## A NOTE ON 'NON-SECRET ENCRYPTION'

by C C Cocks

A possible implementation is suggested of J H Ellis's proposed method of encryption involving no sharing of secret information (key lists, machine set-ups, pluggings etc) between sender and receiver.

## Note on "Non-Secret Encryption"

- 1. In [1] J H Ellis describes a theoretical method of encryption which does not necessitate the sharing of secret information between the sender and receiver. The following describes a possible implementation of this.
  - a. The receiver picks 2 primes P, Q satisfying the conditions
    - i. P does not divide Q-1.
    - ii. Q does not divide P-1.

He then transmits N = PQ to the sender.

- b. The sender has a message, consisting of numbers  $C_1, C_2, ... C_r$  with  $0 < C_i < N$ He sends each, encoded as  $D_i$  where  $D_i = C_i^N$  reduced modulo N.
- c. To decode, the receiver finds, by Euclid's Algorithm, numbers P', Q' satisfying
  - i.  $P P' = 1 \pmod{Q 1}$
  - ii.  $Q Q' = 1 \pmod{P-1}$

Then  $C_i = D_i^{P'} \pmod{Q}$  and  $C_i = D_i^{Q'} \pmod{P}$  and so  $C_i$  can be calculated.

## **Processes Involved**

- 2. There is an algorithm, involving work of the order of log M, to test if M is prime, which usually works but can fail to give an answer. Hence as the density of primes is  $(\log M)^{-1}$ , picking primes is a process of order  $(\log M)^k$  where k is a small integer.
- 3. Also, computing  $C_i^N \pmod{N}$  is of order  $(\log N)^{k'}$  and the computation of  $D_i^{P'}$  and  $D_i^{Q'}$  even smaller; hence coding and decoding is a process requiring work of order  $(\log N)^k$  where k will be about 2 or 3.
- 4. However, factorising N is a process requiring work of order  $N^{1/4}$  (log N)<sup>k</sup>, where k is a small integer (alternatively computing C from  $C^N$  (mod N) requires work of order N if the factorization of N is not known); so decoding for an interceptor of the communication is a process of order about  $N^{1/4}$ .

Reference [1] The possibility of Non-Secret digital encryption. J H Ellis, CESG Research Report, January 1970.

Note: There is no loss of security in transmitting  $C_1 \dots C_r$  all using the same N. Even if the enemy can guess a crib for eg  $C_1 \dots C_{r-1}$ , this gives no information of use in decoding  $D_r$  etc. He could in any case provide himself with as many pairs  $(C_i, D_i)$  as he pleases, since the encryption process is known to him as well as to the transmitter!