

# Short-time existence and regularity for nonlinear heat equation: Polynomial differential operators and Besov spaces

Tien NGUYEN MANH

May 27, 2018

## Contents

<b>1 Polynomial differential operator.</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 A regularity estimate for polynomial differential operator. . .	2
1.2 Review of Besov spaces $B^{s,p}$ . . . . .	3
1.3 Proof of the local estimate. . . . .	5
<b>2 Regularity for nonlinear heat equation.</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3 Short-time existence for nonlinear heat equation.</b>	<b>9</b>

We will establish in this part a regularity estimate for differential operator with coefficient depending nonlinearly in  $x$  and  $f(x)$ . Although the result can be stated using only Sobolev spaces, it is natural for the proof to make a detour to Besov space where we can use theorem 5.

We will then apply the regularity estimate for the nonlinear part of the heat operator in order to setup a bootstrap scheme that eventually will prove that any  $W^{2,p}$  solution of nonlinear heat equation that is initially  $C^\infty$  will be always  $C^\infty$ .

We will also prove short-time existence using well-known method of Implicit function theorem for Banach spaces. Since the solution is smooth, we can apply Theorem ?? to conclude that the it remains in  $M' \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

# 1 Polynomial differential operator.

**Definition 1.** We say that  $P$  is a **polynomial differential operator of type**  $(n, k)$  if  $P$  is of the form

$$P(F) = \sum c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu}(x, F(x)) D^{\alpha_1} F^{a_1} \dots D^{\alpha_\nu} F^{a_\nu}$$

where the coefficients  $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu}$  depend smoothly and nonlinearly on  $x$  and  $F$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are indices with the weighted norm  $\|\alpha_i\| \leq k$  and  $\sum \|\alpha_i\| \leq n$ .

**Example 1.** On  $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$  the nonlinear heat operator  $PF := \frac{dF}{dt} - \tau(F_t)$  is a polynomial differential operator of type  $(2, 2)$ . The tension field alone is of type  $(2, 1)$ .

## 1.1 A regularity estimate for polynomial differential operator.

Our goal in this part is to prove the following estimate for polynomial differential operator, in which  $X$  will be  $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$ .

**Theorem 1** (Regularity of polynomial differential operator). *Let  $X$  be a compact Riemannian manifold,  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a large Euclidean ball and  $P$  be a polynomial differential operator of type  $(n, k)$  on  $X$ . Suppose that*

$$r \geq 0, \quad p, q \in (1, \infty), \quad r + k < s, \quad \frac{1}{p} > \frac{r + n}{s} \frac{1}{q}. \quad (1)$$

*Then for all  $F \in C(X, B) \cap W^{s, q}(X)$ , one has  $Pf \in W^{r, p}(X)$  and*

$$\|PF\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq C (1 + \|F\|_{W^{s, q}})^{q/p}.$$

*where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $F$ .*

We will prove that the result is *local*, in a sense to be defined. Then we will prove the local statement using Besov spaces.

*Proof (reduction of Theorem 1 to a local statement).* Let  $\{\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i\}$  be an atlas of  $M$ . We denote a point in  $U_i$  by  $x$  and its coordinates in  $V_i$  by  $\xi$ . Let  $\sum \psi_i = 1$  be a partition of unity subordinated to  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_i$  be smooth functions supported in  $U_i$  with  $0 \leq \tilde{\psi}_i \leq 1$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_i = 1$  in the support of  $\psi_i$ , as in the definition of Sobolev spaces on manifold. We suppose the following local statement is true:

**Lemma 2** (Local statement). *Let  $P$  be a polynomial differential operator of type  $(n, k)$  and coefficients  $c_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu}(x, F)$  are smooth and vanish when  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$  is outside of a compact. Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a large Euclidean ball and  $r, p, q, s$  as in (1). Then for all compactly supported  $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X}, B) \cap W^{s, q}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim X})$ , one has*

$$\|PF\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq C (1 + \|F\|_{W^{s, q}})^{q/p}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $B$  and the support of  $F$ , and not on  $F$ .

