Polynomial differential operators and Besov spaces

Tien NGUYEN MANH

May 27, 2018

Contents

- 1 A regularity estimate for polynomial differential operator. 1
- 2 Review of Besov spaces $B^{s,p}$.
- 3 Proof of the local estimate.

Definition 1. We say that P is a polynomial differential operator of type (n,k) if P is of the form

$$P(F) = \sum c_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\nu}(x,F(x))D^{\alpha_1}F^{a_1}\dots D^{\alpha_\nu}F^{a_\nu}$$

where the coefficients $c_{\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n u}$ depend smoothly and nonlinearly on x and F and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are indices with the weighted norm $\|\alpha_i\| \le k$ and $\sum \|\alpha_i\| \le n$.

Example 2. On $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$ the tension field $\tau(F) := -\Delta F^{\alpha} + g^{ij}\Gamma^{\prime\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}(F)F^{\beta}_iF^{\gamma}_j$ is a polynomial differential operator of type (2,2). The quadratic term alone is of type (2,1).

1 A regularity estimate for polynomial differential operator.

Our goal in this part is to prove the following estimate for polynomial differential operator, in which X will be $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$.

Theorem 3 (Regularity of polynomial differential operator). Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold, $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a large Euclidean ball and P be a polynomial differential operator of type (n,k) on X. Suppose that

$$r \ge 0, \quad p, q \in (1, \infty), \quad r + k < s, \quad \frac{1}{p} > \frac{r + n}{s} \frac{1}{q}.$$
 (1)

Then for all $F \in C(X, B) \cap W^{s,q}(X)$, one has $PF \in W^{r,p}(X)$ and

$$||PF||_{W^{r,p}} \leq C (1 + ||F||_{W^{s,q}})^{q/p}.$$

where C is a constant independent of F.

We will prove that the result is *local*, in a sense to be defined. Then we will prove the local statement using Besov spaces.

Proof (reduction of Theorem 3 to a local statement). Let $\{\varphi_i : U_i \longrightarrow V_i\}$ be an atlas of M. We denote a point in U_i by x and its coordinates in V_i by ξ . Let $\sum \psi_i = 1$ be a partition of unity subordinated to $\{U_i\}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_i$ be smooth functions supported in U_i with $0 \le \tilde{\psi}_i \le 1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_i = 1$ in the support of ψ_i , as in the definition of Sobolev spaces on manifold. We suppose the following local statement is true:

Lemma 4 (Local statement). Let P be a polynomial differential operator of type (n,k) and coefficients $c_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\nu}(x,F)$ are smooth and vanish when $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$ is outside of a compact. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a large Euclidean ball and r,p,q,s as in (1). Then for all compactly supported $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X},B) \cap W^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X})$, one has

$$||PF||_{W^{r,p}} \le C (1 + ||F||_{W^{s,q}})^{q/p}$$

where the constant C depends only on B and the support of F, and not on F.

One has

$$\|PF\|_{W^{r,p}} := \sum_{i} \|\psi_{i}PF\|_{W^{r,p}}$$

where viewed in the chart U_i , each $\psi_i(x)PF(x)$ is $\sum_{\alpha} \psi_i(\xi).c_{\alpha}(\xi,g_i).D^{\alpha}g_i$ where $g_i = f_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ is f_i viewed in the chart. Since $\tilde{\psi}_i = 1$ in the support of ψ_i , one has

$$\psi_i(\xi).c_{\alpha}(\xi,g_i).D^{\alpha}g_i = \psi_i(\xi).c_{\alpha}(\xi,\tilde{\psi}_ig_i)D^{\alpha}(\tilde{\psi}_ig_i)$$

hence by the local statement:

$$\|\psi_i(\xi).c_{\alpha}(\xi,g_i).D^{\alpha}g_i\|_{W^{r,p}} \leq C\left(1+\|\tilde{\psi}_ig_i\|_{W^{s,q}}\right)^{q/p} \leq C\left(1+\|F\|_{W^{s,q}}\right)^{q/p}.$$

Therefore $||PF||_{W^{r,p}} \le mC (1+||F||_{W^{s,q}})^{q/p}$ where m is the number of charts we used to cover M.

