What are the key considerations, conceptual frameworks, and specific messaging patterns involving Agent Communication Protocol (ACP) or Agent-to-Agent Protocol (A2A) for establishing decentralized health data exchange and enabling predictive maintenance task initiation between Distributed Energy Resource (DER) agents and maintenance provider agents? This query is designed to guide Elicit in generating a report that touches upon: * Existing or proposed **conceptual frameworks** applicable to the scenario. * The role and specific **messaging patterns** of **ACP and A2A**. * The context of **decentralized health data exchange** for **DERs**. * The mechanisms for **predictive maintenance task initiation** between distinct agents. * Overall **key considerations** (e.g., security, scalability, interoperability) for such a system.

While existing multi-agent systems employ various protocols and frameworks for security and interoperability, there is a notable research gap in specific messaging patterns for both health data exchange and predictive maintenance task initiation between DER agents and maintenance providers.

Abstract

Decentralized control of distributed energy resources relies on multi-agent systems that use standardized messaging and consensus-based frameworks. Studies report that agents commonly interact via publish/subscribe, peer-to-peer, and client-server models. Protocols such as IEC 61850, Open Automated Demand Response, the Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents frameworks, and blockchain are deployed to ensure security, interoperability, and scalability. Hierarchical, holonic, and bottom-up structures are used to manage complexity and coordinate distributed decision-making.

Approaches based on ontological modeling, federated computation, and blockchain offer secure, privacy-preserving means for data sharing that may be adapted for health data exchange; however, none of the reviewed studies specifically address decentralized health data transmission between agents. Similarly, while methods such as anomaly detection and consensus-based scheduling are discussed, no study details messaging patterns or protocols for initiating predictive maintenance tasks between distributed energy resource agents and maintenance providers.

Paper search

Using your research question ”What are the key considerations, conceptual frameworks, and specific messaging patterns involving Agent Communication Protocol (ACP) or Agent-to-Agent Protocol (A2A) for establishing decentralized health data exchange and enabling predictive maintenance task initiation between

Distributed Energy Resource (DER) agents and maintenance provider agents? This query is designed to guide Elicit in generating a report that touches upon:

- Existing or proposed **conceptual frameworks** applicable to the scenario.
- The role and specific **messaging patterns** of **ACP and A2A**.
- The context of **decentralized health data exchange** for **DERs**.
- The mechanisms for **predictive maintenance task initiation** between distinct agents.
- Overall **key considerations** (e.g., security, scalability, interoperability) for such a system.", we searched across over 126 million academic papers from the Semantic Scholar corpus. We retrieved the 500 papers most relevant to the query.

Screening

We screened in papers that met these criteria:

- **Agent Communication Protocol**: Does the study examine agent communication protocols (ACP) or agent-to-agent (A2A) protocols in the context of distributed energy resources?
- **DER Management**: Does the research involve distributed energy resources (DER) monitoring or management using agent-based approaches?
- **Decentralized Architecture**: Does the study discuss decentralized data exchange architectures involving multiple agents?
- **Technical Requirements**: Does the study address at least one of the following: security, scalability, or interoperability in agent-based DER systems?
- **Implementation Framework**: Does the paper present concrete frameworks or protocols that could be implemented in agent-based energy management systems?
- **Control Architecture**: Does the study include decentralized or distributed control elements (rather than solely centralized control)?
- **Application Domain**: Is the agent-based system specifically applied to energy-related applications?
- **Multi-Agent System**: Does the study examine interactions between multiple agents (rather than single-agent systems)?

We considered all screening questions together and made a holistic judgement about whether to screen in each paper.

Data extraction

We asked a large language model to extract each data column below from each paper. We gave the model the extraction instructions shown below for each column.

- **Conceptual Framework for Decentralized Energy Data Exchange**:

  Locate and extract the specific conceptual framework or architectural approach used for decentralized energy data exchange. Look in the introduction, methods, or discussion sections.

Provide details on:

- Key components of the framework
- Underlying principles (e.g., decentralization, privacy preservation)
- Specific technologies enabling the framework (e.g., blockchain, federated computation)

If multiple frameworks are described, list all. If no explicit framework is defined, note "Not explicitly defined" and extract any relevant architectural descriptions.