One has

$$\|PF\|_{W^{r, p}} := \sum_i \|\psi_i PF\|_{W^{r, p}}$$

where viewed in the chart  $U_i$ , each  $\psi_i(x)PF(x)$  is  $\sum_\alpha \psi_i(\xi) \cdot c_\alpha(\xi, g_i) \cdot D^\alpha g_i$  where  $g_i = f_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$  is  $f_i$  viewed in the chart. Since  $\psi_i = 1$  in the support of  $\psi_i$ , one has

$$\psi_i(\xi) \cdot c_\alpha(\xi, g_i) \cdot D^\alpha g_i = \psi_i(\xi) \cdot c_\alpha(\xi, \tilde{\psi}_i g_i) D^\alpha (\tilde{\psi}_i g_i)$$

hence

$$\|\psi_i(\xi) \cdot c_\alpha(\xi, g_i) \cdot D^\alpha g_i\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq C \left(1 + \|\tilde{\psi}_i g_i\|_{W^{s, q}}\right)^{q/p} \leq C (1 + \|F\|_{W^{s, q}})^{q/p}.$$

Therefore  $\|PF\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq mC (1 + \|F\|_{W^{s, q}})^{q/p}$  where  $m$  is the number of charts we used to cover  $M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *The use of partition of unity in the last proof is to decompose  $PF = \sum \psi_i PF$  and not  $F = \psi_i F$  since we no longer have linearity of the operator  $P$  in  $F$ .*

## 1.2 Review of Besov spaces $B^{s, p}$ .

In this part,  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  coordinated by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with weight  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . We define

$$T_j^v f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1, \dots, x_j + v, \dots, x_n), \quad \Delta_j^v := T_j^v - \text{Id}$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ .

For the notation, we will denote the Besov spaces by  $B^{s, p}$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$  so that they look similar to Sobolev space  $W^{s, p}$ . In a more standard notation, our spaces  $B^{s, p}$  are denoted by  $B_{p, p}^s$

**Definition 2.** We define  $B^{s,p}$  as the completion of  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^{s,p}} := \sum_{\|\gamma\| < s} \|D^\gamma\|_{L^p} + \sum_{s - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_j} < \|\gamma\| < s} \sup_v \frac{\|\Delta_j^v D^\gamma f\|_{L^p}}{|v|^{(s - \|\gamma\|)\sigma_j/\sigma}}$$

We cite here some well-known facts

1. While Sobolev spaces with non-integral regularity are complex interpolation of integral ones, Besov spaces are their real interpolation.
2. Besov spaces  $B^{s,p}(X)$  are reflexive Banach space with their dual spaces being  $B^{-s,p}(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $r < s$  then

$$W^{s,p}(X) \subset B^{s,p}(X) \subset W^{r,p}(X).$$

**Theorem 4** (Multiplication). For  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(X)$  and  $\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha < 1, \tilde{p} \leq p, \tilde{q} \leq q, \tilde{r} \leq r \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \end{cases}$ , one has

$$\|fg\|_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{r}}} \leq C (\|f\|_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{p}}} \|g\|_{L^q} + \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{B^{\alpha,\tilde{q}}}) \quad (2)$$

$$\|fg\|_{L^r} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} \quad (3)$$

Therefore by density (2) is true for all  $f \in L^p \cap B^{\alpha,\tilde{p}}, g \in L^q \cap B^{\alpha,\tilde{q}}$  and (3) is true for all  $f \in L^p, g \in L^q$ .

The reason for which we use the Besov norm is the following estimate:

**Theorem 5** (Composition). Let  $\Gamma(x, y)$  be a continuous, nonlinear function of variables  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  vanishes for all  $x$  outside of a compact in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $C$ -Lipschitz in  $y$ , and define

$$\Gamma f := (x \mapsto \Gamma(x, f(x))).$$

Then

$$\|\Gamma f\| \leq C (1 + \|f\|_{B^{\alpha,p}})$$

### 1.3 Proof of the local estimate.

Since  $B^{r+\epsilon,p}(X) \subset W^{r,p}(X)$ , by increasing  $r$  a bit, we can suppose that  $r \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and replace the  $W^{r,p}$  norm in the statement by the  $B^{r,p}$  norm, that is to estimate:

