

# CodeForge - B01 - Strategy Pattern Cơ Bản

Độ khó: ★ ★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Tạo Strategy pattern đầu tiên:

- **Strategy** interface `PaymentStrategy` với:
  - `void pay(double amount);`
- **Concrete Strategies:**
  - `CreditCardStrategy`: implement `pay()` for credit card
  - `PayPalStrategy`: implement `pay()` for PayPal
  - `CryptoStrategy`: implement `pay()` for cryptocurrency
- **Context** class `ShoppingCart` với:
  - `PaymentStrategy strategy`
  - `void setStrategy(PaymentStrategy strategy)`
  - `void checkout(double amount)` calls `strategy.pay()`

**Strategy:** Family of interchangeable algorithms!

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: Amount
- Dòng 2: Payment method (CREDIT/PAYPAL/CRYPTO)

### ◊ Output

- Payment processing message

### ◊ Constraints

- `0 < amount ≤ 1000000`

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
1500.00
CREDIT
```

**Output:**

```
Processing credit card payment: $1500.00
Payment successful via Credit Card
```

## Test case 2

**Input:**

```
500.50
PAYPAL
```

**Output:**

```
Processing PayPal payment: $500.50
Payment successful via PayPal
```

---

**Tags:** strategy, pattern, algorithm, interchangeable, behavioral

# CodeForge - B02 - Strategy Eliminates Conditionals

Độ khó: ★★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Demo Strategy loại bỏ conditionals:

- **Bad approach** (without Strategy):

```
void pay(String type, double amount) {  
    if (type.equals("CREDIT")) {  
        // credit card logic  
    } else if (type.equals("PAYPAL")) {  
        // paypal logic  
    } else if (type.equals("CRYPTO")) {  
        // crypto logic  
    }  
    // Many if-else!  
}
```

- **Good approach** (with Strategy):

- No conditionals in Context
- Strategy encapsulates algorithm
- Easy to add new strategies

## ◊ Input

- Approach (BAD/GOOD)
- N payments

## ◊ Output

- Code complexity comparison

## ◊ Constraints

- 1 ≤ N ≤ 10

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
BAD
```

**Output:**

Without Strategy Pattern:

- Multiple if-else statements
- Hard to add new payment methods
- Violates Open-Closed Principle
- Context tightly coupled to algorithms

Cyclomatic Complexity: HIGH

**Test case 2****Input:**

GOOD

**Output:**

With Strategy Pattern:

- No conditionals needed
- Easy to add new strategies
- Follows Open-Closed Principle
- Context loosely coupled

Cyclomatic Complexity: LOW

---

**Tags:** strategy, conditionals, refactoring, clean-code, pattern

# CodeForge - B03 - Strategy Context Class

Độ khó: ★★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Hiểu Context trong Strategy pattern:

- **Context** class `Navigator` với:
  - `RouteStrategy strategy`
  - `void setStrategy(RouteStrategy strategy)`
  - `void navigate(String from, String to):`
    - Delegates to `strategy.buildRoute()`
- **Strategies:**
  - `CarRouteStrategy`: fastest route
  - `WalkingRouteStrategy`: shortest route
  - `BikeRouteStrategy`: bike-friendly route

**Context:** Maintains reference to strategy, delegates work

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: From location
- Dòng 2: To location
- Dòng 3: Travel mode (CAR/WALK/BIKE)

### ◊ Output

- Route details

### ◊ Constraints

- N/A

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
Home  
Office  
CAR
```

**Output:**

```
Navigation: Home → Office
Strategy: Car Route
Route: Highway A → Street B → Office (15 min)
Distance: 10 km
Type: Fastest
```

## Test case 2

**Input:**

```
Home
Office
WALK
```

**Output:**

```
Navigation: Home → Office
Strategy: Walking Route
Route: Park Path → Shortcut → Office (25 min)
Distance: 2 km
Type: Shortest
```

---

**Tags:** strategy, context, delegation, pattern

---

# CodeForge - B04 - Runtime Strategy Selection

---

**Độ khó:** ★ ★ ★ Hard

## Đề bài

Switch strategies at runtime:

- Context: `FileCompressor`
- Strategies:
  - `ZipCompressionStrategy`: .zip format
  - `RarCompressionStrategy`: .rar format
  - `GzipCompressionStrategy`: .gz format
- Select strategy based on file extension or user choice
- Change strategy dynamically during runtime

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (files)
- N dòng: Filename, preferred format

### ◊ Output

- Compression log

### ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 20$

## Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
3
document.txt ZIP
image.jpg RAR
data.csv GZIP
```

