## Bogomolov-Beauville classification

#### darknmt

### February 22, 2018

### Contents

1	Fro	m the Riemannian results of de Rham and Berger	1
2	Towards a classification for complex manifold		
	2.1	Special unitary manifolds (proper Calabi-Yau manifolds)	4
	2.2	Irreducible symplectic and hyperkähler manifolds	6
	2.3	Decomposition for complex manifold with vanishing Chern class	8

### 1 From the Riemannian results of de Rham and Berger

We will first prove a (conceptually) straightforward result of de Rham decomposition and Berger classification. The following theorem is taken from Beauville's article

**Theorem 1** (Beauville). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with flat Ricci curvature, then

1. The universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  of X decomposes isometrically and holomorphically as

$$\tilde{X} = E \times \prod_{i} V_{i} \times \prod_{j} X_{j}$$

where  $E = \mathbb{C}^k$ ,  $V_i$  and  $X_j$  are simply-connected compact manifolds of real dimension  $2m_i$  and  $4r_j$  with irreducible homonomy  $SU(m_i)$  for  $V_i$  and  $Sp(r_j)$  for  $X_j$ . One also has uniqueness in the strong sense as in de Rham decomposition.

2. There exists a finite covering space X' of X such that

$$X' = T \times \prod_{i} V_i \times \prod_{j} X_j$$

where T is a complex torus.

Proof. Note that the first point is obtained directly from Cheeger-Gromoll splitting and de Rham decomposition: The one-dimensional parallel subspaces (of trivial holonomy) are regrouped to E. By Cheeger-Gromoll splitting,  $\tilde{X} = E \times M$  where M contains no line and is compact (note that we use compactness of X here). The irreducible factors in M are not symmetric spaces as Ricci curvature of symmetric spaces is non-degenerate. Holonomy of these factors are  $SU(m_i)$  and  $Sp(r_j)$  according to Berger list since they are Kähler manifolds and Ricci-flat. It remains to prove the second point.

We will regard each element of  $\pi_1(X)$  by its isometric, free, proper action on  $\tilde{X}$ . As pointed out the arguments in our discussion of uniqueness of de Rham decomposition, every isometry of  $\tilde{X}$  to itself preserves the components  $T_{x_0}E$ ,  $T_{x_i}V_i$  and  $T_{x_j}X_j$  of  $T_x\tilde{X}$ , each isometry  $\phi$  of  $\tilde{X}$  is of form  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1 \in Isom(E)$  and  $\phi_2 \in Isom(M)$ .

We will use here the fact that if M is a Kähler manifold, compact and Ricci-flat then Isom(M) equipped with compact-open topology is discrete, therefore finite, which will be proved later (Lemma 3). We note  $\Gamma := \{\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \pi_1(X), \phi_2 = Id_M\}$  and sometime abusively regard  $\Gamma$  as a subgroup of Isom(E). Note that  $\Gamma$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)$ with finite index since the quotient is isomorphic to a subgroup of Isom(M). Therefore  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma = E/\Gamma \times M$  is compact as a finite cover of X.

We apply the following theorem of Bieberbach.

**Theorem 2** (Bieberbach). Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  be an Euclidean space and  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of Isom(E) that satisfies

- 1.  $\Gamma$  is discrete under compact-open topology.
- 2.  $E/\Gamma$  is compact.

Then the subgroup  $\Gamma'$  of translations in  $\Gamma$  is of finite index.

Suppose that the two conditions are satisfied then the theorem gives:  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma' = E/\Gamma' \times M = T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  is a finite cover of  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma$  as  $\Gamma'$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ :

**Fact.** The subgroup of translations in Isom(E), where  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  is an Euclidean space, is normal.

Therefore  $X' = \tilde{X}/\Gamma'$  is a finite cover of X that we want to find. It remains to prove that  $\Gamma$  is discrete, which is a consequence of

- 1.  $\pi_1(X)$  is discrete, without limit point in  $Isom(E) \times Isom(M)$  (obvious).
- 2. Isom(M) is compact.

In fact given any  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in Isom(E) \times Isom(M)$ , there exists by (1.) a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1, \phi_2) \times \mathcal{U}_2(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  of  $\phi$  in  $Isom(E) \times Isom(M)$  such that all points of  $\pi_1(X)$  lying in this region project to  $\phi_1$ . By (2.) we can find a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_1$  of  $\phi_1$  in Isom(E) small enough that  $\mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1) \times Isom(M) \subset \cup_{\phi_2 \in Isom(M)} \mathcal{U}_1(\phi_1, \phi_2) \times \mathcal{U}_2(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ . Therefore the projection of  $\pi_1(X)$  to Isom(E) is discrete, by consequence  $\Gamma$  is discrete.

