



Homework #7: Invariants and Introduction to Z

Dario A Lencina Talarico

Due: 15 October 2018

Part 1: Invariants

Consider the Diverging Counter example of Chapter 10 of GWC09. Prove that $x + y = 0$ is an invariant of the *DivergingCounter* state machine.

DivergingCounter = (
 $[x, y : \mathbb{Z}]$,
 $\{s : [x, y : \mathbb{Z}] \mid s(x) = -s(y)\}$,
 $\{poke(i : \mathbb{Z})\}$,
 $\delta ==$

$$\begin{array}{l} poke(i : \mathbb{Z}) \\ \textbf{pre } i > 0 \\ \textbf{post } x' = x + i \wedge y' = y - i \end{array}$$

).

1. Base case: show that θ holds in the initial state.

Here there's only one initial state:

$[x = 0; y = 0]$

Proof:

$x + y = 0$

$=$ [initial state]

$0 + 0 = 0$

$=$ [arithmetic]

$0 = 0$

2. Induction step on inc:

Show: $\theta(s), pre(s), post(s, s') \vdash \theta(s')$

That is, from $x' = x + i \wedge y' = y - i$

$\theta(s) == x + y = 0$

prove that $x' + y' = 0$

Proof:

y'

$=$

[poscondition]

$y - i$

$=$

[introduction hypothesis $y + x = 0$, or $y = -x$]

$-x - i$

$=$

[factorizing]

$-(x + i)$

$=$

[replacing definition of x']

$-x'$

$y' = -x'$ is equivalent to $y' + x' = 0$

(NOTE: In your proof use style C (in Section 10.1.1) of reasoning about invariants and a similar degree of formalism as in the lecture on this topic.)

Part 2: Z

NOTE: For this part of the assignment you must format your answers using LATEX and typecheck the answers using *fuzz*, Z-EVES, or the Community Z tools.

Write a Z specification of the following system. Your specification should include sufficient explanatory prose to make it easily understandable. (The prose is important—answers with little or no prose will receive a low grade.)

A teacher wants to keep a register of students in the class, and to record which students have completed their homework.

Let the given set *Student* represent the set of all students who might ever be enrolled in a class:

$[Student]$

Specify each of the following:

1. The state space for a register.

HINT: use two sets of students:



<i>Register</i>	
<i>enrolled</i> : $\mathbb{P} Student$	
<i>completed</i> : $\mathbb{P} Student$	
<i>completed</i> \subseteq <i>enrolled</i>	

2. An operation to enroll a new student.

<i>Enroll</i>	
$\Delta Register$	
<i>student?</i> : <i>Student</i>	
<i>student?</i> \notin <i>enrolled</i>	
<i>enrolled'</i> = <i>enrolled</i> \cup { <i>student?</i> }	



3. The initial state(s) for your state space. The proposed spec initialized both sets as empty sets.



InitRegister	_____
Register	
$\text{enrolled} = \emptyset$	
$\text{completed} = \emptyset$	

4. An operation to record that a student (already enrolled in class) has completed the homework. The proposed Z spec adds student? to the completed power set by defining a union.



$\text{RegisterHomeworkCompletion}$	_____
$\Delta \text{Register}$	
$\text{student?} : \text{Student}$	
$\text{student?} \in \text{enrolled}$	
$\text{completed}' = \text{completed} \cup \{\text{student?}\}$	

5. An operation to inquire whether a student (who must be enrolled) has completed the homework (the answer is to be either 'Yes' or 'No'). Defining type to answer:
 Answer ::= Yes | No



$\text{HasCompletedTheHomeworkSuccess}$	_____
$\exists \text{Register}$	
$\text{student?} : \text{Student}$	
$\text{answer!} : \text{Answer}$	
$\text{student?} \in \text{completed}$	
$\text{answer!} : \text{Yes}$	

$\text{HasCompletedTheHomeworkErr}$	_____
$\exists \text{Register}$	
$\text{student?} : \text{Student}$	
$\text{answer!} : \text{Answer}$	
$\text{student?} \notin \text{completed}$	
$\text{answer!} : \text{No}$	

Only one of the expressions will meet the preconditions so \vee is the right relation to use:

$$\text{HasCompletedTheHomework} \doteq \text{HasCompletedTheHomeworkSuccess} \vee \text{HasCompletedTheHomeworkErr}$$

6. A robust version of the system. (Be sure to use the schema calculus, as illustrated by the class lecture and the paper by Spivey on Z.)
 Declaring type to return a result:
 REPORT ::= ok | already-enrolled | not-enrolled



Success	_____
$\text{result!} : \text{REPORT}$	
$\text{result!} : \text{ok}$	

Used to capture the scenario where the student is not enrolled in the register:

<i>NotEnrolled</i>	_____
$\Xi Register$	
<i>student?</i> : <i>Student</i>	
<i>result!</i> : <i>REPORT</i>	
<i>student?</i> \notin <i>enrolled</i>	
<i>result!</i> :not-enrolled	

<i>AlreadyEnrolled</i>	_____
$\Xi Register$	
<i>student?</i> : <i>Student</i>	
<i>result!</i> : <i>REPORT</i>	
<i>student?</i> \in <i>enrolled</i>	
<i>result!</i> :already-enrolled	

Robust versions of the operations:

$RREnroll \hat{=} (Enroll \wedge Success) \vee AlreadyEnrolled$

$RRegisterHomeworkCompletion \hat{=} (RegisterHomeworkCompletion \wedge Success) \vee NotEnrolled$

HasCompletedTheHomework is already a robust method so no need to modify.