EXPLAINABLE FRAUD ALERT SYSTEM

DANIEL ELLIOT S
DARANI PRASAD M
DAYANIDHI D

BUSINESS PROBLEM

- Banks use AI to detect suspicious or fraudulent transactions.
- Current systems flag transactions without clear explanations.
- Customers often do not understand why an alert was triggered.
- This causes confusion, loss of trust, and more customer service requests.
- There is a need for a system that explains fraud alerts in simple, user-friendly language.

CURRENT CHALLENGES

- Lack of explainability: Customers don't understand why a transaction is flagged.
- Black-box AI models: High accuracy but no transparent reasoning behind decisions.
- Customer dissatisfaction: Confusing alerts lead to frustration and support calls.
- **Delayed response time**: Real-time explanations are difficult with complex models.
- Trust issues: Users may lose trust in the bank's fraud detection system due to lack of clarity.

CURRENT SOLUTIONS

- XAI Tools (SHAP, LIME): Explain why transactions are flagged.
- Behavior Modeling: Detects unusual spending patterns.
- LLM-Based Chatbots: Provide simple, user-friendly explanations.
- Anomaly Detection: Uses AI to find and explain suspicious activity.
- Trust Scoring: Rates transactions based on risk factors.
- Visual Dashboards: Show clear reasons behind fraud alerts.

OUR SOLUTION

- **Anomaly Detection:** Uses Isolation Forest to identify unusual transactions.
- Explainable AI (XAI): SHAP values highlight key features behind fraud flags.
- Behavioural Modelling: Captures user transaction patterns for risk analysis.
- Conversational Interface (Streamlit): Displays human-readable fraud reasons clearly.
- Trust Score Indicator: Each transaction is given a transparency-based risk score.

Current solution VS Proposed System

Feature	Current Solutions	Proposed Explainable System
Fraud Detection Accuracy	High (with ML/DL models)	High (uses advanced ML + LLMs)
Explanation to Users	Minimal or absent	Clear, simple, and user-friendly
Customer Trust	Low due to opaque decisions	High due to transparent justifications
False Positive Handling	Moderate control	Improved through behavioral reasoning
User Communication	Technical alerts or raw flags	Conversational interface with human-like replies
Real-Time Responsiveness	Limited in complex models	Optimized with lightweight LLM-based explanations
Trust-Based Scoring	Not typically implemented	Incorporated for personalized fraud risk evaluation

BENEFITS

- **Transparency:** Users understand *why* a transaction is flagged using SHAP explanations.
- Real-Time Fraud Alerts: Detects suspicious activity instantly using Isolation Forest.
- User Trust & Confidence: Trust score and clear explanations build user confidence in the system.
- Customizable & Scalable: Easily adaptable to different banking environments and datasets.
- Interactive Interface: Streamlit app provides a user-friendly experience for non-technical users.

ALGORITHMS USED

❖Isolation Forest

- Chosen for its efficiency in detecting anomalies without needing labeled data.
- It isolates outliers quickly by randomly splitting features.

SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)

- Provides clear, human-understandable reasons behind each fraud alert.
- Enhances model transparency and builds user trust.

❖MinMaxScaler

- Ensures all features are on the same scale, improving model accuracy.
- Important for distance-based models like Isolation Forest.

RESULTS & IMPACTS

Results:

- Accurate Anomaly Detection
- Clear Explanations
- Real-Time Processing
- Interactive Dashboard

Business Impact:

- Enhanced Fraud Prevention
- Increased Customer Trust
- Lower Operational Costs
- Scalable Risk Solution

THANK YOU