$$\|PF\|_{B^{r,p}} = \sum_{\|\gamma\| < r} \|D^\gamma(PF)\|_{L^p} + \sum_{r-\sigma/\sigma_j < \|\gamma\| < r} \frac{\|\Delta_j^v D^\gamma(PF)\|_{L^p}}{|v|^{(r-\|\gamma\|)\sigma_j/\sigma}}$$

where

$$D^\gamma(PF) = \sum c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}(x, F) D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu} \quad (4)$$

with  $\max \|\beta_i\| \leq k + \|\gamma\|$  and  $\sum \|\beta_i\| \leq n + \|\gamma\|$ .

Using  $\Delta_j^v(fg) = \Delta_j^v f T_j^v g + f \Delta_j^v g$ , one can see that  $\Delta_j^v D^\gamma(PF)$  is a sum of terms of 2 types:

$$\Delta_j^v c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu} T_j^v(D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1}) \dots T_j^v(D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}) \quad (5)$$

and

$$c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu} D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}} \Delta_j^v(D^{\beta_i} f^{b_i}) T_j^v(D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}}) \dots T_j^v(D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}) \quad (6)$$

Our strategy is to use Theorem 4 to estimate the terms (4), (5) and (6) as follows, where we denote  $\|g\|_p := \|g\|_{L^p}$

$$\left\| c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}(x, F) D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu} \right\|_p \leq \|c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}\|_\infty \cdot \|D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1}\|_{p_1} \dots \|D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}\|_{p_\mu} \quad (7)$$

$$\left\| \Delta_j^v c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu} T_j^v(D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1}) \dots T_j^v(D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}) \right\|_p \leq \|\Delta_j^v c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}\|_{\tilde{p}_0} \cdot \|D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1}\|_{p_1} \dots \|D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}\|_{p_\mu} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu} D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1} \dots D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}} \Delta_j^v(D^{\beta_i} f^{b_i}) T_j^v(D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}}) \dots T_j^v(D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}) \right\|_p \leq \\ & \|c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}\|_\infty \cdot \|D^{\beta_1} f^{b_1}\|_{p_1} \dots \|D^{\beta_{i-1}} f^{b_{i-1}}\|_{p_{i-1}} \cdot \|\Delta_j^v(D^{\beta_i} f^{b_i})\|_{\tilde{p}_i} \cdot \|D^{\beta_{i+1}} f^{b_{i+1}}\|_{p_{i+1}} \dots \|D^{\beta_\mu} f^{b_\mu}\|_{p_\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then continue by bounding the  $\Delta_j^v$  terms:

$$\|\Delta_j^v c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}\|_{\tilde{p}_0} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} C(1 + \|F\|_{B^{\theta, \tilde{p}_0}}) \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} C(1 + \|F\|_{W^{\theta, \tilde{p}_0}}) \quad (10)$$

using Theorem 5, where  $C$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}(x, F)$  in  $F$ , which exists because  $c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}$  is smooth and  $F$  always remains in a large Euclidean ball  $B$ . The next  $\Delta_j^v$  term to bound is, using Theorem 3:

$$\|\Delta_j^v(D^{\beta_i} f^{b_i})\|_{\tilde{p}_i} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \|f^{b_i}\|_{B^{\|\beta_i\|+\theta, \tilde{p}_i}} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{\|\beta_i\|+\theta, \tilde{p}_i}} \quad (11)$$

And finally plugging (10) and (11) in (8) and (9), and noting that  $\|c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu}\|_\infty$  in (7) is bounded by a constant, it remains to estimate  $\|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{\|\beta_i\|, p_i}}$ ,  $\|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{\|\beta_i\|+\theta, \tilde{p}_i}}$  and  $\|F\|_{W^{\theta, \tilde{p}_0}}$  in term of  $\|F\|_{W^{s, q}}$ , for which we will use the following consequence of Interpolation inequality.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $0 \leq r \leq s$  and  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $0 < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{r}{s}$ . Then for all compactly supported  $F \in C(X, B) \cap W^{s, q}$  where  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a large Euclidean ball, one has*

