1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE 2. KATHERINE VEILLEUX, 3 JENNIFER CHON, and ROCK COAST FAMILY ACUPUNCTURE, : PA, individually and on 4 behalf of all others similarly situated, 5 Plaintiffs: 6 Civil Action No. 7 vs. 1:16-cv-571-NT8 ELECTRICITY MAINE, LLC, PROVIDER POWER, LLC, SPARK HOLDCO, LLC, 9 KEVIN DEAN, and 10 EMILE CLAVET, Defendants: 11 TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF EMILE L. CLAVET, 12 DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE OF PROVIDER POWER, LLC 13 14 Taken pursuant to Plaintiffs' Amended Notice of Deposition of Electricity Maine, LLC and Provider Power LLC Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) dated April 4, 15 2018, at the offices of Hallett Whipple Weyrens, 6 City Center, Portland, Maine on April 30, 2018, commencing at 16 9:42 A.M. before Christina J. Duvernay, a Court Reporter 17 and Notary Public commissioned by the State of Maine. APPEARANCES: 18 19 For the Plaintiffs: BENJAMIN N. DONAHUE, ESQ. ROBERT P. CUMMINS, ESQ. 2.0 THOMAS F. HALLETT, ESO. 21 For the Defendants: MELISSA A. HEWEY, ESQ. JOHN J. AROMANDO, ESQ. 22 KATHERINE KAYATTA, ESO. 23 2.4 DUVERNAY REPORTING, INC. 207.653.0301 duvernayreporting@gmail.com 25

			2
1		INDEX	
2	Deponent:	EMILE L. CLAVET, DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE OF PROVIDER POWER, LLC	
3	Errom		Dago
4		ination by:	Page
5	[VI	r. Donahue	6
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	Number	Description	Page
9	Exhibit 1	Plaintiffs' Amended Notice of Deposition of Electricity Maine, LLC and Provider	8
10		Power LLC Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. $30(b)(6)$, $4/4/18$	
11	Exhibit 2	Spreadsheet	17
12	EATIDIC	[Defendants 271]	Ι,
13	Exhibit 3	Spreadsheet [Defendants 5171 and 5175]	20
14	Exhibit 4		27
15	EXIIDIC	Tannenbaum, undated, with attachments	27
16	Exhibit 5	Document titled "Current Messages for Media - (Updated 04/01/12)"	36
17		[Defendants 0000564 - 0000566]	
18	Exhibit 6	Outline for commercial, 1/23/13	42
19	Exhibit 7	Audio recording, 10/17/11 [Defendants 2761]	45
20	Exhibit 8	Audio recording, 10/27/11	46
21	EXIIIDIC	[Defendants 2736]	40
22	Exhibit 9	Printout of e-mail from Will Fessenden to multiple recipients, 1/23/18	53
23		[Blueberry 0000020 - 0000021]	
24	Exhibit 10	Printout of e-mail from Will Fessenden to Will Fessenden, 1/23/18	54
25		[Blueberry 0000263]	

			3
1	Number	Description	Page
2	Exhibit 1	Letter from Warren Maddock and Larry Julius to Mitchell Tannenbaum, 5/15/13,	59
3		with attached document	
	Exhibit 1	2 Letter from Mitchell Tannenbaum to Patrick Scully, 8/7/13	61
11	Exhibit 1	Printout of e-mail message from Kevin	65
6 7		Dean to Mitchell Tannenbaum, Susan Bridge, and Pat Scully, 4/24/13 [Defendants 0003717 - 0003720]	
8	Exhibit 1	Audio recording, 10/21/11 [Defendants 2762]	90
9	Exhibit 1	5 Audio recording, 11/3/11	92
10		[Defendants 2730]	
	Exhibit 1	Audio recording, 11/2/11 [Defendants 2738]	93
12	Exhibit 1	7 Audio recording, 12/8/11 [Defendants 2722]	94
	Exhibit 1	Audio recording, 1/1/12 [Defendants 2827]	96
15	Exhibit 1	Audio recording, 4/12/12 [Defendants 2792]	96
17	Exhibit 2	Audio recording, 10/23/12 [Defendants 2845]	97
11	Exhibit 2	3,	99
19	Darbibit O	[Defendants 2713]	1.01
20	Exhibit 2	Video recording, 1/10/13 [Defendants 2878]	101
	Exhibit 2	Audio recording, 11/9/11 [Defendants 2666]	105
	Exhibit 2		107
24		[Defendants 2665]	
25			

			4
1	Number	Description	Page
2	Exhibit 25	Flier titled "Enrollment Form to Lower Your Monthly Power Bill," with	108
3		attached material [Defendants 0001124 - 0001125]	
4	Evhibit 26	Flier titled "Enrollment Form to	109
5	EXHIBIC 20	Lower Your Monthly Power Bill, with attached material	109
6		[Defendants 0001137 - 0001138]	
7	Exhibit 27	Flier entitled "Enrollment Form to Lower Your Monthly Power Bill," with	113
8		attached material [Defendants 0001120 - 0001121]	
9	Exhibit 28	Document titled "Join Thousands of	114
10		Maine Residents Who Are Already Paying Less For Their Electricity!"	
11		[Defendants 0001127 - 0001129]	
12	Exhibit 29	Bangor Daily News article, 8/14/11	116
13	Exhibit 30	Letter from Your Electricity Maine Service Team to Katherine Veilleux,	120
14		10/1/14 [Defendants 0000019]	
15	Exhibit 31	Printout of e-mail message from	123
16		Electricity Maine to Jennifer Chon, 10/1/14	
17		[Chon 0000005 - 0000006]	
18	Exhibit 32	Document titled "Report on Competitive Electricity Provider and Standard	130
19		Offer Price Comparisions [sic]," 2/15/18	
20	Exhibit 33	Spreadsheets	139
21	Exhibit 34	Electricity Maine, LLC's and Provider	141
22		Power, LLC's Seconded Amended Answers to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories, undated	
23	Exhibit 35	Document titled "Provider Power	145
24		Customer Acquisition Costs," 5/2/14	
25			

		5
1	Number Description	Page
2	Exhibit 36 Spreadsheet [Defendants 4988]	146
3	Exhibit 37 Spreadsheet	148
4	[Defendants 4989]	110
5	Exhibit 38 Spreadsheet [Defendants 5014]	151
6 7	Exhibit 39 Spreadsheet [Defendants 5022]	155
8	Exhibit 40 Spreadsheet	156
	[Defendants 5451]	150
9	Exhibit 41 Spreadsheet	159
10	[Defendants 5452]	1.65
11	Exhibit 42 Printout of e-mail message from Muriel LeClerc to Susan Clary, 1/22/18	165
12		
13		
14	(Exhibits 2, 3, 7, 8, 14 - 24, and 36 - 41 wer	
15	provided to the reporter in electronic format	-
16	only. Counsel were each provided with a thumb d	rive
17	containing these exhibits.)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

6 1 STIPULATION 2 It is hereby agreed by and between the parties that 3 signature is not waived. 4 5 EMILE L. CLAVET, having been duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and deposed as follows: 6 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. DONAHUE: 9 Mr. Clavet, is it Kla-VET [phonetic] or KLA-vet Q. 10 [phonetic]? 11 Kla-VET [phonetic]. Α. 12 You're here today to testify on behalf of Provider Q. 13 Power; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Are you currently employed by Provider Power? 16 It's an open empty shell, not employing anybody, Α. 17 including me, at this point. 18 Are you an equity owner in Provider Power? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 You said it's an open empty shell. Q. 21 Yes. Α. 22 Q. What does that mean? 23 It doesn't have any assets or business or activity. Α. 24 When was the last time that Provider Power had some Q. 25 sort of business activity?

- Q. And after it sold Electricity Maine in 2012, is that when it ceased operating?
 - A. Well, it's still open and active with no activity.
 - Q. Okay. Does Provider Power have knowledge of Electricity Maine's operations as they happen today?
- 8 | A. No.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. To what point in time does Provider Power have knowledge of Electricity Maine's business operations?
 - A. When we were operating Electricity Maine, we had Provider Power as a holding company shell, but the activity was really all Electricity Maine. In that I owned half of Electricity Maine and owned half of Provider Power, I would know generally what's going on until after the sale.
- Q. Okay. But is Provider Power aware of, for example, what Electricity Maine is doing right now or what it did last week?
- 21 | A. No.
- Q. Okay. You said that even when it owned Electricity
 Maine it was a shell; is that correct?
- 24 | A. Right.
- 25 | Q. Did it have any employees at that time?

		8
1	Α.	I don't think so. It wasn't originated to have
2		employees. It was just the way to own Electricity
3		Maine.
4	Q.	Did it own any other companies?
5	Α.	It owned ENH Power in New Hampshire and the entity
6		in Massachusetts.
7	Q.	What was the name of that entity?
8	Α.	Provider Power Massachusetts.
9	Q.	And that was the extent of Provider Power's assets?
10	Α.	Yes.
11		(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
12	Q.	I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit
13		Number 1.
14		MR. DONAHUE: I only have four copies.
15		(Discussion off the record.)
16	Q.	Have you seen Deposition Exhibit Number 1 before?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Can you tell me what it is?
19	Α.	It's telling us to have depositions for Electricity
20		Maine and Provider Power, where and when.
21	Q.	Have you reviewed this document prior to today?
22	Α.	Yes. Yes.
23	Q.	Have you reviewed what's labeled Exhibit A?
24		(The deponent looked at the exhibit.)
25	Α.	I read through the questions, yes.

1	1	
		11
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	After you sold after Provider Power sold
3		Electricity Maine to Spark Holdco, were you
4		compensated by Spark?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	In what form?
7	Α.	It was a consulting agreement.
8	Q.	Tell me about that.
9	Α.	They paid me for a year. It was about 10 or 11
10		thousand dollars a month for a year.
11	Q.	Anything else?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	When did Provider Power become aware of this
14		lawsuit?
15	Α.	I would suppose at the same time that Electricity
16		Maine became aware of it.
17	Q.	Is it when you received a copy of the complaint?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	Okay. Do you recall when that was?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	When did you do to preserve documents after
22		learning about this lawsuit?
23	Α.	Well, we learned about the lawsuit and Spark would
24		have been preserving documents, because they had
25		taken control.
-		

- Q. So what did Provider Power do?
- $2 \parallel A$. Nothing in particular.
- Q. You didn't do anything to preserve documents that might be relevant to this lawsuit?
 - A. Well, everything was preserved in that everything we had was preserved. I'm not sure I understand the question of what did I do.
 - Q. Okay. So does Provider Power have a web page?
- 9 \parallel A. It may have.
- Q. Did Provider Power make changes to that web page after this lawsuit was initiated?
- 12 | A. No. No.

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

2.0

- 13 | Q. Did Provider Power employees have e-mail addresses?
- 14 A. I don't recall who would have been an employee of
 15 Provider Power versus Electricity Maine.
 - Q. So if an employee has an e-mail address that's atProviderPower.com or something similar, those -- that website domain is actually Electricity Maine's and not Provider Power's even though it says "Provider Power?"
 - MS. HEWEY: Object to the form.
- A. I'm not sure what you're asking. And I -- I
 wouldn't know who owns a website other than my
 routine operation would be that Electricity Maine
 was the operating company and Provider Power was

1.3

2.0

2.4

- just a holding company. So there may have been some duplicate stuff, but Electricity Maine and ENH Power were the real companies.
- Q. Okay. So if employees had e-mail addresses that were -- that said "Provider Power" or atProviderPower.com, that person, whether it's you or another person with that e-mail address, you're saying that those are Electricity Maine employees and not Provider Power employees?

MS. HEWEY: Object to the form of the question.

Go ahead.

- A. I'm not saying they're employees. I'm just saying
 I don't recall if a Provider Power e-mail address
 was unique to anything that would have just been
 Provider Power; more that it would reflect what
 Electricity Maine was doing.
- Q. So let's assume that Provider Power had some
 e-mails -- it had employees and those employees
 sent e-mails. Did you do anything to preserve
 those e-mails?
- A. They would have been preserved.
- 23 | Q. What steps did you take to preserve them?
 - A. We would have given access to all of that to an inquiry. If it was requested, we wouldn't have

taken anything down or away or -- except in the normal course of business of selling the asset and not continuing a particular piece that wasn't serving them. "Them" being Spark.

- Q. Did Provider Power have a Facebook page?
- A. I don't know. I would mostly focus on the fact that Electricity Maine would have had a Facebook page.
- Q. Did it have a youtube page?

1.3

2.4

- 10 A. I don't recall a youtube page, but I wouldn't be surprised if it had one.
 - Q. Provider Power had one?
 - A. I don't know that they did or didn't, but those types of social media were common to have. So if there was one, then I wouldn't be surprised by it, but I don't recall if there was one still available.
 - Q. So you said that all of the -- at least a lot of Provider Power's information was transferred to Spark; is that correct?
 - A. They bought all of the assets and intellectual property and rights and all of the things that you would assume they would have wanted to do their business, and we sold it to them.
- Q. Okay. So would that include past communications

-- a personal e-mail address? 1 Q. 2 Α. No. 3 MR. DONAHUE: I have an exhibit here, guys, 4 that's a spreadsheet and rather than printing it 5 out for everyone I figured I'd just put it up here and we'll identify it by Bates stamp number and it 6 7 can be put in the record. 8 MS. HEWEY: Sounds like -- makes sense to me. 9 MR. DONAHUE: Can people see that at all? 10 MR. HALLETT: The numbers are missing over on 11 12 MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, it's a little bit 13 smaller. 14 MS. HEWEY: I think the witness -- can you 15 see that? 16 THE DEPONENT: Yeah, I can see it. There's 17 just a little glare, but I can lean forward. MR. AROMANDO: What's the Bates reference? 18 19 MR. DONAHUE: This is Defendant's 271. 20 is funky. It doesn't appear when you look at the 21 document in the file, but then when you open it up, 22 there's a 1 on it. So it looks like it's 27, but 23 when you open it up, it says 271. 24 This will be Deposition Exhibit Number 25 2.

17 (Exhibit 2 identified.) 1 2 MR. CUMMINS: So the deposition exhibit will be XL-271? 3 4 MR. DONAHUE: Defendant's 271. 5 Q. So Mr. Clavet, I'm going to represent to you that this was produced to us in discovery and this 6 7 appears to be -- I'm hoping you can tell me what 8 this is. It appears to be account information for 9 the named plaintiffs in this case. 10 Can you tell me a little bit about what we're looking at here? 11 12 If you're telling me it's the named plaintiffs, Α. 13 then I would assume that there are three of them? 14 0. Yes. 15 Α. So there are three lines. 16 Ο. Okay. 17 Α. I'm reading the way that it was formatted across 18 the top, where it gives you customer numbers and 19 service location numbers, meter numbers, account 20 numbers. 21 So let's look at this Column I. It says "meter Ο. 22 service start date." 23 Can you tell us what that is? 2.4 A meter service start date, I would assume is when Α. 25 the customer started service with Electricity

just say which tab it is?

MR. DONAHUE: Sure. This is -- the one we were just looking at was called "contract history" and this is titled "invoices post CIS conversion."

- Q. I'm looking at Column N. It says "item service start." Can you tell us what that is?
- A. I'm not sure what that item is unless it refers to a particular invoice on that tab. Item service start, item service end. I believe that's a particular month invoice start and end date. I'm judging that from the start and end dates looking like they're a month and that being an invoice tab.
- Q. Let's go back to "contract history" for a second here.

I'm back on the "customer info" tab and I'm looking at Column X, where it says "salesperson code."

Can you tell us what that column represents?

A. That would be a -- my belief is that that's a tab that the customer, when enrolled, would have chosen as to how they came to hear about Electricity Maine.

- Q. So this person -- this is like a referral or a reference code?
- 25 | A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 20 So Electricity Maine asks customers, when they sign Q. up, how they came to hear about Electricity Maine? Α. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to close Defendant's 271. I'm now looking at another spreadsheet, which is not something that was produced in discovery, but it's been excerpted from two different documents that you provided us in discovery. document is titled "extracts defendant's 5171 and 51752." MS. KAYATTA: Should that be 5172? We don't have a Bates range that goes as --MR. DONAHUE: I think some of your Bates numbers had an extra digit. Like that last one we looked at. We can discuss that off the record. O. Okay. So --MR. HALLETT: Is this an exhibit? MR. DONAHUE: Yes, this is an exhibit. This is Exhibit Number 3.
- 18 19

(Exhibit 3 identified.)

- MS. HEWEY: Are you going to provide us with a copy of Exhibit Number 3?
- MR. DONAHUE: I guess you can have one.
- Okay. So let's look at where it says "contract Q. effective date." That's something we looked at in

the Defendant's -- Exhibit Number 2 and you said 1 2 that was when service switched from utility to 3 Electricity Maine; is that correct? 4 Α. Or another supplier perhaps. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Α. That would be my belief. 7 Okay. Now, how does that relate to the column that Ο. 8 -- in the other spreadsheet that said "meter 9 service start date?" 10 MS. HEWEY: Object to the form of the 11 question. 12 If you understand it, you can answer it. 13 What does "meter service start date" stand for? Q. 14 (The deponent looked at a document.) 15 Α. I would expect that the meter service start date is 16 the date on which you would start measuring 17 electricity for that --Should that line up with the contract effective 18 Q. 19 date? 2.0 MS. HEWEY: Wouldn't it be easier to show him 21 his own exhibit rather than your extract? 22 MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, except it's really hard 23 to compare the two. We can try, but ... 2.4 I'm going to represent to you, Mr. Clavet, that in Q. 25 the information you provided us, sometimes the

1.3

2.4

contract effective date varies drastically perhaps by a couple years - from the meter service
start date. I'm just trying to figure out why
there would be like a two-year delay between those
two things when it seems that they should be
occurring, you know, around the same time period.

