

Lecture 2

Problem significance.

1. SATISFIABILITY: very general problem that models any problem that you want to solve brute force by trying all possible solutions.
2. Factoring: online security assumes this problem is hard to solve. More discussion: opposite of multiplying which is easy, which is why it's suitable for cryptography: encryption uses multiplication, decryption uses factoring.
RSA public-key encryption: merchant: choose p, q large distinct prime numbers, x coprime to $(p-1)(q-1)$ and compute y such that $xy = 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$; sk = (N, x) , pk (N, y) . customer: encrypt $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ into ciphertext $c := m^y$. merchant: decrypt by c^x . Claim $c^x = m \pmod N$. Proof by Fermat's little theorem.
3. Simulating quantum systems: discovering new drugs, batteries, and catalysts. A catalyst that has received a lot of attention: FeMoCo: could help with converting nitrogen + hydrogen into ammonia at normal pressures/temperatures. (Currently done using Haber-Bosch process which is very high-pressure and high-temperature.)

A remark on randomized computation. It is important to distinguish between when a problem's speedup is due to randomness vs due to quantumness. Consider the following two problems:

1. given a string of n bits that's either all zeros or half zero and half one but you don't know where they're placed: decide which is the case. Randomized $O(1)$, deterministic $\Omega(n)$.
2. NAND tree on $n := 2^h$ variables. Randomized: consider a randomized algorithm that examines the left or right branch uniformly at random. If it computes 0 in one branch, it just outputs 1 – this is okay by property of NAND. Let $\alpha_b(h)$ be the maximum complexity of this algorithm when run on inputs that map to b . Then

$$\alpha_0(h) \leq 2\alpha_1(h-1), \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_1(h) \leq \alpha_0(h-1) + \alpha_1(h-1)/2. \quad (5)$$

Solves to

$$\left((1 + \sqrt{33})/4\right)^h = O(n^{0.754}), \quad (6)$$

in fact this is the optimal randomized complexity – see Saks and Widgerson '86. Deterministic: can show it's $\Omega(n)$.

A considerable part of this class will study randomized computation for two reasons:

1. quantum computation can be used to perform randomized computation: in fact, many quantum algorithms, such as Shor's, have some non-trivial randomized (but non-quantum) component.
2. we want to show quantum computers can be strictly faster than randomized computer, so we need to consider the limits of the latter.

Timeline.

- Today: 100s qubits, 1000s of operations. A single operation acts between two qubits (think of it as the generalization of a Boolean logic game like AND). Operations limited by qubit interacting with environment and losing its quantum state – “decoherence”.
- Companies target: 100,000 - 1 million qubits by 2030 (first number IBM, second number Google). Not as crazy as it sounds if a “quantum Moore's law” holds (maybe holds? see chart but you can be the judge.)
- Context:
 1. Breaking online security (factoring RSA-2048): 20 million qubits, 3 billion operations. Gidney and Ekerå, Quantum 2021
 2. Simulating FeMoco: 4 million qubits, 5 billion operations Lee,...,Babbush, PRX Quantum 2021

Postulates of quantum information

As a concrete motivation: discussion of the CHSH game.