

# Homework 3

Dan Sokolsky

October 20, 2020

## Exercise 1.

*Proof.* Since  $f \neq 0$ , there exists a radius  $r$ , on which  $\int_{B_r(0)} |f| = c > 0$ . For  $|x| > r$ , we have  $B_r(0) \subseteq B_{|x|+r}(x)$  and therefore

$$Mf(x) \geq \frac{1}{\mu(B_{|x|+r}(x))} \int_{B_{|x|+r}(x)} |f| \geq \frac{c}{(|x|+r)^n}$$

So that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Mf(x)| \geq \int_{|x|>r} \frac{c}{(|x|+r)^n} = \infty$$

Thus,  $Mf \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

## Exercise 2.

*Proof.* (a)(i)

$$0 = \|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} \iff \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda > 0$$

$$\iff |f| = 0 \iff f = 0$$

(ii)

$$\|kf\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|kf| > \lambda\} = |k| \cdot \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|f| > \lambda\} = |k| \cdot \|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}}$$

(iii) Since  $|f| + |g| \geq |f + g|$ , we have

$$\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \cup \{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \supseteq \{|f| + |g| > \lambda\} \supseteq \{|f + g| > \lambda\}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} + \|g\|_{L^{1,\infty}}) &= 2\|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} + 2\|g\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \\ &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{2|f| > \lambda\} + \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{2|g| > \lambda\} = \\ &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} + \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|g| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \geq \end{aligned}$$

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|f| + |g| > \lambda\} \geq \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\{|f + g| > \lambda\} = \|f + g\|_{L^{1,\infty}}$$

(b) For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\ell(x)| &= \frac{1}{\log \ell} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{x\ell - j} \right| = \frac{1}{\log \ell} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j - x\ell} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\log \ell} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j} - \frac{x\ell}{j(x\ell - j)} \right| \geq \frac{1}{\log \ell} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left| \frac{1}{j} \right| - \left| \frac{x\ell}{j(x\ell - j)} \right| \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\log \ell} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left| \frac{1}{j} \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left| \frac{x\ell}{j(x\ell - j)} \right| \right) \geq \frac{1}{\log \ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j} \geq \\ &= \frac{1}{\log \ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \int_j^{j+1} \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{\log \ell} \cdot \log \ell = 1 \end{aligned}$$

So that  $\|f_\ell\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \geq 1 \cdot \mu\{|f_\ell| > 1\} \geq \mu[0, 1] = 1$ .

(c) Since  $\mu$  is translation invariant, we have

$$\mu\left\{\left|\frac{1}{x - \frac{j}{\ell}}\right| > \lambda\right\} = \mu\left\{\left|\frac{1}{x}\right| > \lambda\right\}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{x - \frac{j}{\ell}} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{x} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \left|\frac{1}{x}\right| > \lambda\right\} = \\ &= 2 \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\left\{x \in [0, \infty) : \frac{1}{x} > \lambda\right\} = 2 \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\left\{x \in [0, \infty) : x < \frac{1}{\lambda}\right\} = \\ &= 2 \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \mu\left[0, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = 2 \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} = 2 \sup_{\lambda > 0} 1 = 2 \cdot 1 = 2 \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\|f_\ell\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\ell \cdot \log \ell} \left\| \frac{1}{x} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}} = \frac{4\ell}{\ell \cdot \log \ell} = \frac{4}{\log \ell}$$

Now, if there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|'$  such that  $c\|f_\ell\|' \leq \|f_\ell\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \leq C\|f_\ell\|'$ , such that  $c, C > 0$ , then

$$c\|f_\ell\|' \leq \|f_\ell\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \leq \frac{4}{\log \ell} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $c > 0$ , it follows that  $\|f_\ell\|' = 0$ , which is a contradiction since  $|f_\ell| \geq 1$  on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $\ell$ . Meaning,  $f \neq 0$ , so that  $\|\cdot\|'$  isn't a proper norm.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.**

