

# 1 A neurocomputational model for spectro-temporal 2 phonetic abstraction

3 Dario J. Dematties<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ingeniería Biomédica, Facultad de Ingeniería,  
5 Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires,  
6 Buenos Aires, Argentina

7 August 27, 2017

## 8 **Executive Summary**

9 Basic linguistics units -such as vowels, consonants, syllables, etc-  
10 are extracted and robustly classified by humans and other mammals  
11 from complex acoustic streams in speech data. Cortical structures -  
12 at different levels in the auditory pathway as well as at higher levels-  
13 respond selectively to phonetic features embedded in acoustic stimuli.  
14 In this research proposal we present a neurocomputational, completely  
15 unsupervised and biologically plausible model which establishes a new  
16 approach for deep feature extraction architectures in order to assist su-  
17 pervised phonetic classification techniques. The model we present here  
18 is entirely parallelized and scalable. In coordination with High Perfor-  
19 mance Computing (HPC) assistance from Argonne National Labora-  
20 tory we will execute experimental tests in HPC facilities at Argonne.  
21 In this context, I will attend Message Passing Interface (MPI) Tutori-  
22 als to be held at the Argonne Theory and Computing Sciences (TCS)  
23 Center, whose tutors belong to the group that invented and currently  
24 maintain MPI. Besides of the benefits this experience could bring to  
25 my project, this would also favors my future application as a candidate  
26 for the next Argonne Training Program on Extreme-Scale Computing  
27 (ATPESC) edition. We also plan to work with Assistant Computer  
28 Scientist at Argonne in data analysis and visualization to explore vi-  
29 sualization techniques in order to inspect closely and thoroughly the  
30 evolution of training and testing stages in our systems. This project  
31 will receive mentoring from the Department of Neurobiology at Univer-  
32 sity of Chicago from which we will receive state-of-the-art techniques  
33 in order to create complete and comprehensive maps of the brain. Our  
34 research will also receive mentoring in language processing from De-  
35 partmental of Computing at Loyola University Chicago. The objective  
36 of our research is to develop novel deep phonetic feature extraction  
37 techniques based on relevant neurophysiological cortical mechanisms.

With the implementation of these new approaches we expect to perform at the level of state-of-the-art deep learning architectures. With these results we hope to direct the attention of new researches towards neurophysiological characteristics which present relevance for information processing in perception.

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that humans have the ability to discriminate phonemes as well as other linguistics units reliably, categorizing them, despite considerable variability across different speakers with different pitches, prosody, in noisy and reverberant environments. On the other hand, trained animals have also been shown to discriminate phoneme pairs categorically and to generalize to novel situations [23, 24, 26, 14, 29, 19, 40].

To understand how phonetic categories and word-like units are acquired, many computational theories have been developed. In the context of such theories, the main idea has been to try to explain relevant aspects but not to give details in order to show how the brain might provide such computations [36].

Lack of invariance phenomenon in speech perception [18], seems to be one of those scientific problems which cannot be solved by spontaneous human reasoning, given the immense amount of interrelated variables involved in phonetic categorization processes.

In that sense, deep learning architectures have shown unprecedented performance assisting conventional machine learning techniques which for decades required careful engineering in order to reach an effective feature extraction design [48].

On the other hand, artificial neural networks do not take into account remarkable biological aspects discovered during the last years in the area of neuroscience. Certain biological principles could be key in terms of information processing in the brain and they could provide us with matchless strategies in order to extract relevant information from a raw set of stimulus during perception.

The approach in this research is to gather potentially relevant biological aspects which could be significant in terms of information processing in the mammalian auditory cortex. We plan to test those principles with computational models which could perform in similar levels to state-of-the-art pattern classification techniques.

80 The aim in this work is not to replicate neuro-physiological mechanisms  
81 with precision nor to get a detailed reproduction of human cortical tissue.  
82 That is beyond the scope of our research. In contrast, we propose the parsimonious  
83 incorporation of cortical neurophysiological mechanisms letting the  
84 models speak by themselves. The biological mechanisms whose feature extraction  
85 properties show significant performance for invariant phonetic classification  
86 tasks, will be highlighted for future observation in upcoming researches.  
87

88  
89 In this work we seek novel computational solutions to automate feature  
90 extraction processes as a mean of assistance in spectro-temporal phonetic  
91 classification.  
92

