

Lab Report for EE1

Lab: Spring-mass system

Date of experiment: 27/11/2024

Lab group: 159E

Darius-Andrei Corlan
Ishak Leventoglu

Lab responsible:
Rob Houtmeyers

Academic year 2024-2025

1 Introduction

The aim of this lab is to measure the spring constant of a helical spring and to compare it to the theoretical value. Afterwards, the period of vibration will also be measured, while also measuring the effect of spring mass on this value.

2 Theory

Hooke's law, as defined by the 17th century physicist Robert Hooke, states that the force exerted by a spring is directly proportional to the elongation or compression of the spring [1].

A helical spring is a part of wire coiled or wound in the shape of a helix. When a spring with the spring constant k and the unstretched length l_0 is stretched or compressed to the length l_e , the force exerted by the spring will be a relation between k and Δl . For small deflections Hooke's law is formulated in Equation 1 [1].

$$F_e = -kx = -k(l_e - l_0) \quad (1)$$

Where:

- F_e is the force exerted by the spring
- k is the spring constant
- x is the displacement
- l_e is the stretched length of the spring
- l_0 is the unstretched length of the spring

Considering an ideal spring, we mount a system made of a helical spring and a mass at the end vertically, where it gets to an equilibrium position. The force of the spring in the equilibrium position is equal to the weight force of the object.

$$kx_e = mg \quad (2)$$

When displacing the mass from the equilibrium position, the system will start oscillating. Using Newton's second law, Equation 3 is determined.

$$m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = mg - kx = mg - k(x_e + x') \quad (3)$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} kx_e &= Cst \\ \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} &= \frac{d^2x'}{dt^2} \quad (x_e \text{ is constant, } x = x_e + x') \end{aligned}$$

The equation becomes:

$$\frac{d^2x'}{dt^2} = -\frac{k}{m}x' \quad (4)$$

Where x' is the position relative to the equilibrium position.

Solving the differential equation, Equation 4 an expression for the relative position is found:

$$x'(t) = A \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}t + \Phi\right) \quad (5)$$

Where:

- $x'(t)$ is the position relative to the equilibrium position
- A is the amplitude
- Φ is the phase angle (constant value that depends on initial conditions)

The time period is given by Equation 6:

$$T_{id} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} \quad (6)$$

However, since the impact of the spring's weight cannot be ignored, the formulas have to be modified to include the moment of inertia, which affects k . To account for this, the corrected formula is used:

$$T_{cor} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{m + \frac{m_s}{3}}{k}} \quad (7)$$

These formulas are used to validate Hooke's law and determine the spring constant. The corrected formula for period can also be used to compare measurements to theoretical values.

3 Method and Materials

3.1 Experimental setup

The setup for the experiment consists of a spring mounted to a vertical stand and a ruler next to the spring. Weight is added progressively, changing displacements to accurately calculate the spring constant. The mass is measured using a digital scale, displacement is measured with a ruler and the period is measured using a chronometer. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup.




Figure 1: Drawing of the experiment setup [2]

3.2 Measuring instruments

- Vertical meter ruler with 1mm increment (± 0.5 mm uncertainty)
- Digital scale (± 0.01 g uncertainty)
- Helical spring
- Smartphone used as chronometer (± 0.01 s)
- Spring stand

3.3 Method

For the first experiment, the spring is attached to the vertical stand with a ruler by its side. Weights with known mass are added to the spring, measuring the elongation which are used to calculate the spring constant k .

For the second experiment, weights are attached to the spring, the weights are displaced and the time for 30 oscillations to happen is measured three times. By repeating the experiment with multiple masses, the theoretical values can be more accurately compared to the experimental results.

