$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CS5600} \\ \textbf{Assigment 1} \\ \textbf{Expert Recommendation System} \end{array}$

Group 3 Members

Name	Roll Number		
Kritik Agarwal	CS23MTECH11009		
Darpan Gaur	C021BTECH11004		
Hari Priyanka Allam	CC23M24P100001		
Maloth David	CS21BTECH11035		

Exercise 1

The top 3 answerers and the top 3 tags, along with their answer count and annotation count, are listed below:

Top 3 Answerers

OwnerUserId	AnswerCount
9113	2839
177980	2326
1204	2043

Top 3 Tags

Tags	TagCount
design	5162
c#	4931
java	4928

Exercise 2

The dimension of the Expert utility matrix is: (1163, 974)

Exercise 3

Utility Matrix

• Summation value: 41403

• Highest row sum: 1164

 \bullet Highest column sum: 1403

Train and Test Data

• Train matrix summation value: 28713

• Test matrix dimension: (174, 146)

• Test matrix summation value: 899

Exercise 4

Performance of Item-Item and User-User Recommendation Systems

Method	Rating Prediction Function	Metric	N=2	N=3	N=5
Item-Item	Simple average	RMSE	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078
	Weighted average	RMSE	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078
User-User	Simple average	RMSE	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078
	Weighted average	RMSE	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078

Exercise 5

Performance of Item-Item and User-User Recommendation Systems

Method	Metric	K=2	K=5	K=10
Without Regularization	RMSE	0.874779	0.734253	0.591676
Without Regularization	RMSE			
$\lambda_1 = 0.001, \lambda_2 = 0.003$		0.880756	0.739713	0.595585
$\lambda_1 = 0.05, \lambda_2 = 0.05$	RMSE	0.883248	0.742098	0.589883
$\lambda_1 = 0.50, \lambda_2 = 0.75$	RMSE	0.878045	0.748843	0.594022

Exercise 6

${\rm KNN\textsc{-}Baseline}$ surprise library vs Exercise 4

Algorithm	Method	RMSE for N=2	RMSE for N=3	RMSE for N=5
Item-Item	Your method	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078
	Surprise library	0.3058	0.3058	0.3058
User-User	Your method	0.3078	0.3078	0.3078
	Surprise library	0.3058	0.3058	0.3058

- The RMSE values for the methods implemented by us and the surprise library are almost similar.
- For surprise library, the RMSE values are slightly lower than the values obtained by us.
- This is becasue KNN Baseline also do bais correction, while our implementation does not.

SVD surprise library vs Exercise 5

Method	RMSE for K=2	RMSE for K=5	RMSE for K=10
Your Method	0.874779	0.734253	0.591676
Surprise	0.3058	0.3058	0.3058

- Did grid serach on hyperparameters, n_epochs, reg_pu and reg_qi.
- Taken $lr_all = 0.0005$ as mentined in exercise 5, $n_epochs = 1000$, $reg_pu = 0.05$, and $reg_qi=0.05$.