## 93 2 Plan of Work During the Stay in Argonne

94 We have implemented a neurocomputational model whose biological plausibility  
95 allows us to test neurophysiological hypotheses incorporated in the  
96 algorithms. With the desired number of layers, our model abstracts phonological  
97 features in a completely unsupervised fashion. The input is composed  
98 by a series of words which are processed by another algorithm in order to feed  
99 the model. The phonetic features extracted by the model have the function  
100 of improving the performance of supervised pattern classification techniques  
101 whose main objective is to test the level of invariance achieved by the model's  
102 layers.  
103

104 The objectives settled in this work impose two kind of challenges. First,  
105 the correct identification and pertinent selection of those neurophysiological  
106 mechanisms in the auditory pathway, and the way in which they must be  
107 incorporated in the algorithms. Regarding this issue, in the stay in Argonne  
108 National Laboratory (<https://www.anl.gov/>), this project will count  
109 with the research mentoring of Narayanan Kasthuri with's lab from the Department  
110 of Neurobiology at University of Chicago. This group is currently  
111 facing one of the biggest challenges in the world creating a complete and  
112 comprehensive map of the mammalian brain. On the other hand, in order to  
113 achieve a correct algorithmic formulation and implementation, our project  
114 will also be mentored by George K. Thiruvathukal from the Department  
115 of Computer Science at Loyola University Chicago. Professor Thiruvathukal's  
116 specialty area includes parallel and distributed systems, software engineering,  
117 programming languages, operating systems. Dr. Thiruvathukal's  
118 early research involved object-oriented approaches to parallel programming  
119 and the development of object models with parallel programming, mostly  
120 based on C and C++ on Unix platforms.

121

122       The second challenge comes from the fact that unsupervised training and  
123 testing phases for model instances of moderate to small sizes could take be-  
124 tween 12 and 24 hours. Input computation can take up to 1 hour. Memory  
125 is a critical factor in such computation, even for very small corpora of 500  
126 words. In the same manner, supervised training phase for Library for Sup-  
127 port Vector Machine (LIBSVM) can take 2 or more hours. Memory and  
128 computational capability shortages obstruct our possibilities of producing  
129 the needed amount of tests over different model configurations in order to  
130 find correct parameter combinations. The size of the model instances as  
131 well as the experiments that we can execute are remarkably limited with  
132 our computational resources. During the stay in Argonne we will have ac-  
133 cess to high performance computing capabilities of unrivalled level in the  
134 world. Among the resources availability we will have access to supercom-  
135 puters ranked at the 9th (Mira) and 16th (Theta) positions in the Top500  
136 (<https://www.top500.org/list/2017/06/?page=1>). In this context, we will  
137 also count with the mentoring advice of Silvio Rizzi who is Assistant Com-  
138 puter Scientist at the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility. Dr. Rizzi will  
139 assist our project with HPC technical support. In this way, we will be able  
140 to test model configurations with dimensionalities and sizes which we have  
141 no access to in our current context. Emergent properties could arise from  
142 the enormous amount of parameter combinations in the design of those new  
143 instances. Surrounded by this framework, we plan to execute an ensemble of  
144 jobs, supervised by a genetic algorithm in which we will run several model  
145 instances in order to automate the process of parameter selection guided by  
146 the classification performance of the instances.

147

148       Beside the orders of magnitude in the number of advantages and vari-  
149 ants for model parametrization and scalability, we have to take into ac-  
150 count the new experimental possibilities offered by such working condi-  
151 tions. After getting the correct combination of parameters for the model  
152 configurations we will be able to test the model performance in standard-  
153 ized corpora like Acoustic-Phonetic Continuous Speech Corpus (TIMIT)  
154 (<https://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/ldc93s1>), whose experimental tests are invi-  
155 able with our resources.

156

157       Given the temporal requirements, we think we are in the best conditions  
158 to obtain considerable benefits from the time spent at Argonne. We count  
159 on a model implementation completely parallelized. The parallelization in  
160 OpenMP API does not affect the original sequential implementation of the  
161 code. This parallelization approach allows its automatic scalability, even a  
162 completely serialized compilation is possible if it were necessary.

163

164       Such applications will return feature vectors with orders of magnitude

165 larger than with our current tests. In this respect, we plan to pursue exten-  
166 sions to the LIBSVM soft -as Multi-core Support Vector Machine (LIBLIN-  
167 EAR)- which can be implemented in shared-memory systems to reduce the  
168 training time dramatically.

