# Public Key Cryptography

Lecture 3

**Primality Tests** 

### Index

- Record Primes
- 2 A Bit of History of Primality Tests
- 3 Elementary Primality Tests
- 4 Fermat Test
- Miller-Rabin Test
- 6 AKS Test

### **Record Primes**

### http://primes.utm.edu/

The largest known prime:

$$2^{82589933} - 1$$

announced in 2018.

- It has 24862048 digits.
- It is a Mersenne prime (probably the 51-st). Mersenne number: a number of the form  $2^n - 1$ . In order to have  $2^n - 1$  prime, n must be prime.
- Discovered by GIMPS (The Great Internet Mersenne Prime Search) project (http://www.mersenne.org/).
- Coordination of clusters of PCs.

## Record Primes (cont.)

The "Top Ten" Record Primes (as of October 2024):

prime	digits	year	Reference
$2^{82589933} - 1$	24862048	2018	Mersenne 51?
$2^{77232917} - 1$	23249425	2018	Mersenne 50?
$2^{74207281} - 1$	22338618	2016	Mersenne 49?
$2^{57885161} - 1$	17425170	2013	Mersenne 48
$2^{43112609} - 1$	12978189	2008	Mersenne 47
$2^{42643801} - 1$	12837064	2009	Mersenne 46
$516693^{2097152} - 516693^{1048576} + 1$	11981518	2023	
$465859^{2097152} - 465859^{1048576} + 1$	11887192	2023	
$2^{37156667} - 1$	11185272	2008	Mersenne 45
$2^{32582657} - 1$	9808358	2006	Mersenne 44

### Primality: the Problem

### Theorem (Euclid)

There are infinitely many primes.

Proof. Suppose that

$$p_1 = 2 < p_2 = 3 < ... < p_r$$

are all of the primes. Denote

$$P = p_1 p_2 ... p_r + 1$$

and let p be a prime dividing P. Then p can not be any of  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ , otherwise p would divide  $P - p_1 p_2 \ldots p_r = 1$ , impossible. So P is still another prime, contradiction.

### The problem

Decide if a given (large) number is prime.

## **Primality Tests**

#### Definition

- primality test: a criterion to decide if a number is prime.
- compositeness test: a criterion to decide if a number is composite.

Even if they are not the same, we will call them generically *primality tests*.

- If *n* passes a primality test, then it *may* be prime.
- If *n* passes a whole lot of primality tests, then it is more likely to be prime.
- If *n* fails a single primality test, then it is surely composite.

## A Bit of History of Primality Tests

- Elementary primality tests: trial division, sieve of Eratosthenes
- Fermat test
   It is based on Fermat's Little Theorem. It became the basis for many efficient primality tests.
- Randomized polynomial-time algorithms (1970's and 1980's):
   e.g. Solovay-Strassen and Miller-Rabin tests.
- True primality tests: e.g. Lucas-Lehmer test for Mersenne primes.
- Unconditional deterministic polynomial-time algorithm: Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena (2003), Lenstra

### **Elementary Primality Tests**

#### Trial Division

Take an odd  $m \neq 1$  and check if  $m \mid n$ .

If n passes the trial division tests for more and more values of m, it becomes more and more likely that n is prime.

We know that if n passes the trial division tests for every  $m \le \sqrt{n}$ , then n is prime.

If n fails a single trial division test for some m, then n is surely composite.

**Weak point**: complexity  $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

#### The Sieve of Eratosthenes

This generates all primes less then n.

The best method for small primes (up to 1000000).

Weak point: a lot of memory for storage.

### Fermat Test

In what follows let n be a large odd natural number.

By Fermat's Little Theorem, if n is prime, then  $\forall b \in \mathbb{Z}$  (enough b < n) with (b, n) = 1 we have

$$b^{n-1} = 1 \pmod{n} \tag{1}$$

If n is not prime, it is still possible (but probably not very likely) that (1) holds.