- A. I would suspect that it could be times where customers' contracts are -- are changed per their request or at a renewal or at gap times when the terms changed. I can't tell you specifically because I had IT folks who specialized in matching those. We hired people to do that. So I'm not sure how those got tied together. I understand your question, but I'm not sure what some of the reasons might be why they wouldn't exactly match up.
- Q. Okay. But what you're saying is that typically they should be relatively close in time to each other.
- A. I would think that when you get a new customer and you have a start date and a contract date, they would have a correlation. I don't know what other things might have happened along the way where customers' contract periods might vary and how that's carried to relate to the meter start date.

- Q. Okay. You just talked about some IT people. Who would those people be?
 - A. Primarily ESCO Advisors and EC Infosystems would be the two primary companies that we hired to manage the data.
 - Q. Where are they based? Here in Maine?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

18

- A. No. I'm not sure where they're based. Not in Maine. I would assume Texas-ish. I don't recall where their home base is. I know one of them had even moved. We could find it on their websites quickly.
- Q. So when did Electricity Maine start its business operations?
- 14 A. Well, we started the business concept somewheres around 2010.
- Q. Okay. And the purpose of Electricity Maine was to sell power to residential consumers?
 - A. And small business and medium-size business as well.
- Q. What's the technical term for a small business
 customer? Is it small commercial or ... I know
 there's a special term; an industry term that small
 business is kind of classified as.
- A. All the utilities have their own names and their own terms. Picking CMP for one would be SBS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

O.

Α.

Ο.

Α.

Q.

Α.

When did Electricity Maine start advertising?

Not long after we started the business in 2010.

Ιt

- could have been into '11 where we started spending money, but it could have been towards the end of 2010.
- Q. What type of advertising did you do at first?
- 5 A. Probably newspaper. I think radio came later; 6 television even later than that.
 - Q. Was there any radio advertising in 2011?
 - A. I don't recall when it started. I wouldn't be surprised if there was, but I don't remember when it started; when we tried radio.
 - Q. How did Electricity Maine create its promotional material? Was it done in house or did you use a third party marketing firm?
 - A. Some of it was done in house, some of it was done with the help of the individual places that we would advertise. They would lend help.
 - Q. And that was at first?

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

- 18 A. Throughout our time, a typical newspaper would say
 19 here's some samples of ads; something at this size
 20 would cost this much and we can make it in
 21 this-many colors or we can, you know, sell you this
 22 many if you buy this many at this price. They
 23 would give you help in trying to come up with
 24 advertising.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And who created the content that was

ultimately distributed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. We would do it in house or we would hire people who were good at design to help make them attractive.

 We would -- once we got to television ads, the television station would add creative elements to it.
- Q. And who were -- who was part of your in-house marketing team?
- A. It changed over time. We had a few people in the department that had -- that took care of different pieces of the business. Candace Sanborn was the head of our marketing department and she would generally have three, six, people working with her; some in house and some out.
- Q. What are the names of those people?
- MS. HEWEY: You're asking throughout the entire time?
- 18 MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
- 19 A. I won't be able to come up with all of them, but
 20 some of them would --
- Q. -- start back in 2011. Who was part of the marketing team at that point?
- A. It would have been perhaps Danielle Beckwith,

 Ashley Rand. Then we brought in Candace Sanborn

 and Will Fessenden.

- Q. When did they join Electricity Maine?
- 2 A. Probably in the '12/'13 area. 2012/2013 time frame.

Danielle Beckwith was the first employee of Electricity Maine.

Exhibit 4 marked for identification.)

Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 4.

Are you familiar with this document?

- 9 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 4.)
- 10 | A. Yes.

1

6

7

- 11 | Q. Can you tell us what it is?
- 12 A. It looks like a request from Mitch Tannenbaum at
 13 the Maine PUC to Electricity Maine asking us a
 14 series of questions about advertising.
- 15 | Q. And it's complete with your responses; is that --
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So the PUC inquired with these questions and then, in this document, you responded.
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And what was the date of your response?
- 21 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 4.)
- 22 MS. HEWEY: You're asking what the date --
- MR. DONAHUE: When did he send this
- 24 correspondence to the MPUC.
- 25 A. I don't see a date on this particular letter. I'm

looking for a clue as to when that might have been sent.

(The deponent looked at the exhibit.)

- A. I don't know that I can tell from this.
- Q. In the first paragraph it says on [reading] On behalf of Electricity Maine I'm writing to respond to your letter of May 8, 2013 requesting --
- A. Oh. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So let's assume it's sometime after May 8th.
- 10 | A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

- MS. HEWEY: And probably before May 24th, when it was received by the PUC.
 - MR. DONAHUE: Perfect.
- $\mbox{MS. HEWEY:}\mbox{ Not that I want to help or}$ anything, but we can move on.
- Q. So let's go down -- in question 1, let's go down to the third paragraph in response to question 1. It says [reading] Electricity Maine radio promotions take several forms. Occasionally Electricity Maine will produce its own ads of 30 to 60 seconds that it provides to radio stations to run. Electricity Maine has also provided scripts of 15, 30, or 60 seconds in length for the radio stations to produce with their own local talent. A third category of radio spots are 5-second blinks that are previously

recorded and air as scheduled. More frequently Electricity Maine provides radio stations with talking points that are read live on the air or recorded to sound live and played at other times of the day. These live or sound-live spots may then be put into the automated system as part of the mix of commercials.

Is that an accurate description of how Electricity Maine promotes on the radio?

- A. That would have been -- yes, at that time, it would have been.
- 12 Q. Is that similar to how Electricity Maine promoted on the radio in 2011?
 - A. I don't know what we did in 2011 per se, but this would have been responding to Mitch's question in 2013. So I'm sure it was relevant to his question. I don't remember what we did in '11 exactly.
 - Q. Well, you -- you testified that you created material in house.
 - ∥ A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.4

- Q. And then it was distributed to various media outlets including radio stations; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
 - Q. Some of those distributions came in the form of talking points?

30 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Some of them came in the form of scripts that would 3 be read by DJs or radio personalities? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And that's what Electricity Maine was doing in 6 2013? 7 Yes. Α. 8 And in 2011? Q. 9 I'm speculating that we may have done some in '11. Α. 10 What about in 2012. Ο. 11 I don't recall the timing of when those were run, Α. but I know from this --12 13 (The deponent pointed at Exhibit 4.) 14 -- that that's what we were doing at the time. Α. 15 Q. I'm not asking if you did the promotions that are 16 attached to this exhibit. I'm just asking is that 17 how your advertising process worked in 2012. 18 That would have been one of our advertising Α. 19 systems. 20 Q. So let's flip down the exhibit to ... it's not --21 the pages aren't numbered, but after your response 22 there is -- let's go to the second e-mail that you 23 provided to the MPUC. It's dated February 13, 2.4 2013. 25 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.)

So we have another e-mail from Will Fessenden.

1 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

 $2 \parallel Q$. This is dated March 8, 2013.

Who are the recipients of this e-mail?

(The deponent looked at the exhibit.)

- A. Many of them seem to be radio -- radio people or radio companies or radio sales-type people. That's my speculation.
- Q. So this e-mail is Will Fessenden distributing talking points?
- 10 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Let's go back to that other e-mail real quick.

Is this e-mail that we were trying to figure

out who it was sent to before, is this e-mail also

Will Fessenden distributing talking points to

various radio stations?

- A. I can't tell. It's not the same day. It is Will Fessenden speaking to somebody.
- Q. Let's go to the next page. It says Electricity

 Maine endorsement talking points.

MS. HEWEY: The next page after the first e-mail or the second --

MR. DONAHUE: The first e-mail.

Q. Are these -- is this an example of talking points that were distributed to radio stations?

(The deponent looked at the exhibit.)

- A. It looks like they are.
- Q. And then the next page, it has "Electricity Maine" at the top?
- 4 | (The deponent looked at the exhibit.)
- 5 | A. Yes.

- Q. Are these also talking points that were distributed to radio stations?
- 8 A. I can't tell from this, but they look like they would be.
- 10 \parallel Q. What about the page after that.
- 11 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 4.)
- 12 | A. They look like they would be as well.
- 13 | Q. And the next page?
- 14 | (The deponent looked at Exhibit 4.)
- 15 A. I would speculate that it's a talking point. It
 16 doesn't give me much information about it.
- Q. So all of those were examples of talking points
 that were distributed by radio -- by Electricity
 Maine to radio stations?
 - A. Some of them look to be.
- Q. Okay. And this entire exhibit, Exhibit Number 4,
 this was -- with the information we just looked at,
 this whole thing was a response to the PUC; a
 response to their May 8, 2013 inquiry?
- 25 A. If it's the complete response that I sent, it would

- be. I got this from you. I assume you have it all there.
- Q. So your written response is -- is this signed by you?
 - \parallel A. The letter is, yes.
- Q. So attached to that letter are these exhibits; and that's what we were just looking at?
- 8 A. Correct.

- 9 Q. And so this entire Exhibit 4 is your written response, with exhibits, to the PUC.
- 11 A. I would speculate that it might be, having gotten 12 it from you. I know that's my signature --
- 13 | Q. -- sent it to the PUC in 2013.
- 14 | A. I sent a -- I did answer the PUC in 2013.
- Q. Did you attach all of those exhibits the talking points and e-mails along with your response to the PUC?
- 18 A. I think I did.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine have any standards or internal guidelines for creating marketing materials, promotional materials?
- 22 | A. I don't know if I understand what you're asking.
- Q. Well, did anyone -- did you, for example, review Electricity Maine's ads before they were aired?
- 25 A. We would have gotten legal advice or advice from

the PUC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Would you agree that a competitive electricity provider should advertise using accurate and true information?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Would you agree that a competitive electricity provider should not omit material information from its advertising?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You agree that if a competitive electricity
 provider omits or misrepresents important
 information it has a duty to later provide that
 information to the consumer?
 - A. I think that they have a duty to follow the rules and follow their contracts, yes.
 - Q. Would you agree that a competitive electricity provider that promises savings should in fact deliver on that promise?
 - MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.
 - MR. AROMANDO: Objection.
 - Q. You can answer.
- 22 | A. Could you ask the question again?
- Q. Would you agree that a competitive electricity provider that enrolls customers on a promise of savings should save those customers money?

1 MS. HEWEY: Same objection. 2 MR. AROMANDO: Same objection. 3 Ο. You can answer. 4 THE DEPONENT: I apologize. I'm just not 5 sure what I'm supposed to say when you say some of 6 these things. 7 MS. HEWEY: You get to ignore us. 8 MR. CUMMINS: You just answer the question. 9 I think that we should stand by our contracts and Α. 10 if we quote a price, it should be that price. And if there's a savings there, there would be a 11 12 savings there. 13 Would you agree that if a competitive electricity Q. 14 provider makes statements about price that are 15 misleading it should later correct those 16 statements? 17 Α. I can't speak for all electricity providers, but I 18 think that the pricing should be quoted clearly and 19 people should know what price they are paying. 20 (Exhibit 5 marked for identification.) 21 I'm showing you what has been marked as Deposition Q. 22 Exhibit Number 5. 23 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 5.)

Can you tell us what Deposition Exhibit Number 5

24

25

Q.

is?

- A. It looks like a message for a media dated April of 2012 in which we were giving away power to a hundred customers and it looks like it was a campaign that was being explained to some media.
- Q. These are talking points that you distributed to various media outlets?
- A. They look more like an explanation of what the program was. I don't know that they're talking points. It says it's a message.
- Q. Can you look at the bottom, where it says "see Page 2 for standard media messaging?"
- 12 A. Yes, I see it.

1.3

2.0

- Q. What does standard media messaging mean?
 - A. I don't know what standard means, but in this case
 it's telling me to go to Page 2 to see what we were
 announcing so that the media would have a basic
 understanding of it.
 - Q. And these are not -- you're saying these are not talking points but something you distributed to the media so they would know what your advertising message is going to be?
 - A. Talking points would be different generally than a message to media.
- Q. Talking points are what we were looking at in Exhibit Number 4.

- MS. HEWEY: Object to the form of the question.
- $3 \parallel 0$. You can answer.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. Could you ask the question again?
- Q. In this message you're promising that 100,000 customers of Electricity Maine who are already enrolled will save more than 13 million on their utility bills versus what they spent in 2011.

MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.

- A. I see the statement. I don't know that it's a promise.
- 12 | Q. Well, whatever you want to call it --
- 13 A. I call it a statement on a page.
- 14 | Q. So you don't know how you got to 13 million?
- 15 | A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you use math to get to that number or did you just write "13 million?"
- 18 MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.
- 19 | A. I didn't write this.
- 20 | Q. Well, Electricity Maine wrote this; right?
- 21 | A. I suppose they did. I don't recall the math.
- Q. Do you know what math Electricity Maine used to get to 13 million?
- 24 | A. I don't.
- 25 | Q. Let's go back to that for a second. You said you

- don't -- your testimony is you don't recall what

 the math was to get to 13 million. Do you know if

 that 13 million was in comparison to the standard
- 5 A. I don't recall what the basis was for it in 2012.
 6 This was written in 2012. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. But what is that 13 million in comparison to?
- 9 | A. I don't know.

offer?

4

7

8

12

13

14

19

2.0

- Q. Well, what would Electricity Maine be comparing its savings to?
 - A. I would suppose they were comparing their savings to the competitive market, the standard offer, or what they would have spent previously.
- 15 | Q. If they were enrolled --
- A. I don't know -- I don't know the variables and the math that were put into any -- into this calculation. I don't recall how it was calculated.
 - Q. You prepared today to testify as to all the deposition subject matters that were designated in Exhibit 1.
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. But you don't know how you got to the number 13 million.
- 25 | A. I don't recall a formula for that line.

You're saying that Channel 13 did the creative?

A. Some.

1.3

2.0

2.4

- Q. Who prepared this document?
- A. This looks like it would be a Channel 13 document.

MR. AROMANDO: Ben, before your next question, I'm just curious where this came from.

Does this have a Bates number? I don't see a Bates number on my copy. I'm just wondering where it came from.

MR. DONAHUE: I believe we received this in response to a subpoena.

MR. AROMANDO: From --

MR. DONAHUE: I think it was -- I can't tell you right now. Perhaps Emile can help us. It was produced to you with a Bates stamp, but that's not this version.

MR. AROMANDO: Okay. If you can give us a Bates reference later, that would be helpful.

- Q. So is it your testimony that Channel 13 prepared these talking points?
- A. I don't know who produced it. It looks like the way they would have made a sales pitch to us to sell what looks like a 30-second commercial. It's sent to Electricity Maine, per this, in 2013. And the way the boxes are set up, it looks like it's talking about how they would make a commercial. I

- think, if they didn't produce it by themselves, they would have formatted something like this so someone could understand what the discussion was.
- Q. I want to go back over the process of creating media content. You testified that some of it was created in house.

Was it created by Provider Power or Electricity Maine?

- A. I would say this was created --
- 10 | Q. Not this document, but in general.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 | A. In general it would have been Electricity Maine.
- Q. So Electricity Maine would know exactly who and how that content was created?
- 14 | A. They would have all the records of that.
- Q. Okay. Now, you said your first -- Electricity

 Maine's first radio advertisements ran in 2011; is

 that correct?
- 18 | A. I don't know the start dates, but I wouldn't be --
- 19 Q. Would someone at Electricity Maine know the start dates?
- A. I suspect they would. I don't -- you know, I don't recall the exact start of radio, if that's your question.
- Q. Okay. Let me -- I'm going to a radio advertisement here.

MR. DONAHUE: Are we on 7?

MR. CUMMINS: Yes, 7.

Q. So this has been produced to us as Defendant's 2761. It has a document date of October 17, 2011. I'll play it for you and you can tell me if that was the roughly the time frame that this advertisement aired.

(Exhibit 7 identified.)

(An audio recording was played.)

MR. CUMMINS: So the record should reflect that the advertisement was broadcast for the witness.

Q. That file was produced to us with a document date of October 17, 2011.

Is that roughly when that advertisement ran?

- A. I would assume so.
- Q. Okay. Now, that advertisement offered a way for consumers to save money on their electricity bill
- A. Yes.

- Q. -- that they'd save 3 to 5 dollars a month in comparison to the standard offer?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Electricity Maine ran a fair number of ads in the fall of 2013. They all had the same message.

Do you have any reason to believe that it was not

Okay. Would Electricity Maine be able to tell us

were recorded then.

2.4

advertisement would be played near the time that 1 2 they were produced, the answer would be yes. 3 Correct? 4 THE DEPONENT: Usually. 5 MS. HEWEY: Does that answer work for you? 6 It was generally the intention -- and you have to 7 tell me if it works for you, too, John. You have 8 some -- well, I don't know what role you have. 9 It was generally the intention of the 10 companies to -- that an advertisement would be run near the time that it was produced. Is that clear 11 12 for everybody? 1.3 THE DEPONENT: Understanding there could be 14 errors or different radio stations could --15 MS. HEWEY: -- just talking --16 THE DEPONENT: -- blocks of time. MS. HEWEY: We're just talking about the 17 18 intention. 19 THE DEPONENT: Yes. 2.0 MS. HEWEY: Does that work for you? 21 MR. DONAHUE: I don't know how far it's going 22 to get us. 23 MR. HALLETT: -- we need subject matter. 2.4 MS. HEWEY: Well, the subject matter -- I 25 mean we're talking about advertisements.