169

170 The software used to manipulate all the data processed and produced by  
171 the model is implemented under GNU Octave (<https://www.gnu.org/software/octave/>).  
172 For cases of vector with high dimensionality -as the cited above- we will have  
173 to parallelize such code. GNU Octave offers packages which enable paral-  
174 lelization in shared memory systems.

175

176 The significance of visual observation in scientific data becomes apparent  
177 when we enumerate the overwhelming amount of examples in this respect.  
178 Besides all the evidence in favour of this policy, we will cite a compelling  
179 example from fractional calculus notation [34]. From this example it should  
180 be clear that, as visual animals, visual observation brings us unparalleled  
181 advantages.

182

183 “The choice of a precise notation for the fractional calculus cannot be  
184 minimized. For as we shall see, some of the power and elegance of the  
185 fractional calculus rests in its simplified notation. The abridged manner of  
186 representing these defining integrals may seem to be a trivial matter; but  
187 the advantage of a simple notation has been the source of many profound  
188 discoveries not obvious by other means.”

189

190 The way in which we visually represent our data is crucial for the suc-  
191 cess of our project. Regarding this aspect, we will be assisted by Argonne  
192 Assistant Computer Scientists in order to get the best options for the visual  
193 representation of our data. We plan to visualize the training and process-  
194 ing evolution of hundred of thousand of units with millions of connections  
195 through the use of large tiled displays. Figure 1 shows a large-scale visualiza-  
196 tion of the connectomes. The image technique is based on X-Ray extended  
197 tomography (or Mosaic Tomography) with 1 micron resolution done at the  
198 beamline 32-ID-C on the Advanced Photon Source. The segmentation is ten-  
199 sor flow based in order to extract features like cell bodies, myelinated axons  
200 and blood vessels. The image resolution is obtained through multiple images  
201 acquired and stitched together. Image on Figure 1 comes from downsampled  
202 segmented dataset at 2Kx1Kx2K. Full resolution is about 20Kx20K pixels  
203 per slice.



Figure 1: Large-Scale Computing and Visualization on the Connectomes of the Brain.

### 3 Expected Outcomes and Benefits

We are convinced that, in order to get truly general artificial intelligent agents, we need to reverse-engineer -at least- those physiological brain mechanisms of relevance for information processing in perception. The objectives sought in the proposed research determines unexplored challenges in the automatic deep feature extraction world. We seek unprecedented techniques to get phonetic classification performance improvements through the use of neurophysiological data that has not been used in current deep learning technologies.

Invariance is the holy grail of pattern classification, and tiny improvements -even in some small fractions of percentage- are highly appreciated in this area. We are seeking a completely new approach in the world of artificial phonetic perception, but besides that, the contributions that we could obtain from our experimental results could stablish new directions in terms of the relevance that scientists impute to certain physiological structures for information processing in the brain. Furthermore, the relevance of our future discoveries could cross the frontiers of auditory perception and make influence on other modalities as visual and somatosensory.

223

224 Having the possibility to work with professor Kasthuri with from the De-  
 225 partment of Neurobiology, professor Thiruvathukal from the Loyola Univer-  
 226 sity of Chicago and Dr. Silvio Rizzi from Argonne National Laboratory and  
 227 University of Chicago, and given the favorable relationships available through  
 228 the INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH COOPERATION BETWEEN THE  
 229 MINISTRY OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND PRODUCTIVE INNO-  
 230 VATION OF THE ARGENTINE REPUBLIC AND THE UNIVERSITY  
 231 OF CHICAGO, represents incomparable prospects for the evolution of this  
 232 work and for my personal career, today and for lasting scientific cooperation  
 233 relationships in the future.

234

## 235 4 Previous Research

236 Among the computational theories developed to understand human phonetic  
 237 acquisition, some models, bypass the initial speech signal processing and in-  
 238 stead of dealing with the complexity and variability of real speech at the  
 239 prelexical level, they use an artificial, often hand-crafted, idealized discrete  
 240 (prelexical) representation of the acoustic signal as input to the lexical level  
 241 [37]. In other works [12], although some biological observations are made,  
 242 the input components are syllable representations from specific corpora.

243

244 In the works of de Boer and Kuhl [11] and Vallabha, McLelland, Pons,  
 245 Werker and Amano [15], the models classify some vowels through statistical  
 246 mechanisms which take into account formant components and Vowel Length  
 247 (VL). In Toscano and McMurray [8], statistical methods are used to classify  
 248 consonantal phonetic characteristics, by means of Voice Onset Time (VOT),  
 249 VL, pitch and first formant onset frequency.