**Output:**

```
File 1: document.txt
[Strategy] Switching to ZIP compression
Compressing with ZIP...
✓ Saved as document.zip (60% compressed)
```

```
File 2: image.jpg
[Strategy] Switching to RAR compression
Compressing with RAR...
✓ Saved as image.rar (40% compressed)
```

```
File 3: data.csv
[Strategy] Switching to GZIP compression
Compressing with GZIP...
✓ Saved as data.csv.gz (70% compressed)
```

---

**Tags:** strategy, runtime, dynamic, flexible, pattern

# CodeForge - B05 - When To Use Strategy Pattern

**Độ khó:** ★ ★ Medium

## Đề bài

Khi nào dùng Strategy:

- Multiple algorithms for same task
- Want to switch algorithms at runtime
- Eliminate long if-else chains
- Follow Open-Closed Principle
- Only one algorithm → no need Strategy
- Algorithms never change → simple method OK

Demo scenarios.

### ◊ Input

- Scenario (STRATEGY/SIMPLE)

### ◊ Output

- Recommendation

### ◊ Constraints

- N/A

## Ví dụ

Test case 1

### **Input:**

```
STRATEGY
```

### **Output:**

```
Scenario: Sorting with multiple algorithms
Recommendation: Use Strategy Pattern
Reasons:
- Multiple algorithms (Bubble, Quick, Merge)
- Choose based on data size
- Easy to add new algorithms
```

- No if-else chains needed
- Example: Sorter.setStrategy(new QuickSort())

## Test case 2

### Input:

```
SIMPLE
```

### Output:

Scenario: Single fixed calculation

Recommendation: Simple Method

Reasons:

- Only one algorithm
- Never changes
- Strategy overhead unnecessary

Example: double result = calculate(x, y);

---

**Tags:** strategy, when-to-use, design-decision, pattern

# CodeForge - B06 - Observer Pattern Cơ Bản

Độ khó: ★★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Tạo Observer pattern đầu tiên:

- **Subject** class `NewsAgency` với:
  - `List<Observer> observers`
  - `void attach(Observer o)` add observer
  - `void detach(Observer o)` remove observer
  - `void notifyObservers(String news)` notify all
- **Observer** interface `NewsSubscriber` với:
  - `void update(String news);`
- **Concrete Observers:**
  - `EmailSubscriber`: receive via email
  - `SMSSubscriber`: receive via SMS
  - `AppSubscriber`: receive via app notification

**Observer:** One-to-many dependency!

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (subscribers)
- N dòng: Subscriber type (EMAIL/SMS/APP)
- Dòng N+2: M (news)
- M dòng: News headlines

### ◊ Output

- Notification log

### ◊ Constraints

- `1 ≤ N ≤ 20`
- `1 ≤ M ≤ 10`

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
3
EMAIL
SMS
```

```
APP  
2  
Breaking_News_Update  
Weather_Alert
```

**Output:**

```
[NewsAgency] Attaching subscribers...  
✓ Email subscriber attached  
✓ SMS subscriber attached  
✓ App subscriber attached  
  
News 1: Breaking_News_Update  
Notifying 3 subscribers...  
→ [Email] Sending to inbox: Breaking_News_Update  
→ [SMS] Sending to phone: Breaking_News_Update  
→ [App] Push notification: Breaking_News_Update  
  
News 2: Weather_Alert  
Notifying 3 subscribers...  
→ [Email] Sending to inbox: Weather_Alert  
→ [SMS] Sending to phone: Weather_Alert  
→ [App] Push notification: Weather_Alert
```

---

**Tags:** observer, pattern, subject, one-to-many, behavioral

# CodeForge - B07 - Subject-Observer Relationship

Độ khó: ★★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Demo Subject-Observer relationship:

- **Subject** (Publisher):
  - Maintains list of observers
  - State changes trigger notifications
  - Doesn't know concrete observer types
- **Observer** (Subscriber):
  - Registers with subject
  - Receives updates automatically
  - Can unsubscribe anytime

**Loose coupling:** Subject and Observer independent!

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (initial observers)
- N dòng: Observer IDs
- Dòng N+2: M (operations)
- M dòng: NOTIFY/ATTACH/DETACH operations

### ◊ Output

- Operation log

### ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 10$
- $1 \leq M \leq 20$

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
2
Observer1
Observer2
4
NOTIFY State_A
ATTACH Observer3
```

```
NOTIFY State_B  
DETACH Observer1
```

