Power Outages

- See the main project notebook for instructions to be sure you satisfy the rubric!
- · See Project 03 for information on the dataset.
- A few example prediction questions to pursue are listed below. However, don't limit yourself to them!
 - Predict the severity (number of customers, duration, or demand loss) of a major power outage.
 - Predict the cause of a major power outage.
 - Predict the number and/or severity of major power outages in the year 2020.
 - Predict the electricity consumption of an area.

Be careful to justify what information you would know at the "time of prediction" and train your model using only those features.

Summary of Findings

Introduction

I am attempting to predict the severity of a major power outage. This is a regression problem. The target variable is OUTAGE.DURATION (mins) and the evaluation metric is accuracy.

Baseline Model

For the baseline model, my features are 'U.S._STATE', 'CAUSE.CATEGORY', 'CLIMATE.CATEGORY', and 'ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)'. The features 'U.S._STATE', 'CAUSE.CATEGORY', and 'CLIMATE.CATEGORY' are nominal while 'ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)' is quantitative. This model had a training score of 0.227 and a testing score of 0.145, which was not very good.

Final Model

For the final model, I engineered two features. The first is CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new, which is the original CLIMATE.CATEGORY except some values have been replaced with NaN if the cause of the outage is not severe weather. This is useful because outside of cases of severe weather, the climate category is not that relevant and can muddle the prediction if left in.

The second feature is CAUSE.CATEGORY_new, which is the original CAUSE.CATEGORY except some cause categories have been replaced with the detailed cause from CAUSE.CATEGORY.DETAILED where applicable. This is useful because the outage duration can vary a lot within a single cause category, and having a more detailed and specific cause categories can narrow them down.

I used a linear regression model and found that the best hyperparameter fit_intercept is False using GridSearchCV. This model performed better than the baseline model, with a training score of 0.352 and a testing score of 0.186.

Fairness Evaluation

I want to explore whether my model is fairer for older and newer power outages. I can binarize my data with a year threshold at 2008. Any outage in or before 2008 is considered old, while any outage after 2008 is considered new. For my parity measure, I chose R^2, and the statistic is the absolute difference in R^2 of the two subsets.

- Null Hypothesis: My model is fair. The R^2 for the two subsets are the same
- Alternative Hypothesis: My model is unfair. The R^2 for the two subsets are different

By shuffling the year column, I tested 1000 permutations and got a p-value of 0.909. This means that the difference in R^2 of the two subsets is likely due to random chance and I fail to reject the null hypothesis

Code

```
In [2]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import os
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
%matplotlib inline
%config InlineBackend.figure_format = 'retina' # Higher resolution figures
```

```
In [6]: # Cleaned dataset from Project 3
                                      df = pd.read excel('outage.xlsx') # Dataset from website
                                      # Cleaning excel rows & cols
                                      cleaned = df.iloc[4:,:]
                                      cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleaned.columns = cleaned.loc[4] + ['' if i == '()' else i for i in ('(' + cleaned.columns = cleane
                                      cleaned = cleaned.drop(4).drop(5).drop('variables (Units)',axis=1).rename axis(Notice of the context of th
                                      cleaned = cleaned.drop('OBS',axis=1)
                                      cleaned.index += 1
                                      # Combining start dates and times
                                      times = cleaned['OUTAGE.START.DATE (Day of the week, Month Day, Year)'].apply(str
                                      col = pd.to datetime(times,errors='coerce')
                                      cleaned = cleaned.rename(columns={'OUTAGE.START.DATE (Day of the week, Month Day,
                                      cleaned = cleaned.drop(['OUTAGE.START.TIME (Hour:Minute:Second (AM / PM))'],axis=
                                      cleaned['OUTAGE.START'] = col
                                      # Combining restoration dates and times
                                      times = cleaned['OUTAGE.RESTORATION.DATE (Day of the week, Month Day, Year)'].apr
                                      col = pd.to datetime(times,errors='coerce')
                                      cleaned = cleaned.rename(columns={'OUTAGE.RESTORATION.DATE (Day of the week, Mont
                                      cleaned = cleaned.drop(['OUTAGE.RESTORATION.TIME (Hour:Minute:Second (AM / PM))'
                                      cleaned['OUTAGE.RESTORATION'] = col
                                      cleaned.head()
```

Out[6]:

	YEAR	MONTH	U.SSTATE	POSTAL.CODE	NERC.REGION	CLIMATE.REGION	ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)
1	2011	7	Minnesota	MN	MRO	East North Central	-0.3
2	2014	5	Minnesota	MN	MRO	East North Central	-0.1
3	2010	10	Minnesota	MN	MRO	East North Central	-1.5
4	2012	6	Minnesota	MN	MRO	East North Central	-0.1
5	2015	7	Minnesota	MN	MRO	East North Central	1.2
5 rows × 53 columns							

```
In [630]: # Features are 'U.S. STATE', 'CAUSE.CATEGORY', 'CLIMATE.CATEGORY', 'ANOMALY.LEVEL (r
          # Predicting 'OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)'
          df = cleaned[['U.S._STATE','CAUSE.CATEGORY','CLIMATE.CATEGORY','ANOMALY.LEVEL (nu
          df['ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)'] = df['ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)'].fillna(df['ANOMALY.
          df['OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)'] = df['OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)'].fillna(df['OUTAGE.DUF
          df_cat = df[['U.S._STATE','CAUSE.CATEGORY','CLIMATE.CATEGORY','ANOMALY.LEVEL (nur
          df.head()
```

Out[630]:

	U.SSTATE	CAUSE.CATEGORY	CLIMATE.CATEGORY	ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)	OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)
1	Minnesota	severe weather	normal	-0.3	3060.0
2	Minnesota	intentional attack	normal	-0.1	1.0
3	Minnesota	severe weather	cold	-1.5	3000.0
4	Minnesota	severe weather	normal	-0.1	2550.0
5	Minnesota	severe weather	warm	1.2	1740.0

Baseline Model

```
In [461]: from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
          from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
          from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder
          from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression
In [631]: # Split into train and test
          X = df cat.values
          y = df['OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)']
          x train,x test,y train,y test = train test split(X,y,random state=1)
In [632]: # One hot encodes categorical features
          preproc = ColumnTransformer(
              transformers = [
                  ('cat', OneHotEncoder(), [0,1,2])
              ], remainder = 'passthrough')
In [633]: # Performs linear regression after preproccessing
          l = Pipeline([
              ('preprocessor', preproc),
              ('lin-reg', LinearRegression())
          ])
```

```
In [635]: pl.predict([['Minnesota','severe weather','cold',1]])
Out[635]: array([2796.95810905])
In [549]: # Training score
    pl.score(x_train, y_train)
Out[549]: 0.22690779416221196
In [636]: # Testing score
    pl.score(x_test, y_test)
Out[636]: 0.14455998462406783
```

Final Model

```
In [637]: # Engineered features
# CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new: the original CLIMATE.CATEGORY excect replaced with NaN wh
# CAUSE.CATEGORY_new: the original CAUSE.CATEGORY except replaced with the detail
df_final = df.copy()
df_final['CLIMATE.CATEGORY'] = df['CLIMATE.CATEGORY'][df['CAUSE.CATEGORY']=='seve
df_final = df_final.rename(columns={'CLIMATE.CATEGORY': 'CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new'})
a = cleaned[['CAUSE.CATEGORY']][cleaned['CAUSE.CATEGORY.DETAIL'].isna()]
b = cleaned[['CAUSE.CATEGORY.DETAIL']][~cleaned['CAUSE.CATEGORY.DETAIL'].isna()]
a = a.rename(columns={'CAUSE.CATEGORY': 'CAUSE.CATEGORY_new'})
b = b.rename(columns={'CAUSE.CATEGORY.DETAIL': 'CAUSE.CATEGORY_new'})
c = pd.concat([a,b]).sort_index()
df_final.insert(loc=1,column=c.columns[0],value=c)
df_final = df_final.drop(columns=['CAUSE.CATEGORY'])
df_final

Out[637]:

U.S._STATE CAUSE.CATEGORY_new CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new
ANOMALY.LEVEL OUTAG
(numeric)

1 Minnesota severe weather normal -0.300000
```