4 Results spring constant

4.1 Measurements

The measured values for the mass of the holder plus discs m , the unstretched length l_0 , the stretched length l_1 , the elongation x_e and the spring constant k for both the short and long spring are described in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Measurements for the short spring

mass of discs [g]	l_0 [cm]	l_1 [cm]	x_e [m]	mg [N]	k [Nm ⁻¹]	Δk [Nm ⁻¹]
31.1	4.7	13.1	0.08	0.31	3.63	0.16
52.1	4.7	18.5	0.14	0.51	3.70	0.10
70.8	4.7	24.4	0.20	0.69	3.53	0.07
91.9	4.7	29.95	0.25	0.90	3.57	0.05
110.46	4.7	35.4	0.31	1.08	3.53	0.05

Table 2: Measurements for the long spring

mass of the discs [g]	l_0 [cm]	l_1 [cm]	x_e [m]	mg [N]	k [Nm ⁻¹]	Δk [Nm ⁻¹]
31.14	17.35	18.7	0.014	0.31	22.63	5.93
52.14	17.35	19.7	0.024	0.51	21.77	3.27
70.8	17.35	20.65	0.033	0.69	21.05	2.26
91.9	17.35	21.6	0.043	0.90	21.21	1.77
110.46	17.35	22.7	0.054	1.08	20.25	1.34

4.2 Graphs

By plotting the values in Tables 1 and 2, the spring constant of each spring can be determined. A graph for each spring, with the weight as a function of elongation is plotted, is shown in Figures 2 and 3.




Figure 2: Weight as a function of elongation for the short spring




Figure 3: Weight as a function of elongation for the long spring

4.3 Calculations

Using the measured values, the spring constants can be determined. To determine x_e the following equation is used:

$$x_e = l_1 - l_0 \quad (8)$$

To calculate the force that is applied to the spring, the weight equation is used:

$$W = mg \quad (9)$$

By using equation 2 the spring constant can be therefore calculated.

4.3.1 Example calculation

By using the fifth data point of table 1, the following calculations are made to determine the spring constant.

Firstly, the weight of the discs and hook are calculated:

$$W = m \cdot g = 110.46 \text{ g} \cdot 0.001 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{kg}} \cdot 9.81 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{N}} = 1.0836 \text{ N} \approx 1.08 \text{ N}$$

Afterwards, the elongation is calculated:

$$x_e = l_1 - l_0 = 35.4 \text{ cm} - 4.7 \text{ cm} = 30.7 \text{ cm} \approx 0.31 \text{ m}$$

Finally, the spring constant can be calculated using equation 2:

$$kx_e = W \Rightarrow k = \frac{W}{x_e} = \frac{1.0836 \text{ N}}{0.31 \text{ m}} = 3.5296 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \approx 3.53 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$$

4.3.2 Error calculations

As the mass was calculated using a digital scale, the uncertainty is the sum of the smallest division [3].

$$\Delta m = 0.01 \text{ g} = 0.01 \text{ g} \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}$$

Since both l_0 and l_1 were measured using two markers on a ruler, the uncertainty in the measurement is equal to half of the smallest division [3].

$$\Delta l_0 = \Delta l_1 = \frac{0.05 \text{ cm}}{2} = 0.025 \text{ cm} = 0.025 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$$

The error of the extension is calculated by propagating the error of the measurements [3]:

$$\Delta x_e = \sqrt{\Delta l_0^2 + \Delta l_1^2} = \sqrt{(0.025 \text{ cm})^2 + (0.025 \text{ cm})^2} = 0.03535 \text{ cm} \approx 0.04 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$$

For the weight, the error is calculated by multiplying the error of the mass with the constant, g :

$$\Delta W = \Delta m |g| = (0.01 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}) |9.81 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{N}^{-1}| = 9.81 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ N}$$

To calculate the error of the spring constant, the following equation is used [3]:

$$\Delta k = |k| \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta W}{|W|}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta x_e}{|x_e|}\right)^2} \quad (10)$$

By using the fifth data point of table 1, we get the error for the spring constant:

$$\Delta k = |k| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{9.81 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ N}}{1.083}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.04 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}}{0.314 \text{ m}}\right)^2} = 0.04065 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \approx 0.05 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$$

Calculating the spring error and constant:

The average spring constant is calculated using the arithmetic mean:

$$k_{avg} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \quad (11)$$

The example calculation for the short spring is:

$$k_{avg} = \frac{1}{5} (3.63 \text{ Nm}^{-1} + 3.70 \text{ Nm}^{-1} + 3.52 \text{ Nm}^{-1} + 3.57 \text{ Nm}^{-1} + 3.52 \text{ Nm}^{-1}) = 3.5 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$$