Remark 5. The use of partition of unity in the last proof is to decompose $PF = \sum \psi_i PF$ and not $F = \psi_i F$ since we no longer have linearity of the operator P in F.

2 Review of Besov spaces $B^{s,p}$.

In this part, $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ coordinated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) with weight $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. We define

$$T_j^v f(x_1,...,x_n) := f(x_1,...,x_j + v,...,x_n), \quad \Delta_j^v := T_j^v - \text{Id}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

For the notation, we will denote the Besov spaces by $B^{s,p}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in (1,\infty)$ so that they look similar to Sobolev space $W^{s,p}$. In a more standard notation, our spaces $B^{s,p}$ are denoted by $B^s_{p,p}$

Definition 6. We define $B^{s,p}$ as the completion of S(X) under the norm

$$||f||_{B^{s,p}} := \sum_{\|\gamma\| < s} \|D^{\gamma} f\|_{L^p} + \sum_{s - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_j} < \|\gamma\| < s} \sup_{v} \frac{\|\Delta_j^v D^{\gamma} f\|_{L^p}}{|v|^{(s - \|\gamma\|)\sigma_j/\sigma}}$$

We cite here some well-known facts

- 1. While Sobolev spaces with non-integral regularity are complex interpolation of integral ones, Besov spaces are their real interpolation.
- 2. Besov spaces $B^{s,p}(X)$ are reflexive Banach spaces with their dual spaces being $B^{-s,p'}(X)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Theorem 7. *If* r < s *then*

$$W^{s,p}(X) \subset B^{s,p}(X) \subset W^{r,p}(X)$$
.

Theorem 8 (Multiplication). For $f,g \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha < 1, \tilde{p} \leq p, \tilde{q} \leq q, \tilde{r} \leq r \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \end{cases}$, one has

$$||fg||_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{p}}} \le C \left(||f||_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{p}}} ||g||_{L^q} + ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{q}}} \right) \tag{2}$$

$$||fg||_{L^r} \le ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^q} \tag{3}$$

Therefore by density (2) is true for all $f \in L^p \cap B^{\alpha,\tilde{p}}$, $g \in L^q \cap B^{\alpha,\tilde{q}}$ and (3) is true for all $f \in L^p$, $g \in L^q$.

The reason for which we use the Besov norm is the following estimate:

Theorem 9 (Composition). Let $\Gamma(x,y)$ be a continuous, nonlinear function of variables $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose that Γ vanishes for all x outside of a compact in \mathbb{R}^n and Γ is C-Lipschitz in y, and define

$$\Gamma f := (x \longmapsto \Gamma(x, f(x))).$$

Then

$$\|\Gamma f\| \le C (1 + \|f\|_{B^{\alpha,p}})$$

3 Proof of the local estimate.

Since $B^{r+\epsilon,p}(X) \subset W^{r,p}(X)$, by increasing r a bit, we can suppose that $r \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and replace the $W^{r,p}$ norm in the statement by the $B^{r,p}$ norm, that is to estimate:

$$||PF||_{B^{r,p}} = \sum_{||\gamma|| < r} ||D^{\gamma}(PF)||_{L^{p}} + \sum_{r - \sigma/\sigma_{i} < ||\gamma|| < r} \frac{||\Delta_{j}^{v}D^{\gamma}(PF)||_{L^{p}}}{|v|^{(r - ||\gamma||)\sigma_{j}/\sigma}}$$

where

$$D^{\gamma}(PF) = \sum c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\mu}}(x, F) D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}}$$
(4)

with max $\|\beta_i\| \le k + \|\gamma\|$ and $\sum \|\beta_i\| \le n + \|\gamma\|$.