*Proof.* (a) (i) Since  $\{B_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subseteq \{B_r(y)|x \in B_r(y)\}$ , we have

$$M_1 f = \sup_{\{B_r(y)|x \in B_r(y)\}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(y))} \int_{B_r(y)} |f| \geq \sup_{\{B_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f| = M f$$

Now, for every  $z \in B_r(y)$ ,  $|x - z| \leq |x - y| + |y - z| \leq r + r = 2r$  so that  $B_r(y) \subseteq B_{2r}(x)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 f &= \sup_{\{B_r(y)|x \in B_r(y)\}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(y))} \int_{B_r(y)} |f| \leq \sup_{\{B_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} |f| = \\ &\sup_{\{B_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{2^n}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} |f| = 2^n M f \end{aligned}$$

So that  $M f \leq M_1 f \leq 2^n M f$ .

(ii)  $B_r(x) \subseteq Q_r(x) \subseteq B_{2r}(x)$ . Let  $c_2 = \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{\mu(Q_r(x))}$ . Observe that  $c_2$  is independent of  $r$ . Then,

$$M_2 f = \sup_{\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(x))} \int_{Q_r(x)} |f| \geq \sup_{\{B_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{c_2}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f| = c_2 M f$$

and

$$M_2 f = \sup_{\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(x))} \int_{Q_r(x)} |f| \leq \sup_{\{B_{2r}(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{2^n}{\mu(B_{2r}(x))} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} |f| = 2^n M f$$

So that  $c_2 M f \leq M_2 f \leq 2^n M f$ .

(iii) Same argument as (i) applied to (ii).

Since  $\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subseteq \{Q_r(y)|x \in Q_r(y)\}$ , we have

$$M_3 f = \sup_{\{Q_r(y)|x \in Q_r(y)\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(y))} \int_{Q_r(y)} |f| \geq \sup_{\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(x))} \int_{Q_r(x)} |f| = M_2 f$$

Now, for every  $z \in Q_r(y)$ ,  $|x - z| \leq |x - y| + |y - z| \leq 2r + 2r = 4r$  so that  $Q_r(y) \subseteq B_{4r}(x) \subseteq Q_{4r}(x)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 f &= \sup_{\{Q_r(y)|x \in Q_r(y)\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(y))} \int_{Q_r(y)} |f| \leq \sup_{\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(x))} \int_{Q_{4r}(x)} |f| = \\ &\sup_{\{Q_r(x)|x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \frac{4^n}{\mu(Q_r(x))} \int_{Q_{4r}(x)} |f| = 4^n M_2 f \end{aligned}$$

So that  $c_2 M f \leq M_2 f \leq M_3 f \leq 4^n M_2 f \leq 8^n M f$ .

(b) Notice that the fact that the dyadic cubes are defined using half open intervals doesn't change the measure or the value of the integrals. This is practically a particular case of (a)(iii). Let  $O_{x,k}$  be the centerpoint of the dyadic cube  $\mathfrak{Q}_{x,k} = 2^k x + [0, 2^k)^n$ . Then the radius of . Thus, since

$$\mu(\mathfrak{Q}_{x_0,k}) = \mu(2^k x_0 + [0, 2^k)^n) = \mu(Q_{2^k}(O_{x_0,k}))$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{x_0,k}} |f| = \int_{2^k x_0 + [0, 2^k)^n} |f| = \int_{Q_{2^k}(O_{x_0,k})} |f|$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_4 f &= \sup_{\Omega_{x,k} \in \mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_{x,k})} \int_{\Omega_{x,k}} |f| = \sup_{\Omega_{x,k} \in \mathfrak{D}} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_{2^k}(O_{x,k}))} \int_{Q_{2^k}(O_{x,k})} |f| \leq \\ &\sup_{Q_r(y): x \in Q_r(y)} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_r(y))} \int_{Q_r(y)} |f| = M_3 f \leq 8^n M f \end{aligned}$$

So that  $M_4 f \leq 8^n M f$ .

□