$$\|F\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq C \|F\|_\infty^{1-r/s} \|F\|_{W^{s, q}}^{r/s} \leq C' \|F\|_{W^{s, q}}^{r/s}$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $B$  and the support of  $F$ , but not  $F$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is bounded,  $f^\alpha \in W^{s, q} \cap W^{0, v}$  for all  $v > 1$ . By Interpolation inequality

$$\|f^\alpha\|_{W^{r, p}} \leq 2 \|f^\alpha\|_{W^{s, q}}^{r/s} \|f^\alpha\|_{W^{0, v}}^{1-r/s}$$

then choose  $v$  with  $(1 - \frac{r}{s}) \frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r}{s} \frac{1}{q}$ . □

To apply Lemma 6, we have to choose  $p_i, \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_0, \theta$  such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s}, \\ 0 < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i} - \frac{\|\beta_i + \theta\|}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\|\beta_i + \theta\|}{s}, \\ 0 < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0} - \frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q} < 1 - \frac{\theta}{s} \end{cases}$$

We choose  $\frac{1}{p_i}$  just a bit bigger than  $\frac{\|\beta_i\|}{s} \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i}$  just a bit bigger than  $\frac{\|\beta_i + \theta\|}{s} \frac{1}{q}$  and  $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0}$  just a bit bigger than  $\frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q}$ . We will now come back to justify the estimates (7), (8), (9). Since  $F$  is bounded in  $B$  and compactly supported in  $V$ , we see that  $\|f^\alpha\|_p \leq C(B, V) \|f^\alpha\|_q$  if  $p \leq q$ . Therefore,

1. For (7), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_\mu}$$

which is true because the RHS is a bit bigger than  $\frac{1}{qs} \sum \|\beta_i\| \leq \frac{n + \|\gamma\|}{qs} < \frac{n+r}{qs}$ .

2. For (8), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_\mu}$$

where the RHS is a bit bigger than  $\frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{qs} \sum \|\beta_i\| \leq \frac{n+\|\gamma\|+\theta}{qs}$ .

3. For (9), it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_i} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_\mu}$$

where the RHS is a bit bigger than  $\frac{\theta}{s} \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{qs} \sum \|\beta_i\| \leq \frac{n+\|\gamma\|+\theta}{qs}$ .

It is sufficient then to take  $\theta = r - \|\gamma\|$ . Now the estimates (7), (8), (9) can be continued as

$$RHS(7) \leq \prod_i \|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\|\beta_i\|/s} \leq \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{n+\|\gamma\|}{s}} \leq \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p} \quad (12)$$

$$RHS(8) \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\theta/s}\right) \prod_i \|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\|\beta_i\|/s} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\theta/s}\right) \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p} \quad (13)$$

$$RHS(9) \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + \|f^{b_i}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{\|\beta_i\|+\theta}{s}}\right) \prod_{u \neq i} \|f^{b_u}\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\|\beta_u\|/s} \leq |v|^{\theta\sigma_j/\sigma} \left(1 + \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{\frac{\|\beta_i\|+\theta}{s}}\right) \|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p} \quad (14)$$

While (12) gives  $\|D^\gamma(PF)\|_p \leq C\|F\|_{W^{s,q}}^{q/p}$ , the last two (13) and (14) give

$$\sum_{s - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_j} < \|\gamma\| < s} \sup_v \frac{\|\Delta_j^v D^\gamma(PF)\|_p}{|v|^{(r-\|\gamma\|)\sigma_j/\sigma}} \leq C \left(1 + \|F\|_{W^{q,s}}^{(n+r)/s}\right)$$

## 2 Regularity for nonlinear heat equation.

Let  $p > \dim M + 2$ , using the regularity estimate for polynomial differential operator, we now can prove

**Theorem 7** (Bootstrap for nonlinear heat equation). *Let  $F : M \times [\alpha, \omega] \rightarrow B$  such that  $F \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega])$  and  $\frac{dF_t}{dt} = \tau(F_t)$ , i.e.*

$$\frac{dF^\alpha}{dt} = -\Delta F^\alpha + g^{ij} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(F) F_i^\beta F_j^\gamma$$

and  $F|_{M \times \{\alpha\}}$  is smooth. Then  $F$  is smooth on  $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$ .

