

CIS 721

RTOS, Raspberry Pi, and Sensor Interfacing

Dan Wagner
Kansas State University
College of Engineering
Department of Computer Science

Dr. Mitchell Neilsen
Professor
Department of Computer Science

May 8 2018

1 Introduction

A Raspberry Pi is a modular, advanced computing device that can be used in many projects. It comes with 40 General Purpose Input/Output (GPIO) pins which can be attached to sensors, motors, and other similar devices Foundation, 2018. By default, the Pi ships with the Raspian OS, which is a flavour of Debian Linux. This OS is not a Real-Time OS (RTOS) but can be loaded with one (or a microkernel) on top of the existing system. Once loaded with such software, code can be developed that allows for real-time constraints and modules. In this project, the Xenomai 3 RTOS was installed on top of Raspbian to incorporate these characteristics.

Two sensors were attached to the system for real-time reporting: a DHT11 humidity/temperature sensor and a rotary encoder module. Using the Xenomai 3 API, tasks were scheduled to periodically poll the sensors within specified deadlines. A static, cyclic scheduling algorithm was used to coordinate all the sensor readings. Xenomai handled the scheduling with predetermined priorities for each task.

The sections to follow will outline the project details. First, the Xenomai 3 RTOS will be discussed, particularly outlining the functionality that is incorporated into the sensor software. Second, the hardware specifications will be listed and discussed for the Raspberry Pi, rotary encoder, and DHT11. Third, the software will be described in detail along with any design decisions. Then, the project's results will be discussed and analyzed. Finally, conclusions will be drawn from the previous discussions.

2 Xenomai 3

Xenomai 3 is an RTOS developed by numerous engineers as an Free Software project for Linux machines (Xenomai, 2018). It allowed for a versatile framework to be developed for real-time capabilities in Linux. The RTOS provides an in-depth, detailed API for programmers to utilize when managing tasks. In particular, several routines were used to create, initialize, schedule, and delete tasks.

Xenomai was responsible for creating the sensor reading tasks and scheduling them in a static, cyclic manner. Both sensor tasks were scheduled with their respective periods. Each was given a priority, and Xenomai scheduled them accordingly. In the static, cyclic scheduling system, the priorities were statically decided and the schedule is known a priori. This allowed Xenomai to determine the explicit schedule and have it planned, which reduced any overhead in the software.

3 Hardware Specifications

The Raspberry Pi 3B, shown below, was used in this project; its relevant specifications are listed in the table below. Its processor and RAM were more than capable of the project's requirements, since the sensors were polled and there were only two of them. Numerous input/output pins allowed multiple sensors to be wired up.



Figure 1: Raspberry Pi 3B.

Table 1: Raspberry Pi Specs

Processor	Quad Core 1.2GHz Broadcom BCM2837 64bit CPU
RAM	1GB
Data Pins	40 GPIO
Operating System	Raspian
RTOS	Xenomai 3

Both sensors were distributed in a kit by Sunfounder. The rotary encoder has a resolution 20 cycles per revolution, which means that twenty electrically high pulses will be present on the square wave per revolution (ArtOfCircuits, 2018). When the encoder is turned to the left, a "negative" turn is recorded; negative refers to a reverse turn in the software, and is represented as a decrement on the counter. If it is turned to the right, then a positive turn is recorded.

The DHT11 recorded both humidity and temperature characteristics. Both readings have a 16-bit resolution. The sampling period is more than two seconds, and was the determining factor in the scheduling of tasks in Xenomai. The data is retrieved after a brief set of delays that allow the bits to propagate into memory. Once the data is in memory, its checksum is validated; bad data is discarded and results are defaulted to the previous reading while valid data is reported to the system, and the previous reading is updated to the fresh information.




Figure 2: Pi 3 GPIO Pinouts. Courtesy of Raspberrypi.org.

Above is an image that labels each numbered pin of the Raspberry Pi 3. The rotary encoder required five pins: 5V, GND, Clock, Data, and Switch. Pins 5V and GND were attached to the top row, second and third pins (red and black). Clock was wired to GPIO 16, Data to GPIO 27, and Switch to GPIO 22. These pins were denoted by the hardware; as it will be discussed, in software, the pins were referred to by a different numbering mechanism.

