]:	'Total_Bsmt_SF', 'First_Flr_SF', 'Second_Flr_SF', 'Gr_Liv_Area',     'Bsmt_Full_Bath', 'Bsmt_Half_Bath', 'Full_Bath', 'Half_Bath',     'Bedroom_AbvGr', 'Kitchen_AbvGr', 'TotRms_AbvGrd', 'Fireplaces',     'Garage_Cars', 'Garage_Area', 'Wood_Deck_SF', 'Open_Porch_SF',     'Enclosed_Porch', 'Three_season_porch', 'Screen_Porch', 'Pool_Area',     'Misc_Val', 'Mo_Sold', 'Year_Sold', 'Sale_Price', 'Longitude',     'Latitude'],     dtype='object')  sNumDF.head()
0 1 2 3 4 5 row	Lot_Frontage         Lot_Area         Year_Built         Year_Remod_Add         Mas_Vnr_Area         BsmtFin_SF_1         BsmtFin_SF_2         Bsmt_Unf_SF         Total_Bsmt_Inst_Inst_Inst_Inst_Inst_Inst_Inst_Ins
2000 1500 1000 500	00 -
remo	0 100000 200000 300000 400000 500000 600000 700000  Sale_Price  SNumDF.drop(amesNumDF[(amesNumDF.Gr_Liv_Area > 4000)].index, inplace=True)  ENUmDF.plot(x='Sale_Price', y='Gr_Liv_Area', style='o')  SSSubplot:xlabel='Sale_Price'>
3500 3000 2500 2000 1500	Gr_Liv_Area
remo	0 100000 200000 300000 400000 500000 600000  Sale_Price  SNumDF.drop(amesNumDF[(amesNumDF.Lot_Area > 100000)].index, inplace=True)  ove lot sizes with more than 100000 sf  SNumDF.plot(x='Sale_Price', y='Lot_Area', style='o')
7000 6000 5000 4000	esSubplot:xlabel='Sale_Price'>  Lot_Area  10 -
: impo	·
	andas Profiling Report  Overview Varia
	Overview  Overview Warnings 17 Reproduction  Dataset statistics Variable types
	Number of variables35Numeric28Number of observations2921Categorical7Missing cells0Missing cells (%)0.0%Duplicate rows0Duplicate rows (%)0.0%
	Average record size in memory 280.0 B  Variables
Of th	$\frac{\text{df\_index}}{\text{Real number }(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})} \qquad \frac{\text{Distinct}}{\text{Distinct (%)}} \qquad \frac{2921}{\text{100.0\%}} \qquad \frac{\text{Mean}}{\text{Minimum}} \qquad 0$
: impo impo from %mat impo impo from	ort numpy as np ort pandas as pd ort os ort sklearn.cluster import KMeans, MiniBatchKMeans cplotlib inline ort matplotlib.pyplot as plt ort scikitplot as skplt or sklearn.metrics import silhouette_score, davies_bouldin_score, calinski_harabasz_score
Ames: Inde	sSelDF=pd.read_pickle('amesSelDF.pickle') sSelDF.columns  ex(['Lot_Frontage', 'Lot_Area', 'Mas_Vnr_Area', 'Bsmt_Unf_SF',     'Total_Bsmt_SF', 'First_Flr_SF', 'Second_Flr_SF', 'Gr_Liv_Area',     'Bedroom_AbvGr', 'Kitchen_AbvGr', 'TotRms_AbvGrd', 'Fireplaces',     'Garage_Area', 'Wood_Deck_SF', 'Open_Porch_SF', 'Sale_Price'],     dtype='object')  sSelDF.describe()
cour mea st mi 25° 50° 75°	in         57.647782         10147.921843         101.096928         559.071672         1051.255631         1159.557679         335.455973         1499.690444           id         33.499441         7880.017759         178.634545         439.540571         440.968018         391.890885         428.395715         505.508887           in         0.000000         1300.000000         0.000000         0.000000         334.000000         0.000000         334.000000           43.000000         7440.250000         0.000000         219.000000         793.000000         876.250000         0.000000         1442.000000           63.000000         9436.500000         0.000000         465.500000         990.000000         1084.000000         0.000000         1442.000000
: Ames Ames X=Ar : Lot_ Lot_ Mas_ Bsmt Tota	313.000000 215245.000000 1600.000000 2336.000000 6110.000000 5095.000000 2065.000000 5642.000000  SClusDF=AmesSelDF.loc[:,~(AmesSelDF.columns.isin(['Sale_Price']))].astype('float32')  SClusDF.dtypes  mesClusDF.to_numpy(copy=True)  Frontage float32 Area float32 Vnr_Area float32 C_Unf_SF float32 al_Bsmt_SF float32
First Second First Second First Second First Second First Second First Second S	st_Flr_SF float32 ond_Flr_SF float32 oiv_Area float32 coom_AbvGr float32 chen_AbvGr float32 cms_AbvGrd float32 cplaces float32 age_Area float32 d_Deck_SF float32 a_Porch_SF float32 oe: object
skpl plt.	