**Remark 2.** Note that since  $p > \dim M + 2 = \dim(M \times [\alpha, \omega]) + 1$ , if  $F \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon])$  then  $F$  and  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}$  are in  $C(M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon])$  by Sobolev imbeddings. It makes sense then to talk about:

1. the restriction and boundary condition at time  $t = \alpha$  (in fact, by Trace theorem,  $p > 1$  is enough).
2. the pointwise condition  $F : M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon] \longrightarrow B \subset V$ .

*Proof.* We define the operators  $PF := g^{ij}\Gamma'_{\beta\gamma}{}^\alpha(F)F_i^\beta F_j^\gamma$  of type (2,1) and  $AF := \frac{dF}{dt} + \Delta F$  of type (2,2). As in Theorem ??, we will abusively denote  $W^{k,p}(M \times [\beta, \gamma])$  by  $W^{k,p}([\beta, \gamma])$ . Our bootstrap scheme consists of 3 steps:

1. Prove that  $F \in W^{2,\tilde{p}}([\pi, \omega])$  for every  $\pi > \alpha$  and  $\tilde{p} \in (1, \infty)$ . By compactness of  $M$ , it is sufficient to prove this for a sequence  $\tilde{p} \rightarrow +\infty$ .
2. Prove that  $F$  is  $C^\infty$  for all time  $t > \alpha$ .
3. Prove that  $F$  is  $C^\infty$  on  $M \times [\alpha, \omega]$ .

*Step 1.* By Theorem 1,  $AF = PF \in W^{r,q}([\alpha, \omega])$  whenever  $r < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{q} > (\frac{r}{2} + 1)\frac{1}{p}$ . Apply Theorem ??, for all  $\pi > \alpha$ ,  $F \in W^{r+2,q}([\pi, \omega]) \subset W^{2,\tilde{p}}([\pi, \omega])$  for  $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{r}{\dim M + 1}$ . Choose  $\frac{1}{q}$  very close to  $(\frac{r}{2} + 1)\frac{1}{p}$ , one sees that the condition on  $\tilde{p}$  is  $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > (\frac{r}{2} + 1)\frac{1}{p} - \frac{r}{p-1}$ , which will be satisfied if  $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > (1 - \frac{r}{2})\frac{1}{p}$ , i.e. for all  $\tilde{p} < \frac{p}{1-r/2}$ . It remains to repeat this result to finish the first step. We will say  $F \in W^{2,*}([\pi, \omega])$  for  $F \in W^{2,p}([\pi, \omega])$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .

*Step 2.* By Theorem 1, for all  $r < 1$ , one has  $AF = PF \in W^{r,*}([\pi, \omega])$ , therefore by Theorem ??,  $F \in W^{r+2,*}([\pi, \omega])$ . Iterate this result and one has  $F \in W^{k,*}([\pi, \omega])$  for all  $k \in [2, \infty)$  and  $\pi > \alpha$ . So  $F$  is smooth for  $t > \alpha$ .

*Step 3.* We apply regularity result (Theorem ??) for elliptic operator  $A$  and boundary operators  $B^0 : F \mapsto F|_{M \times \{\alpha\}}$  and  $B^1 : F \mapsto F|_{M \times \{\omega\}}$ , both are of weight 0: Since for  $q, r$  in Step 1, one has  $AF = PF \in W^{r,q}([\alpha, \omega])$  and  $B^j F \in \partial W^{r,q}$ , therefore  $F \in W^{r+2,q}([\alpha, \omega]) \subset W^{2,\tilde{p}}([\alpha, \omega])$  for the same  $\tilde{p}$  as Step 1. This proves that  $F \in W^{2,*}([\alpha, \omega])$ , which also means that one has  $F \in W^{r+2,q}([\alpha, \omega])$  with no additional condition on  $q$  except  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . Iterate and one obtains the regularity of  $F$  on  $[\alpha, \omega]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** The first 2 steps were to prove the regularity of  $F|_{M \times \{\omega\}}$ , which was then used as a boundary condition in order to apply regularity result for elliptic operator on manifold with boundary.