Example format:

- Framework type: Blockchain-based decentralized framework
- Key components: Smart contracts, distributed ledger
- Enabling technologies: Permissioned blockchain, IoT devices

- **Messaging Patterns for Agent Communication**:

  Identify and extract specific details about Agent Communication Protocol (ACP) or Agent-to-Agent (A2A) messaging patterns.

Look in methodology, system design, or communication protocol sections. Extract:

- Communication protocols used (e.g., MQTT, TCP/IP)
- Specific messaging mechanisms
- Data exchange patterns between agents
- Communication layer descriptions

If multiple communication approaches are described, list all. If no specific patterns are detailed, note "Not explicitly defined".

Example format:

- Local communication protocol: Internet Protocol (IP)/Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)
- Global communication protocol: Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT)
- Agent interaction mechanism: Distributed diffusion algorithm

- **Predictive Maintenance and Task Initiation Mechanisms**:

  Extract details about how predictive maintenance tasks are initiated between agents.

Search methods, results, and discussion sections for:

- Specific mechanisms for task initiation
- Automation processes
- Decision-making criteria for maintenance tasks
- Quantitative performance metrics related to maintenance

If no explicit predictive maintenance mechanism is described, note "Not described".

Example format:

- Maintenance task initiation: Automated smart contract execution
- Decision criteria: Predefined performance thresholds
- Performance improvement: 20.65% system efficiency gain

- **Key Considerations and System Limitations**:

  Identify and extract key considerations for the decentralized energy system.

Look in introduction, discussion, and limitations sections for:

- Security considerations

- Scalability challenges
- Interoperability mechanisms
- Privacy preservation strategies
- Identified system constraints

Extract both explicit statements and implied challenges.

Example format:

- Security approach: Privacy-preserving blockchain infrastructure
- Interoperability method: OpenADR communication standardization
- Key challenges: Data silos, heterogeneous system agents

Results

Characteristics of Included Studies

Study	Study Focus (Distributed Energy Resource/Microgrid/Energy Trading)	Protocol Type (Agent Communication Protocol/Agent-Agent/Other)	Architecture Type (Centralized/Decentralized/Hybrid)	Primary Implementation (Context)	Full text retrieved
Cintuglu et al., 2015	Smart grid, Distributed Energy Resource, ancillary services	IEC 61850, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Laboratory smart grid testbed	No
Logenthiran et al., 2008	Microgrid, Distributed Energy Resource management	Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents contract net, Java Agent DEvelopment Framework	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Software simulation	No
Sampath et al., 2021	Peer-to-Peer energy trading, smart grid	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, market)	Case studies, simulation	No

Study	Study Focus (Distributed Energy Resource/Microgrid/Energy Trading)	Protocol Type (Agent Communication Protocol/Agent-Agent/Other)	Architecture Type (Centralized/Decentralized/Hybrid)	Primary Implementation (Context)	Full text retrieved
Howell et al., 2016	Holonic energy optimization, smart homes	Ontological modeling, Common Information Model, Open Automated Demand Response	Decentralized (holonic Multi-Agent System)	Use case, simulation	No
Orfanoudakis and Chalkiadakis, 2023	Distributed Energy Resource aggregation, flexibility	Blockchain, Local Flexibility Entities	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, blockchain)	Power Trading Agent Competition simulator	Yes
Saxena et al., 2021	Smart grid control, voltage regulation	Data Distribution Service	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Software-in-the-loop, real-world microgrid	No
Patsonakis et al., 2019	Demand response, trust, Distributed Energy Resource	Blockchain, Open Automated Demand Response	Decentralized (blockchain, Internet of Things)	Case study, simulation	No
Mohammadi and Thornburg, 2020	Energy flexibility, federated computation	Federated computation, VOLTTRON	Decentralized (federated Multi-Agent System)	Field test, commercial buildings	Yes
von Tüllenburg et al., 2017	Flexibility trading, messaging	Application layer messaging	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Architecture proposal	No
Alhasnawi et al., 2021	Microgrid, Internet of Things, Peer-to-Peer control	Internet Protocol/Transmission Control Protocol, Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, ThingSpeak	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, Internet of Things)	Simulation, IEEE 9-node feeder	Yes

