

## Lecture 9

**The CHSH game or Bell inequality.** This is perhaps the first example of quantum advantage, though not formally in the computational sense because there are *two* parties (Alice and Bob) doing the computation instead of one. Nowadays, it is formalized as quantum advantage in *communication complexity*.<sup>2</sup>

We now follow **Watrous notes, Section 4.3.** Winning condition

$$a \oplus b = x \wedge y \quad (50)$$

**Classical deterministic strategies.** Modelling  $a: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ ,  $b: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

**Classical randomized strategies** Alice and Bob first sample a random variable  $\lambda$  (say real, doesn't matter too much; distribution  $p_\lambda$ ) and  $a = a_\lambda$  and  $b = b_\lambda$ . Find that maximum winning probability of any randomized strategy with no communication is at most  $3/4$ . In physics language: any local hidden variable theory can win with probability at most  $3/4$ . (I'll say more next time: the extra point to make is that we can *guarantee* no communication using the finiteness of the speed of light – which is true if relativity is true.)

Let

$$U_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

Quantum strategy: use of the following *entangled state* of two qubits, the EPR pair

$$|\phi^+\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle). \quad (52)$$

A two-qubit state is entangled if it cannot be written as a tensor product of two single-qubit states (else it is unentangled).

**Comment:** good exercise to convince yourself that the EPR pair is indeed entangled.

Let  $A$  be the operation Alice applies and  $B$  the operation Bob applies. Then

1.  $x = 0$  means  $A = I$ ,  $x = 1$  means  $A = H$ . **Comment:** Watrous uses  $A = U_{\pi/4}$  when  $x = 1$ , which is not exactly the same as  $H$ , this will change the states just before measurement but won't affect the final winning probabilities.
2.  $y = 0$  means  $B = U_{\pi/8}$ ,  $y = 1$  means  $B = U_{-\pi/8}$ .

Then analyze the case  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$  using Figure 4.7 but with Alice *drawn at top*. Then *same as* Watrous notation.

Then do  $(x, y) = (1, 0)$  using resolution of identity trick:

$$A \otimes B |\phi^+\rangle = \langle 00| A \otimes B |\phi^+\rangle |00\rangle + \langle 01| A \otimes B |\phi^+\rangle |01\rangle + \langle 10| A \otimes B |\phi^+\rangle |10\rangle + \langle 11| A \otimes B |\phi^+\rangle |11\rangle \quad (53)$$

**Warning.** You may be wondering why Watrous draws Alice on the bottom. The reason is given in “Qiskit's qubit ordering convention for circuits” on page 68 of his notes. **We will be following the opposite convention:** qubits at the top of a circuit diagram come left-most in equations (in Watrous' notes, they come right-most). As Watrous mentions, our convention is in fact *more common*. **Please keep this warning in mind whenever you use Watrous' notes as a reference.**

---

<sup>2</sup>As you'll see later, Alice and Bob can win the game with probability  $\approx 0.85$  if they use quantum resources, but if they only have classical resources, they would *have to communicate* to win with that probability.