Homework 4

Dan Sokolsky

October 26, 2020

Exercise 1.

Proof. Let $\{Q_j\}$ be the cubes defined in the proof of the Calderón–Zygmund lemma. Let $S = \{x \in [0,1)^n \mid M_4 f(x) > \lambda\}$, where $x_0 = 0$ in the definition

$$M_4 f(x) = \sup_{Q \in \mathfrak{D} : Q \in [0,1]^n} \oint_Q |f|$$

 (\subseteq) Let $Q_j = [a, b]^n$. Let $\tilde{Q}_j = [a, b)^n$. Then, Q_j, \tilde{Q}_j only differ on a set of measure 0. By construction,

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_j} |f| = \int_{Q_j} |f| > \lambda$$

so that $M_4f(x) > \lambda$ for all $x \in Q_j$. Therefore, $Q_j \subseteq S$ (for a.e. $x \in Q_j$). Thus, $\bigcup Q_j \subseteq S$ up to a set of measure 0.

 (\supseteq) Let $x \in S$. Then there exists a dyadic cube $Q \subseteq [0,1)^n$ such that

$$\oint_{Q} |f| > \lambda$$

So that $Q = Q_j$ for some j. Thus $S \subseteq \cup Q_j$. Therefore, $S = \cup Q_j$ up to a set of measure 0.

Exercise 2.

Proof. (a)(i) Since $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu\{|f| > \lambda\} \ge 0$, we have $||f||_{L^{p,\infty}} \ge 0$. (ii)

$$||kf||_{L^{p,\infty}}^{p} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{p} \cdot \mu\{|kf| > \lambda\} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{p} \cdot \mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{|k|}\} =$$

$$\sup_{|k|\lambda > 0} (|k|\lambda)^{p} \cdot \mu\{|f| > \frac{|k|\lambda}{|k|}\} = \sup_{|k|\lambda > 0} (|k|\lambda)^{p} \cdot \mu\{|f| > \frac{|k|\lambda}{|k|}\} = |k|^{p} \cdot \sup_{|k|\lambda > 0} \lambda^{p} \cdot \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} =$$

$$|k|^{p} \cdot \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{p} \cdot \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} = |k|^{p} \cdot ||f||_{L^{p,\infty}}^{p} \iff ||kf||_{L^{p,\infty}} = |k| \cdot ||f||_{L^{p,\infty}}$$

(iii)

$$||f + g||_{L^{p,\infty}}^p = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot \mu\{|f + g| > \lambda\} \le \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \le \max_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\})$$

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p} \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) + \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p} \cdot (\mu\{|g| > \lambda\}) =$$

$$\sup_{2\lambda>0} (2\lambda)^{p} \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \lambda\}) + \sup_{2\lambda>0} (2\lambda)^{p} \cdot (\mu\{|g| > \lambda\}) =$$

$$2^{p} \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot (\mu\{|f| > \lambda\}) + 2^{p} \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot (\mu\{|g| > \lambda\}) = 2^{p} (\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{p} + \|g\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{p})$$

$$\iff \|f + g\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \le 2(\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} + \|g\|_{L^{p,\infty}})$$

$$\int_{E} |f| \ge \int_{E} \lambda = \lambda \mu(E)$$

So that,

$$\mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{E} |f| \ge \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \cdot \lambda \mu(E) = \lambda \cdot \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Thus,

$$||f||' = \sup_{E:0 < \mu(E) < \infty} \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \int_{E} |f| \ge \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu\{|f| > \lambda\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = ||f||_{L^{p,\infty}}$$

(c)

$$\int_{E} |f(x)| d\mu = \int_{E} \left(\int_{0}^{|f(x)|} d\lambda \right) du = \int_{E} \mu\{|f(x)| > \lambda\} d\lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\{x \in E \mid |f(x)| > \lambda\} d\lambda \le \int_{0}^{\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}} \mu(E) d\lambda + \int_{\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}}^{\infty} \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} d\lambda \le \int_{0}^{\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}} \mu(E) d\lambda + \int_{\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}}^{\infty} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}}{\lambda^{p}} d\lambda = \mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \mu(E) + \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{p} \cdot \left[\frac{\lambda^{1-p}}{1-p}\right]_{\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}}^{\infty} = \mu(E)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \iff \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{E} |f| d\mu \le \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}$$

For all Borel sets E. Therefore,

$$||f||' = \sup_{E:0 < \mu(E) < \infty} \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \int_{E} |f| d\mu \le \frac{p}{p - 1} ||f||_{L^{p, \infty}}$$

(d) We have

$$||f||_{L^{p,\infty}} \le ||f||' \le \frac{p}{p-1} ||f||_{L^{p,\infty}}$$

Thus the two norms are equivalent.

Exercise 3.

Proof. (a) Let R = |x - y|. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Df is bounded. Let $Df \leq K$. Let us first estimate

$$| \oint_{B_R(x)} f - \oint_{B_R(y)} f | = | \oint_{B_R(x)} f(z + (y - x)) - f(z) dz | = | \oint_{B_R(x)} \left(\int_z^{z + (y - x)} Df(t) dt \right) dz | \le \int_{B_R(x)} K \cdot |z + (y - x) - z| dz = \oint_{B_R(x)} K \cdot |x - y| dz = K \cdot |x - y| \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} dz = K \cdot |x - y| \cdot 1 = K \cdot |x - y|$$

Thus, by the lemma we proved in class, we have -

$$|f(x) - f(x)| \le |f(x) - \int_{B_R(x)} f| + |\int_{B_R(x)} f - \int_{B_R(y)} f| + |\int_{B_R(y)} f - f(y)| \le C_1 \cdot |x - y| \cdot Mf(x) + K \cdot |x - y| + C_2 \cdot |x - y| \cdot Mf(y) \le C(Mf(x) + Mf(y)) \cdot |x - y|$$

where $C = \max\{C_1, C_2, K\}$.

(b) By Hölder's inequality, we have, for all s,

$$s f_{B_s(x)} |Df| \le C(p, n) s^{1 - n + \frac{n}{p'}} ||Df||_{L^p} = C(p, n) s^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p}$$

Thus

$$s \cdot M(Df)(x) \le C(p, n)s^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p}$$

Substituting $s = \frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{4}, \frac{r}{8}, \ldots$, we have

$$r \cdot M(Df)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{2^k} \cdot M(Df)(x) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(p,n) (\frac{r}{2^k})^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(p,n) r^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2^k} ||Df||_{L^p} = C(p,n) r^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p}$$

Now, by the lemma we proved preceding Sobolev's inequality, we have

$$\left| f(x) - \int_{B_R(x)} f \right| \le C \cdot r \cdot M(Df)(x) \le C(p, n) r^{\alpha} \|Df\|_{L^p}$$

(c) Let R = |x - y|, as before. We had shown in (b) that

$$R \cdot M(Df)(x) \le C(p, n)R^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p}$$

It follows that

$$M(Df)(x) \le C(p,n)R^{\alpha-1}||Df||_{L^p}$$

Now from (a),

$$|f(x) - f(x)| \le C(Mf(x) + Mf(y)) \cdot |x - y| \le 2 \cdot C(p, n) \cdot R^{\alpha - 1} \cdot ||Df||_{L^p} \cdot |x - y| = C(p, n)|x - y|^{\alpha} ||Df||_{L^p}$$