

Class 6

Wednesday, October 28, 2020 2:59 PM

A "multiplier" version of
Calderón - Zygmund estimates:

$$\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f}) \|_{L^p} \leq ?$$

(m = rational function of ξ ,
e.g.)

Thm (Hörmander - Mikhlin
multiplier theorem)

Assume $m: \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
is smooth and

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \quad | \partial^\alpha m |(\xi) \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$$

$$(|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)$$

or also for $|\alpha| \leq n+2$.

Then

$$\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f}) \|_{L^p} \leq C \| f \|_{L^p}$$

$$\forall 1 < p < \infty, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Rmk $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $m \in L^\infty$

$\Rightarrow m \hat{f}$ makes sense
and is L^1 (or L^2).

Rmk It follows that

$T(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f})$ extends
uniquely to a continuous
linear operator $L^p \rightarrow L^p$.

Proof Heuristically, take

$K := \check{m}$ and show

$f \mapsto K * f$ is bounded
from L^p to L^p .

But K is only an element of
 \mathcal{S}' in general.

We first construct a partition of unity $(\psi_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ s.t.

- $\psi_\ell \in C_c^\infty$
- $\text{spt}(\psi_\ell) \subseteq B_{2^{\ell+1}} \setminus B_{2^\ell}$
- $\psi_\ell(\xi) = \psi_0(\xi/2^\ell)$
- $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_\ell(\xi) = 1 \quad \forall \xi \neq 0.$

Morally, $\psi_\ell \approx \mathbb{1}_{B_{2^{\ell+1}} \setminus B_{2^\ell}}.$



$$\left(\text{spt}(\psi_j) \cap \text{spt}(\psi_\ell) = \emptyset \right. \\ \left. \text{unless } |j - \ell| \leq 1. \right)$$

Then we will take

$$m_M := \sum_{\ell=-M}^M m \psi_\ell \in C_c^\infty$$

and $K_M := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_M)$.

We will show $K_M \in \mathcal{S}$
satisfies the version of
(-Z) from last time

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_M \hat{f})\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}$$

\Rightarrow send $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Details:

we first construct (ψ_ε) .

For instance take

$\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ radial decreasing
(and nonnegative) s.t.

$\varphi = 0$ outside $B_{2-\varepsilon}$,

$\varphi = 1$ inside $B_{1+\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$)

Take $\psi_\varepsilon(\xi) := \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(2\xi)$.

$\text{spt}(\psi_\varepsilon) \subseteq B_2$ and for

ξ near $\bar{B}_{1/2}$, $\psi_\varepsilon(\xi) = 1 - 1 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{spt}(\psi_0) \subseteq B_2 \setminus \bar{B}_{1/2}.$$

$$\text{So } \psi_l(\xi) := \psi_0(\xi/2^l)$$

$$\text{has } \text{spt}(\psi_l) \subseteq B_{2^{l+1}} \setminus B_{2^{l-1}}.$$

$$\text{Also, for } \xi \neq 0,$$

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_l(\xi) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\varphi(\xi/2^l) - \varphi(\xi/2^{l-1}) \right]$$

$$\text{sum is actually finite}$$

$$= \sum_{l=a}^b \left[\varphi(\xi/2^l) - \varphi(\xi/2^{l-1}) \right]$$

$$= \varphi(\xi/2^b) - \varphi(\xi/2^{a-1})$$

$$= 1 - 0 = 1.$$

Rmk $|\partial^\alpha \psi_l(\xi)|$

$$= |\partial^\alpha \psi_0(\xi/2^l)| 2^{-|\alpha|l}$$

$$\leq C'_\alpha 2^{-|\alpha|l}$$

(since $|\xi| \approx 2^l$ on $\text{spt}(\psi_l)$)

$$\leq C''_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}.$$

So by Leibniz

$$|\partial^\alpha (\psi_{\ell^m})| = \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta \psi_{\ell^m} \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \psi_{\ell^m} \right|$$

(where $\beta \leq \alpha$ means $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$
and $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \binom{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \cdots \binom{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}$)

$$\leq C \sum |\xi|^{-|\beta|} |\xi|^{-|\alpha-\beta|} \leq C |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}.$$

So ψ_{ℓ^m} has same decay assumptions as m .