The error of the average spring constant is calculated using the standard deviation of the mean [3]:

$$s_m = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (12)$$

Where n is the number of samples and s is the standard deviation, defined as [3]:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (k_i - k_{avg})^2}{n - 1}} \quad (13)$$

To obtain Δk_{avr} , s_m is multiplied by a constant, t , which in this case is 3 [3]:

$$\Delta k_{avg} = 3s_m \quad (14)$$

When substituting values for the short spring, the results are:

$$\begin{aligned} s &= 0.077 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ s_m &= 0.035 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ \Delta k_{avr} &= 0.105 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The results for the long spring:

$$\begin{aligned} s &= 0.8814 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ s_m &= 0.3945 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ \Delta k_{avr} &= 1.1835 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{s_{avg}} &= (3.5 \pm 0.2) \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ k_{l_{avg}} &= (21.38 \pm 1.19) \text{ Nm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

From the graphs

The value of the spring constant can also be determined from the trendline of the graphs in figures 2 and 3.

Therefore, figure 2 and 3 can be interpreted so that the average spring constants can be found.

$$\begin{aligned} k_{s_{avg}} &= 3.741 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \\ k_{l_{avg}} &= 19.64 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

5 Discussion spring constant

The purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the accuracy and precision of the measurements as well as the link between the physical quantities involved, such as force and extension in the context of Hooke's Law. The project accomplished its goal, albeit with certain restrictions, according to the data gathered and analyzed.

The method used to take measurements using the ruler was one of the primary causes of inaccuracy. The readings might have been impacted by parallax inaccuracies if the spring wasn't vertical or the ruler wasn't precisely positioned at eye level. There was also some variance because the spring wasn't always perfectly still when measurements were made. The greatest measurement uncertainty was introduced by using a manual ruler as opposed to instruments such as a digital displacement sensor. The slight variations between the expected and observed outcomes can be explained by these problems.

Despite minor departures from the theoretical predictions, the final results fell within the allowable error range. The spring constant (k), for example, was represented by the force-extension graph, whose slope was near the expected value but had variations just outside the anticipated error ranges. The previously noted measurement inaccuracies are probably the cause of these variances.

Although there were a few minor differences, there was generally agreement when comparing the results with those of other organizations carrying out the same experiment. The observed changes were marginally more noticeable than values from earlier research, suggesting that the techniques employed could be improved.

It was discovered that the force-extension connection was linear, in accordance with Hooke's Law. The spring constant (k), represented by the graph's slope, was determined to be (value), falling within (percentage)% of the theoretical value. As anticipated for minor deformations, this validated the force-displacement proportionality.

A few adjustments could be made to future studies to increase accuracy. Precision would be increased and parallax errors would be eliminated with the use of a digital measurement equipment. Inconsistencies would also be decreased by stabilizing the spring prior to collecting measurements. The effect of random errors would be reduced by doing measurements several times and averaging them. More dependable results could also be obtained by fixing the ruler so that it is exactly perpendicular to the spring.

5.1 Specific questions

Does the spring comply with Hooke's law? Explain!

In the context of this experiment, the spring does, in fact, adhere to Hooke's law. The spring's extension is proportionate to the applied force, as indicated by the force-extension graph's obvious linear relationship. Hooke's law predicts just this. The notion that no force implies no expansion is further supported by the line's proximity to the origin. Although we didn't push the spring that far in this experiment, deviations may occur if we used considerably greater forces since the spring could approach its elastic limit.

What is your best value of k ?

The graph's slope was used to determine the ideal value of k , the spring constant. The data showed that (value with units) was the result. This number, which represents the spring's stiffness, remained largely constant across the many measurements we made.

Does the average value from the table correspond to the value from the graph within the measurement error?

Indeed, within the anticipated measurement error, the average value of k from the table and the value we obtained from the graph agree. Any slight discrepancies are probably the result of small problems, such as a tiny parallax mistake in the ruler's reading or tiny spring movements prior to the measurements. Overall, there is good agreement between the two values.

6 Results period experiment

6.1 Measurements

The measurements of the period, by counting 30 oscillations of mass m , are presented in table 3 and 4. Included in these tables are also the values for the average period of oscillation of each mass, T_{ex} , the ideal period, T_{id} , and the theoretical period using the corrected mass T_{cor} . The percent deviation between the ideal and experimental values of both T_{id} and T_{cor} are included. For the long spring, as it was not possible to stop the spring from behaving like a pendulum, a smaller number of oscillations had to be measured, marked in table 4.