**Output:**

```
Initial observers: 2

Operation 1: NOTIFY State_A
→ Observer1 received: State_A
→ Observer2 received: State_A

Operation 2: ATTACH Observer3
✓ Observer3 attached
Total observers: 3

Operation 3: NOTIFY State_B
→ Observer1 received: State_B
→ Observer2 received: State_B
→ Observer3 received: State_B

Operation 4: DETACH Observer1
✓ Observer1 detached
Total observers: 2
```

---

**Tags:** observer, subject, relationship, loose-coupling, pattern

# CodeForge - B08 - Push Vs Pull Observer

**Độ khó:** ★ ★ ★ Hard

## 📝 Đề bài

So sánh Push vs Pull model:

- **Push model:**
  - Subject pushes data to observers
  - `void update(Data data)`
  - Observers receive all data
- **Pull model:**
  - Subject notifies without data
  - `void update()`
  - Observers pull data they need: `subject.getData()`
  - More flexible

Demo cả 2 approaches.

### ◊ Input

- Model type (PUSH/PULL)
- State updates

### ◊ Output

- Update mechanism

### ◊ Constraints

- N/A

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
PUSH  
Temperature_25C
```

**Output:**

```
Push Model:  
[Subject] Pushing data to all observers
```

- Observer1: Received Temperature\_25C (may not need it)
- Observer2: Received Temperature\_25C (may not need it)
- Observer3: Received Temperature\_25C (may not need it)

Disadvantage: All observers get all data

## Test case 2

### Input:

```
PULL  
Temperature_25C
```

### Output:

```
Pull Model:  
[Subject] Notifying observers (no data pushed)  
→ Observer1: Pulling needed data... Temperature only  
→ Observer2: Pulling needed data... Temperature + Humidity  
→ Observer3: Not pulling (doesn't need data)
```

Advantage: Observers get only what they need

---

**Tags:** observer, push, pull, model, comparison, pattern

# CodeForge - B09 - Pub-Sub Model

Độ khó: ★ ★ ★ Hard

## 📝 Đề bài

Observer pattern = Pub-Sub (Publish-Subscribe):

- **Publisher** (Subject):
  - Publishes events/messages
  - Doesn't know subscribers
- **Subscribers** (Observers):
  - Subscribe to topics/events
  - Receive relevant notifications only
- **Event types**:
  - UserRegistered
  - OrderPlaced
  - PaymentReceived

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (subscribers)
- N dòng: Subscriber name, interested events
- Dòng N+2: M (events)
- M dòng: Event type, data

### ◊ Output

- Event notifications

### ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 10$
- $1 \leq M \leq 20$

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
3
EmailService UserRegistered,PaymentReceived
SMSService OrderPlaced
AnalyticsService UserRegistered,OrderPlaced,PaymentReceived
3
UserRegistered Alice
```

```
OrderPlaced Order123
PaymentReceived Payment456
```

**Output:**

```
==== Pub-Sub System ====

Subscribers:
- EmailService: [UserRegistered, PaymentReceived]
- SMSService: [OrderPlaced]
- AnalyticsService: [All events]

Event 1: UserRegistered (Alice)
Publishing...
→ EmailService notified
→ AnalyticsService notified

Event 2: OrderPlaced (Order123)
Publishing...
→ SMSService notified
→ AnalyticsService notified

Event 3: PaymentReceived (Payment456)
Publishing...
→ EmailService notified
→ AnalyticsService notified
```

---

**Tags:** observer, pub-sub, publish-subscribe, events, pattern

# CodeForge - B10 - Observer With State Management

Độ khó: ★ ★ ★ Hard

## 📝 Đề bài

Observer pattern với state management:

- Subject: **StockMarket** với:
  - **String stockSymbol**
  - **double price**
  - State changes trigger notifications
- Observers:
  - **StockDisplay**: display current price
  - **StockAlert**: alert if price > threshold
  - **StockLogger**: log all changes

Each observer reacts differently to state changes.

