

MAXimal

[home](#)
[algo](#)
[bookz](#)
[forum](#)
[about](#)

Added: 9 Sep 2008 19:28

Edited: 20 Sep 2010 18:45

Factorial calculation modulo

In some cases it is necessary to consider on some prime modulus p complex formulas, which may contain, including factorials. Here we consider the case when the module p is relatively small. It is clear that this problem is meaningful only when the factorials included in the numerator and denominator of the fractions. Indeed, factorial $p!$ and all subsequent vanish modulo p , but fractions of all the factors containing p , may be reduced, and the resulting expression has to be different from zero modulo p .

Thus, formally **problem** such. Required to compute $n!$ modulo a prime p , thus not taking into account all the multiple p factors included in the factorial. By learning to effectively compute a factorial, we can quickly calculate the value of a variety of combinatorial formulas (eg, [Binomial coefficients](#)).

Contents [hide]

- Factorial calculation modulo
 - Algorithm
 - Implementation

Algorithm

Let us write down this "modified" factorial explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n!_{\%p} &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \cdot (p-1) \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^1} \cdot (p+1) \cdot (p+2) \cdot \dots \cdot (2p-1) \cdot \underbrace{2}_{2p^1} \cdot (2p+1) \cdot \dots \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot (p^2-1) \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^2} \cdot (p^2+1) \cdot \dots \cdot n = \\
 &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \cdot (p-1) \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^1} \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \cdot \underbrace{2}_{2p^1} \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^2} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (n \% p) \pmod{p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

When such a record shows that the "modified" factorial divided into several blocks of length p (the last block may have shorter), which are all identical, except for the last element:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n!_{\%p} &= \underbrace{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \cdot (p-1)}_{1st} \cdot \underbrace{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1)}_{2nd} \cdot \underbrace{2 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1)}_{p-th} \cdot \dots \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \underbrace{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (n \% p)}_{tail} \pmod{p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Total count of blocks is easy - it's just $(p-1)! \bmod p$ that you can find software or Theorem Wilson (Wilson) immediately find $(p-1)! \bmod p = p-1$. To multiply the common parts of blocks, the obtained value must be raised by the power mod p that can be done for $O(\log n)$ operations (see. [binary exponentiation](#)), however, you can see that we actually erect minus one to some degree, and therefore the result of will always be either 1 or $p-1$, depending on the parity index. Meaning in the last, incomplete block, too, can be calculated separately for $O(p)$. Only the last elements of the blocks, we consider them carefully:

$$n!_{\%p} = \dots \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{2}_{2p^1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{3}_{3p^1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{(p-1)}_{(p-1)p^1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{1}_{p^2} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{1}_{2p^2} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{2}_{2p^2} \cdot \dots$$

And again we come to the "modified" factorial, but has a smaller dimension (as much as it was full of blocks, and they were $\lfloor n/p \rfloor$). Thus, the calculation of "modified" factorial $n!_{\%p}$ we have reduced due $O(p)$ to the computation operations already $(n/p)!_{\%p}$. Expanding this recurrence relation, we find that the depth of recursion is $O(\log_p n)$, total **asymptotic behavior** of the algorithm is obtained $O(p \log_p n)$.

Implementation

It is clear that the implementation is not necessary to use recursion explicitly: as tail recursion, it is easy to

deploy in the cycle.

```
int factmod (int n, int p) {
    int res = 1;
    while (n > 1) {
        res = (res * ((n/p) % 2 ? p-1 : 1)) % p;
        for (int i=2; i<=n%p; ++i)
            res = (res * i) % p;
        n /= p;
    }
    return res % p;
}
```

This implementation works for $O(p \log_p n)$.

5 Комментариев

e-maxx

 Войти ▾

Лучшее вначале ▾

Поделиться  Избранный ★



Присоединиться к обсуждению...



nikr0s • 11 месяцев назад

А почему нельзя заранее посчитать все остатки от $1!$ до $(p-1)! \bmod p$ и записать их в массив, тогда каждый шаг рекурсии будет выполняться за $O(1)$ - найти число полных блоков по модулю p и домножить на хвостовой кусок, взяв его остаток из массива. В итоге ассимптотика будет $O(p + \log n)$

1 ^ | ▾ • Ответить • Поделиться ›



КарраZ • год назад

А если надо посчитать по модулю p^k ?

1 ^ | ▾ • Ответить • Поделиться ›

Kartikeya Bhardwaj • год назад

I hav the same doubt as angus young

1 ^ | ▾ • Ответить • Поделиться ›



Angus Young • 2 года назад

This isn't true. If p is smaller than n (or equal):

$n! \bmod p = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p \cdot \dots \cdot n) \bmod p = ((1 \bmod p) \cdot (2 \bmod p) \cdot (3 \bmod p) \cdot \dots \cdot (p \bmod p) \cdot \dots \cdot (n \bmod p)) \bmod p = 0$.

If p is greater than n :

$n! \bmod p = ((1 \bmod p) \cdot (2 \bmod p) \cdot (3 \bmod p) \cdot \dots \cdot (n \bmod p)) \bmod p$

And that is $O(n)$.

1 ^ | ▾ • Ответить • Поделиться ›



LoveYouGuy's ➔ **Angus Young** • год назад

Here $n!_{\{p\}}$ means $n! / (p^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor}) \bmod p$, i.e. without p -factors.

1 ^ | ▾ • Ответить • Поделиться ›

