# Divisors, Picard group and Kodaira embedding theorem

### darknmt

# February 26, 2018

# Contents

1	Divisors and Picard group	1
	1	1
	1.2 Divisors, line bundles and sheaves	2
	1.2.1 From divisors to Picard group	3
	1.2.2 The corresponding line bundle of $\mathcal{O}(Y)$	3
2	Example: Projective space	4
	2.1 $\mathcal{O}(d)$ and its sections	4
	2.2 Line bundles and maps to projective space, Veronese embedding	4
	2.3 Canonical bundle and Euler sequence	4
3	Blowing-up	5
	3.1 Blowing-up	5
4	Kodaira vanishing theorem	6
5	The traditional proof of Kodaira embedding theorem	6
6	An analytic proof by Donaldson	7
1	Divisors and Picard group	
1.	Holomorphic line bundles and first Chern class	
Α	complex line bundle is a 2 dimensional vector bundle with a complex	ex
	icture on each fiber, i.e. each change of coordinates $g_{ij}: U_j \cap U_i \times \mathbb{R}^2$	
	$U_j \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is <i>i</i> -linear, i.e. $g_{ij}$ can be represented by a function $U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \emptyset$	

A <u>holomorphic line bundle</u> is a complex line bundle that is also a complex manifold with the projection being holomorphic. In the same notation, the  $g_{ij}$  are now holomorphic functions.

A <u>hermitian metric</u> on a line bundle L is a positive sesquilinear form on each fiber. To define the <u>Chern form</u> of L, let U be an open set of X over which L is trivialized and  $s_x$  is a holomorphic section of L over U that is non-vanishing, then one defines

$$\omega_{L,h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |s|_h^2$$

which is independent of s since the ratio of two different s is in  $\mathcal{O}^*(U)$ .

**Remark 1.** A Chern form is a real (1,1) form.

**Proposition 0.1.** The set of isomorphic class of holomorphic line bundle is in one-to-one correspondence to  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ 

The proof of this fact is straightforward, but it is worth to remark that this result convinces us that the natural mapping  $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U},X) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{V},X)$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finer open covering than  $\mathcal{V}$  is injective, since a line bundle is completely defined by *one* set of change of coordinates  $(g_{ij})$ .

Now the <u>Chern class</u> of a holomorphic line bundle is the class of  $\omega_{L,h}$  in  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ , which turns out to be independent of h and is in fact lies in the image of  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) = H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . In fact, the class of  $\omega_{L,h}$  can be defined using the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0$$

where the injective arrow is the multiplication by  $i2\pi$  and the surjective one is exponential. The Chern map is in fact  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . To prove this, one uses a double complex whose horizontal is the de Rham resolution and vertical is the Čech resolution and diagram chasing.

#### 1.2 Divisors, line bundles and sheaves

**Remark 2.** 1. A holomorphic line bundle is the same as a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank 1.

2. An isomorphic class of line bundles is the same as a locally free isomorphic sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank 1.

### 1.2.1 From divisors to Picard group

A <u>divisor</u> is a formal sum of irreducible hypersurface, which can also be intepreted as an element of  $\check{H}^0(X, K_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$ , which gives a mapping  $Div(X) \longrightarrow \check{H}^0(X, K_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$  with <u>principal divisors</u> being exactly sent to elements of  $K_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$  coming from  $K_X^*$ . multiplicative).

Since the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow K_X^* \longrightarrow K_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, one has an application  $\mathcal{O}: Div(X) = H^0(X, K_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = Pic(X)$ . The kernel of  $\mathcal{O}$  corresponds to the space of principal divisors. It is however worth having details of the application  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Let  $D = (U_i, f_i) \in Div(X)$  where  $f_i$  are meromorphic function on  $U_i$  with  $f_i/f_j \in \mathcal{O}_X^*$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  is defined as following:

$$\mathcal{O}(D)(U_i) = f_i^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$$

. Note that if D is effective, i.e.  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$  then  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$  is the sheaf of holomorphic functions vanishing on D.

**Remark 3.** To resume, here are some basic consequence of the above discussion: If D is effective then

- 1. If D is effective then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D) \neq 0$ .
- 2. If D is effective then  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$  is the sheaf of holomorphic function vanishing on D. Therefore  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$  can be viewed as a ideal subsheaf of  $K_X$  and one has the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_D$  is the sheaf of "regular functions" on D.

