# Report

- Rough out the overall outline of the report
  - Introduction (likely last section to be written)
    - Define area and scope (Programming Environments and Abstractions for Data Centric Computing)
    - Opportunities presented by research in data locality for programming systems
    - Key overarching findings & recommendations
  - Background:

■ John: Architecture

■ Thomas: Apps

■ Torsten: Middleware/Tools

Area1: Motivating Applications and application requirements

Anshu and Frank

Area2: Data Structure and Layout Abstractions

Didem and Mauro

Area3: Language, Tool, and Compiler Support

Paul and Naoya

Area4: Data Locality in Tasking Models

Hatem and Jesus

Area5: System-Scale Data Locality and Management

Satoshi and Torsten

Conclusion: What are our next steps

### Location

- Google Doc? (please no)
- SVN or GIT Repository? (preferred... can get you accounts on mic.lbl.gov)
- github

## Timeline

• May 15: 2 weeks for rough outline (confer with your team to have meetings or email to converging rough outline)

- **June 1**: 2 weeks for detailed outline (complete content as bullets)
- July 1: 4 weeks after that for converting detailed outline into prose
- August 1: Workshop organizers and leads will polish and finalize report
- Release: sometime in August
  - (DOE wants to be involved in release... help us to find other agencies and countries that would like to be partners in a co-release)
- June 11-12 is G-8 and August is meeting in China, good targets for the report

# Content

- Break up into groups for planning
  - Get contact info of your session leads
- Prepare an outline for each of your areas
  - Section leads are responsible for organizing content and writing for workshop report sections
    - Gather input from participants in your area, but you get final definition of the section outline.
    - You also get responsibility to ensure writing is completed.
  - Synthesizing across all the talks in your session
    - Focus on data locality
    - The limits on locality from apps perspective: trade-offs
- General Principles for content in the section (general points to hit)
  - Define your Area
    - Create definition of your research area
    - Describe key concepts that define your area or that were uncovered during the course of conversation
    - A few examples of work in that area (can refer back to talks on website, but no need to recount entire talk)
  - Findings:
    - Describe points/observations/discoveries/challenges/issues uncovered in the session
      - Distill into summary (major discoveries)
      - can refer back to presentations for details
      - Can also use data from panel discussions
    - Identify areas of agreement
      - Common approaches

- Common concerns
- Identify areas of disagreement
  - what is the substantive cause of the disagreement (document)
  - What metrics/information/research are needed to compare/resolve
- Identify Gaps
  - What is missing?

#### Recommendations

- Opportunities for standardization of mature technologies where the is substantial agreement or commonality
  - Have we met the necessary conditions for standardization (is the area well enough understood, are the elements of existing implementations sufficiently similar, are the benefits clearly demonstrated, is there a user community?)
  - What should we standardize? (Low-hanging fruit)
  - How can we influence standards committees? (e.g. C++17 standards committee?)
- Define research agenda for new ideas or areas where there is insufficient information to choose a final implementation (What areas need more research?)
  - identify research thrust
  - what are the opportunities
  - what needs to be done
  - What needs to be prioritized?
  - What resources would be required (estimate size/complexity of the problem if you can)
- How do we create a user community? (bonus question)