Version 1.0

Section 0: Pre-Lab

- Citations
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NYWEM7H3iYc For better understanding gap lists at high array lengths.
 - O Afzal, Tanveer & Shahzad, Basit & Aslam, Salman. (2007). ENHANCED SHELL SORT ALGORITHM. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234791427_ENHANCED SHELL SORT ALGORITHM

1	Projek and I	
T	Prejab part !	
	and the second s	
1.)_	8, 22, 7, 9, 31, 8, 18 Topond 11	
	4	
	13	1 31 415
	8, 7, 9, 22, 8, 13, 31 2 rounds	I would instead compare the the item at
	13	1-1 at swap it with the litem at i
	The state of the s	if its less than index i.
_	7, 8,9,5, 13, 22, 3) "Here I saw a pattern	THE THICK TO
	*It would take 3 more iterations before	
	it would be fully sorted, and that is the #	
	of places the lowest number is from index.	
1	If we're talking about the original list, then I think 5 rounds are needed. The lowest	
	number moves bulk only I place per round,	
	while the highest sores to the top.	
	while the highest still the the	
7.7	4,3,2,1-7 3,2,1,4-7 2,1,3,4-7 1,2,3,4	
	*I now realize I was wrong in my claim from	
	problem I, it actually depends not on where the	
	lowest number is from index O. It takes	
1	vo(n) or n pasies in worst care, and	_
11	O(n) or n compares (or n-1 steerfically).	
	worst case then would be o(n2), and best	
	rave would be O(n), because it would only need	
	l pass.	
)		

is yet to be understood strictly at an agreeage case scenario, but edge cases are average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from Robert Sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shells $O(n^2)$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		
11) It would seem most gap sequences achieve very similar max comparisons at high array very similar max comparisons at high array lengths, however, shell's original sequence lengths, however, shell's original sequence is very outdated. In recent simulations, N is very outdated. In recent simulations, N is very outdated. In recent sequence proves much faster than most predecessors, almost half the faster than most predecessors, almost half the time of shell's at worst case. The gas sequence if yet to be understood strictly at an array average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from robert sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shell's $O(n^{2})$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the May 3 rd for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your mass executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would	Pre-10	5 49 90
11) It would seem most gap sequences achieve very similar max comparisons at high array very similar max comparisons at high array lengths, however, shell's original sequence lengths, however, shell's original sequence is very outdated. In recent simulations, N is very outdated. In recent simulations, N is very outdated. In recent sequence proves much faster than most predecessors, almost half the faster than most predecessors, almost half the time of shell's at worst case. The gas sequence if yet to be understood strictly at an array average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from robert sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shell's $O(n^{2})$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the May 3 rd for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your mass executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		The state of the s
lengths, however, shell's original sequentions, N is very outdated. In veient simulations, I safer than most presented proves much time of shells at worst case. Ithen gas sequences, if yet to he understood strictly at an and if yet to he understood strictly at an and average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from Robert Sedgewicks, undergo O(n ²) at worse case, significantly faster than shell's O(n ²). You can use while loops as an alternative to the Man 3 ¹ for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A conditions while loop would		anies achieve
lengths, however, shell's original sequentions, N is very outdated. In veient simulations, I safer than most presented proves much time of shells at worst case. Ithen gas sequences, if yet to he understood strictly at an and if yet to he understood strictly at an and average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from Robert Sedgewicks, undergo O(n ²) at worse case, significantly faster than shell's O(n ²). You can use while loops as an alternative to the Man 3 ¹ for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A conditions while loop would	11)	It would seem most got at high array
N is very outdated. In veient simulations, faster than most eredecessors, almost half the faster than most eredecessors, almost half the time of shells at worst case. The gas sequence is yet to be understood strictly at an average case scenario, but edge cases are elear. More recent sequences, like from Robert Sedgewicks, undergo O(n²) at worse case, significantly faster than shell's O(n²). You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3 rd for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your mar executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		Very Similar
Murcin Zirvais sequence proves much faster than most predecessors, almost half the faster than most predecessors, almost half the time of shells at worst case. The gas sequence is yet to be understood strictly at an average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from robert sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shells $O(n^2)$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3 rd for loops. For the 3 rd loop, your mar executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		lengths, however, shells original simulations,
time of shells at worst case. The gas sequence is yet to be understood strictly at an average case scenario, but edge cases are elear. More recent sequences, like from robert sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shells $O(n^2)$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		N is very outdated in verein
time of shells at worst case. The gas sequence is yet to be understood strictly at an average case scenario, but edge cases are elear. More recent sequences, like from robert sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shells $O(n^2)$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		22 Murcin Zirva's sequence proves half the
is yet to be understood strictly at an age average case scenario, but edge cases are average case scenario, but edge cases are clear. More recent sequences, like from Robert Sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shells $O(n^2)$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3th loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		faster than most presencessors, almost
average case scenario, but edge cases are elear. More recent sequences, like from Plobert Sedgewicks, undergo O(n²) at worse case, significantly faster than shell's O(n²). You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3rd loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		time of shells at worst case. The gar
you can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3'd for loops. For the 3rd loop, your mar executing the if statement each time, regardless. A conditional while loop would		
Tobert Sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ at worse robert Sedgewicks, undergo $O(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ at worse case, significantly faster than shell's $O(n^{2})$. You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3th for loops. For the 3th loop, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		the stepperson but const
You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3rd for loops. For the 3rd loop, your dear executing the if statement each time, regardless. A conditions while loop would		
You can use while loops as an alternative to the Mar 3rd for loops. For the 3rd loop, your mess executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		
you can use while loops as an alternative to the Mr Max 3rd for loops. For the 3rd loop, your mass executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would	1	ase significantly faster than shells och).
to the Man 3th for 100ph. For the statement each 100p, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would		
to the Man 3th for 100ph. For the statement each 100p, your man executing the if statement each time, regardless. A condition while loop would	You	can use while loops as an alternative
time, regardless. A condition while loop would	+2	the Mr Man 3th for 100 pt. For the 3rd
time, regardless. A conditions while loop would	1000	and exploring the if statement each
	100	a regardless A conditions while loop would
10 101/00		
be better	ne	DCTTCI

