UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
IRVINE

The LHC Grows Up: Searches for New Physics in Dilepton Final States and Upgrades to the Muon System of the ATLAS Experiment.

DISSERTATION

submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements
for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in Physics

by

Daniel Joseph Antrim

Dissertation Committee:
Professor A, Chair
Professor B
Professor C

2019

DEDICATION

(Optional dedication page)
To ...

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

LIST OF TABLES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank...

(You must acknowledge grants and other funding assistance.

You may also acknowledge the contributions of professors and friends.

You also need to acknowledge any publishers of your previous work who have given you permission to incorporate that work into your dissertation. See Section 3.2 of the UCI Thesis and Dissertation Manual.)

CURRICULUM VITAE

Daniel Joseph Antrim

EDUCATION

Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science **2012**
University name *City, State*

Bachelor of Science in Computational Sciences **2007**
Another university name *City, State*

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

Graduate Research Assistant **2007–2012**
University of California, Irvine *Irvine, California*

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Teaching Assistant **2009–2010**
University name *City, State*

REFEREED JOURNAL PUBLICATIONS

Ground-breaking article **2012**
Journal name

REFEREED CONFERENCE PUBLICATIONS

Awesome paper **Jun 2011**
Conference name

Another awesome paper **Aug 2012**
Conference name

SOFTWARE

Magical tool `http://your.url.here/`
C++ algorithm that solves TSP in polynomial time.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

The LHC Grows Up: Searches for New Physics in Dilepton Final States and Upgrades to the Muon System of the ATLAS Experiment.

By

Daniel Joseph Antrim

Doctor of Philosophy in Physics

University of California, Irvine, 2019

Professor A, Chair

The abstract of your contribution goes here.

Chapter 1

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

If you wish to make an apple pie from scratch, you must first invent the universe.

–Carl Sagan, *Cosmos: A Personal Voyage*

As it stands, what has become known as the 'Standard Model (SM) of Particle Physics' is nothing less than one of the greatest achievments of mankind, due to both the magnitude by which it has changed our perception of the underlying nature of the universe and to the clever methods and tinkerings by which this nature was unveiled by many clever physicists whose history has become veritable lore. In terms of imagination and insight, it is second only to the special and general theories of relativity – though the fields are nevertheless intricately intertwined.

Not considering the scientific progress made in the 18^{th} and 19^{th} centuries, and ignoring the ancient Greeks despite their fabled invention of atomic theory, the physical insights and major work that led to the current picture of elementary particle physics described by the SM began with the *annus mirabilis* papers of Albert Einstein in the year 1905 [1, 2, 3]. In these papers, Einstein was able to shed light on the quantization of electromagnetic radiation (building off of the seminal work of Max Planck [4]) and introduce the special theory of relativity. These works laid the conceptual and philosophical groundwork for the major breakthroughs in fundamental physics of 20^{th} century physics: from the 'old quantum theory' of Bohr and Sommerfeld in the early 1900's to the equivalent wavefunction and matrix-mechanics formulations of Schrödinger and Heisenberg that coalesced into 'modern' quantum mechanics in the mid 1920's. The modern approach, non-relativistic at its heart, provided a sufficient mathematical and interpretable framework in which to work and match predictions to observed phenomena, old and new. It has for the most part remained unchanged and is the quantum mechanics that is taught to students at both the undergraduate and graduate level to this very day. It is the theory that has since revolutionised all aspects of the physical sciences and technologies that dictate our everyday-lives. In the mid-1920's, however, despite large efforts put forth by the forbears of modern quantum mechanics, the quantum-mechanical world had yet to be made consistent with Einstein's theory of relativity — a requirement that must be met for all consistent physical theories of nature. It was the insight of Paul Dirac who was finally able to successfully marry the theory of the quantum with that of relativity when he introduced his relativistic quantum-mechanical treatment of the electron in 1927 and 1928 [5, 6].[1] This work provided the starting point for a decades-long search of a consistent quantum-mechanical and relativistic treatment of electrodynamics, known as *quantum electrodynamics* (QED). The search for QED ended at the end of the 1940's with the groundbreaking work of Dyson, Feynman, Schwinger, and Tomanaga [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] that introduced the covariant and gauge invariant formulation of QED — the first such relativistic quantum field theory (QFT). QED allowed the phsycists to make predictions that agreed with observation to unprecedented levels of accuracy and has since led to the adoption of its language and mathematical toolkit as the foundational framework in which to construct models that accurately describe nature.[2] The SM is no less than an ultimate conclusion of these works: a consistent set of relativistic quantum field theories, using the language developed by Feynman et al., that describes essentially all aspects of the known particles and forces that make up the observed universe.

1.1 Particles and Forces

Here we introduce the SM particle content and provide a description of the interactions that link the particles together.

[1] A complete history of the people and ideas involved in the development of the modern theory of Quantum Mechanics can be found in references [7, 8], and the references therein.

[2] For a complete discussion of the developments leading up to QED, see the fabulous book by S. Schweber [17].

Table 1.1: The particle content of the SM and their transformation properties under the SM gauge groups, prior to electroweak symmetry breaking.