The figure below shows the system's configuration. Three pins were used for the DHT11: 5V, GND, and Data. The 5V and GND signals were wired to the same pins as the rotary encoder through the use of a breadboard. Data was wired to GPIO 23. The following image shows the final setup of the system.




Figure 3: Sensors and Pi Wiring.

A third sensor, an infrared receiver (shown below), was considered for this project. It would have had an ISR similar to the encoder and would be polled periodically for any new infrared detections. It had an inherent refresh delay of 600 ms (Sunfounder, 2018). This delay proved to be too large when scheduling the other two sensors, and caused many missed deadlines. As a result, no IR sensor was used in the project.




Figure 4: Infrared Receiver.

4 Software Specifications

The system's real-time constraints were considered before any software was developed. Sunfounder, the sensors' distributor, provided several code examples on their Github account to test each sensor (Sunfounder, 2018). These constraints were derived from examining their source code.

The DHT11 (pictured below) experienced high amounts of latency, as each reading required one second to be valid. First, the sensor's pin was pulled low for 18ms. Then, it was pulled high for 40us in preparation to read the data. After a slight delay from verifying the data's integrity via checksum, it was read, after which the next reading was not available for a tenth of a second. Any reduction in this delay caused a reading to be invalid, or missed. As a result, failures of the DHT11 were defined as not receiving data from the sensor after one tenth of a second.




Figure 5: DHT11 Sensor.

For the rotary encoder (pictured below), the latency was very short. From Sunfounder's source code, there was no delay explicitly defined. After experimenting with the sensor, it was possible to retrieve information every 5ms without issue. Thus, any failure of the encoder was defined as not recording a reading within five milliseconds.




Figure 6: Rotary Encoder.

These real-time constraints were modeled in UPPAAL for verification. Below is a visual representation of this model.




Figure 7: UPPAAL Model of the System.

One process represented the Raspberry Pi, with the encoder and DHT11 being additional processes. First, the Raspberry Pi would request the encoder’s data by signaling it along the giveEnc channel. Upon receipt of this message, the encoder would enter an intermediate state with invariant of $x \leq 5$; this modeled the latency in its operation. If a fault occurred (i.e. $x == 5$), then a message on the noReply channel would be sent, the bad state would be entered and the Raspberry Pi would experience a failure. Otherwise, the encoder sent data back to the Pi (via the info channel) and proceeded to a done state before resetting its local clock for the next request. After receiving the encoder data, the Pi would ask the DHT11 for data by sending a message on the giveTemp channel. The DHT11 functioned similarly to the encoder, but with a 1 second latency (100 time units). If results were not available within one second, the sensor would report a fault on the noReply channel and enter a bad state. Otherwise, it would send a reply on the info channel, enter a done state, and reset its local clock before awaiting the next poll from the Raspberry Pi.

Three queries were used to verify these characteristics. First, $E \between Encode.x > 5$ would test for a failure with the encoder. Next, $E \between HumiTemp.x > 100$ would test for DHT11 failures. Finally, $A[]not System.BAD and not Encode.BAD and not HumiTemp.BAD$ tested for a system failure, with both sensors failing within the same reading cycle. UPPAAL’s verifier returned that each of these queries were satisfied, so the system had no faults that could occur.

P1: The Main GPIO connector						
WiringPi Pin	BCM GPIO	Name	Header	Name	BCM GPIO	WiringPi Pin
		3.3v	1 2	5v		
8	Rv1:0 - Rv2:2	SDA	3 4	5v		
9	Rv1:1 - Rv2:3	SCL	5 6	0v		
7	4	GPIO7	7 8	TxD	14	15
		0v	9 10	RxD	15	16
0	17	GPIO0	11 12	GPIO1	18	1
2	Rv1:21 - Rv2:27	GPIO2	13 14	0v		
3	22	GPIO3	15 16	GPIO4	23	4
		3.3v	17 18	GPIO5	24	5
12	10	MOSI	19 20	0v		
13	9	MISO	21 22	GPIO6	25	6
14	11	SCLK	23 24	CE0	8	10
		0v	25 26	CE1	7	11
WiringPi Pin	BCM GPIO	Name	Header	Name	BCM GPIO	WiringPi Pin

Figure 8: WiringPi Pinouts. Courtesy of Wiringpi.com.