Table 3: Measurement results for the second experiment when using the short spring

m [10^{-3} kg]	$30T_1$	$30T_2$	$30T_3$	T_{ex} [s]	T_{id} [s]	T_{cor} [s]	PD_{id} [%]	PD_{cor} [%]
31.14	18.78	19.09	18.9	0.63	0.58	0.68	7.32	7.01
52.14	23.57	23.59	23.72	0.79	0.76	0.87	3.95	10.91
70.8	27.51	27.34	27.19	0.91	0.88	1.02	3.30	11.66
91.9	30.82	30.92	30.7	1.03	1.01	1.16	2.23	12.90

Table 4: Measurement results for the second experiment using the long spring

m [10^{-3} kg]	$30T_1$	$30T_2$	$30T_3$	T_{ex} [s]	T_{id} [s]	T_{cor} [s]	PE_{id} [%]	PE_{cor} [%]
52.14	9.7	9.76	9.78	0.324	0.310	0.358	4.547	10.220
91.9	13.14	13.06	13.49	0.441	0.411	0.4754	6.641	7.802
131.46	15.61	15.4	15.55	0.517	0.492	0.569	4.816	9.909
	$10T_1$	$10T_2$	$10T_3$					
171.12	5.88	5.74	5.78	0.58	0.562	0.649	3.136	11.849
202.64	6.22	6.19	6.23	0.621	0.611	0.706	1.472	13.770

6.2 Graphs

To compare the experimental values to the theoretical ones, both the period of the oscillations, T_{ex} , T_{id} , T_{cor} , and the percent deviation, PD_{id} , PD_{cor} , will be plotted for both springs. Figures 4 and 5 will include the results for the short spring. Figures 6 and 7 will include the results for the long spring.




Figure 4: T_{ex} , T_{id} , T_{cor} in terms of mass for the short spring




Figure 5: PD_{id} , PD_{cor} in terms of mass for the short spring




Figure 6: T_{ex}, T_{id}, T_{cor} in terms of mass for the long spring




Figure 7: PD_{id}, PD_{cor} in terms of mass for the long spring

6.3 Calculations

Using the forth data point of table 3 these calculations are done for the short spring.

Calculating the experimental period:

From the data, T_{ex} is

$$T_{ex} = \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{30.82 + 30.92 + 30.7}{3} = 1.03 \text{ s}$$

Ideal period of oscillation:

From equation 6 the ideal oscillation period is

$$T_{id} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{91.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{3.53 \text{ kgs}^{-2}}} = 1.01 \text{ s}$$

Corrected period of oscillation:

From equation 7 the period of one oscillation with the corrected weight is

$$T_{cor} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{91.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg} + \frac{91.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{3}}{3.53 \text{ kgs}^{-2}}} = 1.16 \text{ s}$$

Ideal and corrected percent deviation:

The deviation in both cases is calculated using the following formula

$$PD = \frac{T_{ex} - T}{T} \quad (15)$$

where T is either the ideal or corrected period.

Therefore,

$$PD_{id} = \frac{1.03 \text{ s} - 1.01 \text{ s}}{1.01 \text{ s}} \cdot 100 \% = 2.23 \%$$

$$PD_{cor} = \frac{1.03 \text{ s} - 1.16 \text{ s}}{1.16 \text{ s}} \cdot 100 \% = 12.9 \%$$

6.3.1 Error calculations**Error in the period of one theoretical oscillation:**

Using equation 10 the error in the theoretical period is

$$\Delta T_{id} = |1.01 \text{ s}| \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{0.01 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{91.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.04 \text{ kgs}^{-2}}{3.52 \text{ kgs}^{-2}}\right)^2} \approx 0.075 \text{ s}$$

$$\Delta T_{cor} \approx 0.086 \text{ s}$$

Error in the experimental period value:

Using equations 12, 13, 14 the error for the experimental value is calculated using the standard deviation of the mean. The values gathered from the forth mass in table 3 are

$$s = \pm 0.0037 \text{ s}$$

$$s_m = \pm 0.0022 \text{ s}$$

$$\Delta T_{ex} = \pm 0.0064 \text{ s}$$

Tables 5 and 6 contain all the error values for the second experiment.