Study	Study Focus (Distributed Energy Resource/Microgrid/Energy Trading)	Protocol Type (Agent Communication Protocol/Agent-Agent/Other)	Architecture Type (Centralized/Decentralized/Hybrid)	Primary Implementation (Context)	Full text retrieved
Schindler et al., 2020	Decentralized energy market, Peer-to-Peer	Gossip protocol, Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture	Decentralized (gossip, Multi-Agent System)	Simulation, Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture modules	Yes
Prabawa and Choi, 2020	Service restoration, Distributed Energy Resource, storage	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, 3-layer)	IEEE 33-bus system	No
Veith, 2017	Distributed power generation	Local Peer-to-Peer Energy Protocol, International Organization for Standardization/Open Systems Interconnection	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, overlay)	Theoretical, protocol design	Yes
Cintuglu and Mohammed, 2015	Microgrid operation, interoperability	IEC 61850, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Laboratory testbed	No
Wang et al., 2021	Community energy, market, Model Predictive Control	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, consensus)	Simulation	No
Aghamohammadi et al., 2024	Peer-to-Peer trading, reliability, misbehavior	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, optimization)	IEEE 37/69-bus systems	No

Study	Study Focus (Distributed Energy Resource/Microgrid/Energy Trading)	Protocol Type (Agent Communication Protocol/Agent-Agent/Other)	Architecture Type (Centralized/Decentralized/Hybrid)	Primary Implementation (Content)	Full text retrieved
Tsolakis et al., 2018	Demand response, security	Blockchain, Open Automated Demand Response	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, blockchain)	Architecture proposal	No
Nasri et al., 2014	Microgrid, converter coordination	Publish/subscribe model	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System)	Direct Current shipboard microgrid	No
Ding et al., 2022	Distributed Energy Resource management, federated architecture	No mention found	Decentralized (federated)	System-level specification	No
Karumba et al., 2022	Energy trading, blockchain, Internet of Things	Blockchain, hypergraph	Decentralized (blockchain, hypergraph)	Simulation	No
Liu et al., 2021	Microgrid, hierarchical control	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, hierarchical)	Simulation, experiments	No
Nasiri et al., 2024	State estimation, trust, security	Blockchain, consensus	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, blockchain)	IEEE 14-bus system	No
Wedde et al., 2010	Distributed energy management	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, bottom-up)	Simulation, DEZENT algorithm	Yes
Kilthau et al., 2023	Peer-to-Peer energy market, topology	No mention found	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, topology optimization)	IEEE 33/119-bus networks	No

Saxena et al., 2018	Voltage regulation, smart inverters	Data Distribution Service	Decentralized (Multi-Agent System, Internet of Things)	Real-world microgrid	No

Study Focus

- Distributed Energy Resource/Smart grid applications:Addressed in 6 studies.
- Microgrid-related topics:Found in 5 studies.
- Energy trading or market mechanisms (including Peer-to-Peer and flexibility trading):Focus in 6 studies.
- Flexibility (including aggregation and trading):Focus in 3 studies.
- Demand response:Addressed in 2 studies.
- Voltage regulation:Focus in 2 studies.
- Security, trust, reliability, or misbehavior:Addressed in 4 studies.
- Other topics (state estimation, service restoration, distributed management/generation, community energy, energy optimization, storage, interoperability, topology, converter coordination):Each found in 1-2 studies.
- We did not find mention of studies outside these categories in the included set.