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: Stock symbol
- Dòng 2: Initial price
- Dòng 3: Alert threshold
- Dòng 4: N (price updates)
- N dòng: New prices

### ◊ Output

- Observer reactions

### ◊ Constraints

- **1 ≤ N ≤ 50**

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

**Input:**

```
AAPL  
150.00  
160.00  
3  
155.00
```

```
162.00  
158.00
```

**Output:**

```
Stock: AAPL  
Initial: $150.00  
Alert threshold: $160.00
```

```
Observers attached:  
✓ StockDisplay  
✓ StockAlert (threshold: $160.00)  
✓ StockLogger
```

```
Update 1: $155.00  
→ [Display] AAPL: $155.00  
→ [Logger] Logged: AAPL changed to $155.00
```

```
Update 2: $162.00  
→ [Display] AAPL: $162.00  
→ [Alert] ⚠ AAPL exceeded threshold: $162.00 > $160.00  
→ [Logger] Logged: AAPL changed to $162.00
```

```
Update 3: $158.00  
→ [Display] AAPL: $158.00  
→ [Logger] Logged: AAPL changed to $158.00
```

---

**Tags:** observer, state, management, reactions, pattern

# CodeForge - B11 - When To Use Observer Pattern

Độ khó: ★★ Medium

## 📝 Đề bài

Khi nào dùng Observer:

- One-to-many dependency needed
- Multiple objects need notification
- Loose coupling desired
- Event-driven architecture
- One-to-one → direct call OK
- Performance critical → observer overhead

Demo scenarios.

### ◊ Input

- Scenario (OBSERVER/DIRECT)

### ◊ Output

- Recommendation

### ◊ Constraints

- N/A

## 📊 Ví dụ

Test case 1

### Input:

```
OBSERVER
```

### Output:

```
Scenario: News notification system
Recommendation: Use Observer Pattern
Reasons:
- Multiple subscribers (email, SMS, app)
- Subscribers can join/leave dynamically
- Loose coupling (NewsAgency doesn't know subscribers)
```

- Event-driven (notify on news update)
- Benefits: Scalable, flexible, maintainable

## Test case 2

### Input:

DIRECT

### Output:

Scenario: Single callback function  
Recommendation: Direct Method Call  
Reasons:

- Only one listener
- Tight coupling acceptable
- Observer overhead unnecessary

Example: `button.onClick(() => handleClick())`

---

**Tags:** [observer](#), [when-to-use](#), [design-decision](#), [pattern](#)

---

# CodeForge - B12A - Complete Strategy System - Sorting Algorithms

---

**Độ khó:** ★★☆ Hard (Advanced)

## Đề bài

Tạo sorting framework với Strategy:

- **Strategy** interface `SortStrategy` với:
  - `void sort(int[] array);`
  - `String getName();`
  - `String getComplexity();`
- **Concrete Strategies:**
  - `BubbleSortStrategy`:  $O(n^2)$
  - `QuickSortStrategy`:  $O(n \log n)$
  - `MergeSortStrategy`:  $O(n \log n)$
  - `InsertionSortStrategy`:  $O(n^2)$
  - `HeapSortStrategy`:  $O(n \log n)$
- **Context** `SortingManager` với:
  - Auto-select strategy based on array size:
    - Small (< 10): Insertion sort
    - Medium (10-1000): Quick sort
    - Large (> 1000): Merge sort
  - Manual strategy selection
  - Performance tracking
- **Benchmark** functionality:
  - Compare all strategies
  - Measure execution time
  - Display complexity

Trong main():

1. Test với different array sizes
2. Auto-select optimal strategy
3. Run benchmarks
4. Compare performance

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (test cases)
- N nhóm: Array size, strategy choice (AUTO/MANUAL), data

