

# Bogomolov-Beauville classification

darknmt

June 14, 2017

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>From the Riemannian results of de Rham and Berger</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Towards a classification for complex manifold</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Special unitary manifold (proper Calabi-Yau manifold) . . . .	4
2.2	Symplectic manifold . . . . .	5
2.3	Decomposition for complex manifold with vanishing Chern class	5

## 1 From the Riemannian results of de Rham and Berger

We will first prove a (conceptually) straightforward result of de Rham decomposition and Berger classification. The following theorem is taken from Beauville's article

**Theorem 1** (Beauville). *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with flat Ricci curvature, then*

1. *The universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  decomposes isometrically as*

$$\tilde{X} = E \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$$

*where  $E = \mathbb{C}^k$ ,  $V_i$  and  $X_j$  are simply-connected compact manifolds of dimension  $2m_i$  and  $4r_j$  with irreducible holonomy  $SU(m_i)$  for  $V_i$  and  $Sp(r_j)$  for  $X_j$ . One also has uniqueness in the strong sense as in de Rham decomposition.*

2. There exists a finite etale covering space  $X'$  of  $X$  such that

$$X' = T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$$

where  $T$  is a complex torus.

*Proof.* Note that the first point is obtained directly from de Rham decomposition: The one-dimensional parallel subspaces (of trivial holonomy) are regrouped to  $E$ . By Cheeger-Gromoll splitting,  $\tilde{X} = E \times M$  where  $M$  contains no line and is compact (note that we use compactness of  $X$  here). The irreducible factors in  $M$  are not symmetric spaces as Ricci curvature of symmetric spaces is non-degenerate. Holonomy of these factors are  $SU(m_i)$  and  $Sp(r_j)$  according to Berger list since they are Kähler manifolds and Ricci-flat. It remains to prove the second point.

We will regard each element of  $\pi_1(X)$  by its isometric, free, proper action on  $\tilde{X}$ . As pointed out the arguments in our discussion of uniqueness of de Rham decomposition, every isometry of  $\tilde{X}$  to itself preserves the components  $T_{x_0}E$ ,  $T_{x_i}V_i$  and  $T_{x_j}X_j$  of  $T_x\tilde{X}$ , each isometry  $\phi$  of  $\tilde{X}$  is of form  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1 \in Isom(E)$  and  $\phi_2 \in Isom(M)$ .

We will use here the fact that if  $M$  is a Kähler manifold, compact and Ricci-flat then  $Isom(M)$  equipped with compact-open topology is discrete, therefore finite, which will be proved later (Lemma 3). We note  $\Gamma := \{\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \pi_1(X), \phi_2 = Id_M\}$  and sometime abusively regard  $\Gamma$  as a subgroup of  $Isom(E)$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)$  with finite index since the quotient is isomorphic to  $Isom(M)$ . Therefore  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma = E/\Gamma \times M$  is compact as a finite covering of  $X$ .

We apply the following theorem of Bieberbach.

**Theorem 2** (Bieberbach). *Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  an Euclidean space and  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $Isom(E)$  satisfies*

1.  $\Gamma$  is discrete under compact-open topology.
2.  $E/\Gamma$  is compact.

*Then the subgroup  $\Gamma'$  of translations in  $\Gamma$  is of finite index.*

Suppose that the two conditions are satisfied and the theorem gives  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma' = E/\Gamma' \times M = T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  is a finite covering of  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma$  as  $\Gamma'$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  since

**Fact.** The subgroup of translations in  $Isom(E)$ , where  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  is an Euclidean space, is normal.

Therefore  $X' = \tilde{X}/\Gamma'$  is a finite covering of  $X$  that we want to find.  
It remains now to prove that  $\Gamma$  is discrete, which is a consequence of

1.  $\pi_1(X)$  is discrete, without limit point (obvious).
2.  $Isom(M)$  is finite (see lemma 3)