Now we check that

$$K_M := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\sum_{-M}^M \psi_{\ell^m} \right)$$

satisfies

- $|\hat{K}_M| \leq C$
- $|\mathcal{D} K_M(x)| \leq C / |x|^{n+1}$

with C independent of M .

$$|\hat{K}_M| = \left| \sum_{-M}^M \psi_{\ell^m} \right| \leq |m|$$

\Rightarrow 1st requirement is OK.

We show $|K_M(x)| \leq C|x|^{-n}$
for simplicity.

(Estimate for DK_M is similar using $\mathcal{F}(DK_M) = 2\pi i \xi m_M$.)

We look at $x^\alpha K_M$
with $|\alpha| = n$ and we want
to show $x^\alpha K_M \in L^\infty$
with $\|x^\alpha K_M\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$

$(\Rightarrow |K_M(x)| \leq C'|x|^{-n})$.

Since $F(x) = \int \hat{F}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi}$,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\hat{F}\|_{L^1}.$$

Now $\mathcal{F}((2\pi i x)^\alpha K_M) =$

$$= \partial^\alpha \hat{K}_M$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=-M}^M \partial^\alpha (\psi_\ell m).$$

So $\|\mathcal{F}(\dots)\|_{L^1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{\ell=-M}^M \|\partial^\alpha(\psi_\ell^m)\|_{L^1} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{\ell=-M}^M (2^\ell)^n 2^{-|\alpha|\ell} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \\
 &\text{from above}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(2M+1).$$

So we are stuck!

Idea: generalize this.

Look at general α :

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_\ell^m))\|_{L^1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|\alpha|}} \|\partial^\alpha(\psi_\ell^m)\|_{L^1} \\
 &\leq C 2^{\ell n - |\alpha|\ell} \\
 &= C 2^{\ell(n-|\alpha|)} \\
 &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_\ell^m))\|_{L^\infty} \leq C 2^{\ell(n-|\alpha|)}
 \end{aligned}$$

we have (combining all)
 $(|\alpha|=k, \dots, k)$

so we have

$$(*) \left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_{\ell}^m) \right| \leq C \frac{2^{\ell n}}{|x|^k}.$$

[We are using:

$$\begin{aligned} |x^\alpha F(x)| &\leq C \quad \forall |\alpha| = k \\ \Rightarrow |F(x)| &\leq C' |x|^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

Idea Use $k = n+1$ for $\ell \geq 0$,
 $k = n-1$ for $\ell < 0$.

(*) gives

$$\left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_{\ell}^m) \right| \leq C \min \left\{ \frac{2^{-\ell}}{|x|^{n+1}}, \frac{2^{\ell}}{|x|^{n-1}} \right\}.$$

Look for $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$\frac{2^{-\ell_0}}{|x|^{n+1}} \approx \frac{2^{\ell_0}}{|x|^{n-1}} \Leftrightarrow 2^{\ell_0} \approx |x|^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=-M}^M \left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_{\ell}^m)(x) \right|$$

$2^{-\ell}$

$$\leq C \sum_{l \leq l_0} \frac{2^l}{|x|^{n+1}} + C \sum_{l > l_0} \frac{2^l}{|x|^{n+1}}$$

$$\leq C \frac{2 \cdot 2^{l_0}}{|x|^{n+1}} + C \frac{2^{-l_0}}{|x|^{n+1}}$$

$$(2^{l_0} \approx |x|^{-1})$$

$$\leq C |x|^{-n}.$$

So we know

$$\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_M \hat{f}) \|_{L^p}$$

$$= \| K_M * f \|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C \| f \|_{L^p}.$$

Now $m_M \hat{f} \rightarrow m \hat{f}$ in L^2

(by dominated convergence)

$$\Rightarrow (\text{Plancherel}) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_M \hat{f})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f})$$

$$\approx 1^2$$

vcll.