1 THE DEPONENT: -- talking about timing. 2 CONTINUED EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. DONAHUE: 4 Q. So Mr. Clavet, we looked at some correspondence 5 with the PUC earlier this morning. 6 Α. Yes. 7 There was a letter that you wrote to the PUC at 0. 8 some point in 2013; is that correct? 9 Correct. Α. 10 That, I think, was labeled as Deposition Exhibit Q. 11 Number 4. MS. HEWEY: Yes. 12 13 And that -- Defendant's Number 4 is the complete Q. 14 package that you sent to the PUC; is that correct? 15 Α. I don't know that, but it looks to be a package 16 that went to the PUC. 17 Q. Well, you sent it to the PUC. 18 MS. HEWEY: You've given him a document that 19 is not Bates stamped. Whatever it is, we're going 20 to agree to it, but he can't, as he sits here 21 today, looking at a document that's not Bates 22 stamped, know that that is complete. That's the 23 only issue we have.

MR. DONAHUE: It has his signature on it.

MS. HEWEY: Well, it's a letter. Fine.

2.4

what he's saying is I can't tell, as you sit here today, without Bates stamps, not knowing where that came from, whether those are the actual attachments. That's reasonable, but it's also not something that we need to fight about -
MR. DONAHUE: -- that the record -- the exhibit is complete.

MS. HEWEY: Unless we go back and look at our own records - which we produced that to you as well - and see if that's -- and compare it to what we produced, he can't answer that.

MR. DONAHUE: Well, perhaps that's a discussion for another day.

- Q. So you received some sort of correspondence on May
 -- I believe it was May 8, 2013; is that correct?
 - MS. HEWEY: From the PUC?
- MR. DONAHUE: From the PUC.

(The deponent looked at a document.)

A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

- Q. And the PUC contacted you because it was concerned about the representations on price that you were making in advertisements; is that correct?
 - MS. HEWEY: Objection, foundation.
- A. My recollection is that they heard some radio ads that were playing that weren't reflective of what

- was happening at the time. The radio ads were -were run by one of the DJs at the wrong time and weren't accurate.
 - Q. So at some point you -- you had a transition in your advertising strategy? That's what you're --
 - Α. We had to update the ads to make them --
- 7 So you changed your ads from one subject matter to Ο. another.

MS. HEWEY: Objection.

- I don't recall what we did, but we stopped those Α. ads that were running --
- 12 And the --Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

21

22

- 1.3 -- corrections. Α.
- 14 And the ads that you stopped from running were Ο. 15 advertisements on price; ads that promised price 16 savings.
- 17 Α. Price would have been one of the considerations.
- 18 And then at some point Electricity Maine Q. 19 transitioned to not advertising on price; is that 20 correct?
 - Electricity Maine had a lot of advertising Α. campaigns that advertised a lot of different features and benefits.
- 2.4 That wasn't my question. Q.
- 25 Can you repeat your question? Α.

Q. Let me try it a different way.

At some point Electricity Maine stopped advertising on price; is that correct?

A. I don't think that's correct.

(Discussion off the record.)

(Exhibit 9 marked for identification.)

(The deponent looked at Exhibit 9.)

- Q. So this document is Bates stamp Blueberry 20 and 21. It's been labeled Exhibit Number 9. This is an e-mail from Will Fessenden, who was a Provider Power employee; is that correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

14

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Will Fessenden is e-mailing a bunch of radio groups it looks like.
- 15 | Is that correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | O. The date of this e-mail cannot be correct.
- 18 MR. AROMANDO: I agree with that.
- 19 (Discussion off the record.)
 - Q. The date of the e-mail is incorrect, however, let's look at the second paragraph. It says [reading]

 Attached are the latest talking points for jock endorsements. Please note we are shifting away from focusing on savings. Instead talking about doing business with a Maine owned company.

A. I see that.

2.0

2.4

- Q. When was the shift away from offering savings?
- A. It was a shift towards other talking points. We had many. I can't tell when this is dated and I don't see the talking points.
 - Q. I'm asking you when was the shift away from focusing on savings.
 - A. We never shifted totally away from savings. We added more talking points to the DJs, to give them more to talk about, and other things that were relevant at the time.
 - Q. So are you saying that Electricity Maine continues to advertise that it will save people money?
 - A. No, I'm saying that we are not abandoning the fact that price is part of the product.

(Exhibit 10 marked for identification.)

(The deponent looked at Exhibit 10.)

Q. This is another e-mail from Will Fessenden to ... it doesn't say who, but it's Bates stamped Blueberry 263. In the e-mail Mr. Fessenden says [reading] It is very important we stay away from talk mentions of the standard offer and/or of savings.

Why would Mr. Fessenden put that in an e-mail?

- A. I would have to speculate as to why he would. This isn't dated, so I can't tell exactly when it was produced, but we had other salient points that we wanted to advertise, price being one of them. And mentions of standard offer are something that we were moving away from as we had a lot of other things to compete against.
- Q. What about savings. He says in his e-mail "stayaway from savings."
 - A. I'm sure that he had a reason to say that, but I can't tell what was happening at the time because I don't have a date on this.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Fessenden was a Provider Power employee?
- 14 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

1.3

- Q. And you're here today, prepared to testify about Provider Power's advertising?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. But you don't know when this e-mail was sent?
- 19 A. Not from this document.
- Q. And you don't know why Mr. Fessenden would tell radio stations that they should not mention savings?
- A. I can't tell from this document, but there was a time when standard offer changed in the way they do business.

Q. Who is they?

1

5

6

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

- 2 | A. The Maine Public Utilities Commission.
- Q. Is that the point in time when you stopped advertising on price?
 - A. We never stopped advertising on price. We added other salient features and benefits to our product mix --
- 8 | Q. Is that --
 - A. -- that were important at the time.
- 10 Q. Is that the point where you stopped promising savings in comparison to the standard offer?
 - A. We stopped comparing ourselves to standard offer as the market became more competitive and discussions with the Maine Public Utilities Commission asked people not to compare so much to standard offer.
 - Q. Or promise savings in comparison to the standard offer?
- 18 A. I don't believe that this letter was promising anything to anybody.
- Q. Well, at some point you were told by the PUC to stop promising savings in comparison to the standard offer; is that correct?
- MR. AROMANDO: Objection.
- A. I don't recall that they said to stop promising savings. I do remember them wanting CEPs to stop

- comparing themselves to standard offer because standard offer changed. The way that they procure standard offer changed so we were competing with a different product.
- Q. How did you normally compare your product to the standard offer?

7 MS. HEWEY: Objection to "normally." Can you 8 give a time?

- Q. At any point in time.
- A. We would compare ourselves to standard offer for different reasons at different times because standard offer was evolving and changing continuously.
- Q. Did you ever say "we're going to charge you more than the standard offer."?
- 16 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

- 17 | O. You said that in an advertisement?
- 18 | A. In letters of renewal, yes.
- 19 | Q. Have you produced those letters of renewal to us?
- 20 | A. I would assume you got our renewal letters.
- Q. But have you ever advertised in any of your
 advertisements and said "we're going to charge you
 more than the standard offer."?
- 24 A. I don't know that an advertisement would have said
 25 "we're going to charge you more than the standard

- offer," but the fact is we were offering more than just price and would have highlighted those other features and benefits.
- Q. I can ask my question again. Did you ever advertise and in that advertisement say "we're going to charge you more than the standard offer."?
- A. I would think we would have if we were selling a product that was of a different term carrying different risk.
- Q. So your testimony is that your ads said "we will charge you more than the standard offer."?

MR. AROMANDO: Objection.

MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.

- A. I don't know that an ad would say "we will charge you more," but, by example, I might have said "I have a two-year product at 10 cents" and standard offer might have been 8 cents, and therefore we were offering more, more time covering more risk, and advertising our 10-cent product.
- Q. The ad itself did not say "we will charge you more than the standard offer," did it.
- A. I don't know that I would have just run an ad that
 says "I will charge you more than" any competitor's
 product.
- Q. So the answer is no.

A. The answer may be no, it may be yes. I'd have to see the ad.

MR. DONAHUE: We'll do that later.

(Exhibit 11 marked for identification.)

(The deponent looked at Exhibit 11.)

Q. Exhibit Number 11 is a letter from the Portland
Radio Group to the PUC dated May 13, 2013. I mean
May 15, 2013.

The second sentence says [reading] In

February and March we received instructions from

Electricity Maine via e-mail asking us to refrain

from references to saving money in commercials we

produce.

Is that a correct reading of this letter?

A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

- Q. Okay. When in February/March did you tell Portland Radio Group to stop referring to saving money?
- A. When we realized that they were running old ads that were advertising something incorrectly that spoke to saving money when the price might have been above standard offer at the time they were running it.
- Q. So at some point in the spring of 2013 you asked Portland Radio Group ... let me rephrase that question.

You stopped advertising that you were going to save money.

MR. AROMANDO: Objection to form.

MS. HEWEY: Join.

- A. We asked this radio station to stop running the ads that said "save money" that they were running in error.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge were there other advertisements running after that time saying you were going to save money?
- A. I would think that there may have been, if in fact we were saving money, with different radio stations.
- Q. So you would advertise savings on one radio station but advertise on some other basis on another radio station?
- A. We may have been advertising a different product.

The point of this letter, it was an error and the price was wrong or the timing of the commercial was wrong relative to the price. So we needed to stop it and make the correction.

- Q. So if the PUC told you to stop running ads promising you were going to save people money, you would have done that; correct?
- 25 | A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

A. [Reading] Based on these discussions ... will send a letter ... that enrolled ... its service between January 1st and April ... and have a rate that is higher than the current standard offer.

Correct.

Q. And the reason you -- Electricity Maine was going to send that letter is because its advertisements on price during that time were inaccurate.

MS. HEWEY: Objection.

- A. The ads that were run were accurate when they were designed to be run and the ads were run at the wrong time. So we had to contact customers who may have heard that ad and may have made a purchase decision having listened to that radio station at that time. So we sent a letter to all of those customers with corrected information.
- Q. And those were customers that enrolled between January 1, 2013 and April 30, 2013?
- ∥ A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

- Q. And that's because at that time Electricity Maine's prices were not lower than the standard offer?
- A. I can't tell from this. They were asking me to send a letter to those who had a rate higher than standard offer. It doesn't mean that they did.
- Q. Is the reason that you had to send a letter to the

- PUC was because customers were enrolled -- who were enrolled during that time period had a rate that was higher than the standard offer?
- A. I can't tell from this letter. The reason they wanted us to send a letter is because of the radio ad that was run at the wrong time. If it was misleading, they wanted the public to know -- if they heard this radio station, that they get the proper, correct information about price and what the standard offer was at the time.
- Q. Do you know what the standard offer was in the spring of 2013?
- 13 | A. Not off hand.

2.0

2.4

- Q. Was Electricity Maine's offered rate lower than the standard offer during that time period?
 - A. I don't recall. I'd have to look at a chart and see what we were offering at that time.
 - Q. Let's assume that Electricity Maine's rate was higher than the standard offer. Would you have run advertisements that said it was lower than the standard offer?
 - A. I don't know what I would have run. It would have depended on how long the standard offer had left in it and the term at which I would be offering. It's possible to run an ad in which your price is higher

- than standard offer if it has a better value or is selling something different, like term or price certainty or freedom of choice.
- Q. Let's go to the second paragraph. Can you read that for me?
 - A. [Reading] Additionally Electricity Maine has agreed to notify customers of both its new rate and the existing rate when it provides contract renewal information. This agreement extends until the commission revises its consumer protection rules as contemplated in the current docket number 2013-200 proceeding.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine follow through on that agreement?
- 15 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

- Q. So in renewal notices after the date of this letter it provided the customer's old rate and the new rate?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. My question was did Electricity Maine follow through on its agreement with the PUC.
- 22 A. I would have followed the agreement that this letter states.
- Q. Okay. So this letter says Electricity Maine has agreed to notify customers of both its new rate and

the existing rate when it provides contract renewal information.

Did Electricity Maine do that?

A. I would assume it did.

1

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

- 5 \parallel Q. I'm not asking you to assume. I'm asking what --
 - A. I would expect that it did.
- Q. Now, do you know when the PUC implemented its new consumer protection rules?
 - A. From reading this, I would think it was after
 August. I think it was towards the end of that
 year. September/October-ish. I'm not positive,
 but there is a docket with a date and a number that
 I've seen and I spoke at those meetings.

14 (Exhibit 13 marked for identification.)

- Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 13.
- 16 | (The deponent looked at the exhibit.)
- 17 | Q. Who is Kevin Dean?
- 18 A. Kevin Dean is one of the owners of Electricity
 19 Maine and Provider Power.
- 20 | Q. This is an e-mail from Kevin Dean to the PUC?
- 21 | A. To Mitch Tannenbaum and our attorneys, yes.
- 22 | Q. Who is Candace Sanborn?
- 23 A. She's one of our marketing people, in house.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Did she create marketing content for you?
- 25 | A. Sometimes.

- Q. And this e-mail is an accurate description of Electricity Maine's marketing process?
- 3 | (The deponent looked at the exhibit.)
 - A. It looks to include some radio talking points, speaking to the radio station needing to swap out old recordings, a list of radio stations that we have worked with, a mention of television, and the fact that time slots can be sometimes bumped and traded out, but those are the companies we've worked with.
- 11 Q. Candace Sanborn's description of how Electricity
 12 Maine distributes radio talking points is accurate?
- 13 A. I would assume it's accurate.
- 14 | O. Okay. She's a Provider Power employee; right?
- 15 | A. Provider Power or Electricity Maine, yes.
- Q. And again, you're here to testify on behalf of Provider Power?
- 18 | A. Yes.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And one of the deposition topics is advertising that Provider Power has done.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you can't tell me whether or not this is accurate?
- 24 | A. I would assume it's accurate.
- 25 | Q. Do you have any reason to believe it's not

ı	I	1011
		67
1		accurate?
2	Α.	No.
3		(Discussion off the record.)
4	Q.	To your knowledge when was the last time Provider
5		Power advertised that it would save customers money
6		or consumers money?
7	Α.	I don't know the date of the last ad.
8	Q.	Did Provider Power advertise in 2018 that it would
9		save consumers money?
10	Α.	It may have advertised that it would save people
11		money or businesses money or money
12		MS. HEWEY: on the date. He's asking
13		about 2018.
14	Α.	Oh, gosh, I wouldn't know.
15	Q.	Well, you testified earlier that Provider Power is
16		a shell and doesn't operate; right?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Since 2016, you testified that Provider Power is a
19		shell.
20	Α.	The intent of Provider Power was to be a shell.
21	Q.	I'm just asking about your testimony.
22	Α.	What is your question?
23	Q.	Did you testify that Provider Power is a shell and
24		that since 2016, when Electricity Maine was
25		acquired by Spark, it doesn't do anything?

- A. Spark didn't buy Provider Power. They bought the
- Q. -- Electricity Maine --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. -- under it. So if I need -- I'm trying to explain the relationship between Provider Power and the operating companies that did all of the work.

My belief is that Provider Power isn't necessarily the company doing any particular business but a way for us to have organized our supply agreements when we were operating Electricity Maine and New Hampshire Power. So the way I'm trying to answer this is when you say "Provider Power," it may have had some authorities or some ownerships that I'm not necessarily focusing on. The intent of Provider Power was so that when we had Electricity Maine set up and we had our supply agreements set up with Electricity Maine we would not violate those when we went to New Hampshire and tried to do business in New Hampshire. Otherwise we would have had to redo all of our operating agreements to be able to access and purchase electricity for and through Electricity Maine.

So it was decided that if we had Provider Power we could get supply agreements for

Electricity Maine and for Electricity New Hampshire separate and distinct and update our supply agreements instead of starting over with a new one. So I'm not trying to answer whether Provider Power did or didn't and Electricity Maine did or didn't. I can speak for both because I owned half of both, and the intent of doing advertising is to advertise. But sometimes I'm not sure from your questions if you care whether it was Provider Power or Electricity Maine, and I don't want to confuse my answer.

- Q. I was just asking you about your testimony earlier today. You testified that Provider Power doesn't do anything right now; is that correct?
- A. To my knowledge. I mean it may have an e-mail account, it may have something, but the business is Electricity Maine.

MS. HEWEY: He's just asking you today Provider Power isn't doing anything.

A. Correct.

1.3

2.0

- Q. So it would be impossible for Provider Power to advertise in 2018 that it's going to save consumers money.
- 24 | A. It would not have a product to offer.
- 25 | Q. Did Electricity Maine distribute any sort of

- 1 | advertisements in 2018 to radio stations?
- 2 | A. I don't know. I didn't own it then.
- 3 | Q. Electricity Maine would know?
- 4 | A. Electricity Maine would know.
- Q. What about in 2017. Did Electricity Maine distribute any talking points, other advertisements, to radio stations for airing?
 - A. I'm not sure, but my suspicion would be, if they did, it would have been focused more in New Hampshire.
- 11 | Q. Okay. So nothing in Maine?
- 12 A. I don't know that they didn't at all. I was out of
 13 the picture sometime in '17, so I don't know
 14 exactly what happened after that. Not in a
 15 concerted effort that I'm aware of.
- 16 Q. But you were in the picture in 2016.
- 17 | A. Yes, partly.

9

- Q. Did Electricity Maine or Provider Power air any radio advertisements in 2016?
- A. I don't recall the dates. I don't recall the dates
 when the radio station ads would have exactly
 stopped running. I don't recall there being much
 of a concerted effort in '16. If we did any, it
 would have been minimal.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine advertise that it would save

consumers money in 2016?

