Question 1 EM for Probabilistic PCA

(a) E-step. Calculate the statistics of the posterior distribution q(z) = p(z|x) which you'll need for the M-step.

From the Appendix, we know how to get the distribution of z given x, where z is drawn from Gaussian distribution and x is drawn from a spherical Gaussian distribution. In our setting,

$$p(z) = \mathcal{N}(z|0,1)$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}|z) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|z\mathbf{u}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$

To apply the parameters in the formulae of the Appendix, we have

$$\mu = 0, \Sigma = 1,$$
 $A = u, B = 0, S = \sigma^2 I$

$$C = (1 + u^T (\sigma^2)^{-1} u)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + u^T u}$$

Thus, we can obtain the following formulae:

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|0, u^T u + \sigma^2)$$

$$p(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(z|C(u^T(\sigma^2)^{-1}x), C)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(z|\frac{u^T x}{\sigma^2 + u^T u}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + u^T u})$$

As a result,

$$m = E[z|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}}{\sigma^2 + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}$$

$$Var[z|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}}$$

$$s = E[z^2|\mathbf{x}] = Var[z|\mathbf{x}] + E[z|\mathbf{x}]^2$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^4 + \sigma^2 \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x})^2}{(\sigma^2 + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u})^2}$$

(b) M-step. Re-estimate the parameters, which consist of the vector \mathbf{u} . derive a formula for \mathbf{u}_{new} that maximizes the expected log-likelihood, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{new} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{u}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{q(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)})}[\log p(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})]$$

Denote the function to be maximized as

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{F} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{q(z^{(i)})}[\log p(z^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{q(z^{(i)})}[\log q(z^{(i)}) p(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})] \end{split}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \log p(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})q(z^{(i)}) &= \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)2}}{2(\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\frac{\sigma^2}{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2}}} e^{-\frac{(z^{(i)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u}})^2}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2}}} \\ &\propto -\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)2}}{2(\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2)} - \frac{(z^{(i)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u}})^2}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2}} \\ &\propto -\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)2}\sigma^2 + [\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u})z^{(i)}]^2}{2\sigma^2(\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} + \sigma^2)} \\ &\propto -\frac{z^{(i)2}(\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u})}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{z^{(i)}\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2} \\ &\propto -\frac{z^{(i)2}\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u}}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{z^{(i)}\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2} \end{split}$$

Apply the liearity of expectation,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{F} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[\log p(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) q(z^{(i)})] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [-\frac{\mathbb{E}[z^{(i)2} | \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}] \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{u}}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[z^{(i)} | \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}] \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [-\frac{s^{(i)} \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{u}}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{m^{(i)} \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2}] \end{split}$$

To get the gradient with repect to u,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{s^{(i)} \boldsymbol{u}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{m^{(i)} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sigma^2} \right] = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{u} &\leftarrow \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m^{(i)} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s^{(i)}} \\ \boldsymbol{u} &\leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} m^{(i)} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} s^{(i)}} \end{split}$$

Question 2 Contraction Maps

(a) Show that the Bellman backup operator T^{π} is a contraction map in the $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ norm.

Our claim is that the Bellman backup operator T^{π} is a contraction map, which means

$$||T^{\pi}Q_1 - T^{\pi}Q_2||_{\infty} \le \gamma ||Q_1 - Q_2||_{\infty}$$

By applying Bellman equation:

$$Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|a,s) \sum_{a'} \pi(a'|s') Q_k(s',a')$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &|T^{\pi}Q_{1}(s,a)-T^{\pi}Q_{2}(s,a)|_{\infty} \\ &=|[r(s,a)+\gamma\sum_{s'}P(s'|a,s)\sum_{a'}\pi(a'|s')Q_{1}(s',a')]-[r(s,a)+\gamma\sum_{s'}P(s'|a,s)\sum_{a'}\pi(a'|s')Q_{2}(s',a')]|_{\infty} \\ &=\gamma|\sum_{s'}P(s'|a,s)\sum_{a'}\pi(a'|s')[Q_{1}(s',a')-Q_{2}(s',a')]|_{\infty} \\ &\leq\gamma\sum_{s'}P(s'|a,s)\sum_{a'}\pi(a'|s')|Q_{1}(s',a')-Q_{2}(s',a')|_{\infty} \\ &\leq\gamma|Q_{1}(s',a')-Q_{2}(s',a')|_{\infty}\sum_{s'}P(s'|a,s)\sum_{a'}\pi(a'|s') \\ &=\gamma|Q_{1}(s',a')-Q_{2}(s',a')|_{\infty} \end{split}$$

This is true for any (s, a), so

$$||T^{\pi}Q_1 - T^{\pi}Q_2||_{\infty} \leq \gamma ||Q_1 - Q_2||_{\infty}$$

which is what we wanted to show.

Question 3 Q-Learning

(a) Determine the optimal policy and the Q-function for the optimal policy.

The optimal policy will be

$$\pi(Stay|s_1) = 0; \pi(Switch|s_1) = 1; \pi(Stay|s_2) = 1; \pi(Switch|s_2) = 2;$$

Then, by applying Bellman equation

$$Q^*(s,a) = r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|a,s) \max_{a'} Q^*(s',a')$$

, we get

$$Q^*(s_1, Stay) = 1 + 0.9 \max_{a'} Q^*(s_1, a')$$

$$Q^*(s_1, Switch) = 1 + 0.9 \max_{a'} Q^*(s_2, a')$$

$$Q^*(s_2, Stay) = 2 + 0.9 \max_{a'} Q^*(s_2, a')$$

$$Q^*(s_2, Switch) = 2 + 0.9 \max_{a'} Q^*(s_1, a')$$

To solve it, we get

$$Q^*(s_1, Stay) = 18.1; Q^*(s_1, Switch) = 19; Q^*(s_2, Stay) = 20; Q^*(s_2, Switch) = 19.1$$

or

Stay
 Switch

$$s_1$$
 18.1
 19

 s_2
 20
 19.1

(b) Now suppose we apply Q-learning, except that instead of the ϵ -greedy policy, the agent follows the greedy policy which always chooses $\pi(s) = \arg\max_a Q(s,a)$. Assume the agent starts in state $S_0 = s_1$. Give an example of a Q-function that is in equilibrium (i.e. it will never change after the Q-learning update rule is applied), but which results in a suboptimal policy.

According to Q-Learning, we should initialize Q(s, a) for all the $(s, a) \in S \times A$. We could assign them as 0's, where the Q-function is shown as

	Stay	Switch
s_1	0	0
s_2	0	0

For the time step t = 0,

Choose A_t according to the greedy policy, i.e., $A_0 \leftarrow \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(S_0, a) = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_1, a)$

Because all the $Q(s_1, a)'s$ are 0, we could just choose $A_0 = Stay$.

Then, the new state becomes $S_1 = s_1$, as we choose to *Stay*.

Also,
$$R_0 = r(s_1, Stay) = 1$$
.

Finally, we update the action-value function at state-action $(s_1, Stay)$ as

$$Q(s_1, Stay) \leftarrow Q(s_1, Stay) + \alpha [R_0 + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q(S_1, a') - Q(S_0, A_t)]$$

= 0 + \alpha [1 + 0.9 \times 1 - 0]
= 1.9\alpha

The table of Q-function becomes

	Stay	Switch
s_1	1.9α	0
s_2	0	0

Continue the algorithm, we will find it choose *Stay* all the time.

It is an equilibrium situation, but never converging to the optimal policy.