So for fixed f we have
 $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_{M_j} \hat{f}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f})$ a.e.

\Rightarrow by Fatou

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \hat{f})\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_{M_j} \hat{f})\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary If L differential operator, $L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha$,

s.t. $\sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ vanishes only at 0, then

$$\|D^k f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|Lf\|_{L^p}$$

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty.$$

Proof $\partial_1 \dots \partial_k f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\underbrace{\xi_1 \dots \xi_k}_{= \xi^\alpha} \hat{Lf} \right)$

$$\frac{2\alpha 3}{m(\xi)}$$

Since denominator is $\neq 0$
for $\xi \neq 0$, $m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$.

Also, $m(\lambda \xi) = m(\xi)$
("m is 0-homogeneous")

So by chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha m(\xi) &= \partial^\alpha (m(\lambda \xi)) \\ &= \partial^\alpha m(\lambda \xi) \cdot \lambda^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

(" $\partial^\alpha m$ is $(-|\alpha|)$ -homog.")

Choose $\lambda = |\xi|^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^\alpha m(\xi) = \underbrace{\partial^\alpha m\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)}_{|| \leq C_\alpha} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} \quad \square$$

Examples • $L = \Delta = \partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_n^2$.

$$\bullet L = \partial_z^2 = \partial_x^2 + i^2 \partial_y^2$$

is also good.

(One has local versions
such as

$$\|Df\|_{L^p(B)} \leq C \|\partial_{\bar{z}} f\|_{L^p} + C \|f - f_B\|_{L^p}$$

Application: convergence
of "partial Fourier
representations"

(In HW you will deduce
from this the analogue
for Fourier series...)

In 1D ($n=1$) we have

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$$

$$= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-a}^a \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$$

For $f \in C^p$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

then $f \in L^1 + L^2 \Rightarrow \hat{f} = \hat{L}^1 + \hat{L}^2$
 \Rightarrow so $f_a(x) := \int_{-a}^a \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$

and we wonder if $f_a \rightarrow f$
 in L^p .

Thm If $1 < p \leq 2$, $f_a \rightarrow f$
 in L^p as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof As usual, we need
 to show $\|f_a\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$
 with C independent of f, a .

[Remark If T_k is a family
 of operators such that

$$T_k f \xrightarrow{L^p} T_\infty f \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$\text{then } \|T_k f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$$

(uniform) implies $T_k f \xrightarrow{L^p} T_\infty f \quad \forall f \in L^p$.

definition

And the implication
can be somehow reversed,
by functional analysis
nonsense.

Pointwise a.e. convergence

$T_k f \rightarrow T_\infty f$ turns out

to be equivalent to
bounds for $\tilde{T} f(x) := \sup_k |T_k f|(x)$.

\tilde{T} "maximal operator".

(pointwise \Rightarrow maximal op. bound
was observed by Stein).

In our case we are looking

at $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-a,a)} \hat{f}) = f_a$.

$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,a)} \hat{f}) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,-a)} \hat{f})$

so it is enough to show

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t)} \hat{f})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}$$

with C indep. of t and f .

For $t=0$ it is "just"
an application of Hörmander-
Mikhlin ($m := \begin{cases} < 1 & \text{if } \xi < 0 \\ < 0 & \text{if } \xi > 0 \end{cases}$).

In general,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t)} \hat{f}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tau_t(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)} \tau_{-t} \hat{f})] \\ &= e^{2\pi i t x} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)} \mathcal{F}(e^{2\pi i t x} f)] \end{aligned}$$

up to wrong signs.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t)} \hat{f})\|_{L^p} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)} \mathcal{F}(e^{2\pi i t x} f))\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \|e^{2\pi i t x} f\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

$$= C \|f\|_1. \quad \square$$

Rmk Hilbert transform
is what you get in 1D

using $m(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$

$$= \begin{cases} i & \text{if } \xi < 0 \\ -i & \text{if } \xi > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$m^\vee = \frac{1}{\omega \kappa}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ p.v.}$$