### 3 Short-time existence for nonlinear heat equation.

We will choose as always  $p > \dim M + 2$ . As before,  $M$  is a compact Riemannian manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow B \subset V = \mathbb{R}^N$  where  $B$  is a large Euclidean ball.

**Theorem 8** (Short-time existence). *Let  $F_\alpha : M \rightarrow B$  be a smooth map, then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  depending on  $F_\alpha$  and  $F : M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon] \rightarrow B$  such that  $F \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon])$  with  $F|_{M \times \{\alpha\}} = F_\alpha$  and*

$$\frac{dF_t}{dt} = \tau(F_t) \quad \text{on } M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon]$$

*Proof.* We find  $F$  as a sum  $F = F_b + F_\#$  where  $F_b \in C^\infty(M \times [\alpha, \omega])$  satisfies the initial condition and  $F_\# \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon]/\alpha)$ .

The nonlinear heat operator is

$$\begin{aligned} T : W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N} &\longrightarrow L^p(M \times [\alpha, \omega])^{\oplus N} \\ F_\# &\longmapsto \tau(F_b + F_\#) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau(F)^\alpha = \Delta F^\alpha + g^{ij} \Gamma'_{\beta\gamma}{}^\alpha(F) F_i^\beta F_j^\gamma$ , which can be rewritten as  $\tau(F) = -\Delta F + \Gamma'(F)(\nabla F)^2$ . The derivative of  $T$  at  $F_\#$  in direction  $k \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N}$  is

$$DT(F_\#)k = \Delta k + D\Gamma'(F) \cdot k \cdot (\nabla F)^2 + 2\Gamma'(F) \nabla F \cdot \nabla k,$$

or in local coordinates:

$$DT(F_\#)^\alpha = g^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial^2 k^\alpha}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - k_l^\alpha \Gamma_{ij}^l \right) + g^{ij} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\prime\alpha}}{\partial y^\delta} k^\delta F_i^\beta F_j^\gamma + 2g^{ij} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\prime\alpha}(F) F_i^\beta F_j^\gamma$$

which is of form  $DT(F_\#)k = -\Delta k - a(x, F) \nabla k - b(x, F)k$  where  $a, b$  are smooth.

Therefore if we note by

$$\begin{aligned} H : W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N} &\longrightarrow L^p(M \times [\alpha, \omega])^{\oplus N} \\ F_\# &\longmapsto \left( \frac{d}{dt} - \tau \right) (F_b + F_\#) \end{aligned}$$

then the derivative of  $H$  at  $F_\# = 0$  is

$$DH(0) \cdot k = \frac{dk}{dt} + \Delta k + a(x, F_b) \nabla k + b(x, F_b)k$$

which by Theorem ?? is an isomorphism from  $W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N}$  to  $W^{0,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N} = L^p(M \times [\alpha, \omega])^{\oplus N}$ . This shows that  $H$  is a local isomorphism mapping a neighborhood of 0 to a neighborhood of  $(\frac{d}{dt} - \tau)F_b$ .

Define  $g_\epsilon \in L^p(M \times [\alpha, \omega])^{\oplus N}$  by

$$g_\epsilon := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon] \\ (\frac{d}{dt} - \tau)F_b, & \text{if } t > \alpha + \epsilon \end{cases}$$

which is arbitrarily  $L^p(M \times [\alpha, \omega])$ -close to  $(\frac{d}{dt} - \tau)F_b$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . There exists therefore  $F_\# \in W^{2,p}(M \times [\alpha, \omega]/\alpha)^{\oplus N}$  such that  $H(F_\#) = g_\epsilon$ , meaning that the function  $F = F_b + F_\# : M \longrightarrow V$  satisfies  $F|_{M \times \{\alpha\}} = F_\alpha$  and  $\frac{dF}{dt} - \tau(F_t) = 0$  for  $t \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon]$ .

By Regularity Theorem 7,  $F$  is  $C^\infty$  for  $t \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon]$ . Theorem ?? assures that the image of  $F$  is in  $B$ , hence in  $M'$  for  $t \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon]$ .  $\square$