Protocol Type

- Blockchain-based protocols:Used in 6 studies.
- Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (including contract net):Used in 3 studies.
- Open Automated Demand Response:Used in 3 studies.
- IEC 61850:Used in 2 studies.
- Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture:Used in 2 studies.
- Data Distribution Service:Used in 2 studies.
- Other protocols (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, Gossip, Federated computation, VOLTTRON, Application layer messaging, Internet Protocol/Transmission Control Protocol, ThingSpeak, Local Peer-to-Peer Energy Protocol, International Organization for Standardization/Open Systems Interconnection, Publish/subscribe, Hypergraph, Ontological modeling, Common Information Model, Java Agent DEvelopment Framework, Consensus):Each used in 1 study.
- We didn't find mention of protocol information in 8 studies.

Architecture Type

- Decentralized architectures:Used in all included studies.
- Multi-Agent Systems:Used in 20 studies, including holonic Multi-Agent System (1 study), federated Multi-Agent System (1), hierarchical Multi-Agent System (1), gossip-based Multi-Agent System (1), and bottom-up Multi-Agent System (1).
- Blockchain:Part of the architecture in 5 studies.
- Internet of Things:Part of the architecture in 4 studies.
- Other architectural features (federated, market, 3-layer, overlay, optimization, hypergraph, topology optimization):Each found in 1-2 studies.

Primary Implementation Context

- Simulation-based evaluation (including case studies, use cases, Power Trading Agent Competition, DEZENT):Found in 11 studies.
- IEEE test systems (9/14/33/37/69/119-bus):Used in 5 studies.
- Laboratory or field testbeds:Used in 2 studies.
- Real-world or experimental implementations (including software-in-the-loop, commercial buildings, Direct Current shipboard microgrid, real-world microgrid):Found in 4 studies.
- Theoretical, architecture proposal, or system-level specification:Primary context in 4 studies.

Thematic Analysis

Decentralized Control Architectures

- Multi-Agent System Frameworks:
  - Most studies use Multi-Agent Systems for decentralized control, with agents representing Distributed Energy Resources, microgrids, or market participants.
  - Hierarchical (primary/secondary/tertiary) and holonic structures are used to manage complexity and scalability.
  - Consensus mechanisms, such as consensus-based market schemes and distributed optimization, are common for coordination.
- Consensus Mechanisms:
  - Consensus is achieved via mathematical algorithms, including alternating direction method of multipliers, distributed diffusion, and consensus-based Kalman filters.
  - These approaches support distributed decision-making and resilience.
- Hierarchical and Holonic Structures:
  - Hierarchical control (primary/secondary/tertiary) is used for spatial and temporal coordination.
  - Holonic systems emphasize autonomy, connectivity, and emergence, supporting scalable and robust solutions.

Communication Protocol Patterns

- Agent Communication Protocols:
  - Where specified, protocols include:
    * Data Distribution Service: Low-latency, distributed messaging.
    * Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, Internet Protocol/Transmission Control Protocol: Local/global communication.
    * Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture: Client-server and publish/subscribe models.
    * Local Peer-to-Peer Energy Protocol: Overlay, peer-to-peer protocol.
    * Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents contract net: Agent coordination.
    * Publish/subscribe: Messaging pattern.

- Message Exchange Patterns:
  - Patterns include peer-to-peer, publish/subscribe, client-server, and consensus-based exchanges.
  - Some studies use graph-based or overlay networks for routing and message management.
- Protocol Standardization Approaches:
  - Interoperability is often achieved via standards such as IEC 61850, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, Open Automated Demand Response, Common Information Model, and ontologies.
  - Blockchain and federated computation are also used for secure, standardized exchanges.

Health Data Exchange Mechanisms

- Data Interoperability Frameworks:
  - While we didn't find mention of direct health data exchange for Distributed Energy Resources, several frameworks are adaptable:
    * Ontological modeling for semantic interoperability.
    * Federated computation for privacy-preserving, cross-entity data sharing.
    * Blockchain for secure, auditable data exchange.
- Health Monitoring Approaches:
  - We didn't find mention of explicit health monitoring or health data exchange mechanisms in the included studies.
  - However, agent-based frameworks with local data processing and privacy-preserving computation could support such use cases.
- Information Sharing Patterns:
  - Patterns include distributed, privacy-preserving data sharing (federated, blockchain), and ontology-based semantic exchange.
  - These approaches could be adapted for health data exchange, but we didn't find mention of such applications in the included studies.