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

21

- 2 A. I don't recall ads in Maine in 2016. Perhaps on the commercial side.
- 4 Q. But nothing with regard to residential or small business?
 - A. My recollection is that most of the focus was in

 New Hampshire in '16. So I don't think there was

 much, if anything, in Maine.
 - Q. Did Electricity Maine advertise in 2016 that it would save residential consumers, customers, money?
- 11 A. I don't recall ads -- I don't recall much 12 advertising in 2016.
- Q. So there was no advertising that they would save consumers money in 2016?
 - A. Well, if there was advertising, price is one of the components, but I don't recall much of an advertising campaign in '16.
- Q. What about in 2015. Did Electricity Maine run any advertisements in 2015 that it would save customers money?
 - A. I'd have to look at the ad and the charts of when things ran.
- 23 | Q. So you're not prepared to talk about that today?
- A. I'm not prepared to talk about date specific. If something was late '14 into '15 or late '15 into

1.3

2.0

2.4

- '16 -- I'm not familiar with the exact dates, but if you showed me an ad with a date on it and told me when it ran, I would probably agree that that's when it ran.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine advertise in 2014 that it would save customers money?
- A. I would have to look at the dates and the ad and see what we were advertising at that time. 2014 was a time of polar vortex, I believe, and there was a lot of dynamics and a lot of change and it was very turbulent. The standard offer had changed. They had -- they had bought a ten-month strip, a two-month strip. They were coming off a three-year blended average. Competition was all over the place. We had been advertising different things all along that continuum and I'm sure that price certainty in some of those slots would have been important.
- Q. So let me ask the question again. In 2014 did Electricity Maine run advertisements that said it would save customers money?
- A. That would have looked at advertising about saving money relative to the risks and time of the contracts in that market, but I'd have to look at a particular campaign and see what we were

1 advertising against --

- Q. So you can't answer my question?
- 3 | A. Not without looking at a particular ad and script.
 - Q. Did you look at those ads and scripts before you came here today?
 - \parallel A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

25

O. Let's go back to Exhibit Number 4.

THE DEPONENT: I also needed to make a correction before I forget. Earlier I said that when we did meet, I had my attorney there, which would have been Melissa, but when we met to talk about this deposition, John was also there and some of the staff came in and out.

MS. HEWEY: You want to go back to 4?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

(The deponent looked at Exhibit 4.)

MS. HEWEY: Mitchell Tannenbaum?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

- Q. If you flip to Page 2, the response to the question Number 3.
 - MR. HALLETT: This is Exhibit 4?
- 22 MR. DONAHUE: Yes, Exhibit 4.
 - Q. This is a letter that you wrote to the PUC you signed it to Mitch Tannenbaum; is that correct?

 (The deponent looked at the document.)

And when you say "the talking points provided on

- 1 March 8, 2013, "you're talking about talking points 2 provided to radio stations?
 - A. I would assume that the talking points would have been provided to radio stations.
 - Q. Those are the talking points we're talking about?
- 6 A. I would assume so, yeah.
 - Q. It's your letter. Can you tell me?
- 8 A. What's the question?

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. I'm asking you when you say "the talking points provided on March 8, 2013," you're referring to talking points provided to radio stations or television stations.
- A. I'm talking to talking points provided on March 8th and thereafter do not reference standard offer or price comparisons.

I'm reading it.

- Q. Okay. The talking points provided on March 8. I'm asking about those talking points. Those are taking points that you provided to radio stations or other media outlets; is that correct?
- A. I would assume they are.
- Q. And the full sentence is [reading] the talking
 points provided on March 8, 2013 and thereafter do
 not reference standard offer or price comparisons
 to the standard offer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

So you're telling the PUC in that sentence that your advertisements after March 8 do not reference the standard offer or compare your price to the standard offer; is that a correct statement? I would have -- let me read this for a minute. Yeah, read the whole thing. (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) Okay. So in this letter you're telling the PUC that after March 8th your talking points that you distributed to radio stations did not reference the standard offer or price comparisons to the standard offer; is that correct? I'm saying that talking point provided on March 8 and after do not reference standard offer or price comparisons, and they must be the talking points provided on March 8th and after in this document that we were --So you'd agree that these attachments to this

Q. So you'd agree that these attachments to this document are talking points that Electricity Maine distributed to radio stations?

MS. HEWEY: Objection.

- A. Well, we've answered that before. I don't know that this is a complete document, but I know --
- Q. -- not necessarily talking --

2.4

- MR. AROMANDO: Ben, you've got to let him finish his answer. You keep cutting him off.
- A. What I'm saying is it looks like the intent of this letter was to show the PUC what we were using and that they didn't include certain things that they asked us not to use. So they must have been provided to the PUC.
- Q. Okay. Regardless of what's attached to this exhibit we'll figure that out later what I'm asking you here is that after March 8, 2013 did you distribute advertising talking points that compared Electricity Maine's price to the standard offer.
- A. Well, I'm not sure. All I'm saying is that in this document it says that -- there must have been a chart or a list of talking points that we were referencing that did not. We must have been showing them to Mitch for some reason; probably his request.
- Q. So are you saying that after March 8, 2013 you did distribute talking points comparing Electricity

 Maine's price to the standard offer?
 - MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.
 - MR. AROMANDO: Objection.
- A. I don't recall if I handed out any talking points after, but I am acknowledging that this says that

there were talking points that were provided on March 8 and after that don't have reference to that.

- Q. Let's not even look at the talking points that are attached to this document.
- A. Well, I think we have to.

2.4

Q. I'm talking globally. All the talking points that Electricity Maine sends out.

After March 8 did you send out talking points to radio stations comparing Electricity Maine's price to the standard offer?

- A. I don't recall specifically. I'm just saying that this letter says that included in here must have been things that were dated March 8 and after.
- Q. If you had gone back through your documents,

 Provider Power's documents, could you tell me

 whether or not you distributed talking points

 comparing Electricity Maine's price to the standard

 offer?
- A. I'd have to have -- I don't know, from the information, if I could --

MR. DONAHUE: Let's go off the record for a second.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. CUMMINS: Let's go on the record, please.

2.

2.0

2.4

The point has been made that there's a concern about whether or not this witness is properly prepared. I have counted, and I keep pretty good track of this, 28 times that he's said "I assume." This witness has not articulated a concrete answer to most of Ben's questions. Now, I'm not arguing about anything. I'm making an observation with respect to whether he's been adequately prepared or is adequately prepared to testify. We know what the mandates of Rule 30 are. I've been doing this a while. So maybe we can all reflect on that over lunch. I think that's a great idea, but it's pretty clear from his testimony that he hasn't been adequately prepared.

One other thing; just a housekeeping matter. I served you with a notice of deposition of Mr. Wyatt ... whatever his name is. The date was incorrect. Do you want me to serve you with a corrected one or do you just want to write in "May" instead of March?

MR. AROMANDO: I think you should serve us with a corrected on.

MR. CUMMINS: Okay.

MR. AROMANDO: Since you had a chance to state on the record, I want to make our position

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

clear. I agree with Melissa that some of the questioning is asking for answers that simply don't exist. You're asking a witness to recall specific events and dates that happened multiple years ago. And if there aren't records or -- no one may remember. Now, if there are records -- this is a two-way street. Yeah, you can prepare a witness. Having him have encyclopedic knowledge of all the documents and information that was produced in this case, the preparation bit is a two-edged sword. you've got the records, you need to provide them to the witness. How about things with Bates stamps on them so we can actually reference and understand and ask the witness specific questions that could be answered based on the information available. Some things a witness isn't -- just isn't going to remember.

MR. DONAHUE: When we started this deposition off, he testified that he hadn't reviewed any documents except for terms of service. That was his testimony. I'm asking him about a letter that he wrote. Now, it doesn't have Bates stamps, but I'm going to get you those. I don't know -- if that's going to change his answer, that's great. But it's not like I'm asking him about some e-mail

1.3

2.4

that some person, some assistant, wrote in 2011. I'm asking him about a document he drafted to the PUC.

MS. HEWEY: Okay. This is pointless to argue. How much time do we need for lunch? 30 minutes?

MR. DONAHUE: Sure.

(The lunch break was taken.)

* * * * *

MR. DONAHUE: So we are going to suspend the deposition at this time and we'll reconvene at a mutually-agreeable time. In the interim per John's suggestion, we will provide to you all of the documents that we believe, on a good-faith basis, are relevant to the questions and the subject matters that we have designated for this deposition.

MS. HEWEY: So I don't agree with your suspending it. We scheduled the deposition for today. You have 7 hours.

You say that the witness isn't prepared. I think that the only questions that the witness has not been able to answer are questions that are date- and document-specific, which, under Rule 30(b)(6) I think you have the obligation to show

1.3

2.0

2.4

the witness and ask questions. To the extent that you're asking questions about the matters that you have designated during the time period that Provider Power was involved in this business, this witness is prepared, knows more than any other person about those topics, and would be happy to go forward with the deposition.

So to the extent that you are suspending it now, I take the position that we've made the witness available, you've chosen voluntarily not to proceed.

MR. AROMANDO: As -- I agree with what

Melissa said. I mean this witness was one of the

two principals of the company. He lived it. I

have a point to make about the upcoming Electricity

Maine deposition, which I'll get to in a second,

but for the relevant period of time I don't know

how you could possibly find a better witness in

terms of preparation. I mean he was there and

lived it. How much more preparation could you

have.

On the timing of it, my schedule over the next two months is an absolute nightmare, so I just want to put people on notice to the extent we don't move ahead today it's going to be hard. I

think we should at least go through the rest of your examination and see if it goes any better as opposed to just cutting it off. People have set the time aside.

What I'd like to say about the Electricity Maine deposition, to hopefully avoid a repeat of today's experience, are you going to do the same thing, Ben, and send us documents that you want the witnesses --

MR. CUMMINS: I'm going to be --

MR. AROMANDO: Oh, you are, yeah. The sooner

-- I agree, that's a great idea, and it will help.

The sooner you can do that --

MR. CUMMINS: Who are the designees?

MR. AROMANDO: So the two designees, it's an individual named Dan Kuehl, K-U-E-H-L, and Sandra Nadeau.

MR. CUMMINS: He's an information guy?

MR. AROMANDO: Yeah, finance information, yeah. They're going to be the two folks that will be coming to testify.

MR. CUMMINS: And the other person --

MR. AROMANDO: Sandra Nadeau.

So yeah, if you can get us the documents you're going to go through, it will help

1.3

2.0

1.3

2.0

2.4

tremendously in making sure these folks are ready.

So I would like to make a strong pitch, given everybody's schedules, that we push ahead today and see if it gets any better this afternoon.

MR. DONAHUE: If we could have some sort of agreement that we're not waiving our right, we'd be okay with that, but I'm not going to ask this guy questions for 8 hours, have him say "I don't know" like he has all day, and not be able to take another deposition.

MS. HEWEY: I don't think you're in any worse of a position if you go forward than if you don't, because either you're right and he is not adequately able to comply with the company's requirements under 30(b)(6), in which case you would be -- the Court would permit you to go forward notwithstanding that you've done what you did today, or you're wrong, in which case you're better off talking to him now.

MR. AROMANDO: Yeah, I mean if it helps, Ben, I'll agree that by agreeing to proceed you are not weakening whatever your position is. It is what it is.

MR. CUMMINS: Can I just ask one clarifying question? His answers "I assume" can we now

clarify that those are affirmative responses, that 1 2 those are actually "yes"es and not "I assume"? 3 Because an "I assume" for the 30(b)(6) witness is 4 the quintessential example of poor preparation. 5 we've got a host of "I assume"s. I understand why 6 witnesses do that, but if the "I assume" means 7 "yes," that may help a lot. 8 MS. HEWEY: So if you're asking whether the 9 record can change all "I assume"s to "yes"es, the 10 answer to that is no. Is the witness is prepared 11 to be as declarative as he can, yes. MR. HALLETT: Moving forward you're saying? 12 1.3 MS. HEWEY: Yes. 14 MR. HALLETT: Let's take 2 minutes. 15 (A brief recess was taken.) 16 17 MR. DONAHUE: Just with regard to the 18 stipulation -- back on the record here. 19 We're not going to suspend the 2.0 deposition. 21 MR. CUMMINS: We're not suspending it on the 22 premise that by proceeding we're not waiving the 23 position that this witness not been properly 2.4 prepared pursuant to the mandates of Rule 30(b)(6).

MR. DONAHUE: What Bob said.

- Q. -- that last sentence. I'll read it to you again.

 [Reading] The talking points provided on March 8,

 2013 and thereafter do not reference standard offer

 or price comparisons to the standard offer.
- ∥ A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

- Q. That's what the sentence says?
- 7 | A. Yes.
 - Q. It was Electricity Maine and Provider Power's intention not to advertise a comparison to the standard offer after that date?
 - A. I understand what you're saying and let me just clear up where I got tripped up on this. The reason I was confused about this is because it says "the written communications provided" is the first sentence. I was thinking that this meant that of all of the communications that were provided, it was being explained to Mitch that when he looked at those, some of them were for March 8th and some of them were for after versus a global statement.

 That was why I was confused.
 - Q. But back to that -- the statement that you make to the PUC here, after March 8, 2013 it was Electricity Maine's intention not to run any advertisements comparing their prices to the standard offer?

- A. I don't think that's what it says, Ben, because it

 -- this paragraph says that the written

 communications provided in this document are broken

 up into two categories. One of them is talking

 points provided for March 8 and the other ones are

 after that.
 - Q. Okay. So the talking points before March 8 compare Electricity Maine's price to the standard offer; is that correct?
 - A. The talking points provided on January 8th and February 13th reference the standard offer.
- 12 | Q. Those are before March 8th.
- A. And then the talking points provided on March 8th and after do not, that were provided in this document.
 - Q. Now globally, are there other talking points after March 8, 2013 that Electricity Maine sent to radio stations that compare Electricity Maine's price to the standard offer?
 - A. I don't think so.
- 21 | Q. Thank you.

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

- 22 Let's go back to ...
- MR. DONAHUE: Well, do you have your list of exhibits?
- 25 | (Discussion off the record.)

period that didn't include price?

2.4

- Q. Now, that resulted in a lot of advertisements being almost the same or very similar; is that correct?
 - A. The talking points would change sometimes; but during the same periods of time, they would have been similar.
 - Q. So if you ran an ad, you know, one week in the fall of 2011 on a bunch of different radio stations, it would be pretty much the same thing, just in different disc jockeys' voices?
- A. Well, the way those ads were done is that you give them the talking points and then the DJs kind of pick the salient points that they think resonate with them more. Some of them liked "buy local" more than just price. Some of them wanted to be more educators about the right to choose. So that type of advertising is different than just running a regular ad because they give the DJ more freedom to talk about it the way they want to talk about it.
- Q. So let me just -- we'll play some more.

 (Discussion off the record.)
- Q. So I'm going to play here what's Bates numbered 2730. Defendant's 2730. The file is dated November 3, 2011.

25 (Exhibit 15 identified.)

It's hard to distinguish from one disk jockey to

- Q. Did it play any television -- did it buy any television commercials, produce any television commercials?
 - A. During -- your question is did they in 2011?
- 5 | Q. Yeah.

6

19

- A. I expect we started that in 2012.
- Q. Okay. So in early 2012 was the advertising campaign roughly the same as late 2011?
- It was very dynamic and changing in that we used 9 Α. 10 different mediums. With advertising, you know, sometimes you use radio, sometimes you use 11 12 television, sometimes you use print. I don't 13 recall what was going on in those times, but like when it's an election time, you can't afford one or 14 15 the other so you switch. So there would have been 16 different -- whether we could afford to get print 17 or afford to get radio or use television, it would have been also what was happening around us. 18
 - Q. At that time your rates were lower than the standard offer, though?
- 21 | A. My recollection is that they were then.
- Q. In 2012 -- so you were advertising on that basis in 2012; right?
- 24 | A. Yes, that was part of our message.
- Q. Okay. Let me just play some audios from 2012 here.

price was one of the primaries.

Did you ever do any marketing research to determine

2.4

- why consumers want to switch or what would make consumers switch from standard offer to Electricity

 Maine or back the other way?
- A. Primarily what we did is we would try some advertising and see if it had any response; and if it did, we would do more of it. If it didn't, we would stop it. But we were not using a, you know, a research -- we learned from what we advertized, but we didn't do any particular research without just doing the advertising primarily.
- 11 | Q. Did you find that --
- 12 | A. -- best way to learn.
- Q. Did you find that price was the most important factor to consumers?
- 15 A. In the early market, yes.
- Q. I'm going to play Defendants 2845. This is dated

 October 10 excuse me October 23, 2012.
- 18 (Exhibit 20 identified.)
- 19 (An audio recording was played.)
- Q. Would you agree that in October of 2012 that
 Electricity Maine was still advertising on price?
- 22 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And that was the primary focus of your marketing campaign at that point in time?
- 25 A. It would have been the primary focus of the radio

1 campaign for sure.

2 MR. CUMMINS: And just for the record,
3 Exhibits 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 were all
4 played for the witness.

- Q. So you started doing video advertising in 2012?
- 6 A. Television?
- 7 | O. Television.
- 8 | A. Yes.

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 9 Q. The focus of those advertisements, at least in
 10 2012, was the price savings in comparison to the
 11 standard offer?
 - A. When we started in television, we talked about price, we introduced choice, we wanted people to buy local. We entered a few more concepts. And also term. I believe we might have started to experiment with different terms. 18 months, two years, nine months versus a year as well.
 - Q. But all these also included a reference to price or price savings?
- 20 | A. They would have included some reference --
- 21 | Q. At least in 2012.
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. So let me play what is Bates Defendants 2713.
- 24 | MR. DONAHUE: What exhibit number is this?
- 25 | MS. HEWEY: It's 21.

