## Calabi-Yau theorem

#### darknmt

#### May 30, 2018

### Contents

1	Calabi conjecture	1
2	Reduction to local charts, Yau theorem	2
3	A sketch of proof	3
4	Calabi-Yau manifold	7

## 1 Calabi conjecture

In complex geometry, one usually defines the *Ricci curvature* to be the real (1,1)-form  $\rho$  with  $\rho(u,v) = Ric(Ju,v) = \operatorname{tr}(w \mapsto R(w,v).Ju)$ , as it has the advantage of being an antisymmetric form.

We will call  $\rho$  the <u>Ricci form</u> when it is easy to confuse with the Ricci curvature tensor in Riemannian geometry. We start with the following fact (which is exercise 4.A.3 in Huybrechts, *Complex geometry: an introduction*).

**Remark 1.** For our convenience when talking about positivity, we would rather use the anticanonical bundle. Then  $K_X^{-1}$  is positive (resp. semipositive) if and only if Ric is positive definite (resp. positive semi-definite) as a symmetric form.

We start with the following fact (which is exercise 4.A.3 in Daniel Huybrechts, Complex geometry: an introduction)

**Proposition 0.1** (Ricci curvature and first Chern class). Let (X,g) be a compact Kähler manifold. Then  $i\rho(X,g)$  is the curvature of the Chern connection on the canonical bundle  $K_X$ . In other words,  $\rho(X,g) \in -2\pi c_1(K_X)$  where  $c_1(K_X)$  is the first Chern class of  $K_X$ .

**Definition 1.** The quadruple  $(h, g, \omega, J)$  is said to be <u>compatible</u> if  $g \circ J = g$  and  $\omega(a, b) = g(Ja, b)$  and  $h = g - i\omega$ .

**Remark 2.** 1. When J is fixed, one of  $h, g, \omega$  that is invariant by J determines the two others.

2. For a compatible quadruple, the condition  $\nabla J = 0$  is equivalent to  $d\omega = 0$ . The fundamental form  $\omega$  that satisfies  $d\omega = 0$  is called a Kähler form.

The Calabi conjecture asked whether for each form  $R \in c_1(K_X)$  one can find a metric g' whose new fundamental form  $\omega'$  is in the same class of  $\omega$  and Ric(X, g') = R. We prefer to work with the fundamental form instead of the metric g as the former is antisymmetric and its derivative is hence easy to define.

### 2 Reduction to local charts, Yau theorem

 $h, g, \omega$  in local coordinates. We note by  $h_{i\bar{j}} = h(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}) = 2g_{\mathbb{C}}(\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{z_j})$ . By straightforward calculation one has

$$\begin{split} \omega &= -\frac{1}{2} Im h_{i\bar{j}} (dx^i \wedge dx^j + dy^i \wedge dy^j) + Re h_{i\bar{j}} dx^i \wedge dy^j \\ &= \frac{i}{2} h_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge dz^{\bar{j}} \end{split}$$

and the condition  $d\omega = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial h_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k} = \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{j}}}{\partial z_i}$$

We also note by  $h^{i\bar{j}}$  the inverse transposed of  $h_{i\bar{j}}$ , i.e.  $h^{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{j}}=\delta_i^k$ 

**Definition 2.** Let X be an almost complex manifold (manifold with an almost complex structure). Then  $d: \bigwedge^n T^*X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n+1} T^*X$  sends  $\bigwedge^{p,q} T^*M$  to  $\bigwedge^{p+1,q} T^*M \oplus \bigwedge^{p,q+1} T^*M$ . We denote by  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  the component of d in  $\bigwedge^{p+1,q} T^*M$  and  $\bigwedge^{p,q+1} T^*M$  respectively.

It would be convenient to define  $d^c = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$  then obviously  $dd^c = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}$ .

The Ricci curvature form is given in local coordinates by

$$Ric_{\omega} = -\frac{1}{2}dd^{c}\log\det(h_{i\bar{j}})$$

 $dd^c$  lemma . We then can state the  $dd^c$  lemma

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real, (1,1)-form on a compact Kähler manifold M. Then  $\alpha$  is d-exact if and only if there exists  $\eta \in C^{\infty}(M)$  globally defined such that  $\alpha = dd^c\eta$ .