Predictive Maintenance Systems

- Agent Coordination Methods:
  - We didn't find mention of explicit predictive maintenance task initiation between Distributed Energy Resource agents and maintenance provider agents in the included studies.
  - Some studies discuss anomaly detection, forecasting, or general automation, but not maintenance-specific workflows.
- Task Initiation Protocols:
  - Not described in any included study.
  - Some frameworks (blockchain, smart contracts) could support automated task initiation, but this is not demonstrated for maintenance.
- Maintenance Scheduling Approaches:

- Not described.
- Some studies mention scheduling for energy dispatch or flexibility, but not for maintenance.

Implementation Challenges, Solutions, and Outcomes

Study	Implementation Challenge	Proposed Solutions	Key Considerations	Reported Outcomes
Cintuglu et al., 2015	Interoperability, scalability	IEC 61850, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture	Interoperability, scalability	Demonstrated Multi-Agent System in lab testbed
Logenthiran et al., 2008	Scalability, coordination	Multi-Agent System, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents contract net	Scalability, interoperability	Scalable microgrid simulation
Sampath et al., 2021	Privacy, grid constraints	Decentralized market, anonymity	Privacy, scalability	Effective, scalable market
Howell et al., 2016	Heterogeneity, complexity	Holonic Multi-Agent System, ontologies	Interoperability, scalability	Proof-of-concept interoperability
Orfanoudakis and Chalkiadakis, 2023	Privacy, communication complexity	Local Flexibility Entities, blockchain	Privacy, scalability	Increased payments, scalable
Saxena et al., 2021	Communication reliability	Data Distribution Service, Quality of Service profiles	Interoperability, reliability	Low-latency, robust messaging
Patsonakis et al., 2019	Trust, interoperability	Blockchain, Open Automated Demand Response	Security, privacy	Secure, auditable demand response
Mohammadi and Thornburg, 2020	Data silos, privacy	Federated computation	Privacy, interoperability	Field test, privacy preserved
von Tüllenburg et al., 2017	Robustness, scalability	Application layer messaging	Reliability, scalability	Robust, scalable messaging

Study	Implementation Challenge	Proposed Solutions	Key Considerations	Reported Outcomes
Alhasnawi et al., 2021	Privacy, communication	Internet Protocol/Transmission Control Protocol, Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, ThingSpeak	Privacy, interoperability	Efficient, reliable control
Schindler et al., 2020	Security, scalability	Gossip, Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture, Attribute-Based Access Control	Security, interoperability	Secure, plug-and-play
Prabawa and Choi, 2020	Resource allocation	3-layer Multi-Agent System, algorithms	Interoperability, security	Improved restoration
Veith, 2017	Security, efficiency	Local Peer-to-Peer Energy Protocol, overlay network	Security, scalability	Efficient, flexible protocol
Cintuglu and Mohammed, 2015	Reliability, interoperability	IEC 61850, Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents	Reliability, scalability	Reliable microgrid operation
Wang et al., 2021	Uncertainty, robustness	Consensus, Model Predictive Control	Interoperability, robustness	Robust, distributed dispatch
Aghamohammadi et al., 2024	Scalability, reliability	Decentralized optimization	Scalability, security	Sustainable operation
Tsolakis et al., 2018	Security, interoperability	Blockchain, Open Automated Demand Response	Security, interoperability	Secure, modular demand response
Nasri et al., 2014	Complexity, scalability	Publish/subscribe model	Scalability, efficiency	Efficient coordination
Ding et al., 2022	Aggregation, integration	Federated architecture	Scalability, interoperability	Scalable, integrated Distributed Energy Resource Management System
Karumba et al., 2022	Scalability, privacy	Hypergraph blockchain	Scalability, privacy	Improved Decentralized Energy Trading performance

