

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE SEVENTEENTH MEETING OF THE SENATE
HELD ON THE 9TH FEBRUARY '65.

...

Present:-

1. Prof. E. Sengupto (Director/Chairman)
2. Dr. G. S. Laddha
3. Prof. T. Balakrishnan Nair
4. Prof. R. G. Narayanamurthi
5. Dr. P. Venkata Rao
6. Dr. M.V.C. Sastri
7. Prof. S. Sampath
8. Prof. R. Krishnamurthi
9. Dr. P.C. Varghese
10. Dr. S.R. Valluri
11. Dr. D. Venkateswarlu
12. Dr. S.D. Nigam
13. Dr. Stahl
14. Dr. W. Scheer
15. Dr. Koch
16. Dr. Heitland
17. Dr. Lutz.

Prof. C. V. Sethunathan, Supernumerary Registrar, was present
the meeting by invitation.

Item No.1: To confirm the minutes of the Sixteenth Meeting
of the Senate held on the 27th December 1964.

...

The letter dated the 2nd February '65 from Dr. S. R. Valluri
offering his comments as mentioned below on the minutes was placed
before the Senate.

In regard to the 2nd paragraph of Item No.1 of the Minutes,
Dr. Valluri sought clarification whether the invigilator would,
invariably, be called by the Standing Committee of the Senate to
give evidence or whether the Committee would call him whenever they
deemed it necessary in regard to a malpractice committed by a student
at an examination. He was informed by the Chairman that the
invigilator would be heard by the Standing Committee in cases
where they felt that his evidence was necessary.

In Resolution No.165/64, the names were corrected as
follows as pointed out by Dr. Valluri.

- c) Prof. R. Narasimha
- d) Prof. P.L. Narasimha Murthy

Dr. Valluri brought to the notice of the Senate that one
part of the recommendations of the Committee had not been fully
brought out in the Senate Resolution No.168/64. The Chairman
said that this be considered separately in due course.

The Minutes of the 16th Meeting of the Senate were adopted with the modification cited above.

Item No.2:

To consider the results of the final examination for the fifth year class of the five year B.Tech. Degree Course held in December 1964 - January 1965.

...

The Senate

RESOLVED that the recommendations made on 5.2. '65 by the Committee appointed to scrutinise the results of the final year class of the five year B.Tech. Degree Course be accepted (Vide Annexure No.1 for the recommendations of the Committee.) and the results be announced after giving effect to the undermentioned rule for moderation recommended by the Committee.

"A student who failed in only one subject by getting not less than 45% may get the benefit of 5 marks in the subject concerned and be deemed to have passed in that subject."

The Senate considered the case of one student in the Chemical Engineering Branch who had failed in the Project Work.

The Senate

RESOLVED that the said student be given a new project by the Head of the Department and be asked to submit his project work within the date line to be fixed by the Head of the Department so as to enable him to take the supplemental examination in April/May '65.

RESOLVED also that the classes and ranks of the successful students as worked out and scrutinised by the Committee as given in Annexure No.II be approved and announced.

Item No.3:

To receive a report of the Chairman on the results of the supplementary examination held in November 1964 for the students of the second year class of the three year B.Tech. Degree Course in the subjects in which they have not secured the required minimum after the final examination at the end of the second term.

...

The report of the Chairman was considered.

The Senate noted that out of the 33 students who appear for the examination, 28 students had been declared to have been

successful in the subjects of the second term in which they had appeared and 5 students had failed to get the prescribed grades and been directed to repeat the second term of the first year of the course (vide Annexure No.III for the list of students.) . The Senate accepted the report and ratified the action taken by the Chairman.

Item No.4:

To receive a report of the Chairman on the results of the students in the third term of the M.Tech. Degree Course in Civil, Mechanical and Electrical Engineering Branches after the final examinations held in October 1964.

...

The report of the Chairman was considered.

It was taken to the notice of the Senate that the total marks in Mechanical Vibrations II were wrongly noted as 48% instead of 58% in the records of the student, Shri B.C. Kaveriappa, in the Mechanical Engineering Branch and that as per the corrected marks, the student obtained 54% (B Grade) in the overall assessment. Under the circumstances, he was declared to have passed in that subject, with the result that there was no failure in any of the three branches.

The Chairman's action was ratified.

Item No.5:

To consider the Minutes of the Scholarships Rules Committee which met on the 8th January 1965 recommending the criteria to be followed for the award of merit and merit-cum-means Scholarships and free studentships to the students admitted to the 1st year class of the 3 year B.Tech. Degree Course in 1964-65.

...

CONCLUSION

4/65

The Senate

RESOLVED that the following recommendations of the Scholarships Rules Committee be accepted.

(i) The scholarships for the 3 year Degree Course be awarded on the basis of the performance in the academic sphere to the students who have passed in all the subjects of the entry examination.

Contd