#### Definition

An odd composite natural number n is called *pseudoprime to the base* b if (b, n) = 1 and (1) holds.

Remarks. (a) A pseudoprime is a number that "pretends" to be prime by passing the test (1).

- (b) Every odd natural number is pseudoprime to the bases  $b=\pm 1$ .
- (c)  $\forall b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|b| \ge 2$ , there are infinitely-many pseudoprimes to the base b.

**Example.** n = 91 is pseudoprime to the base b = 3, because  $3^{90} = 1 \pmod{91}$ . But 91 is not pseudoprime to the base 2, because  $2^{90} = 64 \pmod{91}$ .

If we did not already know that 91 is composite, the fact that  $2^{90} \neq 1 \pmod{91}$  would tell us that it is.

#### Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an odd composite.

- (i) n pseudoprime to  $b \Rightarrow n$  pseudoprime to -b and  $b^{-1}$ , where  $b^{-1}$  is the inverse modulo n of b.
- (ii) n pseudoprime to  $b_1$  and  $b_2 \Rightarrow$  n pseudoprime to  $b_1b_2$ .
- (iii) If n fails (1) for a single base b < n, then n fails (1) for at least half of the possible bases b < n.

- Unless n happens to pass the test (1) for every b with (b, n) = 1, there is at least a 50% chance that n will fail (1) for a randomly chosen b.
- If n is composite, then Fermat's test reveals this fact with a 100% probability and if n is prime, then Fermat's test reveals this fact with a high probability. If (1) does not hold for any b, then n is surely composite.
- Suppose that we have considered k different values for b and n is pseudoprime to all these bases. Then the probability that n is still composite despite passing the k tests is at most  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ , unless n happens to have the very special property that (1) holds for every  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence if k is large, we can say with a high probability that n is prime.
- Such a method is called a *probabilistic* method. A *deterministic* method would tell us with a 100% certainty whether *n* is either composite or prime.

#### Fermat Primality Test

- Fermat(n,k)
- Input:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  odd and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Output: n is either composite or, with a high probability  $(1-\frac{1}{2^k})$ , prime.
- Algorithm:

```
For i=1 to k do Randomly choose 1 < b < n-1; Compute r:=b^{n-1} \pmod n; If r \ne 1 then output COMPOSITE; Output PRIME.
```

#### Remarks

- If the algorithm gives the answer COMPOSITE, then this is for sure.
- If the algorithm gives the answer PRIME, then the probability that n is composite is less than  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ .

Weak point: Carmichael numbers.

#### Definition

A composite natural number n is called a *Carmichael number* if (1) holds  $\forall b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (b, n) = 1.

#### Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd composite.

- (i) If n is divisible by a perfect square different of 1, then n is not a Carmichael number.
- (ii) If n is square free (that is, it is not divisible by the square of any prime), then n is a Carmichael number  $\Leftrightarrow p-1|n-1$  for every prime p|n.

**Example.**  $n = 561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$  is a Carmichael number, because 560 is divisible by 2,10 and 16. This is the least Carmichael number.

It has been proved that there are infinitely-many Carmichael numbers, they being relatively rare.

For instance, there are only 105212 Carmichael numbers less than  $10^{15}$ .

### Miller-Rabin Test

- widely used in practice for RSA
- relies on the notion of strong pseudoprime

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (b, n) = 1. If n is pseudoprime to b, then  $b^{n-1} = 1 \pmod{n}$ .

#### Idea of the Miller-Rabin test:

Successively extract the square roots from the previous congruence, that is, raise b to  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{n-1}{4}$ , ...,  $\frac{n-1}{2^s}$ , where  $t=\frac{n-1}{2^s}$  is odd. Then the first result different of 1 has to be -1 if n is prime, because  $\pm 1$  are the only square roots modulo a prime of 1.

