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**(500 word-limit)**

|  |
| --- |
| Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by social impairments and restricted, repetitive behaviors [1] that arises from altered brain development [2, 3]. Neuro-oscillatory activity—which reflects synchronized communication between cortical regions [4]—is ubiquitously reported to be atypical in ASD [5-7], offering a potential assay of disrupted information processing and network connectivity [8]. However, findings are i) often challenging to replicate due to differences in methodology and clinical populations, and ii) typically limited to between-group comparisons, which fail to account for substantial heterogeneity within the ASD population at the individual-level.  To address these limitations, we curated a rich clinical dataset compromising high-density EEG data from eight paradigms and 136 individuals—probing sensory, motor, and cognitive domains—alongside rigorous clinical assessments. This large, heterogeneous sample includes autistic individuals (n=66), age- and IQ-matched typically developing peers (n=41), and unaffected siblings (n=29), drawn from the Bronx—a highly diverse and historically underrepresented population in biomedical research. Access to such data provides a rare and invaluable opportunity to systematically evaluate neuro-oscillatory activity across multiple sensory modalities within a single cohort using consistent methodology— addressing a long-standing challenge in autism research, where significant cohort and design variability hinders cross-study comparisons.  Moreover, prior EEG studies in autism typically fail to deploy tools that evaluate ASD at the individual-level—risking oversimplification of phenotypic variation that is core to autism. In addition to traditional analysis methods, we propose integration of novel machine learning approaches, which enables a) rigorous evaluation of the strength of neural biomarkers associated with ASD and b) identification of meaningful subgroups within ASD to begin disentangling clinical and behavioral heterogeneity.  We propose a two-pronged analytic approach that combines supervised and unsupervised learning. First, we will apply support vector machine and regression algorithms {Cortes C., 1995 #7} to predict both discrete (diagnostic group) and continuous (clinical or behavioral) outcomes from neural markers of interest, allowing us to quantify the strength of the relationship between neural activity and phenotype. We will also implement a complementary unsupervised approach (k-means clustering; {Steinley, 2006 #6}) to identify data-driven sub-groups based on neural features. Clusters will be compared on continuous measures of behavior and ASD symptom severity to assess how distinct neural profiles relate to variations in clinical presentation. Importantly, inclusion of multiple tasks spanning diverse sensory and cognitive domains allows us to examine how specific neural signatures map onto distinct clinical features (i.e. markers of heightened sensory processing may be associated with greater sensory arousal symptoms).  To date, the field has struggled to identify robust neural biomarkers of ASD, which are critical to improve diagnostic precision and develop more personalized interventions. Here, we propose a comprehensive, comparative approach that utilizes novel computational methodologies to elucidate patterns of neuro-oscillatory atypicality in ASD, both at the group- and individual-level. Furthermore, inclusion of unaffected siblings of individuals with ASD allows us to investigate whether observed neural markers reflect heritable mechanisms contributing to ASD risk, or whether they instead represent consequences of overt disease expression {Pohl, 2019 #8}—addressing a critical challenge in the search for endophenotypic markers in autism. |
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**Describe the desired impact your research will make on the field and society, and why this is important to you (350 word-limit)**

|  |
| --- |
| Entering college, I was torn between studying neuroscience—captivated by the brain’s unparalleled complexity—and bioinformatics, an innovative field that required logical rigor and creativity. As I delved deeper into research, I quickly realized the two disciplines exist not in competition, but in parallel—and that the most meaningful advances in healthcare would come from integrating them.  In my first research role, I helped develop a convolutional neural network to non-invasively measure naturalistic gait in neurological disease models, and was immediately captured by the ability of AI-driven tools to reveal profound insights into brain function and behavior. Eager to explore the power of bioinformatics to drive medical discovery, I’ve since applied novel AI methodologies to rigorously analyze data across a wide range of clinical domains—from identifying transcriptomic subtypes in a rare pediatric autoimmune condition at the NIH, to my most recent work, which has uncovered critical healthcare disparities in large clinical trials. These prior experiences have been the driving force behind my current research, as they reveal the immense potential of novel informatics approaches to extract meaningful insights from complex health data—insights that would remain hidden using traditional analyses.    Currently, my work centers on autism spectrum disorder (ASD)—a condition characterized by significant clinical and biological heterogeneity, which poses profound challenges for both diagnosis and treatment. In my proposal, we leverage a large, diverse dataset from children in the Bronx—a population historically excluded from research—that integrates rigorous clinical assessments and EEG from eight behavioral paradigms. Instead of relying on traditional analysis methods—which risk oversimplifying the complex neural and behavioral heterogeneity in ASD—we propose an innovative alternative: cluster-based identification of neural subgroups within ASD.  This methodology—applied to a uniquely rich clinical dataset—offers a rare and powerful opportunity to identify functional neural mechanisms that drive phenotypic variation in ASD, a challenge that has long hindered progress in the field. Above all, I am deeply motivated by the hope that this work moves us closer to personalized, effective care for individuals with autism—while demonstrating how data-driven methods can reveal insights into the brain’s complexity. |
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| Leadership found me before I acquired the words to define it. As the eldest daughter in a home that buzzed with chaos and responsibility, I took on the role of mentor, teacher, mediator, confidante, and caretaker. I learned quickly that true leadership relied not on authority, but on consistency, confidence, and reliability. These early experiences laid the foundation for how I would lead in every chapter of my life.  The role of “big sister” followed me into my professional life, profoundly influencing my passion for teaching and mentorship. What began as early-morning math lessons with my brother at the kitchen table evolved into tutoring underserved youth and, now, educating young scientists and medical students. While the content has changed, the heart of mentorship remains the same, and I draw daily on the instincts and patience I first cultivated with my siblings.  I also came to understand early on that leadership is not a solo act. At home, I wasn’t leading alone; I was part of a family where cooperation and compromise were essential. That same interdependence drew me to team sports—soccer, basketball, softball—spaces that cultivate support, collaboration, and togetherness. Competing at national and collegiate levels taught me the quiet strength of reliability—showing up for teammates in both triumph and adversity. Whether comforting a younger sister through college homesickness or coaching an injured teammate from the sidelines, I learned that leadership often lives in small moments of solidarity. Recently, I founded a soccer-and-science afterschool program in the Bronx to offer children in my community access to those same lessons—discipline, confidence, and the value of teamwork. It’s a full-circle return to where my leadership journey began: not in a title or position, but in being present.  Truthfully, my earliest lessons in leadership were learned at home, which laid the groundwork for the collaborative, dependable leadership style I bring to my professional life today. As a physician-scientist in training, I carry these lessons with me—leading with care, competence, and the belief that small acts of support can transform someone’s life. |
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