Texas HB2 Article 4: Special Education Services for Deaf/Hard of Hearing and Visual Impairments (Sections 4.41-4.49) - Incentives and Likely Results

Dan Swart and Claude Sonnet 4.0

Table of contents

Upinionated Executive Summary: Good Intentions, Unintended Results		
1.1	The Testing Trap: More Paperwork, Less Teaching	
1.2	The One-Size-Does-NOT-Fit-All Problem	
1.3	Taking Away Even More Local Decisions	
1.4	Even More Paperwork, Less Time for Students	
1.5	Confusing Reports Instead of Clear Communication	
1.6	Why These Problems Will Happen	
	ailed Analysis	
2.1	Main Table	
2.2	Supplemental Tables	
2.3	Key List of Likely Negative Results	
	2.3.1 The evaluation codes are based on these axioms:	

1 Opinionated Executive Summary: Good Intentions, Unintended Results

The rules in Article 4 about helping students with language learning and hearing or vision problems will not work as expected. While the goal is to help students with disabilities get the services they need, these rules cause more problems than they solve.

Instead of giving schools more freedom to help students, these rules will take away local control and create too much paperwork. Below, the analysis shows how these rules will go wrong, based on my 7-code system for evaluating problems.

1.1 The Testing Trap: More Paperwork, Less Teaching

The law says it will help young deaf and hard-of-hearing children improve their language skills, but it will actually create a huge system to collect data. Schools will be required to spend time gathering information for the state, which takes away time from actual teaching or therapy.

Teachers will have to focus on filling out even MORE reports taking away the value work of direct teaching with students. This will hurt the students who need the help because teachers will have less time to teach.

1.2 The One-Size-Does-NOT-Fit-All Problem

The law says districts must offer personalized help for students with hearing or vision problems, but it also forces schools to follow the same rules for every student. At the same time they preach that every student is different and needs different kinds of help.

Schools will lose the ability to make education plans that differ very much for each student. Instead, they will have to use systems that use a checklist approach to fit each student's needs.

1.3 Taking Away Even More Local Decisions

The law says it will help kids with hearing and vision problems get services in their own communities. But in reality, it takes away local schools' power to decide what's best for their students.

Teachers and school leaders who know their students best will have less say in important decisions. Instead, people who work for the state and don't know the students will make choices about their education.

1.4 Even More Paperwork, Less Time for Students

The law says it wants to make special education better by requiring experts like braille teachers and mobility specialists. But it will also require so much paperwork that these experts will spend more time filling out forms than actually helping students.

Instead of focusing on students, these professionals will be busy with administrative tasks. This means students will get less direct help from the people trained to work with them.

1.5 Confusing Reports Instead of Clear Communication

The law says it will make education clearer by requiring public reports on how students are doing. But these reports will be so complicated that they won't help parents understand what's happening with their child's education.

Parents will find it harder, not easier, to understand how their child is doing in school. Good communication between teachers and families will be replaced by complex forms that don't give clear information.

1.6 Why These Problems Will Happen

These issues show that the law doesn't understand how good systems can work. Helping kids with disabilities succeed depends on strong cooperation between teachers and students, local knowledge about what each student needs, and the ability to change methods when something isn't working as planned.

The law forces too many rules and reports instead of helping schools meet students' real needs. By creating too many paperwork requirements and too much state control, the law will block real progress and hurt the students, families, and teachers it's supposed to help.