Sum of Squared Errors 0.	-0.05 (Seconds) -0.04 (Seconds) -0.03
: km4=	the elbow plot above, it appears the best number of cluters is 4  =KMeans (n_clusters=4, random_state=33) . fit (X)
labe pd.5 : 0 2 3 1 dtyp : prin	el=km4.predict(X) Series(label).value_counts()  1871 1022 33 4 be: int64  ht(f'CH Score: {calinski_harabasz_score(X,label)}') ht(f'DB Score: {davies_bouldin_score(X,label)}')
CH S DB S Siho	Score: 4835.062230207577 Score: 0.5722008624652534 Suette Score: 0.4511692225933075  Lt.metrics.plot_silhouette(X, label) Show();  Silhouette Analysis  Silhouette score: 0.451
Cluster label	
Obje	Silhouette coefficient values ecide to exclude any features from my cluster analysis, my criteria would be to make sure each feature included has no missing
impo impo %mat impo impo impo	ort joblib ort pickle ort pickleshare ort matplotlib.pyplot as plt ort seaborn as sns ort math  Listdir()  Lpynb checkpoints',
'an 'an 'ns 'MS : ames ames	mes-data-info.zip', mesDF.pickle', mesNumDFclean.pickle', mesSelDF.pickle', ataDocumentation.txt', EDS422_Dantinne_Alex_Assignment 2.ipynb']  EDF=pd.read_pickle('amesSelDF.pickle') EDF.dtypes  Frontage int64 Area int64
Mas_ Bsmt Tota Firs Seco Gr_I Bedr Kito Tota	Vnr_Area int64  LUnf_SF int64  al_Bsmt_SF int64  at_Flr_SF int64  and_Flr_SF int64
Oper Sale dtyr  ames ames  Lot_ Lot_ Mas_	A_Deck_SF int64 a_Porch_SF int64 a_Price int64 be: object  BDF2=amesDF.astype('float32') BDF2.dtypes  Frontage float32 Area float32 Vnr_Area float32 Unf SF float32
First Second First Second First First Garage Wood Oper	al_Bsmt_SF float32 st_Flr_SF float32 ond_Flr_SF float32 ond_ApvGr float32 chen_AbvGr float32 chen_AbvGrd float32 eplaces float32 age_Area float32 d_Deck_SF float32 n_Porch_SF float32
dtyr  from X=ar y=ar X.sh	e_Price float32  De: object  In sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split  The sDF.loc[:,~(amesDF.columns.isin(['Sale_Price']))].to_numpy(copy=True)  The sDF.Sale_Price.to_numpy(copy=True)
	nape nape 30, 15)
: (293 : (293 : train : <b>from</b> scalar scalar test : MinM	<pre>mape nape nape nape nape nape nape nape n</pre>
: (293 : (293 : train : from scall scall train test : MinM : pd.I pd.I : could mea	### Page Page   Page
: (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : MinM : pd.I pd.I : coul mea st mi 255 500 755 ma	Appe
: (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : MinM : pd.I pd.I : coul mea st mi 255 500 755 ma Cobje : from scal scal trai test	### September   ### September
: (293 : (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : MinN : pd.I pd.I  pd.I pd.I :  coun mea st mi 255 500 755 ma  coun mea  st  rai test : PCA : trai test : (249	### 1290 000000
: (293 : (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : MinM : pd.I pd.I pd.I  coun mea st mi 255 500 755 ma  coun mea st i cou	### 1290 000000
: (293 : (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : MinM : pd.I pd.I pd.I  coul mea si mi 255 500 756 ma  Coul mea si : (249 : (440 : prin prop skpl plt. : (249 : (440 : prin	10, 13    10, 13    10, 14    10,
: (293 : (293 : trai : from scal trai test : MinM : pd.I pd.I pd.I pd.I pd.I pd.I  couli mea st  from scal test : (243 :	### 1000000 ###########################
: (293 : (293 : (293 : trai : from scal scal trai test : Minh : pd.I pd.I pd.I pd.I pd.I  coul mea si mi 255 500 756 ma  Obje : from coul mea si : (249 : (440 : prin prop skpl plt. : (249 : ts',  aDF= : prin : aprin coul impor from from from from from from from fr	2, 15; 202. 202. 202. 202. 202. 202. 202. 202
could mean state s	29. 107 29. 10
country of the state of the sta	10, 167    10, 167
Could mean state of the state o	Company   Comp
: (293 :	Column
: (293 :	Company