- Q. And it's got a date of October 10, 2012.
- 2 | (Exhibit 21 identified.)
- 3 | (A video recording was played.)
- $4 \parallel Q$. Who is the person in the advertisement here?
- 5 | A. That is Kiley Bennett, who was a for-hire actress.
- 6 | Q. Was she employed by Electricity Maine?
- A. She had a contract. She got paid when she did a commercial. She used to work, I believe, for Channel 13 and she puts herself out as an actress.
- 10 Q. Is she -- did you produce the ad we're looking at in house at Electricity Maine?
 - A. No. Channel 13 would have done all of the creative and the timing and the things that look like, you know, written descriptions of how a commercial could be built.
 - Q. Electricity Maine obviously reviewed that content before it was produced or --
- 18 | A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 | Q. -- or distributed?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. So let me play this.
- 22 | (A video recording was played.)
- 23 | Q. Did you hear that?
- 24 | A. I heard it.
- 25 | Q. So would you agree that this advertisement for

100 1 Electricity Maine promises price savings in 2 comparison to the standard offer? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Is that representative of your video advertising in 5 2012 generally? 6 Α. I mean it's a good sample of an ad, yes. 7 Would you agree that most of your video advertising Ο. 8 in 2012 focused on price savings? Some of it focused on price savings, but again, we 9 Α. 10 did start to introduce other features. Would you agree that the primary focus of your 11 Q. 12 video advertising was price savings --13 Α. Yes. 14 So at some point, and we talked about this before Ο. 15 lunch, you stopped advertising or at least 16 promising price savings in comparison to the 17 standard offer and we were trying to figure out 18 exactly when what was. I'm just summarizing our 19 discussion generally. You don't have to agree with 2.0 me. 21 But in early 2013 you did run some 22 advertising on price; is that correct? 23 I believe we did, yes. Α. 24 So I'll play Defendants 2878; it's dated January Q. 25 10, 2013.

1 0 1 1 (Exhibit 22 identified.) 2 (A video recording was played.) 3 So when the advertisement says -- and that's not Ο. 4 part of this video. That's just left over from the 5 thing we looked at last. 6 When it says you're going to save 6.8 million 7 dollars, that's in comparison to the standard 8 offer. 9 MR. AROMANDO: Objection to form. 10 I think it's compared to several standard offers. Α. 11 Q. Okay. 12 As opposed to the standard offer. Α. 13 So some --Q. 14 Commercial, residential, customers. Α. 15 Q. So that calculation, 6.8 million dollars, is 16 calculated by comparing Electricity Maine's rate to 17 commercial large-scale standard offer, medium-scale standard offer, and residential standard offer? 18 19 Α. I think it was made comparing the price ... I don't 2.0 want to confuse a calculation of savings between 21 what they were paying one year at Electricity Maine 22 versus what they might have been paying the next. 23 If it was a comparison to standard offer, which our team would have done the math, they would have 2.4 25 looked at all of our customers, not just

residential. And since they're looking at other -like business -- large business and small business,
they would have also different standard offer
prices and volumes of power.

- Q. But nonetheless you would agree this ad promises savings in comparison with the standard offer.
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. And this was an ad run in early 2013?
- A. I believe, yes.
 - Q. Were -- was the promotional or the marketing campaign of Electricity Maine run the same in 2012 and early 2013 as it was in 2011?

That was probably a bad question. Let me clarify.

Was it the same team - the same marketing team - in 2012 and '13 as it was in 2011?

- A. No. People were added because we grew.
- Q. Did they produce ads in the same way?
 - A. Not necessarily. When we added people, sometimes we added a few people who knew more than people we had before. When we started Electricity Maine, we used the people that we already had on our team.

 We were just a few people. We grew.
 - Q. Gotcha.

But Electricity Maine was still producing

talking points in 2013 like it did back in 2011.

It had a different message, but the advertising procedure was similar?

- A. We most likely used the same radio stations and gave them talking points.
- Q. And did you do that in 2011, 2012, and early 2013?
- A. I believe we did.

1.3

2.0

2.4

- Q. Would you agree that all of the marketing during that time period was direct marketing to consumers?

 MS. HEWEY: Objection. I don't understand what you're asking.
- Q. Was Electricity Maine's marketing campaign from 2011 to early 2013 focused on switching consumers from the standard offer to Electricity Maine's electricity supply service?
- A. Well, we started off mostly by talking to businesses, to get their employees to sign up as we wanted to get the business. So as we got a little bit more mature and we started using mass media, we would use ads for different groups, but we did not only advertise to residential and we did not only advertise to business. We were advertising to all the groups using different campaigns or techniques or messages.
- Q. Okay. But for example, that advertisement is

1 0 4 directed at residential consumers. 1 2 MS. HEWEY: When you say "that 3 advertisement, " you're referring to --The video that we --4 MR. DONAHUE: 5 MS. HEWEY: -- Exhibit 21? 6 MR. CUMMINS: 22 was the last exhibit. 7 MS. HEWEY: Yeah. He's showing -- he's 8 looking at the TV ad, which was 21, just for the 9 record. 10 A lot of the television ads were dual purpose in Α. 11 that we would go after business and residential, 12 but primarily the respondent was residential. 13 And do you agree that all of your advertising is --Q. 14 the advertising that you did on the television and 15 on the radio from 2011 to 2013 was directed, at 16 least in part, at residential? 17 Α. At least in part, yes. 18 Okay. And the purpose of that advertising was to Q. 19 convert customers from standard offer service to 20 Electricity Maine service? 21 Or competitors. Α. 22 Q. Now, you did some radio and television appearances 23 yourself; is that correct? 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 What were your talking points during those

105 1 appearances? 2 MS. HEWEY: Objection. 3 I wouldn't have gone in with talking points. Α. 4 were free-form and they weren't ads as much as they 5 were visits. Did you -- did you promise to save consumers money 6 Q. 7 in comparison to the standard offer? 8 I may have referenced some of our ads or what we Α. 9 were -- what type of a business we were building 10 and why. And I'm only saying this because a lot of the advertising we may have paid for and some of 11 12 those visits, we didn't. So I don't know that 13 they're ads. 14 Ο. Okay. Let's just play a couple of them here. I've 15 got Defendants 2666 and that's dated November 9, 16 2011. MR. DONAHUE: What exhibit number are we on, 17 Bob? 18 19 MS. HEWEY: 23. 2.0 (Exhibit 23 identified.) 21 (An audio recording was played.) 22 Q. This is November 2011. Is that a -- roughly around 23 when you think that you appeared on radio? 2.4 I might have appeared on -- like three or four Α. 25 times, so I don't know the dates. So I don't know

106 1 if was an early ad or a late ad. 2 (An audio recording was played.) 3 So would you agree that that was you talking there? 0. 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you're talking about residential homeowners? Q. 6 Is that what you just said? 7 I don't -- I believe --Α. 8 (An audio recording was played.) 9 So that was you talking? Q. 10 That was Kevin Dean. Α. 11 Oh, okay. And he's the other owner of Provider Q. 12 Power? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Now, your appearance, would you agree, is -- and Ο. 15 the points you made are consistent with your 16 marketing campaign at that point in time? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Your advertising on price savings in comparison to Q. 19 the standard offer, to residential customers and --20 well, you agree with those two points? 21 Yeah. What I got out of that, we were advertising Α. 22 some savings, buy local, and it would have been 23 business and residential. 24 And by "business," you mean small business? Q. 25 Or medium. Α.

107 1 Q. All right. I'm going to play Defendants 2665; the 2 date is November 9, 2011, the same as the other 3 one. 4 (Exhibit 24 identified.) 5 (An audio recording was played.) 6 Q. Okay. So again, this is you and Kevin Dean on the 7 radio? 8 Yes. Α. 9 Q. Do you agree that the statements that you're making 10 on the radio are consistent with your marketing 11 campaign at that time? 12 Yes. Α. 13 Save money, no catch, no gimmicks? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. You heard a statement to that effect in that -- is 16 that correct? That's what he said. 17 Α. 18 Okay. And again, you're targeting residential and Q. 19 small-business customers? 20 Α. Yes. 21 All right. So you said that at times you would do Q. 22 either direct mailing or advertisements in 23 newspapers; is that correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 I'll show you Exhibit 25.

108 1 (Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) 2 Q. This has been labeled as Exhibit Number 25. 3 Defendants 1124. 4 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 5 So Mr. Clavet, can you tell us where this Q. advertisement appeared? 6 7 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 25.) 8 I'm trying to tell from looking at this. Α. 9 I don't know if you're asking me if I can 10 tell by looking --Did this appear in a newspaper or a magazine? 11 Q. 12 I'm not sure. The logo is one that I've seen on Α. 1.3 our website, but I don't know if that is an ad or 14 if it was on this enrollment form. 15 Q. Did Electricity Maine advertise in newspapers? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. What sort of advertisements would appear in 18 newspapers between 2011 and 2013? 19 Α. We would use a flier sometimes that might go in a 20 newspaper or we would use a two-sided sticker. 21 Okay. Would that sticker or flier have an Ο. 22 enrollment form on it? 23 No. No, the sticker would not. The flier ... Α. 2.4 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 25 I don't recall seeing this one, but I recognize the Α.

109 logo in print. 1 2 Q. How do you think this flier or whatever this is was 3 published? 4 Α. I think it was published in our shop, because it's 5 our name and our markings. I'm just not sure how 6 it was used from looking at this. 7 Do you think that this was placed in a newspaper? Q. 8 I don't know. It could be a newspaper or a Α. 9 magazine or a handout. 10 Do you think that Electricity Maine tried to use Ο. this form to enroll customers at some point in 11 12 time? 13 I would believe they would have. Α. 14 I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 26. Ο. (Exhibit 26 marked for identification.) 15 16 O. This is a similar form to Exhibit 25. 17 MS. HEWEY: 1137 etcetera. Actually let's go back to 25 real quick. 18 Q. 19 When do you think that was distributed to --2.0 for the purpose of acquiring customers? 21 MR. AROMANDO: Objection. 22 Α. When do I think somebody would have used this? 23 Yeah. You testified that it was used for the Q. 2.4 purpose of acquiring customers. 25 It looks like something we would have used for a Α.

110 1 trade show. 2 Q. Do you think it was used at a trade show before the 3 spring of 2013? 4 Α. I know that the logo with the little girl jumping 5 was something that came in around then. 6 Q. Around 2011? 7 '12 or '13 I would guess. It was later than the Α. 8 original drawing, is why I'm saying that. 9 Q. So it's your testimony that this was used as a 10 flier? 11 It looks like a flier for a trade show. Α. 12 And it was handed out at a trade show or some sort Q. 13 of in-person event? 14 Yes. Maybe a business-to-business trade show or Α. 15 something like that. 16 But you don't know. Ο. 17 Α. I don't know for sure. 18 And Exhibit Number 26 is the same thing? Another Q. 19 flier? 20 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Can you tell where this was used or when it was 23 used? 2.4 Not by looking at it. It's not dated. Α. 25 MR. CUMMINS: Is that 27?

111 MR. DONAHUE: That's 26. 1 2 Q. If you spoke to former employees of Electricity 3 Maine would you be able to tell when this was used? 4 Α. If it's not dated, probably not. We did a lot of 5 trade shows or booths at fairs and that type of 6 thing. It looks like it might be one of those, so 7 I couldn't tell you what year it might have been 8 used. 9 Q. But you think it was before the spring of 2013? 10 I can't be sure, but I would think it was in 2012, Α. 2013. 11 12 Let's look at Page 2 of 26. Q. 13 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 14 The last paragraph. This is a Q and A. Question: Ο. 15 Will Electricity Maine rates always be lower than 16 the standard offer? Answer: Electricity Maine 17 rates will always be lower than the standard offer rates. We guarantee this by purchasing this power 18 19 on your behalf in advance of your consumption. 20 a result, there is no market risk to the customer 21 and Electricity Maine will always be able to beat 22 the standard offer rate. 23 Is that an accurate reading of --2.4 Yeah. We were always trying to beat the current Α.

standard offer rate, and this would have directed

112 them to Electricity Maine.com, where they could 1 2 learn more. 3 Let me ask you this. Were you quaranteeing to beat Ο. 4 the standard offer after the spring of 2013? 5 If we were going to beat the standard offer, it Α. would have been the standard offer that was in 6 7 effect. 8 I see rates in a box here. So that would 9 tell me when it was if I was looking at a schedule 10 somewhere and it would tell me which standard offer I was talking about. 11 12 Q. So you think it's possible that Electricity Maine 1.3 was offering to always beat the standard offer after the spring of 2013? 14 15 MS. HEWEY: Objection, form. 16 I think Electricity Maine was saying it was going Α. 17 to beat this standard offer in making that 18 quarantee. 19 Q. Was Electricity Maine beating the standard offer in 20 the spring of 2013? 21 Is that related to this brochure? Is that the date Α. 22 of this brochure? 23 I don't know the date of the brochure. Q.

asking was Electricity Maine beating the standard

offer in the spring of 2013.

2.4

113 I think we were. I would have to look back. 1 Α. 2 Q. So you can't tell me whether this was used before 3 or after the spring of 2013? 4 Α. I can't, but the prices that are printed here would 5 easily deduce that. 6 Q. It says [reading] Our rates compared. Central 7 Maine Power's standard offer --8 [Reading] Rate of 8.49. Α. 9 So if we look to see when standard offer was 10 8.49 we'd know when this was printed. And that would tell us conclusively when this was 11 Q. 12 used to acquire customers? 1.3 It would be -- I believe it would. Α. 14 (Exhibit 27 marked for identification.) (Discussion off the record.) 15 16 This is Defendants 1120 through 1121. Ο. (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 17 So again, this is another what looks to be a flier. 18 Q. 19 Would you agree? It's an enrollment form. 2.0 Α. 21 Is this something that appeared in -- as a flier at 0. 22 a trade show like the other prior forms? 23 Yeah, it looks like a flier. I can't say it was or Α. 2.4 wasn't used without seeing some of them filled out, 25 but it looks like someone's attempt at a flier.

114 And would you agree with me that this is similar to 1 Q. 2 the previous two fliers that we looked at? 3 Yes, it is similar. Α. 4 (Exhibit 28 marked for identification.) 5 I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 28, Q. 6 Mr. Clavet. 7 MR. CUMMINS: 1127 to 1129 are the Bates 8 numbers. 9 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 10 Can you tell me what this form appears or -- can Ο. you describe to me what 28 is? 11 12 It looks like the building of a form. It just Α. 13 looks kind of sloppy, so I don't know that it's 14 finished. 15 Q. Okay. Was it maybe the form that ended up in the 16 previous three exhibits that we looked at? 17 Α. It looks like a working document that someone was 18 trying to create something. I can't tell how it 19 was used. 20 What sort of non-TV and radio advertising was Q. 21 Electricity Maine doing between 2011 and the spring 22 of 2013? 23 Non-TV? Α. 24 Or non-radio. What paper advertising, for lack of Q. 25 a better term, was Electricity Maine doing during

that time period?

1.3

2.0

2.4

- A. We would have had newspaper, we might have had some magazine. Less, but some. We would have done mailers. Direct mailers. We might have done some internet ads. Like Google search words and things like that.
- Q. Did that advertising -- was it consistent with your general marketing campaign during that time period?
- A. It would have varied greatly because early on we might have done mailers just to businesses or just to residential customers. We did a mailer once only to churches, we did a mailer once only to towns.
- Q. Have you produced those documents in discovery?
- A. If we had documents, then we would have produced them, yes, I'm sure.
- Q. Was the advertising to residential customers during that time period that you just described, was that -- let me rephrase.

Were your direct mailers focused on price savings compared to the standard offer?

A. Partly. A lot of our direct mail stuff would have been to have them come look at our website and check us out. Go to Electricity Maine, take a look.

116 1 And at that time your website advertised price Q. 2 savings in comparison to the standard offer? 3 It would have quoted our price and it would have Α. 4 quoted the standard offer. (Discussion off the record.) 5 6 (A brief recess was taken.) 7 8 (Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) 9 CONTINUED EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. DONAHUE: 11 I'm going to show you, Mr. Clavet, Exhibit 29. Q. 12 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 29.) 1.3 Do you recognize this document? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. This is a newspaper article that ran in August of 16 2011 about Electricity Maine? 17 Α. Yes. 18 You're quoted in this article on Page 6 of 9? Q. 19 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 2.0 Ο. On the bottom after the break, you're quoted as 21 saying [reading] Our commitment is to everybody. 22 We will always beat the standard offer. You'll 23 never, ever pay more than the standard offer or we won't be back. 2.4 25 Is that an accurate quote?

117 That's part of what I would have said. 1 Α. 2 Q. Let's talk just briefly about the last couple 3 exhibits we looked at, which were those forms. 4 Who at Electricity Maine or Provider Power 5 was in charge of these forms? Depending on when they were made, it could be a few 6 Α. 7 different people. If they were made later, meaning 8 2013, it might have been Candace Sanborn. If they 9 were made earlier, it might have been work papers 10 somewhere between myself or Kevin Dean that would have worked on them. 11 12 Q. Let's talk about the renewal process. 1.3 So Electricity Maine automatically renews 14 customers after they receive an e-mail or a letter; 15 is that correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Sometimes it renews customers at rates higher than 18 their previous rate; is that correct? 19 Α. Correct. 2.0 Q. And sometimes it renews customers at rates higher 21 than the standard offer? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Does Electricity Maine or Provider Power have any Q. 2.4 internal procedures or standards about automatic 25 renewal?