In fact given any  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in Isom(E) \times Isom(M)$ , there exists by (1.) a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1, \phi_2) \times \mathcal{U}_2(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  of  $\phi$  in  $Isom(E) \times Isom(M)$  such that all points of  $\pi_1(X)$  lying in this region project to  $\phi_1$ . By (2.) we can find a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_1$  of  $\phi_1$  in  $Isom(E)$  small enough that  $\mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1) \times Isom(M) \subset \bigcup_{\phi_2 \in Isom(M)} \mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1, \phi_2) \times \mathcal{U}_2(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ . Therefore the projection of  $\pi_1(X)$  to  $Isom(E)$  is discrete, by consequence  $\Gamma$  is discrete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be a compact, simply-connected, Ricci-flat, Kähler manifold, then the group  $Aut(M)$  of automorphism of  $M$  equipped with compact-open topology is discrete, therefore  $Isom(M)$  is discrete, hence finite.*

*Proof.* The idea is that since  $Aut(M)$  is a Lie group, it suffices to prove that its Lie algebra is of dimension 0. This is done using these facts.

1. The Lie algebra of  $Aut(M)$  can be identified with the vector space of holomorphic vector fields on  $M$ .
2. *Bochner's principle:* All holomorphic tensor fields on a compact, Ricci-flat Kähler manifold are parallel. This can be seen by the identity  $\Delta(\|\tau\|^2) = \|D\tau\|^2$
3. The only invariant vector of the holonomy representation of  $M$  is 0 (obvious).

$\square$

## 2 Towards a classification for complex manifold

To obtain a translation of Theorem 1 in a context of complex manifolds (without any preferred metric a priori), we study the 2 building blocks. To be clear, recall that a complex manifold  $X$  is called of Kähler type if one can equip  $X$  with an Hermitian structure whose fundamental form  $\omega$  satisfies  $d\omega = 0$ . When we say  $X$  is of Kähler type, we refer to  $X$  as a complex manifold without fixing a metric on  $X$ . We resume here some results, see the manuscript for their proofs.

## 2.1 Special unitary manifold (proper Calabi-Yau manifold)

**Remark 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with holonomy  $SU(m)$  and complex dimension  $m$  then:*

1.  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^p) = 0$  for all  $0 < p < m$ , by consequence  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 + (-1)^m$ .
2.  $X$  is projective, that is  $X$  can be embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^N$  as zero-locus of some (finitely) homogeneous polynomials.

The first point is in fact algebraic in nature: it comes from the fact that the representation of  $SU(m)$  over  $\wedge^p T_x^* M$  is irreducible for all  $p$  et non-trivial for  $0 < p < m$ , therefore the action of  $SU(m)$  on  $\wedge^p T_x^* M$  for  $0 < p < m$  has no invariant element, hence  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^p) = 0$ .

The second point follows the following facts:

1. A compact Kähler manifold with  $H^{2,0}$  can be embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .
2. (Chow's theorem) A compact complex manifold embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  is algebraic, i.e. defined by a finite number of homogeneous polynomials.

**Theorem 4.** *Given a compact manifold  $X$  of Kähler type and complex dimension  $m$ , the following properties are equivalent*

1. *There exists a compatible metric  $g$  over  $X$  such that  $Hol(X, g) = SU(m)$ .*
2.  *$K_X$  is trivial and  $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^p) = 0$  for every  $0 < p < m$  and  $X'$  a finite covering of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) as a finite covering space  $X'$  of a special unitary manifold  $X$  is still a special unitary. This is due to the following remarks:  $Hol(X) \supset Hol(X') \supset Hol_0(X') = Hol_0(X)$  and  $Hol_0(X) = Hol(X) = SU(m)$  as  $SU(n)$  is connected.

For the second point, by Yau's theorem we equip  $X$  with a Ricci-flat metric, by Theorem 1, there exists a finite covering  $X' = T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  where  $T$  is a complex torus,  $Hol(V_i) = SU(m_i)$ ,  $Hol(X_j) = Sp(r_j)$ . But  $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^p) = 0$  for  $0 < p < m$ ,  $X'$  has to be one of the  $V_i$  as  $H^0(X_j, \Omega_{X_j}^{2r_j})$  and  $H^0(V_i, \Omega_{V_i}^{m_i})$  do not vanish. Therefore  $Hol(X) \supset Hol(X') = SU(m)$ , hence  $Hol(X) = SU(m)$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Symplectic manifold

**Remark 2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with holonomy  $Sp(r)$  and complex dimension  $2r$  then:*