Could be set of the se	March   Marc
Country  Cou	The control of the co
Course of the service	Company
(293 (293 (293 (293 (293 (293 (293 (293	The content of the co
Course of the second of the se	Section 1992   1
Course of the state of the stat	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
Could make a series of the ser	Column
### Country of the control of the country of the co	Column
### Country of the state of the	Company
### Courses of the service of the se	Column
(293   (294	Column
Course of the series of the se	Column
	Column
Course of the series of the se	Company
Topic  To	Company
Topic  To	Company
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### #### ####	Column
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	Company

Out[105]	feature       importance         9       Gr_Liv_Area       0.222012         6       Total_Bsmt_SF       0.214883         7       First_Flr_SF       0.126639         2       Mas_Vnr_Area       0.088848         8       Second_Flr_SF       0.085210         1       Lot_Area       0.069979         0       Lot_Frontage       0.053502         3       BsmtFin_SF_1       0.052156         5       Bsmt_Unf_SF       0.043237
	4       BsmtFin_SF_2       0.009310         15       sales_mo_6.0       0.003629         16       sales_mo_7.0       0.003564         10       sales_mo_1.0       0.003166         20       sales_mo_11.0       0.002953         17       sales_mo_8.0       0.002951         12       sales_mo_3.0       0.002896         14       sales_mo_5.0       0.002812         18       sales_mo_9.0       0.002804         11       sales_mo_2.0       0.002449         21       sales_mo_12.0       0.002110
In [170]	Between the two, the R squared of the training set only lowered by 0.002 when a log2 was used as max_features.  The order of importance of some features changed once log 2 was added and the top two in both, gr_liv_area & total_bsmt_sf, were the most important features  Objective 5: Training Boosted Regression Models Using Original Features And Using Principal Components as Features  from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostRegressor from sklearn.datasets import make_regression  Adaregr = AdaBoostRegressor(random_state=0, n_estimators=100) Adaregr.fit(trainX, trainy)  AdaBoostRegressor(n_estimators=100, random_state=0)
In [173]	<pre>print(f'Ada R Squared, Training: {Adaregr.score(trainX,trainy):5.3f}') Ada R Squared, Training: 0.794  predTesty=Adaregr.predict(testX) print(f'Test Data R Squared: {r2_score(testy,predTesty):4.3f}')  Test Data R Squared: 0.743  Ada and test data have similar R Squareds  Adaregr.feature_importances_ array([0.03029882, 0.03472769, 0.08322295, 0.01228706, 0.27660396, 0.07581501, 0.12986852, 0.15998078, 0.0051923, 0.00116811, 0.00973074, 0.03035259, 0.07195087, 0.01176578, 0.06703482])</pre>
	importance 4  0.276604 7  0.159981 6  0.129869 2  0.083223 5  0.075815
In [133]	12  0.071951 14  0.067035 1  0.034728 11  0.030353 0  0.030299 3  0.012287 13  0.011766 10  0.009731 8  0.005192 9  0.001168  : from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostRegressor from sklearn.datasets import load boston
In [176]	<pre>from sklearn.datasets import load_boston from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score, KFold from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error import matplotlib.pyplot as plt  : scores = cross_val_score(Adaregr, trainX, trainy,cv=5) print("Mean cross-validataion score: %.2f" % scores.mean())  Mean cross-validataion score: 0.74  : kfold = KFold(n_splits=10, shuffle=True) kf_cv_scores = cross_val_score(Adaregr, trainX, trainy, cv=kfold) print("K-fold CV average score: %.2f" % kf_cv_scores.mean())  K-fold CV average score: 0.74</pre>