118 1 Well, the --Α. 2 MR. AROMANDO: Objection. Time frame, Ben? 3 MR. DONAHUE: At any point in time. 4 Α. Well, the whole thing is a procedure that is set up 5 by the PUC in how it should be done. 6 Q. So you'd agree that Electricity Maine and Provider 7 Power should comply with PUC regulations? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. They should comply with PUC instructions? 10 Α. Yes. 11 They should comply with any agreement that they Q. 12 have with the PUC? 1.3 Α. Yes. 14 You would agree that Electricity Maine and Provider Ο. 15 Power should not use confusing practices when 16 dealing with customers? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Would you agree that Electricity Maine and Provider Q. 19 Power should disclose the risk that a re-enrollment 2.0 rate might be significantly higher than a 21 historical rate? 22 MR. AROMANDO: Objection. 23 I don't understand that question. Α. 2.4 Do you agree that Electricity Maine and Provider Q. 25 Power should include the historical rate - the rate

119 1 the customer is being re-enrolled from - in the 2 re-enrollment notice? 3 Well, I think that we should follow the rules that Α. 4 the PUC set forth. I'm not sure if that's what 5 you're asking me or if you're asking me for something more than what's in the rules. 6 7 Q. Do you agree that prior to January 2015 any 8 competitive electricity provider should have 9 included a re-enrollment notice with the customer's 10 electricity bill? 11 MR. AROMANDO: Objection. 12 That was too much. Could you just break that down Α. 13 for me? 14 Ο. Sure. 15 Prior to January of 2015, Electricity Maine 16 and Provider Power sent out re-enrollment notices; 17 correct? 18 Correct. Α. 19 Q. Those notices came by e-mail? 20 Some, yes. Α. 21 Or letter? Ο. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Did any of those notices accompany the customer's Q. 2.4 power bill that they received from CMP or Bangor Hydro? 25

120 1 Α. No. 2 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 30. 3 (Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) 4 Q. This is a letter from Electricity Maine to 5 Katherine Veilleux. 6 Would you agree with that description? 7 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And it's dated October 1st, 2014? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is this a letter or was this sent as an e-mail? Q. (The deponent looked at Exhibit 30.) 12 1.3 I don't know. It looks like a letter, but I'm Α. 14 hesitating because I don't know if it couldn't have 15 been sent as an e-mail as well. 16 O. Well, assuming it was sent as a letter, did -- this 17 is a re-enrollment notice sent to Katherine 18 Veilleux informing her that she's going to be 19 re-enrolled or renewed in Electricity Maine's 20 service; is that correct? 21 I believe it is. Α. 22 Q. And you are re-enrolling her at a rate of 11 cents, 23 approximately, per kilowatt hour if she takes no 2.4 action. 25 Correct. Α.

121 How many other re-enrollment notices did 1 Q. 2 Electricity Maine send out during October of 2014? 3 I don't know how many we sent out in October. Α. 4 October, November, December would have been when 5 most re-enrollments happen. So a lot. 6 Q. How many re-enrollment notices were sent out? Let's start with letters. Who sends the 7 8 letter? 9 Our office does. Our employees. Α. 10 Do they stuff the letters themselves? 0. 11 We have a machine that stuffs the letters. Α. 12 So it's an automated process? Q. 1.3 Α. Yes. 14 Are all those -- there's only two ways for a Ο. 15 customer to be re-enrolled; right? Letter or 16 e-mail? 17 Α. They could call and give us instructions. 18 The notices only would arrive by letter and e-mail? Q. 19 Α. Yes. Is this an example of -- well, do the notices sent 2.0 Q. 21 to other Electricity Maine customers, are they 22 similar to this notice? 23 I believe they are. Α. 2.4 Are they identical to this notice minus the address Q. 25 and account number; the personal information?

122 I would say that when they go out in a batch, if 1 Α. 2 she was in this batch, those would all be the same, 3 but there could be different prices or times or 4 groups. 5 Q. Besides the price, there would be no other, different language in any other letter that went 6 7 out during that time period? 8 I don't believe so. Α. 9 Ο. Let's look at this a little more closely. 10 There's no comparison to the standard offer in this letter, is there. 11 12 No. Α. 1.3 You were informing Ms. Veilleux that you have Ο. 14 secured a competitive rate for her. I believe that's what this is. 15 Α. 16 There's nothing to inform her that she's no longer Ο. 17 saving money? Not -- we don't speak about savings in this letter. 18 Α. 19 Q. There's no reference to the old rate that she was 2.0 getting prior to her renewal? 21 Not in this letter; however, I don't know that this Α. 22 letter wasn't sent to her because she asked for it 23 or if this was her -- her renewal letter. 2.4 But in this letter there's no comparison to the old Q.

rate that she had previously received?

123 I don't see one in this letter. 1 Α. (Exhibit 31 marked for identification.) 2 3 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 31.) 4 Q. I'm showing you -- ignore the top part of the 5 message, but the substantive e-mail below is from 6 Electricity Maine to Jennifer Chon on October 1, 7 2014; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And this is an e-mail informing Ms. Chon that she 10 will be renewed in Electricity Maine's services if 11 she takes no action. 12 Yes. Α. 13 If you compare the language in this e-mail to Ms. Q. Veilleux's letter, would you agree that it's 14 15 essentially identical? 16 (The deponent looked at documents.) 17 Α. Yes. 18 So Ms. Chon was renewed by e-mail; Ms. Veilleux, by Q. 19 letter; is that correct? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Were all of the other e-mails - renewal e-mails -Ο. 22 sent out during the fall of 2014 similar to this 23 e-mail to Ms. Chon? 2.4 I don't know if they were all the same, but it Α. 25 looks like a basic letter.

124 Q. Okay. By "basic letter" is that your standard form 1 2 3 It looks like a standard form letter. Α. 4 Q. Again, you'd agree that this e-mail does not 5 mention standard offer? It's -- it does not. 6 Α. 7 It doesn't mention Ms. Chon's old rate. 0. 8 It does not. Α. 9 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 10 It looks like ... I just don't know why there's a Α. couple e-mail addresses there. 11 12 The e-mail at the top was just her forwarding that Q. 13 e-mail to me. 14 Oh, okay. Α. 15 Q. Do you have any reason to believe why e-mails --16 strike that. 17 Were there any e-mails sent during this time 18 period that include a reference to the standard 19 offer? Any renewal e-mails sent during this time 2.0 period that included a reference to the standard 21 offer? 22 Α. I would have to look at them individually to know 23 that. 2.4 But these e-mails are sent out using a systemized Q. 25 process?

- A. I believe so, unless they were specifically requested by the customer. They look like they would have been part of a process.
- Q. But this is an automatic renewal. This is not something that was specifically requested by Ms. Chon?
- A. It looks like a standard letter that was sent out to her. I don't think that she made any request.
- Q. It's a standard e-mail.
- 10 A. It looks like a standard e-mail.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine or Provider Power include
 reference to the standard offer in any
 automatic-renewal e-mail during this time period?
 - A. I don't recall. I'd have to look at them individually to see.
- 16 | Q. And you keep a record of each individual e-mail?
- 17 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did any automatic e-mail during this time period include reference to a prior rate that a customer was receiving before automatic renewal?
 - A. I know that some mailers do. I don't know when that started. I know that some of them include a reference to a price. I'm not sure of the timing of that. I've seen letters include reference to different prices.

126 What letters when? 1 Q. 2 Α. Well, we had some that Mitch Tannenbaum asked us to 3 send that I would believe would have quoted a 4 standard offer. 5 But those were the letters sent in response to the Q. 6 August 7 letter from the PUC? 7 Yeah. Α. 8 So those weren't automatic-renewal e-mails. Q. 9 Correct. Α. 10 Those were corrective letters or e-mails if you Ο. 11 will. 12 Yes. Α. 1.3 Are you aware of any automatic-renewal e-mail that Q. includes a reference to a customer's prior rate 14 15 that was sent during this time period; the fall of 16 -- October --17 Α. Not any individual letters specifically. I would 18 just want to look at them and see what they 19 referenced. But we would have record of them. 2.0 What sort of e-mail programming did you use to Q. 21 track your -- send your automatic-renewal e-mails? 22 Let me break that down a little bit. 23 So there wasn't someone at Provider Power or 2.4 Electricity Maine clicking "send" to each one of

these thousands or tens of thousands of e-mails

127 1 that went out --2 Α. Yeah, they would go out in some batch form. 3 And that was a computer program facilitated the O. 4 "send" -- someone would create the content, but 5 ultimately the process of sending thousands of 6 e-mails was a computer --7 Yes. Α. 8 -- acting in -- it was an automated process. Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 So if there was an e-mail that referenced a prior Ο. 11 rate, you would be able tell by looking at one 12 e-mail in each batch? 13 I would say so. Α. 14 Because every e-mail in the batch is the same; Ο. 15 right? 16 I would say it would be. Α. 17 Q. Now, Electricity Maine and Provider Power are 18 generally aware of what the standard offer rate is; 19 right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 That's part of your business, is to be aware of the Q. 22 standard offer. 23 Α. Yes. 24 When you sent this e-mail to Ms. Chon, you knew Q. 25 that you weren't going to be saving her money -

2.0

2.4

right? - in comparison to the standard offer?

- A. No, this would -- it looks like it was sent in October. Standard offer probably wasn't announced until December or January. If this was late 2014, you would have had the PUC announcing that standard offer rates were expected to double. You're dealing with a polar vortex time right there.
- Q. Well, it wasn't a polar vortex in October, was it?
- A. It was a polar vortex earlier -- like in January of 2014. We had a severe winter event. So they were predicting that the next winter would carry over because we had constraints in our gas lines.
- Q. Are you saying you can predict what a winter is going to be like in October --
- A. They price every day, every hour, every month going forward based on their current experience. And yes, you had Chairman Welch, you had Patrick Woodcock, you had the PUC announcing that the next standard offer was going to be dramatically up.

 And if you looked at New Hampshire and Massachusetts for the same period, you would see evidence of that.
- Q. But when you sent this out, what was the standard offer in October of 2014?
- \parallel A. I'm going to guess that it was 6 or 7 cents.

129 1 And you renewed Ms. Veilleux at 11 cents. Q. 2 Α. Rates were predicted be around 14. 3 I'm just asking you --0. 4 Α. Yes, we did. 5 Q. So at the time you sent this, you were aware that 6 you were going to be charging her a rate above the 7 standard offer? 8 We didn't know what standard offer was going to be Α. 9 yet at that point going forward. 10 When does the standard offer kick in every year? 0. March 1st. It's usually announced 11 Α. 12 December/January. Sometimes they announce in 13 November. They've been a little inconsistent on 14 that. 15 Q. So the standard offer that was in place in October 16 of 2014 was the same standard offer that Ms. Chon 17 would be receiving once she was automatically 18 re-enrolled; right? 19 Α. I don't understand. This says [reading] if you do nothing, you'll be 2.0 Q. 21 re-enrolled in December of 2016; right? 22 Α. Yes. 2014. 23 You're right. December of 2014. You're right. Q. 2.4 So -- and that's when she would --25 Electricity Maine would start charging her 11 cents

130 1 per kilowatt hour; right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. So the standard offer -- you knew what the Ο. standard offer would be in December of 2014. 4 5 We knew what was in December, but they were Α. 6 announcing --7 -- not asking what it was going to be. Q. 8 MS. HEWEY: Let him finish the answer. 9 This was a 24-month contract. So we're dealing Α. 10 with a lot of hours across this term. We didn't 11 know what it would be over the next couple of 12 years. 13 My question was did you know what it was going to Q. 14 be in December of 2014. 15 Α. We knew what was in December, 16 So the answer is yes. Ο. 17 Α. Yes, we knew what it was in December. 18 Okay. And you knew that that was going to be Q. 19 significantly lower than 11 cents per kilowatt 2.0 hour. 21 We knew what it was at that time. Α. 22 Q. In December of 2014. 23 Α. Yes. 2.4 (Exhibit 32 marked for identification.) 25 (The deponent looked at Exhibit 32.)

131 1 Q. Mr. Clavet, are you familiar with this report from 2 the Public Utilities Commission? 3 I just looked at it. Yeah. It's not very old. Α. February, yes. 4 5 Q. Are you aware that the Public Utilities Commission concluded that between 2014 and 2015 competitive 6 7 electricity providers charged Maine ratepayers 77.7 8 million more than they would have paid for standard 9 offer service? 10 I'm familiar with what their chart says here, yes. Α. Do you think their chart is incorrect? 11 Q. 12 I think it served their purpose. I don't think Α. 1.3 it's a very valuable report. I think it's an 14 incomplete picture. 15 Q. What do you think is incomplete about it? 16 I think that the time period should have been Α. 17 expanded to take a much wider view of what's going 18 to happen in a market that's very volatile. 19 think a three-year snapshot is too narrow and I 2.0 think it ignores a lot of other variables that should have been included. 21 22 Q. Well, do you think it's accurate during those three 23 years?

Let's start with 2014, which is on Page 5.

2.4

25

Α.

No.

132 1 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 2 Q. Have you reviewed the spreadsheet here that breaks 3 down the pricing that different competitive 4 providers charged Maine ratepayers? 5 Yes, I see it. Α. So this says Electricity Maine had an average price 6 Q. 7 per kilowatt hour of roughly 8 cents; is that 8 correct? 9 I see it. Α. 10 Do you have any reason to disagree with that data? Ο. I didn't compile the data, so I wouldn't challenge 11 Α. 12 it unless I did my own report. 13 Okay. Well, is that an accurate --Q. 14 It says 8 cents. Α. 15 Q. Okay. But you, during that time period, were 16 running Electricity Maine; right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And you were familiar with the prices that Q. 19 Electricity Maine was charging customers; correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Is 8 cents a kilowatt hour on average the price Ο. 22 that Electricity Maine was charging residential 23 customers during that time period? 24 Α. I don't know. I haven't done the calculation. 25 So Electricity Maine was not aware on average of Q.

what it was charging consumers?

2.4

- A. I didn't do a report to calculate the average over those three years because if I would have, I would have added other variables that would make it more reliable, like trying to determine whether or not extra winters were picked up in this or not or if some of these were straddled years or whether or not some of these other companies were offering variable or introductory offers. I would want to know if the standard offer was -- was reconstructed so that I would adjust it for risk where this report doesn't do that for me.
- Q. Isn't it true that you basically off-loaded your risk on to residential customers?

MR. AROMANDO: Objection.

- A. I don't understand the statement.
- Q. You were just telling me that you increased the price you charged Ms. Veilleux in October of 2014 because you thought that prices were going to go up.
- A. The State of Maine Public Utilities Commission told us that prices were expected to double. So we bought longer strips because they were the cheapest thing going. The PUC, going out to standard offer, bought a ten-year strip and took huge risks with

134 the state of Maine's power load, a 1 2 roulette-wheel-type risk. We would not take that risk. We covered it. 3 4 Q. Okay. So you charged a higher price. 5 We charged a fully-hedged covered price to Α. 6 eliminate the risk. 7 And then your customers ended up paying for that Q. 8 risk; isn't --9 They pay for electric, which includes a price that Α. 10 calculates risk. If you had picked a lower price, say 8 cents a 11 Q. 12 kilowatt hour, that would have been a risky move 13 for you; right? In 2014 --14 We don't pick the prices. We buy them on the open Α. 15 market based on what is quoted. Okay. But if you took a riskier position, a 16 Ο. 17 different hedge and offered a lower price, that would have been very risky for you; right? 18 19 Α. It would have been imprudent and risky for the 20 customers. 21 Why is that? 0. 22 Α. Because if you don't buy the winter and you go into 23 the winter naked, without that power, you're taking 2.4 a risk that prices could double on you. Budget 25 certainty is very important.

Q. Well, you would just go out of business at that point; right?

MS. HEWEY: Objection.

- A. Customers would go out of business.
- Q. Customers would get re-enrolled in the standard offer; right?

7 MS. HEWEY: Objection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A. The standard offer might have been expected to double.
- Q. Let's go back to the chart.

Is it really your position that you don't know what Electricity Maine charged its customers in 2014?

- A. I would know what Electricity Maine charged in 2014 if I looked at our rates individually.
- Q. But you're not prepared to answer that question right now?
- A. There were a lot of different customers paying a lot of different rates. Some of them were business, some of them were residential. Some of these dates would -- would have staggered periods of electricity. You can't take a twelve-month contract or a 24-month contract and only put a year of it in here and have it be relative to others that you're comparing that might have been built

- with six-month and then twelve-month or one-month variable contracts.
 - Q. I'm not asking you to compare to anyone else. I'm just asking you in 2014 if you took all of your customers' prices, added them up, and averaged them, would that be 8 cents per kilowatt hour.
- \parallel A. For what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. The kilowatt -- the price you charged your
 gustomers.
- 10 A. If I was pricing this three-year in this chart, the price would not be 8 cents.
 - Q. I'm not asking if you were coming up with pricing.