- 3. If L is a holomorphic line bundle and  $0 \neq s \in H^0(X, L)$  then  $\mathcal{O}(Z(s)) \equiv L$
- 4. If D is effective then  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  has a non-zero global section, for example section  $1 = (U_i, f_i)$

### 1.2.2 The corresponding line bundle of $\mathcal{O}(Y)$

**Proposition 0.2.** Let Y be a hypersurface of X, then the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_{Y,X}$  the normal line bundle of Y in X.

By consequence,  $K_Y = (K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(Y))|_Y$ .

### 2 Example: Projective space

### 2.1 $\mathcal{O}(d)$ and its sections

Let's have some examples for the point of view discussed above, starting with the torsion sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ .

The sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ , called <u>tautological sheaf</u>, is an invertible sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that the fiber over  $l \in \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  of the corresponding line bundle is l itself. Let  $l = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n]$   $inU_i$  then a point in l is of form  $t_i[\frac{x_0}{x_i} :, \cdots : \frac{x_n}{x_i}]$  with coordinates in  $U_i$  being  $t_i$ . So the change of coordinates from chart  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  is, since  $\frac{t_j}{x_i} = \frac{t_i}{x_j}$ :

$$g_{ji} = \frac{x_i}{x_j}$$

One notes by  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  the dual of  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(d) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes d}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(-d) = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\otimes d}$ 

Now if an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\mathcal{L}(U_i) = \frac{1}{f_i}\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ , the change of coordinates of the corresponding line bundle from chart  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  is  $g_{ji} = \frac{f_j}{f_i}$ . So for  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , one has  $\frac{f_j}{f_i} = \frac{x_j}{x_i}$ , i.e. there exists a linear combination A of  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $f_i = \frac{A}{x_i}$  is a holomorphic function corresponding to the 1-section viewed in chart  $U_i$ . As presented in the previous section,  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is the associated line bundle of a hyperplane defined by the equation A = 0.

Similarly,  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  is the associated line bundle of a hypersurface  $A_d$  defined by a homogenous equation of degree d, and  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  is the line bundle associated to the sheaf of holomorphic functions vanishing on  $A_d$ .

# 2.2 Line bundles and maps to projective space, Veronese embedding

A linearly independent family  $s_0, \ldots, s_N$  of global sections of a holomorphic line bundle L defines a holomorphic map  $X \setminus Bs((s_i)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_{\mathbb{C}}$  where  $Bs((s_i))$  is the set of basepoints of  $(s_i)$  where all the sections  $s_i$  vanish.

The global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  over  $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  are  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}(d) = \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]_d$  the vector space of homogenous polynomial of degree d. The corresponding projective map is in fact a embedding, called <u>Veronese embedding</u>.

### 2.3 Canonical bundle and Euler sequence

$$K_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathcal{O}(-n-1)}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}} \longrightarrow 0$$

### 3 Blowing-up

### 3.1 Blowing-up

**Proposition 0.3** (Adjunction). Let X be a complex manifold,  $x \in X$  and  $\pi: \hat{X} \longrightarrow X$  is the blow-up of X at x and E be the corresponding exceptional divisor, then

$$K_{\hat{X}} = \pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}((n-1)E).$$

As a consequence,  $\mathcal{O}(E)|_{E} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$  where  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  is the tautologic sheaf over  $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

*Proof.* The appearance of the number n-1 is natural and can be explained as follow. First note that

- 1. in  $\hat{X} \setminus E$ , there is no difference between  $K_{\hat{X}}$  and  $K_X$ .
- 2.  $\pi_*$  send every tangent vector of E to the tangent vector 0 at  $x \in X$ ,
- 3. the pull-back  $\pi^*\omega$  of an *n*-form on X always vanishes on E therefore cannot generate  $K_{\hat{X}}$ .

Our correction of this should be dividing  $\pi^*\omega$  by  $f^k$  where f is the equation defining E in  $\hat{X}$ , i.e. tensoring  $\pi^*K_X$  by an appropriate multiple of  $\mathcal{O}(E)$  depending on the order of vanishing of  $\pi^*\omega$  at E, which we claim to be n-1.

Here is the argument I used to convince myself: this vanishing order is that of the ratio of  $\pi^*\omega$  and a non-zero n-form (says the standard in the base formed by n-1 tangent vectors  $e_i^E$  of E and the normal vector v of E in X), i.e. the vanishing order of  $\pi^*\omega(e_i^E,v)$ . Each  $e_i^E$  plugged into  $\pi^*\omega$  adds one order of vanishing resulting in n-1.

