

Research Report, October 2020

Title: An almost perfectly predictable Δ_2^0 -sequence with no optimal predictor

Author: Dariusz Kalociński, Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences

Abstract

In [2] it was shown that there exists a sequence, recursive in $0''$, which can be predicted with arbitrarily small asymptotic error but for which no predictor is optimal. Here, we consider the question of how complex such a sequence might be. We demonstrate that the complexity can be lifted to $0'$. Further questions are posed, in particular: can such a sequence be c.e.?

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Define $\rho_n(A) := \frac{|A \upharpoonright [n]|}{n+1}$, where $A \upharpoonright [n] = \chi_A \upharpoonright [0, n]$, $\bar{\rho}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(A)$, $\underline{\rho}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(A)$ and $\rho(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(A)$, if this limit exists. We say that sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are generically similar if $\rho(A \Delta B) = 0$. A proper predictor is a total computable function from $2^{<\omega}$ to $\{0, 1\}$. If f is a predictor and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $fA := \{n : f(A \upharpoonright [n-1]) = 1\}$ (assuming that $A \upharpoonright [-1] = \chi_A \upharpoonright [0, -1] = \chi_A \upharpoonright \emptyset = \emptyset$). We say that a proper predictor f is optimal for A if $\rho(A \Delta fA)$ exists and for every proper predictor g , if $\rho(A \Delta gA)$ exists then $\rho(A \Delta fA) \leq \rho(A \Delta gA)$.

Theorem 1 ([2]). *There exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that:*

1. *For every proper predictor f , it is not the case that $\rho(A \Delta fA) = 0$.*
2. *There exists an infinite sequence of proper predictors f_0, f_1, \dots such that $\lim_t \rho(A \Delta f_t A) = 0$.*

The construction used in [2] requires $0''$ as an oracle (one reason for this is that the enumeration of proper predictors is of such complexity). Here we show that the construction can be lifted to $0'$ which is much more interesting because sets $\leq 0'$ possess recursive approximations (in particular, the famous Chaitin constant belongs to $0'$).

Theorem 2. *There exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that:*

1. *For every proper predictor f , it is not the case that $\rho(A \Delta fA) = 0$.*
2. *There exists an infinite sequence of proper predictors f_0, f_1, \dots such that $\lim_t \rho(A \Delta f_t A) = 0$.*
3. *$A \leq 0'$.*

Proof. Construction. Let ϕ_0, ϕ_1, \dots be a canonical enumeration of all partial recursive functions from $2^{<\omega}$ to $\{0, 1\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that ϕ_0 is a proper predictor. Let $R_n = \{m : 2^k \mid \wedge 2^{k+1} \nmid m\} [1]$. The construction proceeds in stages $s = 0, 1, \dots$.

Stage 0. $\alpha_0 = 0$. Let $i_0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the identity function.

Stage $s + 1$. Let n be such that $s + 1 \in R_n$. If $\phi_{i_s(n)}(\beta) \downarrow$ for all β of length $\leq s + 1$, set $\alpha_{s+1} = \alpha_s(1 - \phi_{i_s(n)}(\alpha_s))$. Otherwise (i.e., if $\phi_{i_s(n)}(\beta) \uparrow$ for some β of length $\leq s + 1$), set $\alpha_{s+1} := \alpha_s(1 - \phi_0(\alpha_s))$ and $i_{s+1}(n) := 0$.

We set $A = \bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s$.

Verification. The idea of the construction is similar to the one from [2]. Each ϕ_k is assigned numbers from R_k which is a set of density $2^{-(k+1)}$. If ϕ_k is a proper predictor, then the construction makes ϕ_k to fail on R_k , just as it was in [2].

The main difference lies in cases where ϕ_k is not a proper predictor. Then at some stage $t + 1$ we have $t + 1 \in R_k$, $i(n) = k$ and $\phi_k(\beta) \uparrow$ for some β of length $\leq t + 1$ (simply put: we discover that ϕ_k is not total). In that case ϕ_k is not assigned to elements from R_k anymore. Instead, ϕ_0 is. Since up to stage $t + 1$ at most finitely many elements from R_k have been handled, the construction makes ϕ_0 to fail on the rest of the elements from R_k . Hence $\rho(A \cap R_k \triangle \phi_0 A \cap R_k) = \rho(R_k)$.

The contents of the proof from [2] remain virtually unchanged, except that h_0 , X are replaced by ϕ_0 , A , respectively. Moreover, instead of finding appropriate h_i 's, ϕ_i 's are to be found. Let us briefly recall that we show that there exists a proper predictor g such that $\rho(A \triangle g A) = \frac{1}{2}$. For each predictor f such that $\rho(A \triangle f A)$ is defined, we show that there exists a proper predictor f' such that $\rho(A \triangle f A) > \rho(A \triangle f' A)$.

Finally, we show that there exist predictors f_0, f_1, \dots such that $\lim_n \rho(A \triangle f_n A) = 0$. This is done as follows. We set $f_0 = g$ (see paragraph above). Suppose f_n is defined. Let $e = \lim_s i_s(n)$ (note that $e = n$ or $e = 0$). Define $f_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x)$ if $|x| \notin R_n$ and $f_{n+1}(x) = 1 - \phi_e(x)$ if $|x| \in R_n$. This makes $\rho(A \triangle f_{n+1} A) = \rho(A \triangle f_n A) - \rho(R_n)$. Since $\rho(A \triangle f_0 A) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho(R_i) = \frac{1}{2}$, we clearly have $\lim_n \rho(A \triangle f_n A) = 0$. \square

By the limit lemma [4, 3], the set A from the above theorem is Δ_2^0 .

It is not known whether there exists a computably enumerable sequence satisfying all the properties listed in Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Carl G. Jockusch and Paul E. Schupp. Generic computability, Turing degrees, and asymptotic density. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 85(2):472–490, 2012.
- [2] Dariusz Kalociński and Tomasz Steifer. On unstable and unoptimal prediction. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 65(2):218–227, 2019. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/malq.201800085>.
- [3] Hilary Putnam. Trial and Error Predicates and the Solution to a Problem of Mostowski. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 30(1):49–57, 1965.
- [4] Joseph R Shoenfield. On degrees of unsolvability. *Annals of mathematics*, 69:644–653, 1959.