Study	Implementation Challenge	Proposed Solutions	Key Considerations	Reported Outcomes
Liu et al., 2021	Scalability, robustness	Hierarchical Multi-Agent System	Scalability, robustness	Robust, scalable control
Nasiri et al., 2024	Cybersecurity, trust	Blockchain, consensus	Security, privacy	Accurate, secure Distributed State Estimation
Wedde et al., 2010	Security, unpredictability	Bottom-up Multi-Agent System, DEZENT	Security, scalability	Robust, scalable management
Kilthau et al., 2023	Communication effort	Topology optimization	Scalability, efficiency	Reduced communication
Saxena et al., 2018	Interoperability, scalability	Data Distribution Service, Internet of Things	Interoperability, scalability	Distributed voltage regulation

Implementation Challenges

- Scalability:Most frequently cited challenge, found in 10 studies.
- Interoperability and privacy:Each cited in 5 studies.
- Security:Cited in 4 studies.
- Communication-related challenges (including reliability, complexity, and effort):Cited in 4 studies.
- Robustness:Cited in 3 studies.
- Trust and complexity:Each cited in 2 studies.
- Other challenges (reliability, aggregation/integration, resource allocation, heterogeneity, grid constraints, data silos, uncertainty, efficiency, cybersecurity, coordination, unpredictability):Each cited in 1 study.

Proposed Solutions

- Multi-Agent Systems (including holonic, hierarchical, and bottom-up):Proposed in 6 studies.
- Blockchain-based solutions (including hypergraph blockchain):Proposed in 5 studies.
- Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents and Open Automated Demand Response protocols:Proposed in 3 and 2 studies, respectively.
- Other solutions:IEC 61850 (2 studies), Data Distribution Service (2 studies), federated computation/architecture (2 studies), consensus mechanisms (2 studies), and a variety of other approaches (each in 1 study), such as application layer messaging, Message Queuing Telemetry Transport, ThingSpeak, Internet Protocol/Transmission Control Protocol, ontologies, decentralized market, publish/subscribe model, and topology optimization.

Reported Outcomes

- Demonstrated scalability (including scalable operation, control, messaging, or market):Reported in 7 studies.
- Robustness:Demonstrated in 5 studies.

- Security-related outcomes (secure operation, auditable, plug-and-play):Reported in 4 studies.
- Efficiency:Demonstrated in 3 studies.
- Other outcomes:Privacy preservation, reliability, interoperability, market effectiveness, increased payments, auditability, field test, restoration improvement, flexibility, sustainability, modularity, integration, performance improvement, distributed dispatch/control/management, communication reduction, voltage regulation, accuracy, low-latency, plug-and-play, and improved coordination were each reported in 1 study.

We didn't find mention of negative or unsuccessful outcomes in the included studies. All reported outcomes described positive demonstrations or improvements in the targeted challenge areas.

References

A. Tsolakis, I. Moschos, K. Votis, D. Ioannidis, D. Tzovaras, Pankaj Pandey, S. Katsikas, E. Kotsakis, and R. García-Castro. "A Secured and Trusted Demand Response System Based on Blockchain Technologies." *International Symposium on INnovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications*, 2018.

B. Alhasnawi, Basil H. Jasim, Zain-Aldeen S. A. Rahman, J. Guerrero, and M. D. Esteban. "A Novel Internet of Energy Based Optimal Multi-Agent Control Scheme for Microgrid Including Renewable Energy Resources." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2021.

Christos Patsonakis, Sofia Terzi, I. Moschos, D. Ioannidis, K. Votis, and D. Tzovaras. "Permissioned Blockchains and Virtual Nodes for Reinforcing Trust Between Aggregators and Prosumers in Energy Demand Response Scenarios." *2019 IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2019 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC / I&CPS Europe)*, 2019.

Eric M. S. P. Veith. "Universal Smart Grid Agent for Distributed Power Generation Management," 2017.

Farshid Aghamohammadi, A. Abbaspour, H. Saber, S. Fattaheian-Dehkordi, and M. Lehtonen. "Decentralized Energy Management of Multiagent Distribution Systems Considering the Grid Reliability and Agent Misbehavior." *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2024.

Fei Ding, Weijia Liu, Jason S. MacDonald, James Ogle, Annabelle Pratt, and M. Baggu. "Federated Architecture for Secure and Transactive Distributed Energy Resource Management Solutions (FAST-DERMS)," 2022.