**Lemma 3.** Let M be is a compact, simply-connected, Ricci-flat, Kähler manifold, then the group Aut(M) of automorphism of M equipped with compactopen topology is discrete, therefore Isom(M) is discrete, hence finite.

*Proof.* The idea is that since Aut(M) is a Lie group, it suffices to prove that its Lie algebra is of dimension 0. This is done using these facts.

- 1. The Lie algebra of Aut(M) can be identified with the vector space of holomorphic vector fields on M.
- 2. Bochner's principle: All holomorphic tensor fields on a compact, Ricciflat Kähler manifold are parallel.
- 3. The only invariant vector of the holonomy representation of M is 0 (obvious).

Bochner principle for holomorphic vector fields comes from the following identity (called *Weitzenbock formula*):

$$\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\|X\|^2) = \|\Delta X\|^2 + g(X, \nabla \text{div}X) + Ric(X, X)$$

for every vector field X. If X is holomorphic then it is harmonic and has  $\operatorname{div} X = 0$ . The fact that M is Ricci-flat gives  $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\|X\|^2) = \|\nabla X\|^2$  and the function  $\|X\|^2$  is subharmonic, therefore constant since M is compact. We then have  $\nabla X = 0$ ,i.e. X is parallel. The method of Bochner also works for tensor fields of any type in a Ricci-flat Kähler manifold and one also has  $\Delta(\|\tau\|^2) = \|\nabla \tau\|^2$  and that every holomorphic tensor field is parallel. See P. Petersen,  $Riemannian\ geometry$  and A. Besse,  $Einstein\ Manifolds$  for more detail.

### 2 Towards a classification for complex manifold

To obtain a translation of Theorem 1 in a context of complex manifolds (without any preferred metric a priori), we study the 2 building blocks: manifolds with holonomy SU(m) and Sp(r). To be clear, recall that a complex manifold X is called of Kähler type if one can equip X with an Hermitian structure whose fundamental form  $\omega$  satisfies  $d\omega = 0$ . When we say X is of Kähler type, we refer to X as a complex manifold without fixing a metric on X.

### 2.1 Special unitary manifolds (proper Calabi-Yau manifolds)

**Remark 1.** Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with holonomy SU(m) and complex dimension  $m \geq 3$  then:

- 1.  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^p) = 0$  for all  $0 , by consequence <math>\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 + (-1)^m$ .
- 2. X is projective, that is X can be embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^N$  as zero-locus of some (finitely) homogeneous polynomials.
- 3.  $\pi_1(X)$  is finite and if m is even, X is simply connected.

The first point is in fact algebraic in nature: it comes from the fact that the representation of SU(m) over  $\bigwedge^p T_x^*M$  is irreducible for all p et non-trivial for 0 , therefore the action of <math>SU(m) on  $\bigwedge^p T_x^*M$  for  $0 has no invariant element, hence <math>H^0(X, \Omega_X^p) = 0$ .

The second point follows the following facts:

- 1. (Kodaira's theorem) A compact Kähler manifold with  $H^{2,0}=0$  can be embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .
- 2. (Chow's theorem) A compact complex manifold embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  is algebraic, i.e. defined by a finite number of homogeneous polynomials.

The third point is a direct consequence of Riemann-Hurwitz formula. In fact, the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  of X is of holonomy SU(m). This is due to the following remarks:  $Hol(X) \supset Hol(X') \supset Hol_0(X') = Hol_0(X)$  and  $Hol_0(X) = Hol(X) = SU(m)$  as SU(n) is connected.

By Theorem 1,  $\tilde{X}$  is compact by Lemma 3 a finite covering of X as  $\pi_1(X)$  is finite. As  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 2$ , one has  $X = \tilde{X}$ , hence X is simply-connected.

**Theorem 4.** Given a compact manifold X of Kähler type and complex dimension m, the following properties are equivalent

- 1. There exists a compatible metric g over X such that Hol(X,g) = SU(m).
- 2.  $K_X$  is trivial and  $H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^p) = 0$  for every 0 and <math>X' a finite covering of X.