250

251 The statistical methods used in these works interrelate different features  
 252 extracted from acoustic speech signals. Those features are carefully con-  
 253 sidered and evaluated through human engineering and considerable domain  
 254 expertise which evaluate their relevance in order to include them in the com-  
 255 putations. Some features, as VL and VOT, make reference to highly abstract  
 256 dynamic characteristics which are taken as available parameters without any  
 257 previous natural processing.

258

259 We concede the possibility of the existence of other features which can  
 260 escape human expertise. Furthermore, some hidden features could be con-  
 261 stituent part of those abstract features evaluated by humans.

262

263 In this respect, deep learning approaches have gained significant inter-

est as a way of building hierarchical representations from unlabeled data. Convolutional deep belief networks have been applied to audio data and empirically such architectures have been evaluated on various audio classification tasks. In the case of speech data, it was shown that the learned features corresponded to phones/phonemes [17].

In Kouki et al. [22], the use of Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) strategy presupposes a more biologically accurate input stream, though the cepstrality in such coefficients does not reflect -under our point of view- the responsive air cells in front of cochlear vibration. In a posterior work, Kouki et al. [30], designed a method to separate “stable” and “dynamic” speech patterns.

All above-cited works lack biological plausibility. Regarding this point, in the last few years a compelling theoretical framework has been developed. In this theory, plausible hypotheses about the role of the neocortex in the mammalian brain are given in an approach called Memory-Prediction Framework (MPF) [21]. This approach is based on evidence which supports the idea that there are fundamental mechanisms which underly a common neocortical structure and its connectivity. In a recent work it was shown that a neuron with several thousand synapses could recognize hundreds of independent patterns of cellular activity even in the presence of large amounts of noise and pattern variation. A neuron model was proposed in which by means of the combination of proximal and distal dendrites it could predict its activation in hundreds of independent contexts. Through simulation procedures, it was shown a network which scaled well and operated robustly over a wide range of parameters as long as this used a sparse distributed code of cellular activations. It was concluded that pyramidal neurons with thousands of synapses, active dendrites, and multiple integration zones created a robust and powerful sequence memory [20].

We propose to take an approach similar to the one taken in [20]. We support the idea that in order to design truly powerful machine learning techniques, it is necessary to gather those biological characteristics which are relevant -regarding information processing- as to get highly robust invariant pattern representation capabilities.

## 5 Theoretical Framework and Hypotheses to be Tested

Cortical cells are aligned into restricted domains for common receptive field locations, which represent different sensory modalities and are composed by neural cells of identical salient physiological characteristics. V. Mountcas-



305 tle proposed such structures as elementary units for structural organization  
 306 in the somatic cortex and called them cortical columns [27, 45]. The first  
 307 confirmatory researches for this phenomenon came from Hubel and Wiesel's  
 308 discoveries [9, 10]. Margins in column diameter are between 300 and 600  $\mu m$ ;  
 309 even among different species whose brains differ in volume by a factor of  $10^3$ .  
 310 The evolutionary cortical brain expansion is achieved through the expansion  
 311 in cortical surface area by means of an increase in the number of cortical  
 312 columns and not by the increase in individual column size [39]. These facts  
 313 suggest an uniform and modular structure in cortical tissue organization.  
 314 In accordance, Mountcastle suggested in 1978 that there could be a unique  
 315 cortical algorithm replicated through all the neocortex [7].

316  
 317 Linden and Schreiner proposed that although auditory cortical circuits  
 318 have some unique characteristics, their similarities with other sensory re-  
 319 gions -such as visual or somatosensory cortex- seem to be much more cat-  
 320 egorical [13]. They proposed a series of analogies. First, at the sensory  
 321 level, the cochlear one-dimensional frequency map could be analogue to the  
 322 two-dimensional spatial maps which are found in the retina or body surface.  
 323 Second, the tonotopic maps found in the auditory system could be ana-  
 324 logue to the retinotopic and somatotopic organization found in visual and  
 325 somatosensory cortices, respectively. Frequency tuning curves in the audi-  
 326 tory system could correspond to inhibition of spatial surrounding bound-  
 327 aries in visual and somatosensory receptive fields. A correspondence could  
 328 be drawn between amplitude modulation rate in the auditory system and  
 329 flicker sensitivity in the visual system, or whisker vibration sensitivity in the  
 330 somatosensory system. Finally, auditory receptive fields tuned for frequency-  
 331 sweep, could be analogous to visual and somatosensory motion sensitivity.

