

Page 56

- 1 line below Eq. (4.4c), change “are such that” to “are such that the objective function in (4.4a) is reduced and, at the same time,”.
- In Eq. (4.5a), change the denominator $\|\mathbf{x}_k\|$ to $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{a}_i\|$.

Page 57

- In line 1, change “4.3” to “(4.3a)”.
- In Eq. (4.6a), change the δ under “minimize” to “ $\tilde{\delta}$ ”.
- 2 lines above Eq. (4.7a), change “much” to “must”.
- 1 line above Eq. (4.7a), change “rewrite (4.6) as” to “express the problem in (4.6) in a more compact form as”.

Page 58

- 1 line below (4.8c), change “all-one vector” to “all-one vector of dimension $2(m+3)$ ”.
- 1 line above (4.9a), change “ \mathbf{v} and w ” to “ \mathbf{v} , and w ”.
- 5 lines below (4.9d), change “The penalty term tries” to “Penalty terms $\tau \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (u_i + v_i) + \tau w$ are included in the objective function in (4.9a) in order to”.

Page 59

- In Sec. 4.1.3, line 6, change “we set β to the value between 3 and 6” to “a β between 3 and 6 was found to work very well”.
- In Sec. 4.1.3, line 7, change “penalty term” to “weight for penalty terms”.
- In Sec. 4.1.3, line 8, change “penalty term” to “weight”.
- In Sec. 4.1.3, line 8, change “The method discussed” to “We remark that the method proposed”.

Page 61

- Lines -9 to -8, change “did not reduce to $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ or smaller” to “falls below a convergence tolerance ε which was set to $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ ”.

Page 62

- Lines 2 to 4, change “Table 4.1 (where the MSE of the position estimation are shown). The results summarised in Table 4.2 suggest that, SRD-LS solutions outperform SR-LS.” to “Table 4.1 where the MSEs of the position estimation are shown. The results summarised in Table 4.2 indicate that the SCR-RDLS algorithm provides considerable performance improvement relative to the SRD-LS algorithm.”
- In Table 4.2, change “IRWSRD-LS” to “SCR-RDLS”. (??)

Page 66

- In the last 3 lines, change “Table 4.3 (where the MSE of the position estimation are shown). The results summarised in Table 4.3 and Table 4.4 suggest that, SCR-RLS solutions outperform SR-LS.” to “Table 4.3 where the MSE of the position estimation are shown. The results summarised in Table 4.3 and Table 4.4 indicate that the SCR-RLS algorithm yields improved performance relative to the SR-LS algorithm.”
- There is a large blank space between pages 66 and 67. Try to eliminate it please.