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) as a finite covering space X' of a special unitary manifold X is still a special unitary.

For the implication (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1): by Yau's theorem we equip X with a Ricci-flat metric, by Theorem 1, there exists a finite cover  $X' = T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  where T is a complex torus,  $Hol(V_i) = SU(m_i), Hol(X_j) = Sp(r_j)$ . But  $H^0(X', \Omega^p_{X'}) = 0$  for 0 , <math>X' has to be one of the  $V_i$  as  $H^0(X_j, \Omega^2_{X_j})$  and  $H^0(V_i, \Omega^m_{V_i})$  do not vanish. Therefore Hol(X') = SU(m), hence Hol(X) = SU(m).

Theorem 4 allows us to check if a manifold X is special unitary by looking at the  $h^{0,p}(0 coefficients of the Hodge diamond of <math>X$  and its finite covers. We can see, by this criteria that the following examples are special unitary manifolds. All of them are algebraically constructed, since a construction by glueing local charts is difficult (or impossible).

- **Example 1** (Special unitary manifold). 1. Elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$  are special unitary, as any statement starting with "for every 0 " is formally true.
  - 2. A K3 surface (simply-connected surface with trivial canonical bundle) is special unitary, its Hodge diamond is given below.
  - 3. A quintic threefold (hypersurface of degree 5 in 4-dimensional projective space) is a special unitary manifold, the Hodge diamond of which is given is given below. In particular, the Fermat quintic defined by

$$\{(z_0: z_1: z_2: z_3: z_4) \in \mathbb{CP}^4: \sum z_i^5 = 0\}$$

4. In general, any smooth hypersurface X of  $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$  of degree m+2 satisfies  $h^{0,p}=0$  for all 0 . If <math>X is simply-connected then it is a special unitary manifold.

Table 1: Hodge diamond of a K3 surface.

Table 2: Hodge diamond of a quintic threefold.

### 2.2 Irreducible symplectic and hyperkähler manifolds

**Remark 2.** Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with holonomy Sp(r) and complex dimension 2r then:

- 1. There exists a holomorphic 2-form  $\varphi$  non-degenerate at every points.
- 2.  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2l+1}) = 0$ ,  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2l}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi^l$  for all  $0 \le l \le r$ . By consequence  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = r + 1$ .
- 3. X is simply-connected.

The first point of the remark follows directly from our discussion of Berger classification.

The second point is algebraic in nature: The representation of Sp(r) on  $\bigwedge^p T_x^* M$  splits into

$$\bigwedge^{p} T_{x}^{*} M = P_{p} \oplus P_{p-2} \varphi(x) \oplus P_{p-4} \varphi^{2}(x) \oplus \dots$$
(1)

where  $P_k, 0 \leq k \leq r$  are irreducible, non-trivial for k > 0 and  $\varphi(x) \in \bigwedge^2 T_x^* M$  uniquely defined up to a constant. Therefore the only invariant elements are  $c\varphi^{p/2}$  where c is a scalar.

For the last point, one uses the same arguments as Remark 1.

**Theorem 5.** Given a compact manifold X of Kähler type and complex dimension 2r, then:

- 1. The following properties are equivalent. X is called <u>hyperkähler</u> if it satisfies one of them.
  - (a) There exists a compatible metric g such that  $Hol(X,g) \subset Sp(r)$ .
  - (b) There exists a compatible symplectic structure: a 2-form that is closed, holomorphic and non-degenerate at every point.
- 2. The following properties are equivalent. X is called <u>irreducible symplectic</u> if it satisfies one of them.
  - (a) There exists a compatible metric g such that Hol(X,g) = Sp(r)
  - (b) X is simply-connected and there exists (uniquely up to a constant) a compatible symplectic structure on X.

By "compatible", we mean "compatible with the complex structure".

- *Proof.* 1. The fact that (a) implies (b) is obvious. For the other way: since  $K_X$  is trivial (existence of global non-null section) by Yau's theorem we equip X with a Ricci-flat metric, then the symplectic structure  $\varphi$  of X is parallel by Bochner's principle. Hence the holonomy is in Sp(r).
  - 2. For the implication (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b), it suffices to notice that the invariant elements  $\varphi$  in the decomposition (1) is unique. For the direction (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a), note that X can be equipped with a Calabi-Yau metric by the (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a) part of (1.), by Theorem 1,  $X = \prod_{j=1}^m X_j$  where  $X_j$  are irreducible compact Kähler manifolds. The symplectique structure  $\varphi$  on X, restricted on each  $X_j$ , gives a symplectique structure  $\varphi_j$  of  $X_j$ . But any form  $\sum_j \lambda_j pr_j^* \varphi_j$  is another symplectic structure of X, one must have m = 1 by uniqueness of  $\varphi$ .