### ◊ Output

- Sorting results, performance metrics

## ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 5$
- $1 \leq \text{array size} \leq 10000$

### Ví dụ

#### Test case 1

##### Input:

```
3
5 AUTO 5,2,8,1,9
100 AUTO random
1000 MANUAL MERGE
```

##### Output:

```
==== Sorting Strategy System ====

Test Case 1: Small Array (size: 5)
Data: [5, 2, 8, 1, 9]
Strategy Selection: AUTO
→ Selected: Insertion Sort (optimal for small arrays)
Complexity: O(n2)
```

```
Sorting...
✓ Result: [1, 2, 5, 8, 9]
Time: 0.02ms
```

```
---
```

```
Test Case 2: Medium Array (size: 100)
Data: [random 100 elements]
Strategy Selection: AUTO
→ Selected: Quick Sort (optimal for medium arrays)
Complexity: O(n log n) average
```

```
Sorting...
✓ Result: [sorted array]
Time: 0.5ms
```

```
---
```

```
Test Case 3: Large Array (size: 1000)
Data: [random 1000 elements]
Strategy Selection: MANUAL (Merge Sort)
→ Selected: Merge Sort
Complexity: O(n log n) worst case
```

```
Sorting...
✓ Result: [sorted array]
Time: 2.1ms

---
==== Benchmark: All Strategies (1000 elements) ====

Bubble Sort:
Time: 15.2ms
Complexity: O(n2)
Status: ✗ Too slow for large data

Insertion Sort:
Time: 12.8ms
Complexity: O(n2)
Status: ✗ Too slow for large data

Quick Sort:
Time: 2.3ms
Complexity: O(n log n)
Status: ✓ Good performance

Merge Sort:
Time: 2.1ms
Complexity: O(n log n)
Status: ✓ Best for large data

Heap Sort:
Time: 2.5ms
Complexity: O(n log n)
Status: ✓ Consistent performance

==== Strategy Pattern Benefits ====
✓ Easy to switch algorithms
✓ Auto-select optimal strategy
✓ Add new algorithms without changing Context
✓ Clean, maintainable code
✓ No if-else chains

Fastest: Merge Sort (2.1ms)
Recommended: Quick Sort (general purpose)
```

---

**Tags:** strategy, sorting, algorithms, benchmark, performance, advanced

---

# CodeForge - B13A - Complete Observer System - Weather Station

---

**Độ khó:** ★★☆ Hard (Advanced)

## Đề bài

Tạo weather monitoring system:

- **Subject** `WeatherStation` với:
  - `float temperature, humidity, pressure`
  - State measurement methods
  - Observer management (attach/detach/notify)
- **Observer** interface `WeatherDisplay` với:
  - `void update(float temp, float humidity, float pressure);`
- **Concrete Observers:**
  - `CurrentConditionsDisplay`: Show current values
  - `StatisticsDisplay`: Track min/max/avg
  - `ForecastDisplay`: Predict based on pressure trend
  - `HeatIndexDisplay`: Calculate heat index
  - `AlertDisplay`: Alert if extreme conditions
- **Display Manager:**
  - Register/unregister displays
  - Broadcast updates

Trong main():

1. Setup weather station
2. Register multiple displays
3. Simulate weather changes
4. Displays update automatically
5. Unregister some displays
6. Continue updates

## ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (displays)
- N dòng: Display types
- Dòng N+2: M (weather updates)
- M dòng: Temp, humidity, pressure

## ◊ Output

- Display updates

## ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 10$
- $1 \leq M \leq 50$

## Ví dụ

### Test case 1

#### Input:

```
5
CURRENT
STATISTICS
FORECAST
HEATINDEX
ALERT
3
25.5 65.0 1013.2
30.0 70.0 1010.5
35.0 80.0 1008.0
```

#### Output:

```
==== Weather Monitoring System (Observer Pattern) ====
```

```
Registering displays...
✓ Current Conditions Display attached
✓ Statistics Display attached
✓ Forecast Display attached
✓ Heat Index Display attached
✓ Alert Display attached
```

```
Total observers: 5
```

```
---
```

```
Weather Update 1:
```

```
Temperature: 25.5°C
```

```
Humidity: 65.0%
```

```
Pressure: 1013.2 hPa
```

```
Broadcasting to 5 displays...
```

```
[Current Conditions]
Temperature: 25.5°C
Humidity: 65.0%
Pressure: 1013.2 hPa
```

```
[Statistics]
```

```
Avg Temp: 25.5°C
Min Temp: 25.5°C
```

Max Temp: 25.5°C

[Forecast]

Pressure trend: Stable  
Prediction: Clear skies

[Heat Index]

Feels like: 26.2°C

[Alert]

✓ All conditions normal

---

Weather Update 2:

Temperature: 30.0°C

Humidity: 70.0%

Pressure: 1010.5 hPa

Broadcasting to 5 displays...