Part 3

3) So if an & element picked for the pivot is either the smallest or largest value; then O(n²) will occur

Example:

Tround: $\alpha = [7,2/3,1,8/6,3,5,0]$ -tompare 7 and 0,2 and 0 etc...

- Since no value was less than pivot, we insert it at a[0] $\alpha = [0,7/2,3,1,8/6,3,5]$.

- Now we have 2 partians [0], [7/2,3/1,8/6,3/1]

*In an extremiey rare rare, the non-o
partion will also have it love! traine last,

Part 4
I loud create a section
that returns the continue sort functions
that returns the correct data, arranged sort function right after calling the main of sort function
Example: heap_sort()
hear_sort_data()
a do a want of the statement south of the
The second of th
The control of the state of the

Version 2.0

Section 1: Overview

• This program is meant to take in 4 fundamental sorts, Bubble, Shell, Quick, and Heap sort and sort an array of elements according to their properties as well as keep track of the number of compares and moves. It can be modified to take any number of elements so long as it doesn't extend past the max size of an unsigned integer of 32 bit length. The array elements are generated by a random seed that will either be defaulted or imputed by the user. The program displays all this in an organized fashion.

Section 2: Sorts

• Bubble Sort

- Bubble sort starts at index 1, not 0. It iterates through an array comparing the value at index with index - 1 until index reaches the length of the array + 1.
- For this sort I will be adding in a function to help track comparisons inside the if statement for checking values.
 - \blacksquare Ex: [9,6,1,7,5,2,3,8,0]
 - Bubble sort is simple yet tedious.
 - We start at index 1, so we compare 9,6
 - \blacksquare 6 < 9? Yes. Swap \rightarrow [6,9,1,7,5,2,3,8,0]
 - This process then repeats all the way until 9 will eventually "bubble" to the top.
 - First iteration will look like [6,1,7,5,2,3,8,0,9]
 - \blacksquare 0 will take a while to reach index 0.

• Shell Sort

- Shell sort must include the files containing the predetermined gap lengths, which follow the 3-smooth pattern.
- O Shell sort begins at the first gap length value index of the array and checks each value preceding it by gap length value and makes a comparison until it reaches or extends out of array bounds.
- There will come a point when gap length becomes 1, in which case it will default to an insertion sort.
 - \blacksquare Ex: [9,6,1,7,5,2,3,8,0]
 - Lets say our first gap is 3. So we start at 7 and check if 7 < 9. Yes? Swap.
 - \blacksquare Now we have [7,6,1,9,5,2,3,8,0]
 - Next is 5 < 6? Yes? -> [7,5,1,9,6,2,3,8,0]
 - And so on. Remember that for when we reach the value of 3 in the array, or index 6, we check if 3 < 9 and it works. However if it wasn't then we go back another 3 and check if it's less than that number.

■ Repeat this for each gap in gaps until array sorted.