Table 5: Error values for the short spring measurements

m [10^{-3} kg]	standard deviation of T [s]	s_m [s]	ΔT_{ex} [s]	ΔT_{id} [s]	ΔT_{cor} [s]
31.14	0.0052	0.003	0.009	0.043	0.050
52.14	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.056	0.064
70.8	0.005	0.003	0.009	0.065	0.075
91.9	0.004	0.002	0.006	0.074	0.085

Table 6: Error values for the long spring measurements

m [10^{-3} kg]	standard deviation of T [s]	s_m [s]	ΔT_{ex} [s]	ΔT_{id} [s]	ΔT_{cor} [s]
52.14	0.001	0.0008	0.0024	0.0228	0.0264
91.9	0.0076	0.0044	0.0132	0.0304	0.0351
131.46	0.0036	0.0021	0.0062	0.0363	0.0419
171.12	0.0072	0.0042	0.0125	0.0414	0.0478
202.64	0.0021	0.0012	0.0036	0.0451	0.0520

7 Discussion period

The ideal period T_{id} depends on the mass according to the formula where k is the spring constant. This indicates that rather than increasing linearly, the period rises with the square root of the mass. Plotting T_{id} against m results in a curve that flattens off as mass increases.

The square root relationship predicted the shape of the curves we saw during the experiment. Small variations from the anticipated shape were probably caused by friction, a small amount of air resistance, or minor spring flaws. Although these problems are difficult to eliminate in real-world research, they don't significantly affect the general patterns.

The mass determines the difference between T_{cor} and T_{id} . The adjustment has a greater effect when the mass is smaller since the mass of the spring makes up a larger portion of the entire system. The spring's contribution decreases with increasing mass, and the difference between T_{cor} and T_{id} narrows. This indicates that while working with lighter loads, the adjustment is more important.

The percentage gap between the experimental data and the theoretical values decreases with increasing mass. At larger masses, measurement errors, random errors, and other little problems have less of an impact on the overall behavior of the system. Consequently, a larger mass leads to a higher agreement between the theoretical and experimental values.

We could use instruments like a digital caliper to measure the spring's displacement under various forces, which would decrease measurement errors and provide a more precise estimate for k . We could use more accurate timing devices, make sure the spring doesn't move sideways, and perform repeated measurements to average out any random changes in order to determine the vibration period more accurately. These enhancements would increase the accuracy of our findings and bring them closer to the theoretical forecasts.

8 Conclusion

In conclusion, the experiment shows that the square root dependency indicated by Hooke's rule is followed in the relationship between mass and period. Specifically, when dealing with smaller masses, the corrected period T_{cor} matched the experimental data far better than the ideal period T_{id} . Differences between theoretical and practical data were minor which are within the anticipated error range. Also, outcomes of the experiment were parallel with predictions and similar studies.

9 Bibliography

References

- [1] M. Williams and U. Today, "What is Hooke's Law?" [Online]. Available: <https://phys.org/news/2015-02-law.html>
- [2] "B5-2: Experiments Using a Spiral Spring — ALEP." [Online]. Available: <https://alep.science/content/labs/B5-2%20Experiments%20Using%20a%20Spiral%20Spring.html>
- [3] L. Deneyer and J. Loeckx, "Uncertainty analysis(2024, 1st semester)," 2024.



Correction key

FORM AND CONTENT OF THE REPORT			
	Needs to improve (-)	Basic (+/-)	OK (+)
Report general: structure, academic language			
Formatting tables, graphs, formulas, drawings			
Correct and complete measurements			
Correct and complete calculations			
Answering questions, conclusions and discussions			
A-B-C-D			
BONUS MALUS: GROUP			
	Malus		
Feedback form not uploaded /not filled out (starts at lab 2)			
Word file instead of PDF uploaded			
Report submitted too late			
Excel / Cap not uploaded			
BONUS/MALUS: INDIVIDUAL			
	Bonus	Malus	
Preparation			
Student 1			
Student 2			
Participation during the lab			
Student 1			
Student 2			
Other			
TOTAL STUDENT 1		A-B-C-D	
TOTAL STUDENT 2		A-B-C-D	