Yau's theorem. The  $dd^c$  lemma tells us that every form  $R \in c_1(K_X)$  is of form  $Ric_{\omega} + dd^c\eta$ . If one varies the Hermitian product  $h_{i\bar{j}}$  to  $h_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}$  then the new Ricci curvature is  $dd^c \log \det(h_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}})$ . The Calabi conjecture can be restated as the existence of  $\phi$  such that  $h_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}$  is definite positive and

$$dd^{c} \left( \log \det(h_{i\bar{i}} + \phi_{i\bar{i}}) - \log \det(h_{i\bar{i}}) - \eta \right) = 0 \tag{1}$$

The functions f that satisfies  $dd^c f = 0$  are called *pluriharmonic*. They also satisfy the maximum principle. By compactness of X, these functions on X are exactly constant functions. Therefore (1) is equivalent to

$$\det(h_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}}) = e^{c+\eta} \det(h_{i\bar{j}})$$

or by  $dd^c$  lemma:

$$(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n = e^{c+\eta} \omega^n$$

where  $\omega^n$  denotes the repeated wedge product. Note that  $(\omega + dd^c\phi)^n - \omega^n$  is exact, one has  $\int_M (\omega + dd^c\phi)^n = V$ , the conjecture of Calabi is therefore a consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Yau). Given a function  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , f > 0 such that  $\int_{M} f\omega^{n} = V$ . There exists, uniquely up to constant,  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $\omega + dd^{c}\phi > 0$  and

$$(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n = f\omega^n$$

# 3 A sketch of proof

The uniqueness is straightforward. In fact if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  both satisfy  $\omega + dd^c \phi > 0$ ,  $\omega + dd^c \psi > 0$  and  $(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n = (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n$  then  $D(\phi - \psi) = 0$  as

$$0 = \int_{M} (\phi - \psi)((\omega + dd^{c}\phi)^{n} - (\omega + dd^{c}\psi)^{n}) = \int_{M} d(\phi - \psi) \wedge d^{c}(\phi - \psi) \wedge T$$

where

$$T = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\omega + dd^c \phi)^j \wedge (\omega + dd^c \psi)^{n-1-j}$$

is a closed (strongly) positive (n-1, n-1) -form.

We will prove the existence of  $\phi$  under the constraint  $\int_M \phi \omega^n = 0$  (which will be useful to prove that (N) is locally diffeomorphism later). We will prove that the set S of  $t \in [0,1]$  such that there exists  $\phi_t \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$  with  $\int_M \phi_t \omega^n = 0$  that satisfies

$$(\omega + dd^c \phi_t)^n = (tf + 1 - t)\omega^n \tag{2}$$

is both open and close in [0,1], therefore is the entire interval as  $0 \in S$ .

To see that S is open, one only has to prove that the function  $\mathcal N$  defined by

$$\phi \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\phi) = \frac{\det(h_{i\bar{j}} + \phi_{i\bar{j}})}{\det(h_{i\bar{j}})}$$

or in other words  $(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n = \mathcal{N}(\phi)\omega^n$ , is a local diffeomorphism. The differential of  $\mathcal{N}$  is given by

$$D\mathcal{N}(\phi).\eta = \mathcal{N}\Delta\eta$$

with  $\eta$  varies in  $\{\eta \in C^{k,\alpha}(M) : \int_M \eta \omega^n = 0\}$ . and  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator which is known to be bijective between

$$\left\{\eta \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(M): \int_M \eta = 0\right\} \longrightarrow \left\{f \in C^{k,\alpha}(M): \int_M f = 0\right\}$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{N}$  is a local diffeomorphism and S is open.

The proof that S is closed is more technical and is accomplished in 3 steps:

- 1. Using Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it suffices to show that  $\{\phi_t : t \in S\}$  is bounded in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ . Then up to a subsequence, one has the uniform convergence of  $\phi_{t_n}$  and all its partial derivatives of order  $\leq k+1$ . The k+2-th order follows from (2).
- 2. Using Schauder theory, prove that the above bound follows from a priori estimate:

There exists  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and  $C(X, ||f||_{1,1}, 1/\inf_M f) > 0$  such that every  $\phi \in C^4(X)$  satisfying  $(\omega + dd^c\phi)^n = f\omega^n$ ,  $\omega + dd^c\phi > 0$  and  $\int_M \phi\omega^n = 0$  (we will call such  $\phi$  admissible) has

$$\|\phi\|_{2,\alpha} \leq C.$$

3. Establish the a priori estimate.

To achieve the a priori estimate, one firstly bounds  $\phi$  in  $C^0$ , then bound  $\|\Delta\phi\|$  and finally establishs the  $C^{2,\alpha}$  estimate. We will give here some detail of the first step. For more detail, see Z. Blocki, *The Calabi-Yau Theorem*.