Ferdinand von Tüllenburg, Georg Panholzer, J. Du, A. Soares, Fred Spiessens, D. Geysen, and Dominic Ectors. "An Agent-Based Flexibility Trading Architecture with Scalable and Robust Messaging." *IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, 2017.

H. Wedde, S. Lehnhoff, C. Rehtanz, and O. Krause. "Intelligent Agents Under Collaborative Control in Emerging Power Systems," 2010.

J. Mohammadi, and J. Thornburg. "Connecting Distributed Pockets of Energy Flexibility Through Federated Computations: Limitations and Possibilities." *International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications*, 2020.

J. Schindler, Asmaa Tellabi, and K. Waedt. "Gossip Protocol Approach for a Decentralized Energy Market with OPC UA Client-Server Communication." *GI-Jahrestagung*, 2020.

L. P. M. I. Sampath, Amrit Paudel, H. Nguyen, Eddy Y. S. Foo, and H. Gooi. "Peer-to-Peer Energy Trading Enabled Optimal Decentralized Operation of Smart Distribution Grids." *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2021.

M. Cintuglu, Harold Martin, and O. Mohammed. "An Intelligent Multi Agent Framework for Active Distribution Networks Based on IEC 61850 and FIPA Standards." *International Symposium on Antennas and*

Propagation, 2015.

M. Cintuglu, and O. Mohammed. "Multiagent-Based Decentralized Operation of Microgrids Considering Data Interoperability." *IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, 2015.

M. Nasri, H. Ginn, and M. Moallem. "Application of Intelligent Agent Systems for Real-Time Coordination of Power Converters (RCPC) in Microgrids." *European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics*, 2014.

Maximilian Kilthau, Daniel Ansari, and Alexander Fay. "Distributed Topology Optimization for Agent-Based Peer-to-Peer Energy Market." *IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, 2023.

Mengxiang Liu, Zheyuan Cheng, Zhenyong Zhang, M. Sun, Ruilong Deng, Peng Cheng, and M. Chow. "A Multi-Agent System Based Hierarchical Control Framework for Microgrids." *IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting*, 2021.

Panggah Prabawa, and Dae-Hyun Choi. "Multi-Agent Framework for Service Restoration in Distribution Systems With Distributed Generators and Static/Mobile Energy Storage Systems." *IEEE Access*, 2020.

S. Saxena, H. Farag, and Nader A. El-Taweel. "A Distributed Communication Framework for Smart Grid Control Applications Based on Data Distribution Service." *Electric Power Systems Research*, 2021.

S. Saxena, Nader A. El-Taweel, H. Farag, and L. S. Hilaire. "Design and Field Implementation of a Multi-Agent System for Voltage Regulation Using Smart Inverters and Data Distribution Service (DDS)." *Electrical Power and Energy Conference*, 2018.

Saeed Nasiri, H. Seifi, and Hamed Delkhosh. "A Secure Power System Distributed State Estimation via a Consensus-Based Mechanism and a Cooperative Trust Management Strategy." *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2024.

Samuel Karumba, S. Kanhere, R. Jurdak, and Subbu Sethuvenkatraman. "HARB: A Hypergraph-Based Adaptive Consortium Blockchain for Decentralized Energy Trading." *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2022.

Shaun K. Howell, Y. Rezgui, J. Hippolyte, and M. Mourshed. "Semantic Interoperability for Holonic Energy Optimization of Connected Smart Homes and Distributed Energy Resources," 2016.

Stavros Orfanoudakis, and G. Chalkiadakis. "A Novel Multiagent Flexibility Aggregation Framework." *arXiv.org*, 2023.

T. Logenthiran, D. Srinivasan, and D. Wong. "Multi-Agent Coordination for DER in MicroGrid." *International Conference on System Engineering and Technology*, 2008.

Xiaodi Wang, You-bo Liu, Junbo Zhao, and Junyong Liu. "A Hybrid Agent-Based Model Predictive Control Scheme for Smart Community Energy System with Uncertain DGs and Loads," 2021.