Once hardware connections were established and real-time constraints were verified, the software needed to interface with each device. This was made possible using the WiringPi library (Henderson, 2018). The GPIO designations in Figure 2 were not the same as WiringPi used. Figure 8 shows these obvious differences. The software refers to each pin by its WiringPi number. For the rotary encoder, the Clock, Data, and Switch pins were referred to as pins 1, 2, and 3 respectively. The DHT11’s Data pin was denoted as pin 4. WiringPi used these numbers to interface with the Raspberry Pi through `wiringPiSetup()`. This function initialized the system to use its numbering scheme and allowed the data to be accessed on those pins. Additionally, `wiringPiISR()` was used to initialize the encoder’s ISR, which was not run until the task was released for running. A summary of the wiring configuration is listed below.

Table 2: Summary of Hardware Pin Configuration

GPIO #	WiringPi #	Sensor Pin
2	-	5V
6	-	GND
18	1	Encoder CLK
27	2	Encoder DATA
22	3	Encoder SW
23	4	DHT11 Data

Xenomai’s API was utilized to create and initialize the tasks. First, `rt_task_create()` was called to make each sensor reading a task: each was given a task object, the sensor name, a stack size, priority, and mode (Xenomai, 2018). The stack size and mode were set to their defaults (0, 0), and the DHT11 was given a higher priority than the encoder due to its significantly higher delay time; since the encoder had less complex data than the DHT11, it was deemed not as important. After task creation, each task was started by `rt_task_start()`, which was given the task, its function starting point, and any arguments (Xenomai, 2018). The arguments were the default setting of 0; DHT11’s starting point was the `read_temp()` function, and the encoder started within its ISR, `read_encoder()`.

Within the starting functions, two additional Xenomai routines were used to ensure periodic scheduling: `rt_task_set_periodic()` and `rt_task_wait_period()`. First, the task was set to be periodic with a given period starting at sensor task’s invocation, defined as the constant `TM_NOW` (Xenomai, 2018). These periods were defined in nanoseconds, as the period must be converted to ticks via `rt_timer_ns2ticks()` (Xenomai, 2018). As a result, the encoder’s period was 5×10^6 ns and the DHT11’s was 1×10^8 ns. After making each task periodic, its code segment would execute and report the sensor readings to console. Following this, the sensors would be put to sleep (by using `rt_task_wait_period()` to wait) until their next scheduled time slot, which is when Xenomai would schedule the re-execution of this cycle.

5 Experimental Results

Scheduling tasks via the Xenomai routines was simple and effective. Every tenth of a second, the DHT11 would output the current temperature and humidity measurements. Meanwhile, the encoder's ISR would be run every 5ms without failure and report changes it detected. If the DHT11's period was reduced below one tenth of a second, then the scheduler would fail to meet the deadlines and report a communication error. Similarly, the encoder would throw the error if reduced below a 5ms period.

6 Conclusion

References

- ArtOfCircuits. (2018). Encoder module ky-040. Retrieved from <http://artofcircuits.com/product/encoder-module-ky-040>
- Foundation, R. P. (2018). Raspberry pi 3 specifications. Retrieved from <https://www.raspberrypi.org/products/raspberry-pi-3-model-b/>
- Henderson, G. (2018). Wiringpi. Retrieved from <http://wiringpi.com/>
- Sunfounder. (2018). Sunfounder sensorkit for rpi2. Retrieved from https://github.com/sunfounder/SunFounder_SensorKit_for_RPi2
- Xenomai. (2018). Xenomai task management services. Retrieved from https://xenomai.org/documentation/xenomai-3/html/xeno3prm/group__alchemy__task.html