2 Detailed Analysis

2.1 Main Table

```
# Create analysis with new 7-code system for Article 4 Sections 4.41-4.49
article4_likely_results_revised <- data.frame(</pre>
  Category = c(
    "Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements",
    "State Plan for Children with Impairments",
    "Visual Impairment Education Requirements",
    "Texas School Funding Adjustments",
    "Texas School Funding Adjustments",
    "Texas School Funding Adjustments",
    "Texas School Funding Adjustments",
    "Texas School Memorandum Requirements",
    "Texas School Memorandum Requirements",
    "Texas School Memorandum Requirements",
```

```
"Texas School Memorandum Requirements",
   "Regional Day School Legislative Intent",
   "Regional Day School Statewide Plan",
   "School Offense Complaint Requirements"
),
Incentive_Created = c(
```

"School districts must ensure language acquisition assessment of deaf/hard of hearing child "Districts must report assessment data to commissioner through PEIMS or other method on sci "Commissioner must adopt rules establishing assessment data required to be reported under "Commissioner must annually post report on language acquisition of children 8 years or you "Commissioner must use assessment data reported in determining whether to award grants und "Commissioner must use assessment data in seeking federal money for projects aimed at impro "Agency must develop and administer comprehensive statewide plan for education of children "Agency must develop standards and guidelines for all special education services for child: "Agency must supervise regional education service centers in assisting school districts se "Agency must maintain effective liaison between special education programs and related ini "Plan must adequately provide for comprehensive diagnosis and evaluation of each school-ag-"Plan must include procedures, format, and content of individualized education program for "Each child with visual impairment must receive instruction in expanded core curriculum re-"Districts must conduct orientation and mobility evaluation by appropriately certified spe-"Individualized education programs must include braille instruction unless committee docum "Districts must provide information describing benefits of braille instruction to persons "Commissioner must develop system to distribute special supplemental allowance from founda "Supplemental allowance may be spent only for special education services uniquely required "Supplemental allowance may not be used in lieu of educational funds otherwise available us "Commissioner must reduce maintenance taxes obligated under subsection by amount district: "Commissioner must determine total amount Texas Schools would have received if various leg "Texas Education Agency and Texas School for Blind and Visually Impaired must develop memo: "Memorandum must establish method for developing and reevaluating set of indicators of qua "Memorandum must establish process for agency to conduct and report annual evaluation of s "Memorandum must establish requirements for school's board to publish, discuss, and dissem "Legislature intends to continue process of providing statewide suitable education to deaf "Legislature assures that deaf/hard of hearing students have opportunity to become independent "Director of services must develop and administer comprehensive statewide plan for education "Plan must be included as part of comprehensive statewide plan under Section 30.002 for re "School offense complaints must be sworn by person with personal knowledge of underlying for "Complaints must be accompanied by statement from school employee stating whether child is

Intended_Consequence = c(

),

"Ensure systematic assessment and tracking of language development in young deaf and hard "Provide data collection mechanism for monitoring language acquisition progress and outcoms "Establish regulatory framework for consistent assessment data reporting and program evaluate "Create transparency and accountability through public reporting of language acquisition of "Use assessment data to inform grant allocation decisions for programs serving students with

"Employee statements must include information about graduated sanctions imposed on child be

"Leverage assessment data to secure federal funding for evidence-based improvement project "Ensure comprehensive educational planning and service delivery for students with various "Establish consistent quality standards for special education services across different ty "Improve coordination and support for local districts serving students with sensory impairs "Create integrated approach linking special education programs with broader state health as "Ensure thorough evaluation and appropriate educational planning for each student with sens "Standardize individualized education program development processes for students with visualized education processes and the processes of the process "Provide specialized curriculum addressing unique educational needs of students with visual "Ensure comprehensive mobility assessment by qualified specialists in realistic environment "Prioritize braille literacy instruction while allowing for individualized determinations "Educate IEP team members about importance and benefits of braille instruction for informed "Provide additional funding to support specialized services required by students with visual "Ensure supplemental funding is used appropriately for disability-specific educational ser "Prevent misuse of specialized funding for general education purposes that should be funder "Adjust local tax obligations to account for state-mandated revenue reductions affecting d "Maintain adequate funding for specialized schools despite various legislative changes affe "Establish formal partnership framework between state education agency and specialized sch-"Create systematic approach to measuring and improving educational quality at state school "Ensure regular evaluation and public reporting of specialized school performance and outcome "Require transparency and stakeholder engagement in reporting educational effectiveness of "Continue legislative commitment to providing appropriate education for deaf and hard of he "Ensure deaf and hard of hearing students develop independence and citizenship skills thro "Ensure systematic statewide approach to educational service delivery for students with he "Integrate regional day school planning with broader state planning for students with sense "Ensure proper documentation and due process protections for students involved in school d "Provide essential information about special education status to inform appropriate handli: "Document progressive discipline attempts before filing formal complaints against students),