 I'm asking historically, is that the price you charged customers on average in 2014. Very simple question.
 - A. I don't know. I haven't -- I didn't put the chart together.
- 18 | Q. What's that?
- 19 A. I didn't put the chart together. I don't know if that's exactly what it would be.
- Q. So you're not aware of whether you saved consumers
 money or cost them money in 2014 in comparison to
 the standard offer.
- A. I think we did a heck of a good job in 2014
 covering the risk compared to what the prices were

137 on the open market, what other states were 1 2 experiencing, and the type of risk that the State 3 of Maine left Mainers exposed to. 4 Q. Let's go to 2015. This report lists your average 5 rate at 10 cents -- almost 11 cents per kilowatt 6 hour. 7 Is this report accurate --8 MS. HEWEY: Would that part be, by any 9 chance, on the previous page? 10 MR. DONAHUE: It's on Page 4. 11 MS. HEWEY: Okay. 12 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 13 Does Provider Power know what, on average, it Q. 14 charged its customers in 2015? 15 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 16 Where are the dates? Α. 17 Q. The bottom one is 2015. 18 Where does it say that? Α. 19 (Ms. Hewey pointed to the exhibit.) 20 Okay. So the top one is 2016, the bottom one is Α. 21 2015? 22 Q. Yes. 23 I would believe that that would be accurate. Α. 24 What was the standard offer during that time Q. 25 period?

- A. I don't know, but I would believe that this would
 be possibly part of the two-year rate. So I
 wouldn't know what I was pricing against. I don't
 know how they staggered their ten-months -- there
 was a ten-month piece, a two-month piece, then a
 renewal of another year as they were coming off a
 three-year blended average.
 - Q. Well, is the data that the PUC got from the ... I guess it's the Federal --
 - A. FERC.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. No, it's not FERC. The EIA. The Energy

Administration ... what's it called? Federal

Energy ... it's not FERC.

MR. AROMANDO: Energy Information Administration.

- Q. Are the prices that the PUC got from the EIA regarding the standard offer correct?
- A. I don't know. I would agree that they wouldn't make a mistake quoting prices wrong.
 - Q. So is it accurate that Electricity Maine on average and in the aggregate charged Maine customers 30 million dollars more than the standard offer in 2015?
- A. It's hard for me to tell because that price might not have been for a full year. I don't know how

139 1 they did it. 2 Q. But you agree that the average for your rate on 3 this chart is correct? 4 Α. I believe it's correct because the numbers look to 5 be in the range of what I believe to be true. 6 Q. Let's go to 2016, which is at the top of this page. 7 If you look at the average price they have for 8 Electricity Maine in 2016, it's 1043; correct? 9 I see it, yes. Α. 10 That's a correct number? Ο. 11 It looks to be around the right number. Α. 12 (Exhibit 33 was marked for 1.3 identification and was passed to the 14 deponent.) 15 Q. So Mr. Clavet, I'm going to represent to you that 16 this is data about, on the first page here, Kathy 17 Veilleux that we've collected from the information 18 that you have provided to us in discovery. We've 19 calculated the rates that you charged her in 2.0 comparison to the standard offer on a monthly 21 basis. 22 So if you look at the category that says EM 23 Rate ... do you see that category? 2.4 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 25 EM Bill?

140 1 Just before that. Q. 2 Α. Okay, yeah. 3 If you look down that column, would you agree that Ο. 4 that information accurately reflects the prices 5 that Electricity Maine charged Kathy Veilleux? 6 Α. Where are the -- which way do the dates go from the 7 top to the bottom? 8 They start in ... it looks like 2013. Q. 9 (Ms. Hewey pointed to the document.) 10 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 11 They look like -- do they jump all over? Α. Okay. 12 MS. HEWEY: What's the question going to be? 13 My question is going to be are the prices that we Q. 14 have listed on this spreadsheet, do those prices 15 accurately reflect the prices that Electricity 16 Maine charged Katherine Veilleux. 17 MR. AROMANDO: Objection. 18 MS. HEWEY: And how is he going to know that? 19 MR. DONAHUE: Because this is one of our 20 deposition topics. 21 MS. HEWEY: And he's supposed to have every 22 date of every rate memorized? There's no possible 23 If you show us a document that we produced 2.4 we'll tell you that those accurate. If you tell me 25 that you took these numbers directly off the

document that we produced, we will agree they're accurate. But you can't give a man a page with really tiny numbers - thousands of them - and ask him to testify whether they're accurate or not. That's just not fair.

MR. DONAHUE: I don't have any further questions on this. Let's take a quick break. You can show me those answers --

(Discussion off the record.)

(A brief recess was taken.)

* * * * * *

(Exhibit 34 marked for identification.)

CONTINUED EXAMINATION

14 | BY MR. DONAHUE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Mr. Clavet, I'm handing you Exhibit Number 34.

 These are your -- this is styled your Second

 Amended Answers to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories.

 These are for Electricity Maine and Provider Power.

 So you're answering for both Electricity Maine and

 Provider Power?
- A. Yes.
- MR. AROMANDO: Wait. Hold on --
- MS. KAYATTA: Electricity Maine also has a signature page. It's just not included here.
- Q. So you haven't signed this yet, but you intend to

sign it? 1 2 MS. HEWEY: He has signed it. I didn't send 3 that one to you. 4 MR. DONAHUE: Do you want to just look 5 through it real quick and see if it's -- if everything here is accurate and your statement 6 7 under oath and that this is what you intend to 8 sign? 9 THE DEPONENT: I have signed it. Do you want 10 me sign it again? 11 MR. DONAHUE: No, no. I want to make sure 12 this is the same as what you signed. 1.3 MS. HEWEY: All right. So this is the 14 document that you signed. This is the document that we looked at last week. 15 16 And then, rather than have him read it 17 and try to remember --18 THE DEPONENT: Yes, it's the -- we believe it 19 is the same. 2.0 This one is supposed to be signed by 21 Danny Kuehl. 22 MS. HEWEY: This is your page. This is the 23 one you signed on behalf of Provider Power. 2.4 (Ms. Hewey pointed at the document.)

THE DEPONENT: Oh, okay, I see. There's a

143 1 page for both of us. 2 So you have that one. I don't -- yes, I 3 do have it. 4 Q. This is the same document that you signed under 5 oath though? 6 Α. Yes. 7 O. So up front you asserted a bunch of general 8 objections and objected on the basis of the 9 attorney-client privilege, work product, and some 10 other privilege, you have asserted that our definitions and instructions are vague and 11 12 ambiguous, and you assert that these objections 1.3 should apply to all of the answers. To all of your 14 interrogatory responses. 15 Is that correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 O. So let's look at Number 9. The question asks 18 [reading] please identify the amount Electricity 19 Maine spent on marketing each month to all 2.0 customers from formation through December 31, 2017. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Are you asserting the attorney-client privilege 23 with respect to your answer to that interrogatory? 2.4 MR. AROMANDO: I'm going to object here. The 25 lawyers signed for the objections. If there's a

144 1 hang-up about the objections, counsel would deal 2 with that. 3 MR. DONAHUE: If he's asserting an objection 4 I'm entitled to know if he has an answer. 5 MS. HEWEY: That's why the lawyers sign the 6 objections. MR. AROMANDO: -- a legal issue. 7 8 MS. HEWEY: If you think that we have not 9 fully responded, then your remedy is to ask us what 10 11 (Simultaneous speaking.) 12 MS. HEWEY: If there's an issue with 1.3 objections, that's something you go -- you would 14 discuss with the lawyers. 15 MR. DONAHUE: Thank you for --16 MS. HEWEY: -- an issue with the facts, just 17 go ahead and talk to him about them. 18 MR. DONAHUE: I want to make sure -- one of 19 the reasons that he hasn't answered this fully for 2.0 three months is not because of the attorney-client 21 privilege. 22 MS. HEWEY: It's not. 23 MR. DONAHUE: Thank you.

Initially, in your first interrogatories, you

designated Defendants 5176 to this interrogatory

2.4

25

Q.

- Number 9. I'm going to mark that.
- 2 (Exhibit 35 was marked for
- 3 identification and was passed to the
- 4 deponent.)
 - Q. This was produced to us - Exhibit 35 - as Defendants 5176.

Going back to Interrogatory Number 9, which asks you to identify on a month-to-month basis how much Electricity Maine and Provider Power spent on marketing, you designated this document. originally designated this document. Is that correct?

1.3 Α. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

22

23

2.4

- Would you agree that this document does not provide Ο. a month-to-month analysis of how much Electricity Maine and Provider Power spent on marketing?
- 17 Α. Yes. Yes, I agree.
- 18 Can you tell me what the document is? Q.
- 19 Α. This document talks about customer acquisition 2.0 costs for three years in Maine, New Hampshire, and 21
 - Q. So it says in 2011 Provider Power spent 55,000 dollars approximately on marketing for customer acquisition?
- 25 Yes, that's what it says. Α.

Massachusetts.

ı	1990				
		1 46			
1	Q.	In 2011 Provider Power was only Electricity Maine?			
2	Α.	Yes.			
3	Q.	Okay. In 2012/2013 Provider Power included			
4		Electricity New Hampshire?			
5	A.	Yes.			
6	Q.	So is there something somewhere that shows a			
7		breakdown between what was spent on Electricity			
8		Maine acquisition versus ENH acquisition?			
9	A.	I think we'd have something.			
10	Q.	But you would agree that this doesn't show			
11		distinguish between those two entities:			
12		Electricity Maine and ENH Power			
13	A.	Correct.			
14	Q.	for the years 2012 and 2013?			
15	Α.	Yes.			
16	Q.	So if you look at 34 now, you have amended your			
17		response to designate 4988; is that correct?			
18		Defendants 4988?			
19	Α.	I don't know what that means.			
20	Q.	It's a spreadsheet that you produced in discovery.			
21	A.	Oh. Yes.			
22		MR. DONAHUE: I'm going to bring that			
23		document up now. We don't have it here in paper			
24		form, but we'll provide it to Chris.			
25		(Exhibit 36 identified.)			
•					

1.3

2.0

2.4

(The exhibit was displayed on a TV screen.)

MR. DONAHUE: If you look at the TV here, this is 4988. Now, there's that 3 on the end there. I don't want to get things confused --

MR. CUMMINS: So you have on the screen now the documents corresponding to 4988 and 4989?

- Q. Okay. So tell us what this document -- tell us about the data contained in this document.
- A. It looks like you have captured advertising,
 events, meetings, membership, Power to Help,
 printing, sponsorship, and other for sections or
 years for either Electricity Maine, ENH Power ... I
 don't -- well, you have Provider Power up there,
 which might be Provider Power Massachusetts.
- Q. Okay. So is this actual costs or are these projected costs?

THE DEPONENT: Is this a document that we sent you?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

THE DEPONENT: I'm looking for a title in the spreadsheet. Is it just called accounting?

MR. DONAHUE: I don't know. This is why I need your help figuring it out.

A. Ask me the question again, please.

148 1 Q. Are these -- is this spreadsheet a -- does this 2 capture actual costs or projected costs? 3 I can't tell from there, but seeing that they're Α. 4 carried out a few decimals, I'm going to think it's 5 more actual than projection. MR. AROMANDO: Katherine points out that the 6 7 title would be in the load file. 8 MS. KAYATTA: Yeah, it just doesn't show up 9 when you open the native, but the original file 10 name would be in our production. But someone that was familiar with -- like 11 Q. 12 yourself, with Provider Power's business operations 1.3 would be able to tell what this spreadsheet was all 14 about? 15 Α. Yes. 16 O. Okay. So I'm going to look at the next document 17 you designated. That's 4989. (Discussion off the record.) 18 19 (Exhibit 37 identified.) 2.0 (The exhibit was displayed on a TV 21 screen.) 22 Q. So this is another document that you have produced 23 in discovery. It shows on a monthly basis

in discovery. It shows on a monthly basis
beginning in January of 2014 numbers that appear
for advertising and other promotional costs.

2.4

149 Yes, I agree. 1 Α. 2 Q. It looks like -- can you tell me whether these are 3 actual costs or projected costs? 4 Α. I can't. I think they're actuals because of the 5 decimals. Who was in charge of marketing budgets at 6 Q. 7 Electricity Maine and Provider Power? It was something that we -- that myself and Kevin 8 Α. 9 Dean and Noble, our supply company, would talk 10 about and we would have to get approved by them. And who would handle the actual -- the budgeting 11 Q. 12 process. Who would put together projections? 13 We would have some projections put together by Α. 14 Candace Sanborn later on. Early on, it was myself 15 and our supply company, because they would only let 16 us use a certain amount of money per year to do 17 marketing and advertising. Okay. And then what about later on. 18 Q. 19 Α. Later on, it would have been -- what do you ---- early on, first of all. 20 Q. '11. 2011, early 2012. 21 Α. 22 Q. So after that time period who was in charge of 23 creating budgets? 2.4 Kevin and I would create the budget and get it Α. 25 approved by Noble, which is also like a bank.

150 1 supply company. 2 Q. Just you and Kevin? 3 Yeah. Α. 4 Q. So you believe that these are the actual moneys 5 spent versus projections? 6 Α. Yes. 7 This is 2014? 8 This document goes through October. Q. 9 another document that would complete 2014? 10 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 11 I think that is all of 2014. Α. 12 Well, there's -- the year doesn't end in October, Q. 13 does it? 14 No, but the marketing might have. Α. 15 Q. So there was no money spent --16 Α. We might have spent what we had for the year. 17 Q. Okay. So there was just no marketing --18 Right. Α. 19 Q. Okay. So for November --2.0 There was a time that that happened. We would Α. 21 spend what we had, we would do our campaign for the 22 year, we would be done, and maybe wait until next 23 year to see what we'd get for another allocation to 2.4 spend. 25 So after October of 2014, did Provider Power or

		1 51	
1		Electricity Maine spend any more money on	
2		marketing?	
3	Α.	It looks like we didn't here.	
4	Q.	What about in 2015. Were there marketing campaigns	
5		then?	
6	Α.	I think there was some more in '15, but it would	
7		have been less.	
8	Q.	Can you tell me what approximately how much	
9		money Provider Power and Electricity Maine spent in	
10		2015 on marketing?	
11	Α.	I can't tell you how much, but I would say if it	
12		was a hundred thousand dollars that would be a lot.	
13	Q.	And it was less than what you spent in 2014?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	What about 2016.	
16	Α.	We would not have been spending much of anything,	
17		if anything, in 2016 as we were preparing to sell	
18		the business.	
19	Q.	So less than 2015	
20	Α.	Yes. I would say yes.	
21	Q.	All right. So let's look	
22		(Exhibit 38 identified.)	
23		MR. DONAHUE: This is Exhibit 38. This is	
24		Defendants 5014 with some extra stuff on the end.	
25		(The exhibit was displayed on a TV	

152 1 screen.) 2 Q. This is another document, Mr. Clavet, that you have designated in response to Interrogatory Number 9. 3 Can you tell me whether this is -- it looks 4 5 to be a budget, but can you confirm to me whether 6 this is a -- reflects money actually spent or 7 whether this is projections? The title to this was what? 8 Α. It -- Provider Power advertising 2013. 9 Q. 10 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) It looks like what we spent in '13 by radio 11 Α. 12 station. I would think it was actual. 1.3 Could you scroll down so I can see if there's 14 more than just radio on there? 15 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 16 MR. DONAHUE: Want me to keep scrolling? 17 THE DEPONENT: Please. (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 18 19 Α. I just want to see the conclusion of this document so I can see if it's ... total Maine radio. 2.0 21 I think it's actual. It says "2013 22 campaigns" on there. I don't know why it doesn't 23 say actuals or budget, but I believe campaign would have been actual. There could be some in there 2.4

that was optional, but that looks like what I was

used to seeing in how you would buy radio slots over a certain number of days and you'd buy the frequency and they would decide where to put them in.

- Q. So you think this was an actual -- these are actual costs --
- A. I believe -- it looks like an actual.
 - Q. We talked earlier about how Electricity Maine and
 Provider Power's marketing strategy changed in 2013

 -- spring of 2013 or thereabouts.
 - A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Q. How did that impact how much money you spent on marketing?

MS. HEWEY: Objection, form.

A. We would determine what different venues we wanted to use partly by what was available and partly what we had for a message. And when I say "partly available," somewheres in there there was an election year, somewheres in there there was some major events, and you couldn't afford television. You couldn't even afford radio. So those were holes that would have hit somewheres in this. That's what drove what we could afford to do. Because if you look at what it costs per customer, you've got to then compare it to what they're

charging. There's times of the year - for example, the holidays - where you can't really afford a lot of the easy advertising because all of the retailers are eating it up.

So it's a blend of what's available, what's affordable, and what the message is. But as the PUC was changing standard offer and it became very uncertain as to what was going to happen, we would have slowed down.

Q. So once the PUC and you agreed to stop advertising on price, you did less advertising?

MR. AROMANDO: Objection.

- A. No. When the PUC changed the standard offer to be a system that we couldn't have any certainty of anymore, us and our supplier got nervous. They are the ones that control a lot of purse strings on what we could spend.
- Q. So when you could not compete with the standard offer you did less advertising?
- A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

MR. AROMANDO: Objection.