[Current Conditions]

Temperature: 30.0°C  
Humidity: 70.0%  
Pressure: 1010.5 hPa

[Statistics]

Avg Temp: 27.8°C  
Min Temp: 25.5°C  
Max Temp: 30.0°C

[Forecast]

Pressure trend: Falling  
Prediction: Rain possible

[Heat Index]

Feels like: 33.5°C

[Alert]

⚠ Heat index high: 33.5°C

---

Weather Update 3:

Temperature: 35.0°C

Humidity: 80.0%

Pressure: 1008.0 hPa

Broadcasting to 5 displays...

[Current Conditions]

Temperature: 35.0°C  
Humidity: 80.0%  
Pressure: 1008.0 hPa

## [Statistics]

Avg Temp: 30.2°C  
Min Temp: 25.5°C  
Max Temp: 35.0°C

## [Forecast]

Pressure trend: Falling rapidly  
Prediction: Storm approaching

## [Heat Index]

Feels like: 42.8°C

## [Alert]

⚠ EXTREME: Temperature 35°C+  
⚠ EXTREME: Heat index 42.8°C (Dangerous!)

---

## ==== Observer Pattern Benefits ===

- ✓ Loose coupling (Station doesn't know displays)
- ✓ Dynamic subscription (add/remove displays)
- ✓ One-to-many broadcast
- ✓ Each display reacts independently
- ✓ Easy to add new display types

Total updates: 3

Total notifications: 15 (5 displays × 3 updates)

---

**Tags:** observer, weather, monitoring, real-time, pub-sub, advanced

---

# CodeForge - B14A - Complete Strategy System - Game AI

---

**Độ khó:** ★ ★ ★ Hard (Advanced)

## Đề bài

Tạo game AI với Strategy:

- **Strategy** interface `AIStrategy` với:
  - `Action decide(GameState state);`
  - `String getName();`
  - `String getDifficulty();`
- **Concrete Strategies:**
  - `AggressiveAI`: Attack-focused (Hard)
  - `DefensiveAI`: Defense-focused (Medium)
  - `BalancedAI`: Mix of both (Medium)
  - `RandomAI`: Random moves (Easy)
  - `AdaptiveAI`: Changes based on game state (Expert)
- **Context GameCharacter** với:
  - Current strategy
  - Health, position, inventory
  - Switch strategy based on conditions:
    - Low health → Defensive
    - High health → Aggressive
    - Boss fight → Adaptive
- **Game Engine:**
  - Simulate combat
  - Track AI decisions
  - Measure effectiveness

Trong main():

1. Create AI opponents với different strategies
2. Simulate N turns
3. AI adapts to game state
4. Compare strategy effectiveness

### ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (AI characters)
- N dòng: Character name, initial strategy
- Dòng N+2: M (game turns)

### ◊ Output

- AI decisions, strategy switches

## ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 5$
- $1 \leq M \leq 20$

### Ví dụ

Test case 1

#### Input:

```
3
Warrior AGGRESSIVE
Mage BALANCED
Assassin ADAPTIVE
10
```

#### Output:

```
==== Game AI Strategy System ====

Creating AI characters...

Character 1: Warrior
Strategy: Aggressive AI (Hard)
Health: 100
Position: (10, 20)

Character 2: Mage
Strategy: Balanced AI (Medium)
Health: 80
Position: (15, 25)

Character 3: Assassin
Strategy: Adaptive AI (Expert)
Health: 90
Position: (5, 30)
```

```
---

Turn 1:
[Warrior - Aggressive AI]
State: Health 100/100
Decision: CHARGE_ATTACK
Target: Nearest enemy
→ Dealt 25 damage
```

```
[Mage - Balanced AI]
```

State: Health 80/80  
Decision: CAST\_SPELL + MAINTAIN\_DISTANCE  
→ Dealt 20 damage, moved back

[Assassin - Adaptive AI]  
State: Health 90/90, Enemy count: 3  
Adapting: Many enemies detected  
→ Switched to DEFENSIVE mode temporarily  
Decision: STEALTH + WAIT  
→ Hidden

---

Turn 5:

[Warrior - Aggressive AI]  
State: Health 45/100  
⚠ Low health detected!  
→ Strategy switch: Aggressive → Defensive  
Decision: BLOCK + HEAL  
→ Gained 15 health

[Mage - Balanced AI]  
State: Health 60/80  
Decision: RANGED\_ATTACK + MAINTAIN\_DISTANCE  
→ Dealt 18 damage

[Assassin - Adaptive AI]  
State: Health 70/90, Boss appeared!  
Adapting: Boss fight detected  
→ Optimizing for boss pattern  
Decision: EVADE + CRITICAL\_STRIKE  
→ Dealt 40 damage (critical!)