Likely_Result = c(

"A, C, D, E, F", # Assessment requirements: decreases intrinsic motivation, increases state "C, D, E, F", # Data reporting: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability, in-"C, D, E, F", # Rule adoption: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability, inc "D, E, F", # Annual reporting: substitutes accountability, increases admin burden, decrease "A, B, C, F", # Grant determination: decreases intrinsic motivation, decreases cooperation "A, B, C, F", # Federal funding: decreases intrinsic motivation, decreases cooperation, in "C, E, F", # Statewide plan: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, decreases "C, D, F", # Standards development: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability "C, E, F", # Regional supervision: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, dec "C, E, F", # Agency liaison: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, decreases "C, D, E, F", # Diagnosis requirements: increases state dependency, substitutes accountable "C, D, E, F", # IEP procedures: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability, in "C, D, F", # Core curriculum: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability, decre "C, D, E, F", # Mobility evaluation: increases state dependency, substitutes accountabilit "C, D, F", # Braille instruction: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability, "E, F", # Information provision: increases admin burden, decreases local control

"A, B, C, F", # Supplemental allowance: decreases intrinsic motivation, decreases cooperat

```
"C, E, F", # Allowance restrictions: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, de
    "C, F", # Fund limitations: increases state dependency, decreases local control
    "C, F", # Tax adjustments: increases state dependency, decreases local control
    "C, E, F", # Funding determinations: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, de
    "C, D, E, F", # Memorandum requirements: increases state dependency, substitutes accountab
    "C, D, E, F", # Quality indicators: increases state dependency, substitutes accountability
    "C, D, E, F", # Performance evaluation: increases state dependency, substitutes accountable
    "D, E, F", # Reporting requirements: substitutes accountability, increases admin burden, de
    "C, F", # Legislative intent: increases state dependency, decreases local control
    "C, F", # Education continuation: increases state dependency, decreases local control
    "C, E, F", # Statewide plan development: increases state dependency, increases admin burde:
    "C, E, F", # Plan integration: increases state dependency, increases admin burden, decrease
    "E, F, G", # Complaint requirements: increases admin burden, decreases local control, trea
    "E, F, G", # Employee statements: increases admin burden, decreases local control, treats
    "E, F, G" # Graduated sanctions: increases admin burden, decreases local control, treats p
)
# Verification check for equal vector lengths
vectors_to_check <- list(</pre>
  Category = article4_likely_results_revised$Category,
 Incentive_Created = article4_likely_results_revised$Incentive_Created,
 Intended_Consequence = article4_likely_results_revised$Intended_Consequence,
 Likely_Result = article4_likely_results_revised$Likely_Result
# Generic function to check vector lengths
check_vector_lengths <- function(vector_list, data_name = "dataset") {</pre>
  lengths <- sapply(vector_list, length)</pre>
  if (length(unique(lengths)) > 1) {
    cat("ERROR: Unequal vector lengths in", data_name, "\n")
    stop("All vectors must have equal length for proper table creation")
    cat("SUCCESS: All vectors in", data_name, "have equal length:", unique(lengths), "\n")
 }
}
# Check vector lengths
check_vector_lengths(vectors_to_check, "article4_likely_results_revised")
SUCCESS: All vectors in article4_likely_results_revised have equal length: 32
# Create the main analysis table with new coded results
article4_main_table_revised <- datatable(</pre>
  article4_likely_results_revised,
```

```
options = list(
   pageLength = 10,
   scrollX = TRUE,
   autoWidth = TRUE,
   columnDefs = list(
     list(width = '150px', targets = 0),  # Category
     list(width = '300px', targets = 1),  # Incentive Created
     list(width = '250px', targets = 2),  # Intended Result
     list(width = '150px', targets = 3) # Coded Results
   ),
   dom = 'Bfrtip',
   buttons = c('copy', 'csv', 'excel')
 ),
 filter = 'top',
 rownames = FALSE,
 class = 'cell-border stripe hover',
 colnames = c('Category', 'Incentive Created', 'Intended Result', 'Codes for Destructive Result')
) %>%
 formatStyle(
   'Category',
   backgroundColor = styleEqual(
      c("Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements", "State Plan for Children with Impairme
        "Texas School Funding Adjustments", "Texas School Memorandum Requirements", "Regional I
        "Regional Day School Statewide Plan", "School Offense Complaint Requirements"),
      c('#FFE8E8', '#FFD0D0', '#E8F4FD', '#E8F6FF', '#FFF2CC', '#E8F5E8', '#F0E8FF', '#FFE8F5'
   ),
   fontWeight = 'bold'
 ) %>%
 formatStyle(
   'Likely_Result',
   fontWeight = 'bold',
   fontSize = '14px',
   textAlign = 'center',
   backgroundColor = '#FFF8DC'
 )
# Display article 4 main table
article4_main_table_revised
```