Using $\Delta_j^v(fg) = \Delta_j^v f T_j^v g + f \Delta_j^v g$, one can see that $\Delta_j^v D^\gamma(PF)$ is a sum of terms of 2 types:

$$\Delta_{i}^{v} c_{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{u}} T_{i}^{v} (D^{\beta_{1}} f^{b_{1}}) \dots T_{i}^{v} (D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}})$$
(5)

and

$$c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{\mu}} D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}} \Delta^{v}_j (D^{\beta_i} f^{b_i}) T^{v}_j (D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}}) \dots T^{v}_j (D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}})$$
(6)

Our strategy is to use Theorem 8 to estimate the terms (4), (5) and (6) as follows, where we denote $||g||_p := ||g||_{L^p}$

$$\left\| c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{\mu}}(x,F) \ D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}} \right\|_p \le \| c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{\mu}} \|_{\infty} \cdot \| D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \|_{p_1} \dots \| D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}} \|_{p_{\mu}}$$
(7)

$$\left\| \Delta_{j}^{v} c_{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{\mu}} T_{j}^{v}(D^{\beta_{1}} f^{b_{1}}) \dots T_{j}^{v}(D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}}) \right\|_{p} \leq \left\| \Delta_{j}^{v} c_{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{\mu}} \right\|_{\tilde{p}_{0}} \cdot \left\| D^{\beta_{1}} f^{b_{1}} \right\|_{p_{1}} \dots \left\| D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}} \right\|_{p_{\mu}}$$
(8)

$$\left\| c_{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{\mu}} D^{\beta_{1}} f^{b_{1}} \dots D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}} \Delta_{j}^{v} (D^{\beta_{i}} f^{b_{i}}) T_{j}^{v} (D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}}) \dots T_{j}^{v} (D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}}) \right\|_{p} \leq$$

$$\left\| c_{\beta_{1},...,\beta_{\mu}} \right\|_{\infty} \cdot \left\| D^{\beta_{1}} f^{b_{1}} \right\|_{p_{1}} \dots \left\| D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}} \right\|_{p_{i-1}} \cdot \left\| \Delta_{j}^{v} (D^{\beta_{i}} f^{b_{i}}) \right\|_{\tilde{p}_{i}} \cdot \left\| D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}} \right\|_{p_{i+1}} \dots \left\| D^{\beta_{\mu}} f^{b_{\mu}} \right\|_{p_{\mu}}$$

$$(9)$$

Then continue by bounding the Δ_i^v terms:

$$\|\Delta_{j}^{v}c_{\beta_{1},\dots,\beta_{\mu}}\|_{\tilde{p}_{0}} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{j}/\sigma}C(1+\|F\|_{B^{\theta,\tilde{p}_{0}}}) \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{j}/\sigma}C(1+\|F\|_{W^{\theta,\tilde{p}_{0}}})$$
(10)

using Theorem 9, where C is the Lipschitz constant of $c_{\beta_1,...,\beta_{\mu}}(x,F)$ in F, which exists because $c_{\beta_1,...,\beta_{\mu}}$ is smooth and F always remains in a large Euclidean ball B. The next Δ_j^v term to bound is, using Theorem 7:

$$\|\Delta_{i}^{v}(D^{\beta_{i}}f^{b_{i}})\|_{\tilde{p}_{i}} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{i}/\sigma}\|f^{b_{i}}\|_{R^{\|\beta_{i}\|+\theta,\tilde{p}_{i}}} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{i}/\sigma}\|f^{b_{i}}\|_{W^{\|\beta_{i}\|+\theta,\tilde{p}_{i}}} \tag{11}$$

And finally plugging (10) and (11) in (8) and (9), and noting that $\|c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_\mu}\|_{\infty}$ in (7) is bounded by a constant, it remains to estimate $\|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{\|\beta_i\|,p_i}}$, $\|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{\|\beta_i\|+\theta,\bar{p}_i}}$ and $\|F\|_{W^{\theta,\bar{p}_0}}$ in term of $\|F\|_{W^{s,q}}$, for which we will use the following consequence of Interpolation inequality.