---

Turn 10:

[Warrior - Defensive AI]  
State: Health 75/100 (recovered)  
Health stabilized  
→ Strategy switch: Defensive → Balanced  
Decision: ATTACK + GUARD  
→ Dealt 15 damage, blocked 10

[Mage - Balanced AI]  
State: Health 55/80, Mana low  
Decision: CONSERVE\_MANA + BASIC\_ATTACK  
→ Dealt 8 damage

[Assassin - Adaptive AI]  
State: Health 85/90, Boss defeated  
Adapting: Normal enemies remain  
→ Switched back to AGGRESSIVE mode  
Decision: BACKSTAB  
→ Dealt 35 damage

---

### ==== Strategy Effectiveness Analysis ===

#### Warrior:

Strategies used: Aggressive → Defensive → Balanced  
Total damage: 250  
Survival rate: 75%  
Adaptability: Medium

#### Mage:

Strategy: Balanced (consistent)  
Total damage: 220  
Survival rate: 68%  
Adaptability: Low

#### Assassin:

Strategy: Adaptive (dynamic)  
Total damage: 380  
Survival rate: 94%  
Adaptability: High ★

### ==== Strategy Pattern Benefits ===

- ✓ Dynamic AI behavior
- ✓ Switch strategies based on game state
- ✓ Easy to add new AI types
- ✓ Reusable strategies across characters
- ✓ Testable AI logic

Best performer: Assassin (Adaptive AI)

Most damage: Assassin (380)

Best survival: Assassin (94%)

---

**Tags:** strategy, game, ai, adaptive, dynamic, advanced

---

# CodeForge - B15A - Complete System - Event-Driven Architecture

---

**Độ khó:** ★ ★ ★ Hard (Advanced)

## Đề bài

Tạo complete event system combining Strategy + Observer:

- **Event types** (Strategy pattern):
  - **UserEvent**: Registration, Login, Logout
  - **OrderEvent**: Created, Paid, Shipped, Delivered
  - **SystemEvent**: Error, Warning, Info
- **Event handlers** (Observer pattern):
  - **EmailService**: Subscribe to UserEvent, OrderEvent
  - **SMSService**: Subscribe to OrderEvent
  - **LoggingService**: Subscribe to all events
  - **AnalyticsService**: Subscribe to UserEvent, OrderEvent
  - **NotificationService**: Subscribe to SystemEvent
- **Event bus** (Mediator):
  - Register handlers for event types
  - Publish events
  - Route to appropriate handlers
- **Event processing strategies**:
  - **SynchronousStrategy**: Process immediately
  - **AsynchronousStrategy**: Queue and process later
  - **PriorityStrategy**: High priority first
- **Event Manager** với:
  - Select processing strategy based on event type
  - Manage subscriptions
  - Track event metrics

Trong main():

1. Setup event system
2. Register handlers
3. Publish N events
4. Handlers react based on subscriptions
5. Switch processing strategies
6. Display metrics

## ◊ Input

- Dòng 1: N (handlers)
- N dòng: Handler type, subscribed events
- Dòng N+2: M (events)

- M đóng: Event type, data, priority

## ◊ Output

- Event processing log, metrics

## ◊ Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 10$
- $1 \leq M \leq 50$

## ▀ Ví dụ

### Test case 1

#### Input:

```
5
EmailService USER,ORDER
SMSERVICE ORDER
LoggingService ALL
AnalyticsService USER,ORDER
NotificationService SYSTEM
8
USER Registration Alice NORMAL
USER Login Alice NORMAL
ORDER Created Order123 HIGH
ORDER Paid Order123 HIGH
SYSTEM Error Database_Connection CRITICAL
ORDER Shipped Order123 NORMAL
USER Logout Alice NORMAL
SYSTEM Warning High_CPU NORMAL
```

