Stock simulation and testing of methods

Coilin, Chato and lago

During the Santa Barbara meeting in January 2013 there was a revision of the factorial design generating the simulated stocks and the outputted catches. The old design was returning 400+ stocks which were considered to many for synthesizing the results. Therefore the factorial design has been trimmed down by reducing the levels of some factors and by moving some factors to a sensitivity testing. A second level of testing will be implemented via stochastic simulations where increasing levels of observation and process errors are added to the stock simulation as detailed later.

The agreed factorial design is now generating 72 stocks and related catches via the following factor interactions between ID, ED, LH and TS:

Factorial design									
Factor Initial depletion (ID)	level 1 0	level 2 30	level 3 60	level 4					
Effort dynamics (ED)	Constant flat	power increase (avoiding crash)	dome- shape (managem ent kicks in)	Jim's effort dyn. model (choosing a realistic 'x', setting 'a' at 40% - but see sensitivity analysis)					
Time-series length (TS)	20	60							
Life-history (LH)	sardine	haddock	tuna						

Additional sensitivity analyses (on a 'base' combination of factors above, i.e. assuming no interactions with factors above)

Factor	level 1	level 2	level 3	level 4
Underreporting (UR)	0	50%		
Selectivity (SEL)	dome	flat	change in time	
Bmey/k - 'a' in Jim's effort dyn. model (intended to capture "bluefin" or "krill" like scenarios) (ED)	20	40	80	

Stochastic design (on a 'base' combination of factors above, i.e. assuming no interactions with factors above)

Factor	level 1	level 2	level 3	level 4
catch measurement error	Add some level of error to catch			
Autocorrelation to	Add an AR1 process to rec.			

recruitment *

*include interactions with the effort dynamics factor (and other factors?)

At the implementation level JRC is working on the new set of simulated stocks and will make them available soon.

SIMULATION TESTING

Running the full testing of the 4 methods on the simulated data will be duty of Chato, lago and Coilin. The necessary steps for a methods developer for running the simulations are the following:

- 1. Each method will run on a time series of catch data with information available beign Linf and the generic indication of a pseudo specie (herring, haddock, tuna). Priors, that match the pseudo specie, for the methods will be derived from FISHBASE according to each method. Although there has been quite some discussion on the life history (LH) parameters of each simulated stock, ideally we would want to implement a double blind between data generation and methods development, so the final LH values will not be disclosed before testing.
- 2. Methods need to be streamlined so that there is no read/write to .csv or other type of files outside the R workspace. This would be very problematic when running the methods on different cores on a server.
- 3. Each method needs to be able to run sequentially on a list of stock, to deal with non-convergence and moving to the next stock and to give a report on convergence or no convergence and a number of statistics described below. The methods should be able to not crash or in case of crash to save the runs before the crash. In any case to allow recovery after a crash in the simulation runs the methods will be run in a wrapper "try" which allows the user's code to handle error-recovery.
- 4. Each method needs to output an R list composed by two elements:
 - a. First a Data Frame with the following variables:
 - i. "stock_id" this needs to match exactly the code of the simulated stock, for example
 - "SP ID10 ED0.2 SELFD TS60 UR0"
 - ii. "b bmsy" the estimated ratio of B/B_{msy} by year
 - iii. "b bmsyUpper" 85% Cl
 - iv. "b bmsyLower" 85% CI
 - v. "b bmsy iq25" 25% interquartile
 - vi. "b bmsy iq75" 75% interquartile
 - vii. "year"
 - viii. "seed" starting seed for random number generator
 - ix. "convergence", logical 3 levels
 - 1. Not converged
 - 2. Weak convergence
 - 3. Strong convergence

- x. "n_iterations" a record of the number of iterations before convergence (pending the final discussion between Coilin and Jim on standardized convergence criterion between the different methods)
- xi. "effective_sample_size" calculated as indicated by Carolina and Jim see details below.
- xii. "run_time" using system.time() for each model run
- b. List or data frame with all model diagnostics/chains, priors (for r and K) and r/k pairs from catch-MSY etc in the form specified by the method. Data from this list might not be used but it's good to keep for reference.

Pending Issues:

- 1. CatchMSY needs to output b/bmsy
- 2. Convergence: it is defined as C=N effective samples OR N retained samples
 - 1. If C < 30 = > Not converged
 - 2. If 30 < C < 200 = > Weak convergence
 - 3. If C>200 => Strong convergence
- 3. Effective_sample_size, how to make the number of iterations or Bernoulli draws comparable. Carolina and Jim proposed the following:
 - -effective sample size = 1/(sum of (importance weights^2))
 - -The Bernoulli draw is easier, and will just be the sum of the number of accepted draws (each is independently sampled from the priors). The number of draws in this case are from the second run of the Bernoulli once the bounds have already been defined:
 - effective sample size = sum(accepted draws)
 - -The MCMC effective sample size is calculated automatically in package CODA or R2WinBUGS function "monitor()".
- 4. Convergence Criterion, how long is each method left to run?

Simulation Runs and Sensitivity

In Santa Barbara it was agreed to first run the full factorial dataset through the 4 assessment methods, this will give estimates of $B/B_{\rm msy}$, diagnostics, etc. . We then propose to run the sensitivity analysis in the following way: select one scenario, out of the full factorial, that has performed well (high convergence rate), re-simulate the stock by adding new parameters such as UR, SEL and ED and assess sensitivity of these interactions with original scenario.

For the stochastic design, we propose to follow as similar approach with the resimulation of stocks by addition of Autocorrelation to recruitment* and measurement error* to catches. * to be defined how much is realistic.