332  
 333 Primary Auditory Cortex (A1) shares common structural characteris-  
 334 tics with other sensory cortices [1, 3, 46, 2, 35]. Thalamo-cortical circuits  
 335 rewired to receive visual signals in live ferret auditory cortex, show how this  
 336 structure can support thalamo-cortical and intracolumnar transformations  
 337 seen in other modalities. When retinal inputs are routed into the auditory  
 338 thalamus, auditory cortical cells develop visual response properties such as  
 339 direction selectivity, orientation preference and complex and simple receptive  
 340 fields [47, 28, 32]. Retinotopic maps, in terms of orientation tuning with lat-  
 341 eral connectivity between orientation domains, emerge in superficial layers  
 342 of the rewired auditory cortex [31, 33]. These data suggest the existence of  
 343 neuronal circuitry with similar processing capabilities for different modali-  
 344 ties.

345  
 346 In the context of perceptual capabilities in the auditory pathway, neu-  
 347 ronal responses to continuous speech in A1 of naive ferrets, revealed the  
 348 existence of a spectro-temporal tuning in that area with the capacity of sup-

349 porting discrimination of many American English phonemes [5], even when  
350 stimuli were distorted by additive noise and reverberance [6].

351

352 In this proposal, we present a computational theory which incorporates  
353 relevant characteristics present in cortical pathways commonly found in mam-  
354 malian microcircuits. The theories behind the model’s algorithms conceive  
355 complex auditory linguistic stimuli as signals with an intrinsic dynamic sta-  
356 tistical structure.

357

358 The implementation consists of three main sections. First, we process the  
359 sound waves with an algorithm that takes some guidelines from the technique  
360 elaborated by Chi T. et al. [38]. In this work, accumulating experimental  
361 findings from the central auditory system were exploited demonstrating its  
362 applications in the objective evaluation of speech intelligibility. As the au-  
363 thors pointed out, the model was not biophysical in spirit, but rather it  
364 abstracted from the physiological data an interpretation that was likely to  
365 be relevant in the design of sound engineering systems.

366

367 For the following section, we implemented a structure called encoder.  
368 The function of the encoder is to transduce a multidimensional array of real  
369 numbers into a multidimensional sparse and distributed representation of ac-  
370 tive cells. Empirical evidence suggests the neocortex represents information  
371 using sparse distributed patterns of activity [4]. The encoder is composed of  
372 a set of Self Organizing Maps (SOMs) [43, 25] and incorporates neurophysi-  
373 ological phenomena as columnar organization, proximal and distal dendritic  
374 arborization, afferent, apical and lateral intercolumn interaction, proximal  
375 lateral intracolumn inhibition, Long-Term Potentiation (LTP), Long-Term  
376 Depression (LTD) and Spike-timing dependent plasticity (STDP).

377

378 The last section is called regular layer, this structure has the capacity of  
379 processing afferent Sparse Distributed Representations (SDRs) and in addi-  
380 tion to the neurophysiological mechanisms present in the encoder layer, the  
381 regular layer also incorporates activation and synaptic homeostatic regula-  
382 tions. As it happens in cortical tissue, neural circuits in our implementation  
383 must maintain stable function in which incoming information can be dis-  
384 tributed across all the units in the structure. Recent work has shown that  
385 these destabilizing influences present in neural tissue are counterbalanced by  
386 homeostatic plasticity mechanisms that act to stabilize neuronal and circuit  
387 activity [16].

388

389 In other works, it has been discussed that the activation of several distal  
390 synapses can lead to a local dendritic N-Methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA)  
391 spike and consequently a significant and sustained depolarization of the  
392 soma. Novel computational theories have drawn a possible explanation about

393 the role of distal synapses in relation with NMDA phenomenon [20] combin-  
394 ing it with SDRs [41]. In this approach, dendrite branches are taken as active  
395 processing elements. We also incorporate these properties in our computa-  
396 tional models.