Proof of the  $C^0$ -estimate. Since  $\phi$  is defined up to an additive constant, what we mean by the  $C^0$ -estimate for  $\phi$  is in fact the estimate of

$$\operatorname{osc}_M \phi := \max_M \phi - \min_M \phi$$

by a constant C that depends only on M and f. Without losing of generality, one assumes that  $\int_M \omega^n = 1$  and  $\max_M \phi = -1$ . Therefore  $\|\phi\|_p \leq \|\phi\|_q$  for  $p \leq q < \infty$ .

One has

$$\int_{M} (-\phi)^{p} (f-1)\omega^{n} = \int_{M} (-\phi)^{p} dd^{c} \phi \wedge \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega + dd^{c} \phi)^{j} \wedge \omega^{n-1-j} \right) \tag{3}$$

$$= p \int_{M} (-\phi)^{p-1} d\phi \wedge d^{c} \phi \wedge \left( \omega^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\omega + dd^{c} \phi)^{j} \wedge \omega^{n-1-j} \right)$$

$$\geq p \int_{M} (-\phi)^{p-1} d\phi \wedge d^{c} \phi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \tag{5}$$

$$= \frac{4p}{(p+1)^{2}} \int_{M} d(-\phi)^{(p+1)/2} \wedge d^{c} (-\phi)^{(p+1)/2} \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{c_{n}p}{(p+1)^{2}} \|D(-\phi)^{(p+1)/2}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{7}$$

where we used the fact that  $\omega + dd^c \phi > 0$  in the inequality, and  $c_n$  is a constant depending only on n.

Now we use the following Sobolev inequality on M (i.e. use Sobolev inequality in each chart as a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  then add up the results):

$$||v||_{mq/(m-q)} \le C(M,q)(||v||_q + ||Dv||_q), \quad \forall v \in W^{1,q}(M), q < m$$

with  $v = \phi$ , m = 2n the real dimension of M and q = 2, then use (7) to bound the  $D\phi$  term:

$$\|(-\phi)^{(p+1)/2}\|_{2n/(n-1)} \le C_M \left[ \|(-\phi)^{(p+1)/2}\|_2 + \frac{p+1}{\sqrt{p}} \left( \int_M (-\phi)^p (f-1)\omega^n \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

Replace p+1 by p and use the fact that  $|\phi| \geq 1$ , one has

$$\|\phi\|_{np/(n-1)} \le (Cp)^{1/p} \|\phi\|_p, \quad \forall p \ge 2$$

where C depends only on M and  $||f||_{\infty}$ .

Repeatedly apply this inequality (this technique is called *Moser's iteration*) one has  $\|\phi\|_{p_{k+1}} \leq (Cp_k)^{1/p_k} \|\phi\|_{p_k}$  where the sequence  $p_k$  is defined by  $p_0 = 2$  and  $p_{k+1} = \frac{n}{n-1} p_{k-1} = 2(\frac{n}{n-1})^k$  and

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|\phi\|_{p_k} \le \|\phi\|_2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (Cp_j)^{1/p_j}$$

with  $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (Cp_j)^{1/p_j} = (n/(n-1))^{n(n-1)/2} (2C)^{n/2}$ 

The fact that  $\|\phi\|_2$  is bounded follows directly from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** (L<sup>p</sup>-boundedness). For any admissible  $\phi$  with  $\max_M \phi = -1$  one has

$$\|\phi\|_p \le C(M, p), \quad \forall 1 \le p \le \infty$$

*Proof.* We will prove the lemma with p=1 first. Let g be the local potential of the Kähler form  $\omega$ , i.e. a function defined on each chart (not necessarily agrees on zones where charts are glued together) such that  $\omega=dd^cg=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}g_{i\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j$  where  $g_{i\bar{j}}$  can also be intepreted as  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i\partial\bar{z}_j}g$ . We also suppose that the function g is negative on every chart. The fact that  $\omega+dd^c\phi>0$  is rewritten as  $(g_{i\bar{j}}+\phi_{i\bar{j}})>0$  in local coordinates.