Lemma 10. Let $0 \le r \le s$ and $p,q \in (1,\infty)$ such that $0 < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{r}{s}$. Then for all compactly supported $F \in C(X,B) \cap W^{s,q}$ where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a large Euclidean ball, one has

$$||F||_{W^{r,p}} \le C||F||_{\infty}^{1-r/s}||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{r/s} \le C'||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{r/s}$$

where C, C' depend only on B and the support of F, but not F.

Proof. Since *F* is bounded, $f^{\alpha} \in W^{s,q} \cap W^{0,v}$ for all v > 1. By Interpolation inequality

$$||f^{\alpha}||_{W^{r,p}} \le 2||f^{\alpha}||_{W^{s,q}}^{r/s}||f^{\alpha}||_{W^{0,v}}^{1-r/s}$$

then choose v with $(1 - \frac{r}{s})\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r}{s}\frac{1}{q}$.

To apply Lemma 10, we have to choose p_i , \tilde{p}_i , \tilde{p}_0 , θ such that $\begin{cases} 0 < \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s}, \\ 0 < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i} - \frac{\|\beta_i + \theta\|}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\|\beta_i + \theta\|}{s} \\ 0 < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0} - \frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\theta}{s} \end{cases}$

We choose $\frac{1}{p_i}$ just a bit bigger than $\frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s}\frac{1}{q}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i}$ just a bit bigger than $\frac{\|\beta_i\|+\theta\|}{s}\frac{1}{q}$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0}$ just a bit bigger than $\frac{\theta}{s}\frac{1}{q}$. We will now come back to justify the estimates (7), (8), (9). Since F is bounded in B and compactly supported in an open set V, we see that $\|f^{\alpha}\|_{p} \leq C(B,V)\|f^{\alpha}\|_{q}$ if $p \leq q$. Therefore,

1. For (7), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \ge \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_\mu}$$

which is true because the RHS is is a bit bigger than $\frac{1}{qs}\sum \|\beta_i\| \leq \frac{n+\|\gamma\|}{qs} < \frac{n+r}{qs} < \frac{1}{p}$.

2. For (8), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \ge \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{\mu}}$$

where the RHS is is a bit bigger than $\frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{qs} \sum \|\beta_i\| \le \frac{n + \|\gamma\| + \theta}{qs}$.

3. For (9), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \ge \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_\mu}$$

where the RHS is is a bit bigger than $\frac{\theta}{s}\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{qs}\sum \|\beta_i\| \leq \frac{n+\|\gamma\|+\theta}{qs}$.

It is sufficient then to take $\theta = r - ||\gamma||$. Now the estimates (7), (8), (9) can be continued as

$$RHS(7) \le \prod_{i} \|f^{b_{i}}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\|\beta_{i}\|/s} \le \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{n+\|\gamma\|}{s}} \le \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p}$$
(12)

$$RHS(8) \le |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + ||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{\theta/s}\right) \prod_i ||f^{b_i}||_{W^{s,q}}^{||\beta_i||/s} \le |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + ||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{\theta/s}\right) ||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p} \tag{13}$$

$$RHS(9) \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{j}/\sigma} \left(1 + \|f^{b_{i}}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{\|\beta_{i}\|+\theta}{s}} \right) \prod_{u \neq i} \|f^{b_{u}}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{\|\beta_{u}\|/s}{s}} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_{j}/\sigma} \left(1 + \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{\|\beta_{i}\|+\theta}{s}} \right) \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

While (12) gives $||D^{\gamma}(PF)||_p \le C||F||_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p}$, the last two (13) and (14) give

$$\sum_{s-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_j}<\|\gamma\|< s} \sup_{v} \frac{\|\Delta_j^v D^{\gamma}(PF)\|_p}{|v|^{(r-\|\gamma\|)\sigma_j/\sigma}} \le C\left(1+\|F\|_{W^{q,s}}^{(n+r)/s}\right)$$

We proved the local statement Lemma 4.