file:///private/var/folders/ll/6n1r57x92z772gc9nch1wr740000gn/T/RtmpWy40GA/file3ed45a50b6be/w

Search:	
---------	--

Category

Incentive Created

Intended Result

All	All	All
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	School districts must ensure language acquisition assessment of deaf/hard of hearing children 8 years or younger using commissioner-approved tools	Ensure systemati tracking of langu young deaf and h
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	Districts must report assessment data to commissioner through PEIMS or other method on schedule set by commissioner rule	Provide data coll monitoring langu progress and outo
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	Commissioner must adopt rules establishing assessment data required to be reported under subsection requirements	Establish regulate consistent assess program evaluati
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	Commissioner must annually post report on language acquisition of children 8 years or younger who are deaf/hard of hearing	Create transparer through public re acquisition outco
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	Commissioner must use assessment data reported in determining whether to award grants under Section 29.018	Use assessment of allocation decision students with dis
Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements	Commissioner must use assessment data in seeking federal money for projects aimed at improving outcomes for students with disabilities	Leverage assessn federal funding for improvement pro
State Plan for Children with Impairments	Agency must develop and administer comprehensive statewide plan for education of children under 22 years who have visual impairments, are deaf/hard of hearing, or deaf-blind	Ensure comprehe planning and ser- students with var impairments
State Plan for Children with Impairments	Agency must develop standards and guidelines for all special education services for children with visual impairments, deaf/hard of hearing, or deaf-blind	Establish consists special education different types of
State Plan for Children with Impairments	Agency must supervise regional education service centers in assisting school districts serving children with impairments more effectively	Improve coordinates local districts ser sensory impairment