	Field Label	Content	Spin	$\mathcal{U}(1)$ $(=\mathcal{Y})$	$\mathcal{SU}(2)$	$\mathcal{SU}(3)$
Quarks	Q_i	$(u_\mathrm{L},\, d_\mathrm{L}),\, (c_\mathrm{L},\, s_\mathrm{L}),\, (t_\mathrm{L},\, b_\mathrm{L})$	1/2	1/6	**2**	**3**
	$u_{\mathrm{R},i}$	u_R	1/2	2/3	**1**	**3**
	$d_{\mathrm{R},i}$	d_R	1/2	−1/3	**1**	**3**
Leptons	L_i	$(e_\mathrm{L},\, \nu_{e,\mathrm{L}}),\, (\mu_\mathrm{L},\, \nu_{\mu,\mathrm{L}}),\, (\tau_\mathrm{L},\, \nu_{\tau,\mathrm{L}})$	1/2	1/2	**2**	**1**
	$e_{\mathrm{R},i}$	$e_\mathrm{R},\, \mu_\mathrm{R},\, \tau_\mathrm{R}$	1/2	−1	**1**	**1**
Gauge Fields	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{B}$	1	0	**1**	**1**
	$\mathcal{W}$	$(\mathcal{W}_1,\, \mathcal{W}_2,\, \mathcal{W}_3)$	1	0	**3**	**1**
	g	g	1	0	**1**	**8**
Higgs Field	ϕ	$(\phi^+,\, \phi^0)$	0	1/2	**2**	**1**

Table 1.2: The particle content of the SM after the process of electroweak symmetry breaking.

	Physical Field	Q	Coupling	Mass [GeV]
Quarks	$u,\, c,\, t$	2/3	$(y_i =)\ 1\times10^{-5},\, 7\times10^{-3},\, 1$	$2\times10^{-3},\, 1.27,\, 173$
	$d,\, s,\, b$	−1/3	$(y_i =)\ 3\times10^{-5},\, 5\times10^{-4},\, 0.02$	$4\times10^{-4},\, 0.10,\, 4.18$
Leptons	$e,\, \mu,\, \tau$	−1	$(y_i =)\ 3\times10^{-7},\, 6\times10^{-4},\, 0.01$	$5\times10^{-4},\, 0.106,\, 1.777$
	$\nu_e,\, \nu_\mu,\, \nu_\tau$	0	–	–
Bosons	γ	0	$\alpha_\mathrm{EM} \simeq 1/137$	0
	$\mathcal{Z}$	0	$\sin\theta_W \simeq 0.5$	91.2
	$(\mathcal{W}^+,\, \mathcal{W}^-)$	(+1, −1)	–	80.4
	g	0	$\alpha_s \simeq 0.1$	0
Higgs	h	0	$\lambda,\, \mu$	125.09

1.1.1 Gauge Theories

The Electroweak Theory

Bibliography

[1] A. Einstein. "Über einen die Erzeugung und Verwandlung des Lichtes betreffenden heuristischen Gesichtspunkt (On a Heuristic Point of View about the Creation and Conversion of Light)". In: *Annalen der Physik* 322 (1905), pp. 132–148. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19053220607 (cit. on p. 1).

[2] A. Einstein. "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper (On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies)". In: *Annalen der Physik* 322 (1905), pp. 891–921. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19053221004 (cit. on p. 1).

[3] A. Einstein. "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig? (Does the Inertia of a Body Depend Upon Its Energy Content?)" In: *Annalen der Physik* 323 (1905), pp. 639–641. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19053231314 (cit. on p. 1).

[4] M. Planck. "Ueber das Gesetz der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum (On the law of the distribution of energy in the normal spectrum)". In: *Annalen der Physik* 309 (1901), pp. 553–563. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19013090310 (cit. on p. 1).

[5] P. A. M. Dirac. "The Quantum Theory of the Electron". In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A* 117 (Feb. 1928), pp. 610–624. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1928.0023 (cit. on p. 2).

[6] Paul A. M. Dirac. "Quantum theory of emission and absorption of radiation". In: *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A114 (1927), p. 243. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1927.0039 (cit. on p. 2).

[7] Sigfrido Boffi. "The Rise of Quantum Mechanics". In: *arXiv e-prints* (2008). arXiv: 0806.4515 [physics.hist-ph] (cit. on p. 2).

[8] Jagdish Mehra and Helmut Rechenberg. "The Historical Development of Quantum Theory". In: (2001) (cit. on p. 2).

[9] S. Tomonaga. "On a Relativistically Invariant Formulation of the Quantum Theory of Wave Fields". In: *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 1 (Aug. 1946), pp. 27–42. DOI: 10.1143/PTP.1.27 (cit. on p. 2).

[10] R. P. Feynman. “Space-Time Approach to Quantum Electrodynamics”. In: *Physical Review* 76 (Sept. 1949), pp. 769–789. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.76.769 (cit. on p. 2).

[11] R. P. Feynman. “The Theory of Positrons”. In: *Physical Review* 76 (Sept. 1949), pp. 749–759. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.76.749 (cit. on p. 2).

[12] R. P. Feynman. “Mathematical Formulation of the Quantum Theory of Electromagnetic Interaction”. In: *Physical Review* 80 (Nov. 1950), pp. 440–457. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.80.440 (cit. on p. 2).

[13] J. Schwinger. “On Quantum-Electrodynamics and the Magnetic Moment of the Electron”. In: *Physical Review* 73 (Feb. 1948), pp. 416–417. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.73.416 (cit. on p. 2).

[14] J. Schwinger. “Quantum Electrodynamics. I. A Covariant Formulation”. In: *Physical Review* 74 (Nov. 1948), pp. 1439–1461. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.74.1439 (cit. on p. 2).

[15] F. J. Dyson. “The Radiation Theories of Tomonaga, Schwinger, and Feynman”. In: *Physical Review* 75 (Feb. 1949), pp. 486–502. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.75.486 (cit. on p. 2).

[16] F. J. Dyson. “The S Matrix in Quantum Electrodynamics”. In: *Physical Review* 75 (June 1949), pp. 1736–1755. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.75.1736 (cit. on p. 2).

[17] S. S. Schweber. *QED and the men who made it: Dyson, Feynman, Schwinger, and Tomonaga*. 1994 (cit. on p. 2).

Appendix A

Basics of Machine Learning