In practice, we write  $n-1=2^st$  for some odd t. Then compute  $b^t\pmod{n}$ . If it is not 1, then we compute its successive squares  $b^{2t}\pmod{n}$ ,  $b^{2^2t}\pmod{n}$  etc. until we get 1 and the algorithm stops because in the step immediately before getting 1, we should have obtained -1, otherwise n being composite.

Miller-Rabin Test relies on the following result:

#### Theorem

Let p be a prime. Then the equation

$$a^2 = 1 \pmod{p}$$

has only the solutions  $a = 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $a = -1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $a \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

We have

$$a^2 = 1 \pmod{p} \Leftrightarrow p|(a-1)(a+1).$$

It follows that p|a-1 or p|a+1.

If p|a-1, then a-1=0, because a-1 < p. Hence a=1.

If p|a+1, then a+1=0 or a+1=p, because a+1 < p+1.

Hence a = p - 1 = -1.

#### Definition

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd composite and write  $n-1=2^st$  for some odd t. Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (b,n)=1. If n and b satisfy the condition

$$b^t = 1 \pmod{n} \text{ or } \exists 0 \le j < s : b^{2^j t} = -1 \pmod{n}$$
 (2)

then n is called strong pseudoprime to the base b.

One can show that (2) holds for n prime and (b, n) = 1.

#### Theorem

Strong pseudoprime to the base  $b \Rightarrow$  pseudoprime to the base b.

**Example.** Let n = 65 and b = 14. We have  $65 - 1 = 2^6 \cdot 1$ . Then  $14 \neq \pm 1 \pmod{65}$ ,  $14^2 = 1 \pmod{65}$ , hence  $14^{2^j} = 1 \neq -1 \pmod{65}$  for  $1 \leq j < s = 6$ . Thus 65 is not strong pseudoprime to the base 14. But  $b^{n-1} = 14^{64} = 1 \pmod{65}$ , hence 65 is pseudoprime to the base 14.



#### Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an odd composite.

- (i) If n is a strong pseudoprime to b, then n is a strong pseudoprime to  $b^k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii) n is a strong pseudoprime to b for at most 25% of the values 0 < b < n.

In general, if n is a strong pseudoprime to a base  $b_1$  and to a base  $b_2$ , then it does not follow that n is a strong pseudoprime to the base  $b_1b_2$ .

**Example.** Consider n = 65. The number of possible bases is  $N = \varphi(n) = 4 \cdot 12 = 48$ . Then n is:

- (i) pseudoprime to the bases
- $\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 12, \pm 14, \pm 18, \pm 21, \pm 27, \pm 31.$  (N/3)
- (ii) strong pseudoprime to the bases
- $\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 18.$  (N/8)

- Let p be a prime. Write  $p 1 = 2^s \cdot t$ , where t is odd.
- Choose 1 < a < p.
- Consider the following sequence (computed by the repeated squaring modular exponentiation):

$$a^t, a^{2t}, a^{2^2t}, \dots, a^{2^st}$$

where each number is reduced modulo p.

- Characteristics of the sequence:
  - (1) Eventually it gets to the value 1 (and remains 1). [It follows by Fermat's Little Theorem:  $a^{2^st} = a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ , because p is prime.]
  - (2) The previous number in the sequence (if it does exist) to the first value 1 must be  $-1 \pmod{p}$ .

[It follows by the fact that  $\pm 1$  are the only square roots modulo p of 1.]



#### Miller-Rabin Test

- Miller-Rabin(n,k)
- Input:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 3$  odd, and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Output: n is composite or, with probability  $1 \frac{1}{4^k}$ , n is prime.
- Algorithm:
  - Step 0. Write  $n 1 = 2^{s}t$ , where t is odd.
  - Step 1. Choose (randomly) 1 < a < n.
  - Step 2. Compute (by the repeated squaring modular exponentiation) the following sequence (modulo n):

$$a^{t}, a^{2t}, a^{2^{2}t}, \dots, a^{2^{s}t}$$

Step 3. If either the first number in the sequence is 1 or if one gets the value 1 and its previous number -1, then n is possible to be prime and one repeats Steps 1-3 at most k times.