397

398 It has been shown that overfitting can be greatly reduced with stochastic  
399 properties in training procedures applied of neural networks (dropout) [42].  
400 In this regard, we have incorporated stochastic characteristics to the encoder  
401 and regular layers in the training stage. Hence, the evolution of the network  
402 during training does not determine a neuron to fire but bias its probability  
403 of doing that. Additionally, afferent dendritic arborizations in the encoder  
404 layer receive random information whose boundary values are established by  
405 learning.

406

## 407 6 Materials and Methods

408 The algorithms in this work have been implemented under the standard  
409 C++11 through a set of classes related by inheritance and composition. The  
410 scalability of the classes allows every cortical layer and column to be gen-  
411 erated with the desired dimensionality and number of units. Connectivity  
412 among cortical columns as well as cortical layers are randomly auto-generated  
413 with the guide of arguments passed to the object constructors. In order to  
414 handle the data produced by the model, a library has been implemented to  
415 save the data in Octave/Matlab compatible (.mat) file formats. Every class  
416 in the implementation has been parallelized by means of the OpenMP API.

417

418 The inputs to feed the model are computed with spectral analysis via the  
419 FFTW library (<http://www.fftw.org/>). The algorithms are based on Mel  
420 filterbanks and multi-resolution spectrotemporal analysis of complex sounds  
421 [44]. These algorithms have been parallelized with the OpenMP API.

422

423 Corpora audio files are generated via Festival Text to Speech  
424 (<http://www.cstr.ed.ac.uk/projects/festival/>).

425

426 Classification performance of the cortical features extracted by the model  
427 are tested with Support Vector Machine (SVM) techniques using the LIB-  
428 SVM library (<https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/>).

429

430 We have implemented an instance of the model with a bidimensional in-  
431 put of 128 by 5 components and an encoder layer with 81 cortical columns  
432 of 225 neurons each. This instance presented proximal afferent connections  
433 from the input and distal lateral connections from neighboring columns. In

Table 1: Classification Performance

Input	Encoder	Procedure
98%	97.2%	Training/Cross-Validation
27,4% 137/500	58% 290/500	Testing/Noise 0.02
62% 310/500	69,6% 348/500	Testing/Reverberation 30%
56.6% 283/500	57.8% 289/500	Testing/Pitch +30

order to feed the model, we generated a corpus with 500 words from a vocabulary of 5 words uttered by 10 different speakers (8 males and 2 females) available from the synthesizer. The organization of the corpus had certain rules and restrictions. The speakers were sequentially chosen at random with the restriction that no speaker could utter a second time until all the speakers had uttered in their turns. Every speaker uttered two words per turn and every word uttered by a speaker could not be repeated until all the words were used by such speaker.

Once the corpus was generated, the encoder layer was trained with a complex procedure in which certain parameters -as learning rate and neighborhood interaction- were reduced progressively as training progressed. The model then processed the original corpus, the corpus affected by noise, reverberation and pitch variations. All the variations applied to the corpora were generated with Audacity® free, open source, cross-platform audio software for multi-track recording and editing (<http://www.audacityteam.org/home/>). The SVM classifier was trained and tested with cross-validation with the output of the model in response to the original corpus. The boundaries of the words were marked and the values between the marks were accumulated in order to compose condensed vector with which train the classifier. Then, the vectors were scaled -as the LIBSVM documentation suggest- in order to improve the classification performance. LIBSVM was configured to use a linear kernel with one parameter  $C$  which was swept in order to find the best trained model for the classifier. Then, the classifier tested the invariance in the responses of the model to the altered corpora. Table 1 shows the performances.

## References

- [1] Huang C. L., Winer J. A. Auditory thalamocortical projections in the cat: laminar and areal patterns of input. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 427:302–331, 2000.