#### Output:

```
==== Event-Driven Architecture (Strategy + Observer) ===

Registering event handlers...
✓ EmailService: [USER, ORDER]
✓ SMSERVICE: [ORDER]
✓ LoggingService: [ALL]
✓ AnalyticsService: [USER, ORDER]
✓ NotificationService: [SYSTEM]

Total handlers: 5

Setting processing strategies...
→ USER events: Asynchronous (queue)
→ ORDER events: Priority-based (high → normal)
→ SYSTEM events: Synchronous (immediate)
```

---

Event 1: USER Registration (Alice) [NORMAL]  
Strategy: Asynchronous  
→ Queued for processing  
Processing...  
[EmailService] Sending welcome email to Alice  
[LoggingService] Logged: USER Registration Alice  
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: New user Alice

---

Event 2: USER Login (Alice) [NORMAL]  
Strategy: Asynchronous  
→ Queued for processing  
Processing...  
[EmailService] Sending login notification to Alice  
[LoggingService] Logged: USER Login Alice  
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: User login Alice

---

Event 3: ORDER Created (Order123) [HIGH PRIORITY]  
Strategy: Priority (HIGH → immediate)  
→ Processing immediately  
[EmailService] Order confirmation: Order123  
[SMSService] SMS: Order123 created  
[LoggingService] Logged: ORDER Created Order123  
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: New order Order123

---

Event 4: ORDER Paid (Order123) [HIGH PRIORITY]  
Strategy: Priority (HIGH → immediate)  
→ Processing immediately  
[EmailService] Payment receipt: Order123  
[SMSService] SMS: Payment confirmed Order123  
[LoggingService] Logged: ORDER Paid Order123  
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: Order paid Order123

---

Event 5: SYSTEM Error (Database\_Connection) [CRITICAL]  
Strategy: Synchronous (immediate)  
→ Processing immediately (blocking)  
[NotificationService] 🚨 CRITICAL: Database\_Connection error  
[LoggingService] Logged: SYSTEM Error Database\_Connection  
⚠ Processing blocked until handled

---

Event 6: ORDER Shipped (Order123) [NORMAL]  
Strategy: Priority (NORMAL → queue)

```
→ Queued for processing
Processing...
[EmailService] Shipping notification: Order123
[SMSService] SMS: Order123 shipped
[LoggingService] Logged: ORDER Shipped Order123
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: Order shipped Order123
```

---

```
Event 7: USER Logout (Alice) [NORMAL]
Strategy: Asynchronous
→ Queued for processing
Processing...
[LoggingService] Logged: USER Logout Alice
[AnalyticsService] Tracking: User logout Alice
```

---

```
Event 8: SYSTEM Warning (High_CPU) [NORMAL]
Strategy: Synchronous (immediate)
→ Processing immediately
[NotificationService] ⚠ WARNING: High_CPU
[LoggingService] Logged: SYSTEM Warning High_CPU
```

---

#### ==== Event Metrics ===

Events Published: 8

  USER: 3  
  ORDER: 3  
  SYSTEM: 2

Handler Invocations: 28

  EmailService: 6  
  SMSService: 3  
  LoggingService: 8  
  AnalyticsService: 6  
  NotificationService: 2

Processing Strategies Used:

  Synchronous: 2 events (immediate)  
  Asynchronous: 3 events (queued)  
  Priority: 3 events (by priority)

Performance:

  Avg processing time: 12ms  
  Synchronous avg: 5ms (fast but blocking)  
  Asynchronous avg: 15ms (non-blocking)  
  Priority avg: 8ms (balanced)

---

#### ==== Pattern Benefits Demonstrated ===

✓ Strategy Pattern:

- Flexible event processing (sync/async/priority)
- Switch strategies per event type
- Add new strategies without changing handlers

✓ Observer Pattern:

- Loose coupling (handlers independent)
- Dynamic subscriptions
- One-to-many event broadcast

✓ Combined Power:

- Scalable event system
- Flexible and extensible
- Clean architecture
- Production-ready design

Total patterns used: 2 (Strategy + Observer)

Architecture: Event-driven, loosely coupled

Code quality: High maintainability

---

**Tags:** strategy, observer, combined-patterns, event-driven, architecture, capstone, advanced