If one does not get to Step 4, then the algorithm stops and n is probable prime.

Step 4. The algorithm stops and n is composite.

#### Remarks

- If the algorithm gives the answer COMPOSITE, then this is for sure.
- If the algorithm gives the answer PRIME, then the probability of correct answer is  $1 \frac{1}{4^k}$ , where k is the number of repetitions.
- For k = 50, the probability that the Miller-Rabin Test gives a wrong PRIME answer is at most

$$\frac{1}{4^{50}} = \frac{1}{1267650600228229401496703205376}.$$

This is much less than the probability to obtain incorrect results because of a hardware error.

**Example.** Let us check with the Miller-Rabin test if n = 409 is prime (with 3 repetitions if necessary).

Step 0. Write  $n - 1 = 408 = 2^3 \cdot 51$ , hence s = 3 and t = 51.

Step 1. Choose a = 2.

Step 2. Compute the following sequence (modulo n = 409):

$$2^{51}, 2^{2\cdot 51}, 2^{2^2\cdot 51}, 2^{2^3\cdot 51}.$$

### Step 3. We have:

- $2^{51} = 143 \pmod{409}$  (repeated squaring modular exp.),
- $2^{2.51} = (2^{51})^2 = 143^2 = 408 = -1 \pmod{409}$ ,
- $2^{2^2 \cdot 51} = (2^{2 \cdot 51})^2 = (-1)^2 = 1 \pmod{409}$ ,
- $2^{2^3 \cdot 51} = (2^{2^2 \cdot 51})^2 = 1 \pmod{409}$ .

Hence n = 409 is possible to be prime [the sequence is: 143,-1,1,1].



$$k=2$$

Step 1. Choose a = 3.

Step 2. Compute the following sequence (modulo n = 409):

$$3^{51}, 3^{2 \cdot 51}, 3^{2^2 \cdot 51}, 3^{2^3 \cdot 51}.$$

### Step 3. We have:

- $3^{51} = 266 \pmod{409}$  (repeated squaring modular exp.),
- $3^{2.51} = (3^{51})^2 = 266^2 = 408 = -1 \pmod{409}$ ,
- $3^{2^2 \cdot 51} = (3^{2 \cdot 51})^2 = (-1)^2 = 1 \pmod{409}$ ,
- $3^{2^3 \cdot 51} = (3^{2^2 \cdot 51})^2 = 1 \pmod{409}$ .

Hence n = 409 is possible to be prime [the sequence is: 266,-1,1,1].

- Step 1. Choose a = 5.
- Step 2. Compute the following sequence (modulo n = 409):

$$5^{51}, 5^{2 \cdot 51}, 5^{2^2 \cdot 51}, 5^{2^3 \cdot 51}.$$

Step 3. We have:  $5^{51} = 1 \pmod{409}$  (repeated squaring modular exp.).

Hence n is possible to be prime [the sequence is: 1,1,1,1].

According to the algorithm, n=409 is probable prime. The probability of error is less than  $1/4^3$ .

**Example.** Let us check with the Miller-Rabin test if n = 413 is prime (with 3 repetitions if necessary).

Step 0. Write  $n - 1 = 412 = 2^2 \cdot 103$ , hence s = 2 and t = 103.

Step 1. Choose a = 2.

Step 2. Compute the following sequence (modulo n = 413):

$$2^{103}, 2^{2 \cdot 103}, 2^{2^2 \cdot 103}.$$

### Step 3. We have:

- $2^{103} = 72 \pmod{413}$  (repeated squaring modular exp.),
- $2^{2 \cdot 103} = (2^{103})^2 = 72^2 = 228 \pmod{413}$ ,
- $2^{2^2 \cdot 103} = (2^{2 \cdot 103})^2 = 228^2 = 359 \pmod{413}$ .

