

Problem 1

Consider a pair of numbers x and y with $x > y > 0$, and monetary lotteries defined. Show that the following pattern violates the independence axiom:

$$L_1 > L'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 < L'_2$$

Solution

Preference choice $L_1 > L'_1$ implies that:

$$y > 0.1x + 0.8y \implies 0.2y > 0.1x$$

whereas choice $L_2 < L'_2$ implies that:

$$0.2y < 0.1x$$

since we got impossible situation, independence axiom is violated.

Problem 2

Given a finite prize space X , let \succsim be a preference relation on the set of all lotteries that satisfies the independence axiom. First prove statement (i) below. Then use (i) to prove statement (ii).

1. $L > L'$ implies $L > \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' > L'$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$
2. $L > L'$ implies $aL + (1 - a)L' > \beta L + (1 - \beta)L'$ for any $a > \beta \in (0, 1)$

Solution

1. Lets assume, that $L \succsim \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L'$ then by independence axiom:

$$\gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L \succsim \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' \implies L \succsim L', \quad \text{but } L > L' \implies \text{contradiction}$$

Now lets assume, that $\gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' \succsim L'$ then by independence axiom:

$$\gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' \succsim \gamma L' + (1 - \gamma)L' \implies L \succsim L', \quad \text{but } L > L' \implies \text{contradiction}$$

From two contradictions above we can conclude the following:

$$\begin{aligned} L &> \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' \\ \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' &> L' \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L > L'$ implies $L > \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)L' > L'$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$

2. Let $\beta > \alpha$. Lets rewrite the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L' &= \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)[\beta L + (1 - \beta)L'] \implies \gamma = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{1 - \beta} \\ \gamma L + (1 - \gamma)(\beta L + (1 - \beta)L') &> \beta L + (1 - \beta)L' \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $\alpha < \beta$, by the argument proven previously, we must have then

$$\beta L + (1 - \beta)L' > \alpha L + (1 - \alpha)L'$$