- 465 [2] Mitani A. and Shimokouchi M. Neuronal connections in the primary  
466 auditory cortex: an electrophysiological study in the cat. *J. Comp.*  
467 *Neurol.*, 235:417–429, 1985.
- 468 [3] Winer J. A. The functional architecture of the medial geniculate body  
469 and the primary auditory cortex, in: The mammalian auditory pathway:  
470 neuroanatomy. *New York: Springer-Verlag.*, pages 222–409, 1992.
- 471 [4] Barth A.L. and Poulet J.F. Experimental evidence for sparse firing in  
472 the neocortex. *Trends Neurosci.*, 35:345–55, 2012.
- 473 [5] Mesgarani N., David S. V., Fritz J. B., and Shamma S. A. Phoneme  
474 representation and classification in primary auditory cortex. *J. Acoust.*  
475 *Soc. Am.*, 123:899–909, 2008.
- 476 [6] Mesgarani N., David S. V., Fritz J. B., and Shamma S. A. Mechanisms  
477 of noise robust representation of speech in primary auditory cortex.  
478 *PNAS.*, 123:899–909, 2014.
- 479 [7] Mountcastle V. B. An organizing principle for cerebral function: The  
480 unit model and the distributed system. *Cambridge, MA.*, 1978.
- 481 [8] Toscano J. C. and McMurray B. Cue integration with categories:  
482 Weighting acoustic cues in speech using unsupervised learning and dis-  
483 tributional statistics. *Cognitive Scienc.*, 34:434–464, 2010.
- 484 [9] Hubel D. and Wiesel T. Receptive fields, binocular interaction and func-  
485 tional architecture in the cat’s visual cortex. *J Physiol.*, 160:106–154,  
486 1962.
- 487 [10] Hubel D. and Wiesel T. Receptive fields and functional architecture of  
488 monkey striate cortex. *J Physiol.*, 195:215–243, 1968.
- 489 [11] de Boer B., and Kuhl P. Investigating the role of infant-directed speech  
490 with a computer model. *Acoustics Research Letters Online.*, 4:129–134,  
491 2003.
- 492 [12] Dominey P. F. and Ramus F. Neural network processing of natural  
493 language: I. sensitivity to serial, temporal and abstract structure of  
494 language in the infant. *Language and Cognitive Processes.*, 15:87–127,  
495 2000.
- 496 [13] Linden J. F. and Schreiner C. E. Columnar transformations in audito-  
497 rycortex? a comparison to visual and somatosensory cortices. *Cerebral*  
498 *Cortex*, 13:83–89, 2003.
- 499 [14] Pons F. The effects of distributional learning on rats sensitivity to  
500 phonetic information. *J. Exp. Psychol. Anim. Behav.*, 32:97–101, 2006.

- 501 [15] Vallabha G. K., McLelland J. L., Pons F., Werker J. F. and Amano S.  
502 Unsupervised learning of vowel categories from infant-directed speech.  
503 *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences.*, 104:13273–13278, 2007.
- 504 [16] Turrigiano G. Homeostatic synaptic plasticity: Local and global mech-  
505 anisms for stabilizing neuronal function. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect*  
506 *Biol.*, 4, 2012.
- 507 [17] Lee, Honglak, Largman, Yan, Pham, Peter, and Ng, Andrew Y. Un-  
508 supervised feature learning for audio classification using convolutional  
509 deep belief networks. In *Proceedings of the 22Nd International Confer-*  
510 *ence on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS’09, pages 1096–  
511 1104, USA, 2009. Curran Associates Inc.
- 512 [18] Appelbaum I. The lack of invariance problem and the goal of speech  
513 perception. *Spoken Language, 1996. ICSLP 96. Proceedings., Fourth*  
514 *International Conference on*, 3, 1996.
- 515 [19] Dent M. L., Brittan-Powell E. F., Dooling R. J., and Pierce A. Percep-  
516 tion of synthetic /ba/-/wa/ speech continuum by budgerigars (melop-  
517 sittacus undulatus). *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 102:1891–1897, 1997.
- 518 [20] Hawkins J. and Ahmad S. Why neurons have thousands of synapses, a  
519 theory of sequence memory in neocortex. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits.*,  
520 10, 2016.
- 521 [21] Hawkins J. and Blakeslee S. On intelligence. *Times Books.*, 2004.
- 522 [22] Kouki M., Hideaki K. and Reiko M. Unsupervised learning of vowels  
523 from continuous speech based on self-organized phoneme acquisition  
524 model. *Interspeech.*, 2010.
- 525 [23] Kuhl P. K. and Miller J. D. Speech perception by the chinchilla: Voiced  
526 voiceless distinction in alveolar plosive consonants. *Science.*, 190:69–72,  
527 1975.
- 528 [24] Kuhl P. K. and Padden D. M. Enhanced discriminability at the phonetic  
529 boundaries for the place feature in macaques. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*,  
530 73:1003–1010, 1983.
- 531 [25] T. Kohonen. *Self-organization and Associative Memory: 3rd Edition.*  
532 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- 533 [26] Kluender K. R., Lotto A. J., Holt L. L., Bloedel S. L. Role of experience  
534 for language-specific functional mappings of vowel sounds. *J. Acoust.*  
535 *Soc. Am.*, 104:3568–3582, 1998.

