEN A FULLY DEFINED MV-NORMAL DISTB, DRAW A VECTOR OF OBSERVATIONS FROM THE POLF. (IN OUR CASE WE DRAW A 2x1 VECTOR CONSISTING OF A P & MATCHING Q)
- 2) USE THE JUST OBTANED PZ B TO COMPUTE THE NPV OF THE PROJECT (NPV = f(P,B)) AND SAVE THIS NPV.
- 3 REPEAT D& & A LARGE # OF TIMES, SAY 10,000 TIMES,
- (D) GIVEN THE 10,000 (DIFFERENT!) NOV'S
 THE EXPECTED NOV IS THE SAMPLE
 MOAN, WHILE THE VARIANCE OF THE
 NOV IS THE SAMPLE VARIANCE.

CONSTRUCTED AND STATEMENTS LIKE "THERE IS A 590 CHANCE NOW WILL MOST OR EXCERT \$74352".

ALSO IF G237 OF THE 10,000
DRAWS HAVE POS NPV, THON WE CAN
SMY THAT THERE IS A G237% CHANCE
THAT THE PROJECT WILL BE A SUCCESS.

1

MUSO, IF ASKED "WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY
THAT THE NPV WILL BE ABOVE \$1000.7"
THEN WE CAN SIMPLY DETERMINE HOW
MMY OF THE 10,000 UPV'S WERE
ABOVE \$1000, AND REPORT THE OUDLAND
PERCENT,

HAO NOV > \$ 1000, THEN WE COULD BOTIMATE THAT THE DROB OF SHENCE THE ACNAL NOU BE ABOVE \$1000 IS 3,2976.

DBY THE WAY, THIS IS THE FIRST COMPUTER PROGRAM THAT WE WRITE IN BUS- 444 (FINANCIAL ENGINEERING)

DIN SUMMARY MONTE CAPLO SIMULATION
IS A GREAT TOOL FOR EMPIRICALLY
ESTIMATING COMPLEX INTELLEANS (IN
ORDER TO GET EXPECTED VALUES AND
VALIANCES), AND IT ALSO PROVIDES A
MEANS FOR ESTIMATING THE EMPIRICAL
DISTRIBUTION OF THE COMPLEX FUNCTION
UNDER CONSIDERATION, {g(x,y) OR IN OUR
EXAMPLE NPV(P,Q) }.

Q' WHAT EDE COULD BE SIMULATED?

Al: THE EXPECTED RETURN ON A CALL OPTION GIVEN THAT THE UNDERLYING ASSUMED POLF FOR ITS RETURNS, MAJBE NORMAL.

AZ: THE EXPECTED PETULN ON A
BOND PORTEDUO GIVEN SOME PROCESS
FOR INTEREST RATES (INVOLVES MODE),
OF THE YIELD WINE),

A3: THE EXPECTED LEVEL OF INVENTORY
GIVEN SOME ASSUMED - MULTI-VARIATE
PROCESS (AND IMPLIED MULTI-VARIATE
PLOCESS (AND IMPLIED MULTI-VARIATE)

IN GENTALL, MONTE CAPLO SIMULATION IS
USEFUL WHEN WHAT WE WANT TO ANALYZE
IS A BIT COMPLICATED, BUT WE HAVE
SOME IDEA HOW THE INPUTS ARE GENERATED.
ALSO, YOU DO NOT NEED TO KNOW
THE THEORITICAL POLF OF THE INPUTS.
YOU COULD INSTEAD HAVE A BIG
HISTORY OF HOW THE INPUTS HAVE
BEHAVED IN THE PAST, AND THEN DRAW

(W/ REPLACEMENT) FROM THIS SET OF HISTORICALLY BASED INPUTS. (THIS IS AKA A BOOTSTRAPPING")

FOR H-P FOR THE LAST 150
YEARS (2520 OBSERVATIONS).

AND SUPPOSE WE WANT TO

ETIMATE THE E[2] ON A

DECEMBER CALL OPTION W/ STRIKE

PRICE OF, SMY \$38.

IN THIS CASE WE COULD EITHER EDUSE THE 2520 OBS TO DETWINKE

R AND TR AND ASSUME A

NORMAL DIST'S FOR H-P RETURNS

THEN SIMULATE JIA MALLING 10,000

DRAWS FROM THIS POT THEN

EVALUATING THE RETURN OF THE

OPTION FOR EACH DRAW... ETC

OL

PROMINE SET OF 2520 RETURNS
AND USE IT TO EVALUATE THE
E[R] OF THE OPTION.

2) REPEAT (10,000 TIMES,

ASSUME NORMALITY. - IMPLICITLY ASSUMES THE PAST WILL REPEAT ITSELF.