Hence n = 413 is surely composite [the sequence is: 72,228,359].



- In practice, we check just for few bases. For instance, there is only one composite number  $< 2, 5 \cdot 10^{10}$  that is strong pseudoprime to all the bases b = 2, 3, 5, 7.
- Let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_l$  be the first l primes and  $\psi_l$  the smallest positive composite integer which is a strong pseudoprime to all the bases  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_l$ . In order to determine the primality of any integer  $n < \psi_t$ , it is enough to apply Miller-Rabin to n with  $b = p_1, \ldots, p_l$ . In this way, the answer returned by Miller-Rabin is always correct.

I	$\psi_I$
1	2047
2	1373653
3	25326001
4	3215031751
5	2152302898747

### **AKS Test**

- Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena (2002)
- the first deterministic general polynomial-time algorithm for testing primality
- nevertheless, Miller-Rabin Test is used in practice, because AKS Test has a rather high (even if polynomial) complexity

Based on the following generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem:

#### Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that (a, n) = 1. Then n is prime  $\Leftrightarrow$  the following polynomial congruence holds

$$(X+a)^n = X^n + a \pmod{n}$$
.



# AKS Test (cont.)

A simple test for primality would be: given an input n, choose an a with (a, n) = 1 and test whether the congruence is satisfied.

However, this takes time O(n) because we need to evaluate n coefficients in the worst case.

A simple way to reduce the number of coefficients is to evaluate both sides of the congruence modulo a polynomial of the form  $X^r-1$  for an appropriately chosen small r. In other words, test if the following equation is satisfied:

$$(X+a)^n = X^n + a \pmod{X^r - 1, n}$$
 (1)

where for  $f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_n[X]$  we use the notation  $f = g \pmod{h, n}$  to represent the equation f = g in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n[X]/(h)$  (see a subsequent chapter on polynomials and finite fields).

# AKS Test (cont.)

All primes n satisfy the equation (1) for all values of a and r.

But some composites n may also satisfy the equation for a few values of a and r (and indeed they do).

However, we can almost restore the characterization: one shows that, for appropriately chosen r, if the equation (1) is satisfied for several a's then n must be a prime power. The number of a's and the appropriate r are both bounded by a polynomial in  $\log n$  and therefore, we get a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm for testing primality.

Given  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (a, r) = 1, we denote by  $o_r(a)$  the order of a modulo r (that is, the smallest non-zero power k of a such that  $a^k \mod r = 1$ ). We have  $o_r(a)|\varphi(r)$  (Euler's function) for any a with (a, r) = 1.

# AKS Test (cont.)

#### **AKS Test**

- Input:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ .
- Output: n is prime or composite.
- Algorithm:
  - 1. If  $(n = a^b \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } b > 1)$ , output COMPOSITE.
  - 2. Find the smallest r such that  $o_r(n) > 4 \log^2 n$ .
  - 3. If 1 < (a, n) < n for some  $a \le r$ , output COMPOSITE.
  - 4. If  $n \le r$ , output PRIME.
  - 5. For a = 1 to  $[2\sqrt{\varphi(r)}\log n]$  do
    If  $(X + a)^n \neq X^n + a \pmod{X^r 1, n}$ ,
    then output COMPOSITE.
  - 6. Output PRIME.

#### Theorem

AKS Test returns PRIME if and only if n is prime.

## Selective Bibliography

- M. Agrawal, N. Kayal, N. Saxena, *PRIMES is in P*, Annals of Mathematics, 160 (2004), 781–793.
- M. Cozzens, S.J. Miller, *The Mathematics of Encryption: An Elementary Introduction*, American Mathematical Society, 2013.
- N. Koblitz, A Course in Number Theory and Cryptography, Springer, 1994.
- A.J. Menezes, P.C. van Oorschot, S.A. Vanstone, *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*, CRC Press, 1997. [http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/hac]
- http://primes.utm.edu