1. *There exists a holomorphic 2-form  $\varphi$  non-degenerate at every point.*
2.  *$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2l+1}) = 0, H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2l}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi^l$  for all  $0 \leq l \leq r$ . By consequence  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = r + 1$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *Given a compact manifold  $X$  of Kähler type and complex dimension  $2r$ , then:*

- *The followings are equivalent:*
  1. *There exists a compatible metric  $g$  such that  $Hol(X, g) \subset Sp(r)$ .*
  2. *There exists a symplectic structure: a 2-form that is closed, holomorphic and non-degenerate at every point*
- *The followings are equivalent, if  $X$  is called irreducible symplectic or hyperkahler if it satisfies one of them.*
  1. *There exists a compatible metric  $g$  such that  $Hol(X, g) = Sp(r)$*
  2.  *$X$  is simply-connected and there exists (uniquely up to a constant) a symplectic structure on  $X$ .*

## 2.3 Decomposition for complex manifold with vanishing Chern class

Theorem 1 can be translated to a decomposition for complex manifold in the following way:

**Theorem 6** (Bogomolov- Beauville classification). *Let  $X$  be a compact manifold of Kähler type with vanishing Chern class.*

1. *The universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  is isomorphic to a product  $\mathbb{E} \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  where  $E = \mathbb{C}^k$  and*
  - (a) *Each  $V_i$  is a projective simply-connected manifold of complex dimension  $m_i \geq 3$ , with trivial  $K_{V_i}$  and  $H^0(V_i, \Omega_{V_i}^p) = 0$  for  $0 < p < m_i$*
  - (b) *Each  $X_j$  is an irreducible compact symplectic manifold of Kähler type.*

*This decomposition is unique up to an order of  $i$  and  $j$ .*

2. *There exists a finite covering  $X'$  of  $X$  isomorphic to the product  $T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$ .*

The theorem follows directly from Theorem 1, the only point that needs proof is the uniqueness, which will be achieved in two steps:

1. Prove the uniqueness in the case that  $X$  is simply-connected.
2. Prove that every isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z$  is splitted as  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  and  $\phi_2 : Y \longrightarrow Z$  are isomorphisms (by consequence  $h = k$ ).

These two steps will be accomplished in the following two lemmas

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $Y = \prod_j Y_j$  be a compact, simply-connected manifold of Kähler type with vanishing Chern class. The Calabi-Yau metrics of  $Y$  are then  $g = \sum_l pr_j^* g_l$  where  $g_l$  are Calabi-Yau metrics of  $Y_l$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a Calabi-Yau metric of  $Y$  and  $[\omega]$  its class in  $H^{1,1}(Y)$ . Since  $Y_j$  are simply-connected,  $[\omega] = \sum_j pr_j^* [\omega_j]$ . By Yau's theorem, there exist unique Calabi-Yau metrics  $g_j$  of  $Y_j$  in each class  $[\omega_j]$ . The metric  $g' = \sum_j pr_j^* g_j$  is in the same class  $\omega$  of  $g$  and is also a Calabi-Yau metric, hence  $g = g' = \sum_j pr_j^* g_j$ .  $\square$

This lemma asserts that when our manifolds  $Y, Y_j$  are equipped with appropriate Calabi-Yau metrics, the decomposition map is also a (Riemannian) isometric, we therefore obtain uniqueness of  $V_i, X_j$  from uniqueness of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $Y, Z$  be compact, simply-connected manifold of Kähler type, then any isomorphism  $u : \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z$  is splitted as  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  and  $\phi_2 : Y \longrightarrow Z$  are isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* It is clear that the function  $u_1 : \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  is constant in  $Y$ , i.e.  $u_1(t, y) = u_1(t)$  as holomorphic functions on  $Y$  are constant. Therefore  $u(t, y) = (u_1(t), u_2(t, y))$ , as  $u$  is isomorphic, one has  $h \leq k$  then by the same argument for  $u^{-1}$ , one has  $h = k$ ,  $u_1$  is an isomorphism and  $u_t(\cdot) := u_2(t, \cdot)$  is an isomorphism from  $Y$  to  $Z$ .  $u_0^{-1} \circ u_t$  is then a curve in  $Aut(Y)$ , which is discrete by Lemma 3. Hence  $u_t = u_0$  independent de  $t$ .  $\square$