Here is the argument I would use to convince others: WLOG, suppose that  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$  and x = 0, then  $\hat{X}$  can be seen as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with the coordinates in each chart  $U_i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, [p_1 : \dots : p_n]) : p_i \neq 0\}$  being  $(\frac{p_1}{p_i}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{p_i}, \zeta_i)$  with  $z_k = \frac{p_k}{p_i} \zeta_i$ . The map  $\pi$  is given in local coordinates as

$$(\frac{p_1}{p_i}, \dots, \frac{p_n}{p_i}, \zeta_i) \mapsto (\frac{p_1}{p_i}\zeta_i, \dots \zeta_i, \dots \frac{p_n}{p_i}\zeta_i)$$

The pull-back of  $\omega$  is

$$d(\frac{p_1}{p_i}\zeta_i) \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_i \wedge \dots \wedge d(\frac{p_n}{p_i}\zeta_i) = \zeta_i^{n-1}d(\frac{p_1}{p_i}) \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_i \wedge \dots \wedge d(\frac{p_n}{p_i})$$

which vanishes with order n-1.

For the consequence, note that  $\mathcal{K}_E = \mathcal{O}(-n) = (K_{\hat{X}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(E))|_E = (\pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(nE))|_E$ , but  $\pi^* K_X$  is trivial over E, therefore  $\mathcal{O}(E)|_E = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

### 4 Kodaira vanishing theorem

**Theorem 1** (Kodaira vanishing). Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and L is a positive holomorphic line bundle on X, i.e. there exists a hermitian metric h on L such that the Chern form  $\omega_{L,h}$  is positive (i.e. a Kahler form). Then

$$H^q(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0 \quad \forall p + q > n.$$

In particular,

$$H^i(X, K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}(E) \simeq H^q(X,\mathcal{E})$  for all hermitian holomorphic vector bundle E with  $\mathcal{E}$  the corresponding sheaf of holomorphic sections. One needs to prove that all harmonic form in  $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(L)$  vanishes for p+q>n. This comes from the following two identities: Let  $\nabla$  be the Chern connection on L and  $\nabla = \nabla' + \nabla''$  be its decomposition to (1,0) and (0,1) operators and  $\Delta'_L$  be the Laplacian corresponding to  $\nabla'$  then

- 1.  $\Delta_L = \Delta_L' + 2\pi[L,\Lambda]$  where L and  $\Lambda$  are Lefshetz operators.
- $2. [L, \Lambda] = (k-n)Id_{\mathcal{A}_X^k}$

Therefore 
$$0 \le (\alpha, \Delta' a)_{L^2} = 2\pi (n - k)(\alpha, \alpha)_{L^2} \le 0$$

## 5 The traditional proof of Kodaira embedding theorem

**Theorem 2** (Kodaira embedding). Let X be a compact complex manifold with L a positive holomorphic line bundle on X. Then L is generated by finitely many of its global sections and X can be embedded in a projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^N$  with N sufficiently large.

*Proof.* The following approache is straight-forward: one shows that at every  $x \in X$ , there is a global (holomorphic) section  $s_x$  of  $L^{\otimes m_x}$  such that  $s_x(x) \neq 0$  then by compactness one can choose finitely many such sections and  $m_x$  which can be guaranteed to generate every germs at x of  $L^{\otimes m}$  with  $m = \max m_x$ . That is one needs to prove that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(x, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x}|_{\mathcal{D}})$$

is surjective. Let  $\pi: \hat{X} \longrightarrow X$  be the blow-up of X at x and  $E = \pi^{-1}(x)$  be the corresponding exceptional divisor then one has

### \begin{tikzcd}

\label{fig:kodaira-blowup}

$$H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_{x}}) \longrightarrow H^{0}(x, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_{x}}x)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{0}(\hat{X}, \pi^{*}\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_{x}}) \longrightarrow H^{0}(E, \pi^{*}\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_{x}}E)$$

Figure 1: Insert caption [fig:kodaira-blowup]

It remains to prove that  $H^1(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}(E) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x})$ , or by 1, that  $\mathcal{O}(E) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x} \otimes K_{\hat{X}}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(-nE) \otimes \pi^* (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x} \otimes K_X^{-1})$  is positive, where we used the fact that  $K_{\hat{X}} = \pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}((n-1)E)$ .

Note that on  $\hat{X} \setminus E$ , one can choose  $m_x$  large enough such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m_x} \otimes K_X^{-1} \times \mathcal{O}(-nE)$  is positive. It remains to observe  $E \subset \hat{X}$  which is in fact  $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ . But  $\mathcal{O}(-E)|_E \equiv \mathcal{O}(1)$  is positive, which concludes the proof.  $\square$ 

# 6 An analytic proof by Donaldson

Emacs 25.3.1 (Org mode 9.0.5)