• Quick Sort

- o In this particular sort, we will be using a stack to store the min and max values of the array or array partition. Since we pop off the last values to be added, it can be a proper substitution for a recursive function which would return the last values to be called up until the first values to be called.
- We start at the beginning of the array and push the min and max index values to the stack and partition it such that one side is less and one side is greater than the pivot.
- The partition function returns p, or partition index of the array to split the original array in 2 with the new arrays having p as either the max or min value.
- This will repeat until there are 2 arrays of length 1, which is by default sorted and after sorting all the subarrays the original array will be put together in order.
 - \blacksquare Ex: [6,3,7,5,8,2,9]
 - We pick our pivot, pivot = 5.
 - For this particular quicksort we set i = -1 and j = 7.
 - \blacksquare Decrement j by 1. If 9 < 6 we do a swap. No? Then decrement j by 1
 - Now j points to 2. Is 2 < 6. Yes? We swap 6 and 2.
 - New array = [2,3,7,5,8,6,9] and so on until we get [2,3,5,7,8,6,9]
 - Now we create 2 sub arrays, or in the code partition such that p will = 2, the index where we partition.
 - Now we have : [2,3] and [7,8,6,8,9] and repeat the above process until all subarrays are sorted.

• Heap Sort

- This particular heap sort will utilize a max heap. We first build a max heap and swap the value at root with the last item in the array.
- We need to create a variable for storing the current array size because after each swap we need to decrement that variable by 1 since the next swap will be with n - 1.
- A function max_child will be implemented to return the highest value of the 2 children at indices 2k and 2k+1.
 - \blacksquare Ex: [5,2,1,3,7,0]
 - First build the max heap, which will be [7,5,3,2,1,0]
 - We then swap 7 and 0, so -> [0,5,3,2,1,7].
 - We can then look at the array in terms of [0,5,3,2,1,7] (ignoring 7).
 - Next max heap will be [5,3,2,1,0,7].
 - Swap 5 and 0, getting [0,3,2,1,5,7].
 - Repeat.

Section 3: sets and stacks

• Set.c

- This file will be very small and brief. Almost all functions will return a set that will be the outcome of an insertion, removal, intersection, etc... of 1 or 2 sets. The only exception will be set_member which returns whether a value is a part of a set.
- \circ A set in this case is an unsigned integer of 32 bits with each bit representing a storage location.
- O I plan on using an enumeration for my sets and setting bubble to 0, shell to 1 etc... and storing them at the set index of their enumeration.

• Stack.c

- o A stack will have 3 tags: top, capacity and *items.
- Top represents the top of the stack, so index 0 initially. When an item is added it increases by 1.
- Capacity represents the max amount of items a stack can hold.

- The items array holds all the stack values.
- Stack_create will allocate enough memory for a stack of min_capacity num of items each item being an int of 64 bits.
- Stack_empty will return whether the top of the stack points to the index value of 0.
- Stack_delete will free each spot in the array then the array itself, then set the array to NULL.
- O Stack_push will first check if the capacity is full, in which case it needs to allocate more memory, doubling the stack capacity. Realloc will be helpful. Once it is known that there is more space in the stack, we can then set top to the value being pushed and increment top by 1.
- O Stack_pop will first check if the stack is empty in which case it can't pop anything, but if it isnt then decrement top by 1, set the variable to the item being popped and set the value at top to 0.
- Stack_print will be helpful for testing my stack functions.

Section 4: sorting.c

- First Initialize and set all default and necessary items specified in the asgn document.
- I will be using an external file labeled "utilities.h" that declares two helper variables for keeping track of the moves and compares in each sorting file.
- I will also be creating 3 helper functions
 - Fill_array will take in an array and its size and according to the seed value fill the array with random numbers
 - Print_array will take an array, its size, and the print item value or "p". It will print the amount of items specified in an organized fashion, 5 columns per row.
 - Print_error will be used for the multiple occasions in which command line input is faulty
- First off I will iterate through the command line and depending on argument values, add the right sorts to the sort_list set and change the 3 non sort arguments accordingly

- There will be error checking scattered throughout to check for an empty set, no arguments etc...
- Create the main array of correct length.
- Next, I will iterate through the sort_list set and run each sort in the set and print the statistics. Each case will fill the array at the beginning and set moves and compares to 0 and the end.
- Finally free the array and set to NULL.

Section 5: Layout

- Each ".c" file will include its ".h" except sorting.c which will include "utilities.h"
- The makefile will compile the sorting binary like so:
 - o \$(CC) -o sorting sorting.c set.c bubble.c quick.c stack.c shell.c heap.c