Out[178] Out[178]	<pre>: X_ax = range(len(testy)) plt.scatter(X_ax, testy, s=5, color="blue", label="original") plt.plot(X_ax, predTesty, lw=0.8, color="red", label="predicted") plt.legend() plt.show()  : <matplotlib.collections.pathcollection 0x1e819a64a88="" at="">  : [<matplotlib.lines.line2d 0x1e819768448="" at="">]  : <matplotlib.legend.legend 0x1e8193eae48="" at=""></matplotlib.legend.legend></matplotlib.lines.line2d></matplotlib.collections.pathcollection></pre> 700000  600000  500000
Out[184]	### Ada2regr = AdaBoostRegressor(random_state=0, n_estimators=100)  Ada2regr.fit(trainXPCA,trainy)  #### AdaBoostRegressor(n_estimators=100, random_state=0)  ###################################
In [194] Out[194] In [195]	Ada R Squared, Training: 0.142  : predTesty=Ada2regr.predict(testXPCA)     print(f'Test Data R Squared: {r2_score(testy,predTesty):4.3f}')  Test Data R Squared: 0.151  : Ada2regr.feature_importances_ : array([1.])  : Ada2FeatImpDF=pd.DataFrame({'importance':Ada2regr.feature_importances_})  : print('Feature importances')     Ada2FeatImpDF.sort_values('importance',ascending=False)  Evature importances
	<pre>importance importance</pre>
Out[191] Out[191]	: X2_ax = range(len(testy)) plt.scatter(X2_ax, testy, s=5, color="blue", label="original") plt.plot(X2_ax, predTesty, lw=0.8, color="red", label="predicted") plt.legend() plt.show()  : <matplotlib.collections.pathcollection 0x1e819905048="" at="">  : [<matplotlib.lines.line2d 0x1e819947148="" at="">]  : <matplotlib.legend.legend 0x1e819b067c8="" at="">  700000  predicted original 600000</matplotlib.legend.legend></matplotlib.lines.line2d></matplotlib.collections.pathcollection>
	The AdaBoost Models appear to be difference. The R scored for the second model is much lower then the frist. From the predicted model scatter plots above, it seems that the first model was more subjective to outliers whole the second model was not.  Objective 6
	<ol> <li>Suppose that the cluster analysis you did indicates that the data fall into a particular number of clusters, like 4, or 7, or whatever. Describe how you could determine the ways that the clusters differ from each other?</li> <li>A few ways to tell how the clusters differ from each other would to produce and visually inspect an elbow plot, a silhouette plot, or to used the gap statistic method.</li> <li>Why not just apply minmax rescaling, or standardization rescaling, to all of your features at once, rather than rescaling your training and your validation, separately?</li> <li>Applying minmax or standardization to all the features all at once rather than rescaling the training and validation separately would affect the clusters and cause validation issues .</li> <li>The tree-based ensemble methods Random Forest classification and regression models, and boosted regression (e.g., AdaBoost) and classification are helicated to be better at either reducing variance, are advantaging bias. Which is more two for each of these two.</li> </ol>
In [ ]:	classification models, are believed to be better at either reducing variance, or reducing bias. Which is more true for each of these two types of ensemble methods, and why? That is is RF better at reducing variance or reducing bias, and why? Which one are boosted ensemble models, and why?  Random Forest is better at reducing variance becasue the models are in parallel, but this leads to a higher bias. On the other hand, boosted models have a lower bias because the models are in series. Meaning that the first subset is trained, then that trained subset is trianed again and learns from the previous subset of trained data. Since booseted models are in series, this leads to a higher variance.