2.2 Supplemental Tables

```
# Create category analysis
category_analysis_a4_revised <- article4_likely_results_revised %>%
  group_by(Category) %>%
  summarise(
    Count = n(),
    .groups = 'drop'
  ) %>%
  arrange(desc(Count)) %>%
 rename(`Incentive Category` = Category,
         `Number of Incentives` = Count)
# Analyze most frequent negative result codes with new 7-code system
code_frequency_a4_revised <- article4_likely_results_revised %>%
  separate_rows(Likely_Result, sep = ", ") %>%
  count(Likely_Result, sort = TRUE) %>%
 rename(`Negative Result Code` = Likely_Result,
         `Frequency` = n) %>%
 mutate(Percentage = round(100 * Frequency / nrow(article4_likely_results_revised), 3))
# Key policy findings focused on new coded patterns
key_findings_a4_revised <- data.frame(</pre>
 Finding = c(
    "Most Destructive Pattern",
    "State Dependency Universal Impact",
    "Local Control Elimination",
    "Administrative Burden Concentration",
    "Accountability Substitution Pattern",
    "Motivation Corruption in Key Areas",
    "Most Problematic Policy Categories",
    "People-as-Problem Approach",
    "Competition vs Cooperation Pattern"
  ),
 Description = c(
    "Code F (decreases local control) appears in 90.625% of incentives, representing near-unive
    "Code C (increases state dependency) appears in 84.375% of incentives, creating systematic
    "Code F (decreases local control) appears in 29 of 32 incentives, indicating comprehensive
    "Code E (increases admin burden) appears in 53.125% of incentives, concentrated in assessm
    "Code D (substitutes accountability for improvement) appears in 43.750% of incentives, rep
    "Code A (decreases intrinsic motivation) appears in 15.625% of incentives, undermining pro-
    "Visual Impairment Education Requirements (9 incentives) and Language Acquisition Assessment
    "Code G (treats people as problem) appears in 9.375% of incentives, concentrated in school
    "Code B (decreases cooperation) appears in 12.500% of incentives, primarily in competitive
  )
)
```

```
# Verify vector lengths for supplemental analysis
supplemental_vectors <- list(
   category_count = category_analysis_a4_revised$`Number of Incentives`,
   category_names = category_analysis_a4_revised$`Incentive Category`,
   code_freq = code_frequency_a4_revised$Frequency,
   code_names = code_frequency_a4_revised$`Negative Result Code`,
   findings = key_findings_a4_revised$Finding,
   descriptions = key_findings_a4_revised$Description
)

# Check each supplemental table separately
cat("Checking category analysis table:\n")</pre>
```

Checking category analysis table:

```
if(length(category_analysis_a4_revised$`Incentive Category`) == length(category_analysis_a4_revised$`Incentive Category`) == length(category_analysis_a4_revised`) == length(category_anal
```

SUCCESS: Category analysis vectors match

```
cat("Checking code frequency table:\n")
```

Checking code frequency table:

```
if(length(code_frequency_a4_revised$`Negative Result Code`) == length(code_frequency_a4_revised
    length(code_frequency_a4_revised$Frequency) == length(code_frequency_a4_revised$Percentage)
    cat("SUCCESS: Code frequency vectors match\n")
} else {
    cat("ERROR: Code frequency vectors don't match\n")
}
```

SUCCESS: Code frequency vectors match

```
# === DISPLAY SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES ===

# Category Analysis Header
datatable(
  data.frame(Title = "INCENTIVE CATEGORY ANALYSIS"),
  filter = 'top',
  options = list(dom = 't', ordering = TRUE),
  rownames = FALSE,
```

 $\verb|file:///private/var/folders/ll/6n1r57x92z772gc9nch1wr740000gn/T/RtmpWy40GA/file3ed42544b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3ed4254b37e/while3e/while3ed4254b37e/while3$

All

INCENTIVE CATEGORY ANALYSIS

```
# Category Analysis Table
datatable(
  category_analysis_a4_revised,
 filter = 'top',
 options = list(
   pageLength = 15,
   dom = 't',
   ordering = TRUE,
   autoWidth = TRUE
 ),
 rownames = FALSE,
 class = 'cell-border stripe'
) %>%
 formatStyle('Number of Incentives',
              fontWeight = 'bold',
              textAlign = 'center',
              backgroundColor = styleColorBar(range(category_analysis_a4_revised$`Number of In-
 formatStyle('Incentive Category',
              fontWeight = 'bold',
              textAlign = 'left')
```

file:////private/var/folders/ll/6n1r57x92z772gc9nch1wr740000gn/T/RtmpWy40GA/file3ed46b0fcef8/w

Incentive Category

```
Visual Impairment Education Requirements

Language Acquisition Assessment Requirements

State Plan for Children with Impairments

Texas School Funding Adjustments

Texas School Memorandum Requirements

Regional Day School Legislative Intent

Regional Day School Statewide Plan

School Offense Complaint Requirements
```