Note  $u = g + \phi$  the potential of  $\omega + dd^c \phi$  locally defined on each chart, then u is negative and plurisubharmonic (psh). For every  $x \in B(y, R)$  one has

$$u(x) \le \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B(x, 2R))} \int_{B(x, 2R)} u \le \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B(y, 2R))} \int_{B(y, R)} u$$

where the first inequality is due to plurisubharmonicity and the second is due to  $u \leq 0$ . Therefore

$$||u||_{L^1(B(y,R))} \le \operatorname{vol}(B(y,2R)) \inf_{B(y,R)} |u|,$$

hence

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1(B(y,R))} \le \|u\|_{L^1(B(y,R))} \le \operatorname{vol}(B(y,2R))(\inf_{B(y,R)} |\phi| + \max_M |g|)$$

To see that  $\|\phi\|_1$  is bounded, we apply the following Lemma 4 to the covering of M by finitely many ball  $B(y_i, R_i)$ ,  $c_i = \text{vol}(B(y_i, 2R_i))$ ,  $d_i = c_i \max_M |g|$  and r = 1.

The case p > 1 follows analoguously using the following estimate: if u is negative and psh in B(y, 2R) then

$$||u||_{L^p(B(y,R))} \le C(n,p,R)||u||_{L^1(B(y,2R))}$$

**Lemma 4** (Combinatoric). Let M be a connected compact manifold covered by finitely many local charts  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^l$  and  $r, c_i, d_i > 0$ . Then for any continuous function  $\phi$  globally defined on M such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1(V_i)} \le c_i \inf_{V_i} |\phi| + d_i, \quad \min_{M} |\phi| \le r,$$

one has 
$$\|\phi\|_1 := \sum_i \|\phi\|_{L^1(V_i)} \le C(\{V_i\}, \{c_i\}, \{d_i\}, r)$$

*Proof.* Let p be a point in M where  $|\phi|$  attains its minimum. Since M is connected, for every  $V_i$ , there exists a sequence  $V_{i_k}, 0 \le k \le l$  such that

$$i_0 = i, \quad V_{i_k} \cap V_{i_{k+1}} \neq \emptyset, \quad p \in V_{i_l}$$

One has

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{1}(V_{i_{k}})} \leq c_{i_{k}} \inf_{V_{i_{k}}} |\phi| + d_{i_{k}} \leq c_{i_{k}} \inf_{V_{i_{k}} \cap V_{i_{k+1}}} |\phi| + d_{i_{k}}$$

$$\leq c_{i_{k}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(V_{i_{k}} \cap V_{i_{k+1}})} \|\phi\|_{L^{1}(V_{i_{k+1}})} + d_{i_{k}}$$

Repeatedly apply this inequality for k = 0, ..., l - 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L^1(V_i)} &\leq A(i, \{V_j\}, \{c_j\}, \{d_j\}) \|\phi\|_{L^1(V_{i_l})} + B(i, \{V_j\}, \{c_j\}, \{d_j\}) \\ &\leq A(i, \{V_j\}, \{c_j\}, \{d_j\}) (c_{i_l}r + d_{i_l}) + B(i, \{V_j\}, \{c_j\}, \{d_j\}) \end{aligned}$$

Take the sum for all i = 0, ..., l and the result follows.

### 4 Calabi-Yau manifold

Recall that we defined a Calabi-Yau manifold to be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 2n with holonomy contained in SU(n). We also remark, using parallel transport, the existence of a compatible complex structure (U(n)) suffices and a holomorphic form non-vanishing at every point. We present here some equivalent definitions of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds.

**Theorem 5.** Let X be a compact manifold of Kähler type and complex dimension n then:

- 1. The followings are equivalent
  - (a) There exists a Kähler metric such that the global holonomy is in SU(n).
  - (b) There exists a holomorphic (n,0) form that vanishes nowhere.
  - (c) The canonical bundle  $K_X$  is trivial.
  - (d) The structure group of X can be reduced to SU(n).
- 2. The following are equivalent. If X is simply-connected, they are equivalent with the 4 statements above.
  - (a) There exists a Kähler metric such that the local holonomy is in SU(n).
  - (b) The canonical bundle  $K_X$  is flat.
  - (c) There exists a Kähler metric such that the Ricci curvature vanishes.
  - (d) The first Chern class vanishes.

The proof is straightforward (see Manuscript) with the only non-trivial part is when one needs Calabi-Yau theorem to construct Ricci-flat metric.