**Example 2.** 1. One can notice a trivial example: Every special unitary manifold of 2 complex dimensions is irreducible symplectic because SU(2) is isomorphic to Sp(1).

2. Let X be a smooth cubic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}$  and  $F(X) = \{L \in Gr(1,\mathbb{CP}^{n+1}), L \subset X\} \subset Gr(1,\mathbb{CP}^{n+1})$  the manifold formed by lines in X. F(X) is non-empty when n > 1, smooth if X is smooth and of dimension 2n - 4. Beauville and Donagi proved that for n = 4, F(X) is irreducible symplectic, therefore hyperkähler.

# 2.3 Decomposition for complex manifold with vanishing Chern class

Theorem 1 can be translated to a decomposition for complex manifold in the following way:

**Theorem 6** (Bogomolov-Beauville classification). Let X be a compact manifold of Kähler type of vanishing first Chern class.

- 1. The universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  of X is isomorphic to a product  $E \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$  where  $E = \mathbb{C}^k$  and
  - (a) Each  $V_i$  is a projective simply-connected manifold of complex dimension  $m_i \geq 3$ , with trivial  $K_{V_i}$  and  $H^0(V_i, \Omega^p_{V_i}) = 0$  for 0
  - (b) Each  $X_j$  is an hyperkähler manifold.

This decomposition is unique up to an order of i and j.

2. There exists a finite cover X' of X isomorphic to the product  $T \times \prod_i V_i \times \prod_j X_j$ .

The theorem follows directly from Theorem 1, the only point that needs proof is the uniqueness, which will be achieved in two steps:

- 1. Prove the uniqueness in the case that X is simply-connected.
- 2. Prove that every isomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z$  is splitted as  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1: \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  and  $\phi_2: Y \longrightarrow Z$  are isomorphisms (by consequence h = k).

These two steps will be accomplished in the following two lemmas

**Lemma 7.** Let  $Y = \prod_j Y_j$  be a finite product of compact, simply-connected manifold of Kähler type with vanishing Chern class. The Calabi-Yau metrics of Y are then  $g = \sum_l pr_j^* g_j$  where  $g_j$  are Calabi-Yau metrics of  $Y_j$ .

*Proof.* Let g be a Calabi-Yau metric of Y and  $[\omega]$  its class in  $H^{1,1}(Y)$ . Since  $Y_j$  are simply-connected,  $[\omega] = \sum_j pr_j^*[\omega_j]$ . By Yau's theorem, there exist unique Calabi-Yau metrics  $g_j$  of  $Y_j$  in each class  $[\omega_j]$ . The metric  $g' = \sum_j pr_j^*g_j$  is in the same class  $\omega$  of g and is also a Calabi-Yau metric, hence  $g = g' = \sum_j pr_j^*g_j$ .

This lemma asserts that when our manifolds  $Y, Y_j$  are equipped with appropriate Calabi-Yau metrics, the decomposition map is also a (Riemannian) isometric, we therefore obtain uniqueness of  $V_i, X_j$  from uniqueness of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 8.** Let Y, Z be compact, simply-connected manifold of Kähler type, then any isomorphism  $u: \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z$  is splitted as  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  where  $\phi_1: \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  and  $\phi_2: Y \longrightarrow Z$  are isomorphisms.

Proof. It is clear that the composed function  $u_1: \mathbb{C}^k \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h \times Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$  is constant in Y, i.e.  $u_1(t,y) = u_1(t)$  as holomorphic functions on Y are constant, therefore  $u(t,y) = (u_1(t), u_2(t,y))$ . As u is isomorphic, one has  $h \leq k$  then by the same argument for  $u^{-1}$ , one has h = k,  $u_1$  is an isomorphism and  $u_2(t,\cdot)$  is an isomorphism from Y to Z.  $u_2(0,\cdot)^{-1} \circ u_2(t,\cdot)$  is then a curve in Aut(Y), which is discrete by Lemma 3. Therefore  $u_2(t,\cdot) = u_2(0,\cdot)$  independent of t.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Emacs 25.3.1 (Org mode 9.0.5)