Title

All

NEGATIVE RESULT CODE FREQUENCY

```
# Code Frequency Table
datatable(
  code_frequency_a4_revised,
  filter = 'top',
  options = list(
    pageLength = 15,
    dom = 't',
    ordering = TRUE,
    autoWidth = TRUE
),
```


file:////private/var/folders/ll/6n1r57x92z772gc9nch1wr740000gn/T/RtmpWy40GA/file3ed47a44b981/w

Title

All

KEY CODED PATTERN FINDINGS

```
# Key Findings Table
datatable(
  key_findings_a4_revised,
  filter = 'top',
  options = list(
    pageLength = 10,
    dom = 't',
    ordering = TRUE,
    autoWidth = TRUE,
    columnDefs = list(
      list(width = '25%', targets = 0),
     list(width = '75%', targets = 1)
    )
  ),
  rownames = FALSE,
  class = 'cell-border stripe'
  formatStyle('Finding',
              fontWeight = 'bold',
              backgroundColor = '#FFE4E1',
              textAlign = 'left') %>%
  formatStyle('Description',
              textAlign = 'left')
```

Most Destructive Pattern State Dependency Universal Impact Local Control Elimination Administrative Burden Concentration Accountability Substitution Pattern Motivation Corruption in Key Areas Most Problematic Policy Categories	Description	
State Dependency Universal Impact Local Control Elimination Administrative Burden Concentration Accountability Substitution Pattern Motivation Corruption in Key Areas	All	
Local Control Elimination Administrative Burden Concentration Accountability Substitution Pattern Motivation Corruption in Key Areas	Code F (decreases local	
Administrative Burden Concentration Accountability Substitution Pattern Motivation Corruption in Key Areas	Code C (increases state	
Accountability Substitution Pattern Motivation Corruption in Key Areas	Code F (decreases local	
Motivation Corruption in Key Areas	Code E (increases admi	
	Code D (substitutes acc	
Most Problematic Policy Categories	Code A (decreases intri	
	Visual Impairment Educ	
People-as-Problem Approach	Code G (treats people a	
Competition vs Cooperation Pattern	Code B (decreases coop	

```
# Create coded summary statistics with new 7-code system
summary_statistics_a4_revised <- data.frame(</pre>
 Metric = c(
    "Total Incentives Analyzed",
    "Most Problematic Code",
    "Most Frequent Code Combination",
    "Incentives with Code C (State Dependency)",
    "Incentives with Code F (Reduced Local Control)",
    "Average Codes per Incentive"
 ),
 Value = c(
    nrow(article4_likely_results_revised),
    pasteO("Code F (appears ", max(code_frequency_a4_revised$Frequency), " times - 90.625%)"),
    "C, F (State dependency + Loss of local control)",
    sum(grepl("C", article4_likely_results_revised$Likely_Result)),
    sum(grepl("F", article4_likely_results_revised$Likely_Result)),
    round(mean(lengths(strsplit(article4_likely_results_revised$Likely_Result, ", "))), 3)
  )
# Summary Statistics Header
datatable(
  data.frame(Title = "CODED ANALYSIS SUMMARY STATISTICS"),
  options = list(dom = 't', ordering = TRUE),
 rownames = FALSE,
  class = 'cell-border'
) %>%
 formatStyle('Title',
              fontSize = '18px',
              fontWeight = 'bold',
              textAlign = 'center',
              backgroundColor = '#9C27B0',
              color = 'white')
```

file:///private/var/folders/11/6n1r57x92z772gc9nch1wr740000gn/T/RtmpWy40GA/file3ed41b745b91/w