- 536 [27] Mountcastle V. B., Berman A. L. and Davies P. W. Topographic organi-  
537 zation and modality representation in first somatic area of cat's cerebral  
538 cortex by method of single unit analysis. *Am. J. Physiol.*, 183, 1955.
- 539 [28] Angelucci A., Clasca F., Sur M. Brainstem inputs to the ferret medial  
540 geniculate nucleus and the effect of early deafferentation on novel retinal  
541 projections to the auditory thalamus. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 400:417–439,  
542 1998.
- 543 [29] Hienz R. D., Aleszczyk C. M., and May B. J. Vowel discrimination in  
544 cats: Acquisition, effects of stimulus level, and performance in noise. *J.*  
545 *Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 99:3656–3668, 1996.
- 546 [30] Kouki M., Hideaki M., Hideaki K., Reiko M. The multi timescale  
547 phoneme acquisition model of the self-organizing based on the dynamic  
548 features. *Interspeech.*, 2011.
- 549 [31] Roe A. W., Pallas S. L., Hahm J. O., Sur M. A map of visual space  
550 induced in primary auditory cortex. *Science.*, 250:818–820, 1990.
- 551 [32] Roe A. W., Pallas S. L., Kwon Y. H., Sur M. Visual projections routed  
552 to the auditory pathway in ferrets: receptive fields of visual neurons in  
553 primary auditory cortex. *J. Neurosci.*, 12:3651–3664, 1992.
- 554 [33] Sharma J., Angelucci A., Sur M. Induction of visual orientation modules  
555 in auditory cortex. *Nature.*, 404:841–847, 2000.
- 556 [34] Kenneth S. Miller and Bertram Ross. *An Introduction to the Fractional*  
557 *Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations.* A Wiley-Interscience  
558 Publication, Printed in the United States of America, first edition, 1993.
- 559 [35] Mitani A., Shimokouchi M., Itoh K., Nomura S., Kudo M., Mizuno N.  
560 Morphology and laminar organization of electrophysiologically identified  
561 neurons in the primary auditory cortex in the cat. *J. Comp. Neurol.*,  
562 235:430–447, 1985.
- 563 [36] Räsänen O. Computational modeling of phonetic and lexical learning  
564 in early language acquisition: Existing models and future directions.  
565 *Speech Communication.*, 54:975–997, 2012.
- 566 [37] Scharenborg O. and Boves L. Computational modelling of spoken-word  
567 recognition processes. *John Benjamins Publishing Company.*, 2010.
- 568 [38] Chi T., Ru P. and Shamma S.A. Multiresolution spectrotemporal anal-  
569 ysis of complex sounds. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 118:887–906, 2005.
- 570 [39] Rakic P. Radial versus tangential migration of neuronal clones in the  
571 developing cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*, 92, 1995.

- 572 [40] Lotto A. J., Kluender K. R., and Holt L. L. Perceptual compensation  
573 for coarticulation by japanese quail (*coturnix coturnix japonica*). *J.*  
574 *Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 102:1134–1140, 1997.
- 575 [41] Ahmad, S., and Hawkins, J. How do neurons operate on sparse dis-  
576 tributed representations? a mathematical theory of sparsity, neurons  
577 and active dendrites. *arXiv:1601.00720 [q-bio.NC]*, 2016.
- 578 [42] Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever,  
579 and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neu-  
580 ral networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*,  
581 15:1929–1958, 2014.
- 582 [43] Kohonen T. Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature  
583 maps. *Biological Cybernetics.*, 43:59–69, 1982.
- 584 [44] Powen Ru Taishih Chi and Shihab A. Shamma. Multiresolution spec-  
585 trotemporal analysis of complex sounds. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 118:887–  
586 906, 2005.
- 587 [45] Mountcastle V. Modality and topographic properties of cat’s somatic  
588 sensory cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.*, 20:408–434, 1957.
- 589 [46] Rockel A. J., Hiorns R. W. and Powell T. P. The basic uniformity in  
590 the structure of the neocortex. *Brain*, 103:221–244, 1980.
- 591 [47] Sur M., Garraghty P. E., Roe A. W. Experimentally induced visual  
592 projections into auditory thalamus and cortex. *Science.*, 242:1437–1441,  
593 1988.
- 594 [48] LeCun Y., Bengio Y. and Hinton G. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521:436–  
595 444, 2015.