	U.SSTATE	CAUSE.CATEGORY_new	CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new	ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)	OUTAG
1	Minnesota	severe weather	normal	-0.300000	
2	Minnesota	vandalism	NaN	-0.100000	
3	Minnesota	heavy wind	cold	-1.500000	
4	Minnesota	thunderstorm	normal	-0.100000	
5	Minnesota	severe weather	warm	1.200000	
1530	North Dakota	public appeal	NaN	-0.900000	
1531	North Dakota	Coal	NaN	-0.096852	
1522	South	ielanding	NaN	0.500000	
					•

```
In [638]: df_final_cat = df_final[['U.S._STATE','CAUSE.CATEGORY_new','CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new
In [668]: X = df_final_cat
    y = df_final['OUTAGE.DURATION (mins)']
    x_train,x_test,y_train,y_test = train_test_split(X,y,random_state=1)
```

```
In [669]: # Seeing how the new features perform with the old model
          pl.fit(x_train, y_train)
Out[669]:
                          Pipeline
             preprocessor: ColumnTransformer
                     dat
                                  remainder
               OneHotEncoder
                               passthrough
                     LinearRegression
In [670]: pl.score(x_train, y_train)
Out[670]: 0.3523551903854485
In [671]: pl.score(x_test, y_test)
Out[671]: 0.18579995665680005
In [672]: from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
          from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
In [673]: preproc = ColumnTransformer(
              transformers = [
                  ('cat', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown='ignore'), [0,1,2])
              ], remainder = 'passthrough')
          pl = Pipeline([
              ('preprocessor', preproc),
              ('lin-reg', LinearRegression())
          ])
In [674]:
          # Using GridSearchCV to find the best hyperparameter
          hyperparameters = {'lin-reg__fit_intercept':[True,False]}
          grid = GridSearchCV(pl, param_grid=hyperparameters,return_train_score=True)
```

```
In [676]: # Best value for fit_intercept is False
grid.best_params_
Out[676]: {'lin-reg__fit_intercept': False}
In [677]: grid.predict(x_train)
Out[677]: array([ 81.53780573, 2660.31517787, 296.660515 , ..., -960.56301349, 367.89903738, 92.37398867])
In [678]: grid.score(x_train,y_train)
Out[678]: 0.35235519010388205
In [679]: grid.score(x_test,y_test)
Out[679]: 0.1859513531554564
```

Fairness Evaluation

```
In [680]: df_final.head()
```

Out[680]:

	U.SSTATE	CAUSE.CATEGORY_new	CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new	ANOMALY.LEVEL (numeric)	OUTAGE.DUR.
1	Minnesota	severe weather	normal	-0.3	;
2	Minnesota	vandalism	NaN	-0.1	
3	Minnesota	heavy wind	cold	-1.5	;
4	Minnesota	thunderstorm	normal	-0.1	:
5	Minnesota	severe weather	warm	1.2	

```
In [681]: # Add year column
with_year = df_final.copy()
with_year.insert(loc=0,column='YEAR',value=cleaned['YEAR'])
with_year.head()
```

Out[681]:

```
ANOMALY.LEVEL OUTA
   YEAR U.S._STATE CAUSE.CATEGORY_new CLIMATE.CATEGORY_new
                                                                                 (numeric)
    2011
1
            Minnesota
                                                                                      -0.3
                                severe weather
                                                                  normal
2
   2014
            Minnesota
                                     vandalism
                                                                    NaN
                                                                                      -0.1
3
   2010
            Minnesota
                                    heavy wind
                                                                    cold
                                                                                      -1.5
    2012
                                                                                      -0.1
            Minnesota
                                  thunderstorm
                                                                  normal
    2015
            Minnesota
                                severe weather
                                                                   warm
                                                                                       1.2
```

```
In [687]: # Original stat
    orig_stat = get_stat(with_year)
    orig_stat
```

Out[687]: 0.014023094390654078

```
In [688]: # 1000 permutations of the year column
          # list of stats of each
          lst = []
          for i in np.arange(1000):
              temp_df = with_year.copy()
              temp_df['YEAR'] = with_year['YEAR'].sample(frac=1).reset_index(drop=True)
              lst += [get stat(temp df)]
          1st
Out[688]: [0.13152865958653936,
           0.02251978648542785,
           0.044869074234212936,
           0.0568243985857787,
           0.24632074226478617,
           0.05180346616645792,
           0.04744493701634667,
           0.21449469213307515,
           0.0855083047336519,
           0.028215764069699834,
           0.0852385033446077,
           0.01681012856354791,
           0.07055201106725306,
           0.046779442370747826,
           0.032390615462214334,
           0.04279757238169013,
           0.14081922756974585,
           0.03536231888239971,
           0.03269060573890359,
In [690]: # p-value
          np.mean(lst > orig_stat)
Out[690]: 0.909
  In [ ]:
```