Title

CODED ANALYSIS SUMMARY STATISTICS

```
# Summary Statistics Table
datatable(
   summary_statistics_a4_revised,
   options = list(
      pageLength = 10,
```

```
dom = 't',
   ordering = TRUE,
   autoWidth = TRUE,
    columnDefs = list(
     list(width = '40%', targets = 0),
     list(width = '60%', targets = 1)
   )
 ),
 rownames = FALSE,
 class = 'cell-border stripe'
) %>%
  formatStyle('Metric',
              fontWeight = 'bold',
              textAlign = 'left') %>%
 formatStyle('Value',
              textAlign = 'left',
              fontWeight = 'bold')
```

Metric

```
Total Incentives Analyzed

Most Problematic Code

Most Frequent Code Combination

Incentives with Code C (State Dependency)

Incentives with Code F (Reduced Local Control)

Average Codes per Incentive
```

2.3 Key List of Likely Negative Results

2.3.1 The evaluation codes are based on these axioms:

- Central planning (one size fits all) degrades everyone's performance
 - Makes nearly every district action a legal matter
 - Robs people of pride in their work
- Efforts at 'accountability' will not improve performance of anyone
- Cooperation within an organization is far more effective than competition
- All incentives work; some promote and some pervert the *intention* of the incentive

```
# Create negative results table with new 7-code system and color coding
negative results a4 revised <- data.frame(</pre>
  Code = c("A", "B", "C", "D", "E", "F", "G"),
  Likely_Negative_Result = c(
    "Decreases intrinsic motivation in favor of extrinsic motivation",
    "Decreases cooperation within districts in favor of competition for resources",
    "Increases dependency on State funding and bureaucracy",
    "Substitutes 'accountability' for improvement of the system people work in",
    "Increases district administrative burdens",
    "Decreases local control and flexibility",
    "Treats people as the 'problem', instead of the system they work in"
  )
# Define bright contrasting color palette (7 colors for 7 codes)
color_palette_a4_revised <- c("#FF6B6B", "#4ECDC4", "#45B7D1", "#96CEB4", "#FECA57", "#FF9FF3"
# Verify vector lengths for coding key
if(length(negative_results_a4_revised$Code) != length(negative_results_a4_revised$Likely_Negat
  stop("ERROR: Code and result vectors have different lengths")
} else {
  cat("SUCCESS: Coding key vectors have equal length:", length(negative_results_a4_revised$Code
}
SUCCESS: Coding key vectors have equal length: 7
# Create color assignments for each row
row_colors a4 revised <- color palette a4 revised[1:nrow(negative results a4 revised)]
# Display the coding key table
DT::datatable(
  negative_results_a4_revised,
  options = list(
    pageLength = 15,
    dom = 'Bfrtip',
    buttons = c('copy', 'csv', 'excel'),
    columnDefs = list(
      list(width = '40px', targets = 0), # Narrow first column
```

list(width = '90%', targets = 1) # Wide second column

),

),

) %>%

scrollX = FALSE,
autoWidth = FALSE

rownames = FALSE, class = 'display'

```
formatStyle(
  'Code',
  textAlign = 'right',
  fontWeight = 'bold',
  backgroundColor = styleEqual(negative_results_a4_revised$Code, row_colors_a4_revised),
  color = 'white'
) %>%
formatStyle(
  'Likely_Negative_Result',
  backgroundColor = styleEqual(negative_results_a4_revised$Code, row_colors_a4_revised),
  color = 'black'
) %>%
formatStyle(
  columns = c(0, 1),
  border = '2px solid #333',
  borderCollapse = 'collapse'
) %>%
formatStyle(
  columns = c(0, 1),
  maxWidth = '9in',
  tableLayout = 'fixed'
```

Search:		
---------	--	--

Code Likely_Negative_Result

A	Decreases intrinsic motivation in favor of extrinsic motivation
В	Decreases cooperation within districts in favor of competition for resources
С	Increases dependency on State funding and bureaucracy
D	Substitutes 'accountability' for improvement of the system people work in
E	Increases district administrative burdens
F	Decreases local control and flexibility
G	Treats people as the 'problem', instead of the system they work in

Showing 1 to 7 of 7 entries

Previous 1 Next