- Q. Who else would be familiar with this document?
- A. Kevin Dean would be familiar with it, because he would have been sensitive to the budget and what we were able to get from Noble and the changes in the

155 1 standard offer and what we wanted to spend money 2 on. 3 O. All right. Can you tell us a little bit about ... 4 let's see. (Exhibit 39 marked for identification.) 5 (The exhibit was displayed on a TV 6 7 screen.) 8 This is Defendants 5022. Q. 9 Do you recognize this document? 10 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 11 That's an Electricity New Hampshire marketing Α. 12 program. 1.3 This looks like a budget. Q. 14 That looks more like a budget because Katie Hebert Α. 15 is the gal at Channel 13 that would have proposed 16 things to us. 17 Q. So this document is not going to tell us how much 18 you actually -- Provider Power actually spent on a 19 monthly basis? 20 Probably more what they wanted to sell us. Α. 21 And the same with this print budget? 0. 22 Α. Yes. 23 It's a budget, not --Q. 2.4 That looks like a budget because of the contact Α. 25 names in there. It looks like we were putting

156 1 together some estimates. 2 MR. DONAHUE: Okay. Let's go off the record 3 for a second. (Discussion off the record.) 4 5 Q. This is what you have designated in your interrogatory response as indicative of how much 6 7 you spent on marketing. 8 (A document was displayed on a TV 9 screen.) 10 Can you explain that response? 0. 11 MR. CUMMINS: You haven't identified --12 MR. DONAHUE: Sorry. What is it? 1.3 MR. CUMMINS: Exhibit 40. 14 MR. DONAHUE: Exhibit 40. That's Defendants 15 5451. 16 (Exhibit 40 identified.) 17 Α. I'm trying to see what the titles are. What was 18 the title of this besides "general?" 19 MS. HEWEY: Can you find out what time it 2.0 goes from and to? It looks to me like this is 21 after he was gone. 22 MR. DONAHUE: He's designated --23 MS. HEWEY: He's already testified that he 2.4 can't testify about anything after he sold the 25 company. How could he possibly talk about --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

the columns and rows are.

157 MR. DONAHUE: Then why did he designate it in his interrogatory response? MS. HEWEY: The interrogatory responses are on behalf of both of them. So the ones that were relevant to Provider Power, he's signing on behalf The ones that were relevant to Electricity Maine that Mr. Kuehl signed --Do you know what this is? I would just say it looks like a sub-accounting of expenses and accounts payable in the general ledger for items. But I can't tell what the project ID number might reference. But it's not ... Does it have anything to do with advertising or marketing? Without seeing more of a description on the top, I can't quite tell. It might be a general ledger. And some of those dates are late into '16, where I would have lost my authority over them. So would you agree with me there's no way that someone who's not familiar with Electricity Maine's accounting or Provider Power's accounting could figure this thing out? I think you need some more designations as to what

Someone from Provider Power or Electricity Maine

158 1 would be --2 Α. Yes. 3 -- could figure that out? Ο. 4 Α. I think so. 5 Q. But if I were just looking at this, there's no way I could figure it out? 6 7 MS. HEWEY: I have ultimate faith in you, Mr. 8 Donahue. 9 If you're familiar with these type of accounting Α. 10 systems, you might; but otherwise I wouldn't think 11 you would. 12 And it would be helpful to be familiar with Q. 1.3 Electricity Maine and Provider Power accounting 14 systems? 15 Α. What was the title? 16 Ο. It doesn't have a title, I don't believe. title is Defendants' 5451. 17 18 I'm curious as to whether or not there was more of Α. 19 a descriptive title as to what this information was 2.0 and it's just not showing on this page. If that's 21 -- if there is no more information, then yes, it's 22 difficult to read. 23 THE DEPONENT: Could you go across for more 2.4 columns? 25 MR. DONAHUE: Over here?

		1 59				
1		THE DEPONENT: Yeah. Commissions. There you				
2		go.				
3	Α.	Those are commissions.				
4	Q.	So what does that mean?				
5	Α.	That means that there are there's some				
6		there you go. Those are expenses in a ledger and				
7		some of them are commissions. I am going to say,				
8		because of the size of those dollars, that those				
9		are commercial accounts.				
10	Q.	Okay. And that would be commissions paid to the				
11	sales agents					
12	Α.	commercial sales guys specializing in the				
13		commercial business.				
14	Q.	So let's look at Defendants 5452. It's Exhibit 41.				
15		(Exhibit 41 identified.)				
16		(The exhibit was displayed on a TV				
17		screen.)				
18	Α.	2017 and '18. So I wouldn't be familiar with some				
19		of that stuff.				
20	THE DEPONENT: Can you go over to the end					
21		here again and see if we get more?				
22		(The deponent looked at the exhibit.)				
23	Α.	These are				
24	Q.	So if anything, this document is relevant to what				
25	happened in the past couple years and not anything					

160 1 that happened in --2 Α. I would say so, Ben, because of the dates. 3 don't look like very large balances. It looks like 4 some internal sub-accounting of things like rents 5 and electricity payments for the building, 6 cleaning, things like that. If you're running it 7 alongside the commissions, these are really small. 8 I'm just saying they're small balances for a large 9 business. 10 So there's no document here that you designated Ο. 11 that covers marketing in 2012 and 2011; is that 12 correct? 13 Just the acquisition costs and the total that you Α. 14 showed me on Number 35. 15 Q. Right, with the exception of Exhibit 35, you 16 haven't produced any documents to indicate how much 17 Electricity Maine and Provider Power spent in 2012? 18 Except for the -- oh. '12. I'm sorry. That was Α. 19 '14 perhaps. 20 Ο. And '13. 21 But you don't have anything for '12. Α. 22 Q. Are you aware -- let's look at Exhibit 35 real 23 quick if you guys have it. 2.4 (The deponent looked at a document.)

MS. HEWEY: We do. We're ready.

- Q. You have total marketing costs at -- for 2012 at 2,685,000. Is there any document available that would break down marketing spent in New Hampshire versus marketing spent in Maine?
- A. This breaks down New Hampshire and Maine.
- Q. But only by enrollments.
- A. Well, there would have been our total costs divided by enrollments to get the customer acquisition cost.
- Q. Okay. So if I could do some algebra, you could get to the total amount spent in 2012 in Maine --

MS. HEWEY: -- don't think you need to go all the way to algebra. I think it's pretty basic arithmetic, right?

- A. I would say that if you took the Maine costs and subtracted the New Hampshire costs, you'd get it because it's not showing -- we didn't do anything in Massachusetts at that point.
- Q. Did Electricity Maine or Provider Power, for its operations in Maine, create any documents that reflect what it spent on marketing in 2012 besides Defendants --
- A. I think we would have. We would have accounting.
- Q. You'd have accounting, perhaps a profit and loss?
- 25 | A. Yes.

		162	
1	Q.	That would have a breakdown for marketing?	
2	Α.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Q. You'd also have invoices from various media	
4		outlets?	
5	Α.	Yes.	
б	Q.	And those would be in Provider Power's and	
7	Electricity Maine's possession?		
8	Α.	Yes.	
9		MS. HEWEY: Well	
10	A.	Spark's possession, yes.	
11	Q.	But those haven't been designated in response to	
12		Interrogatory Number 9.	
13	Α.	No, this is how we've shown it.	
14	Q.	You're familiar with Electricity Maine's marketing	
15		in 2012; right?	
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Were you keeping track of what you spent on a	
18		spreadsheet?	
19	Α.	Yes. That's how this was produced, I'm sure. It	
20		was this is a total.	
21	Q.	But there's something that would break that down	
22		further?	
23	Α.	It would probably would have been broken down by	
24		month spent.	
25	Q.	And the same for 2011?	

163 Yes, I think so. 1 Α. 2 MR. DONAHUE: Let's take a quick break. 3 (A brief recess was taken.) 4 5 CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. DONAHUE: 6 7 Mr. Clavet, you testified earlier that there was a 0. 8 point at which Provider Power has no further 9 knowledge of Electricity Maine's operations as they 10 exist today. Can you tell me when that date is? 11 12 Well, when we sold the business we -- both Kevin Α. 1.3 Dean and I stayed on as consultants, but in more of 14 a limited capacity. We didn't have access to all 15 the same stuff that we did, obviously, when we were 16 owners. And some of the functions were moved to 17 Houston. Like some of the accounting and a lot of the purchase decisions. We didn't get to see the 18 19 cost of power anymore and the purchasing decisions. 2.0 So a lot of the authority and the control and 21 folks, and the jobs actually, left and went to 22 Houston. So that's why I say some of that stuff I

Q. And when you say "you," you mean Provider Power?

really needed it.

wouldn't have had access to and wouldn't have

23

2.4

- A. I'm speaking myself and Kevin Dean because we were management that would have had access to it before, not to be confused with the fact that Provider Power is an entity itself. I just wouldn't have seen some of the documents after we sold the business.
- Q. So when you speak for Provider Power, when was Provider Power's last day of involvement in Electricity Maine's business operations?
- A. When we sold it. When we sold Electricity Maine and ENH Power, Provider Power didn't do anything more.
- Q. So Provider Power -- Electricity Maine alone is going to have knowledge as to what happened after Spark's acquisition?
- A. They'll have everything.
- O. Who is Muriel LeClerc?

1.3

2.0

2.4

A. Muriel LeClerc - it's a French name - is the lady who is in charge of things like licenses and recording certificates with FERC on a regular basis; the disclosure documents and keeping the license and application with each utility in each state. So it's more of a recording, filing, and following to make sure everything is in its proper place regulatory and -- regulatory-wise. She still

165 1 works for Spark. 2 MR. DONAHUE: What are we at, Bob? 3 MR. CUMMINS: This will be 42. (Exhibit 42 was marked for 4 5 identification and was passed to the 6 deponent.) 7 (The deponent looked at the exhibit.) 8 Mr. Clavet, this is an e-mail from Muriel LeClerc Q. 9 to a variety of people. Now, her e-mail address 10 says she's working for Provider Power; is that 11 correct? 12 I think that she's got an old e-mail address. Α. 13 So Provider Power is not involved in any way in the Q. 14 business operations of Electricity Maine today? 15 Α. No. 16 Have you seen this e-mail before? Ο. 17 Α. No. 18 So you're not familiar with any of the contents? Q. 19 Α. No. 2.0 Electricity Maine will have knowledge of this Q. 21 e-mail? 22 Α. I would assume so, because Muriel still works 23 there. 2.4 Okay. So the reason it says "Provider Power" is Q. 25 just because she hasn't changed her --

2.0

2.4

A. She may not have changed it. She -- you'd think she would have.

MR. CUMMINS: What's the Bates number on that?

MS. HEWEY: It doesn't have one.

- Q. Mr. Clavet, can you tell me about any other litigation that Provider Power has been involved in?
- A. Provider Power has been involved in ... I'm not sure when Provider Power was established, but when I say "Provider Power" I'm thinking about the energy companies themselves. So Provider Power may have been involved in a contract dispute with Freedom Energy. That was pretty early on; right out of the gate. Like 2011-ish shall. Then we were -- Provider Power was involved in a dispute about an ex-employee of Freedom named Frank Dumont.
- Q. What were the outcomes of those litigations?
- A. The outcome of the contract dispute was -- we were trying to cancel the contract and we weren't allowed to cancel the contract with Freedom Energy. So we paid them for services over a two- or three-year period. We were into the first year, and I think it was a three-year contract.

Was there a judgment entered against Provider Power

		167			
1		in that case?			
2	Α.	I'm not sure how it works.			
3	Q.	You lost the case.			
4	Α.	We lost the ability to cancel the contract. We had			
5		to pay in full.			
6	Q.	And that case was here in Maine?			
7	Α.	That case would have been here in Maine, yes.			
8	Q.	What about what was the outcome of the case in			
9		New Hampshire?			
10	Α.	A. That case was in New Hampshire and we lost that			
11	case.				
12	Q.	Was there a verdict or a judgment that			
13	Α.	Yeah, there was a verdict for something less than a			
14		million bucks.			
15	Q.	Was Provider Power accused of destroying documents			
16		in that case?			
17	A. I don't recall if there was any destruction of				
18		documents in that case.			
19	Q. Was the jury in that case instructed that you had				
20	destroyed evidence?				
21	A. I don't recall if they were instructed. I				
22		certainly have copies of it, but I don't recall.			
23		It's been a couple years since I dealt with that.			
24	Q.	Did you destroy any documents in this case?			
25	Α.	No.			

1 MR. DONAHUE: I think I'm all set for now, 2. reserving our right to open the deposition on the 3 topics for which the witness was not prepared. 4 MS. HEWEY: Which topics are those? 5 MR. CUMMINS: While Ben's doing that, we need 6 the documents that support the calculation of 7 Exhibit 35. 8 MS. HEWEY: I can't help you with that 9 because we don't have any documents. 10 MR. CUMMINS: Well, the witness has testified 11 that there are documents that provide the basis for 12 these numbers. He testified to that about 17 1.3 minutes ago. 14 MS. HEWEY: All I'm telling you --15 MR. AROMANDO: We've agreed to go back and 16 look to see if there's anything else. MR. CUMMINS: I'm sure you will, but this 17 18 witness is the Provider Power person and is a 19 separate defendant in this case. It's, quite 2.0 frankly, inconceivable that there are not documents 21 that provide the catalyst for these numbers, but that's just my pitch. 22 23 MS. HEWEY: Are you looking for --2.4 MR. DONAHUE: -- my amended notice of 25 deposition.

2.4

MR. AROMANDO: It was Exhibit 1.

MR. CUMMINS: Why don't we take a look at that and provide a letter after you've had a chance to reflect. Then they'll know exactly the subject matters.

MR. AROMANDO: You must have something in mind.

MS. HEWEY: What I'm going to say is if there are areas that you don't believe were fully explored, I'm going to give you the opportunity to explore them now. If you don't explore them now, then I'm going to take the position that you have no right to go further. I'm just telling you that.

MR. CUMMINS: The problem is -- the issue is not whether or not they can be explored. The issue, and the reason that we were going to adjourn, was that the witness clearly manifested that he had not been properly prepared for this deposition pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6). Now, what I'm suggesting is we've covered a lot of turf, which we've pursued in response to John's suggestion, which was a good one, without waiving the opportunity to raise those issues. So what I'm suggesting is let's adjourn the deposition now and we will then provide you with a delineation of

1.3

2.0

2.4

those matters that we believe he was not prepared properly to deal with. It's not a matter -- the Holy Spirit hasn't descended on him since we took the break at lunchtime, so I don't think he's going to be any better prepared now than he was at that time.

So we will give you a delineation of that within the next day or so.

MS. HEWEY: I'm not going to --

MR. DONAHUE: Let me state my objection on the record and then we can --

MS. HEWEY: I don't agree that he was not properly prepared and I'm not agreeing to the adjournment of the deposition. So if there are additional areas that you want to talk to him about, you should do that now.

MR. DONAHUE: -- go over everything we did this morning where he had no idea when certain documents were created or what time certain advertisements ran --

MS. HEWEY: I want you to do whatever you feel that you need to do to finish this deposition.

MR. DONAHUE: He testified, when we started this deposition, that he had done almost nothing to prepare. He had talked to no one and he had

reviewed only the terms of service.

1.3

2.0

2.4

MS. HEWEY: He did not say he reviewed only the terms of service but --

MR. DONAHUE: We can go back --

MS. HEWEY: -- also point out -- sorry. Didn't mean to interrupt you.

MR. DONAHUE: I feel that he was unprepared to answer deposition subject matter 7. He had no idea --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. DONAHUE: -- I will identify to you that there were numerous exhibits, examples of Electricity Maine marketing materials, that Mr. Clavet had no idea what they were, when they were produced, when they were provided, how they were distributed. I think that's clearly within the subject matter of Number 7. He had no idea on a lot of things that were laid out in Number 7.

MR. CUMMINS: You may not agree, but we're going to adjourn it. You can object to it. We'll send you a letter and then at some point it will get resolved. Let's not perpetuate this. Nobody's going to win this war of words. I suggest we adjourn, you'll object to the adjournment, and we will give you a letter delineating those areas on

1.3

2.0

2.4

which we think he was not properly prepared.

MR. DONAHUE: I'm just going to put a little more on the record.

MR. CUMMINS: Ben, you're spinning your wheels, but go ahead.

MR. DONAHUE: So if you feel that that is inaccurate, Melissa, you -- if he doesn't know what this is, and this is clearly Electricity Maine marketing materials, please explain why you think he was properly prepared.

MS. HEWEY: I feel he is properly prepared because he is the person who ran the company, he knows most about the company. What the rule requires that we do is identify the person who knows the most and have that person prepare as much as he can. Putting that out there with no date and asking him where it was published, how would he know? There's no preparation that this man could do now that he doesn't have those documents anymore. That's my position.

MR. CUMMINS: Melissa, let me explain something to you. What you just said about your obligation is not correct. You can produce the janitor and he could be required to prepare himself for the deposition. That's the obligation. He's

1.3

2.0

2.4

designated to testify on behalf of the entity. And in my 50-whatever years, I've seen a lot of people who are basically brain dead but who got tuned up for a 30(b)(6) deposition. This witness was not tuned up for a 30(b)(6) deposition on certain subjects. We'll delineate those in particular for you. Let's adjourn now and end the debate.

MR. AROMANDO: Well, we join Provider Power's position. We think there's been a full and fair opportunity. The witness was one of the two principals of the company. These topics are very general. To throw out specific documents and ask chapter and verse when you didn't provide them ahead of time or reference --

MR. DONAHUE: You also had the opportunity to object and you didn't object --

MR. CUMMINS: John, you don't represent

Provider Power, so your observation is helpful, but
it's not instructive.

So why don't we adjourn.

MR. DONAHUE: Before we adjourn, I'd like to just go through a couple other subject matters that I think the witness was not prepared to answer.

In addition to Number 7, the witness had limited knowledge of re-enrollment procedures;

2.

1.3

2.0

2.4

specifically topic Numbers 11 and 13. That's pretty specific. He couldn't distinguish between -- tell me whether the notice that I presented him and designated as an exhibit was similar to other re-enrollment notices.

MS. HEWEY: I disagree with that characterization of his testimony.

MR. DONAHUE: Well, the record will reflect what he said, but clearly if he was not prepared to tell me which renewal notices were sent at what times and whether or not the renewal notices were the same as other ones, he was not properly prepared.

MS. HEWEY: I disagree that that's what he said.

MR. DONAHUE: Well, would you agree with me that that is -- if he's not prepared to tell me those things, then he --

MS. HEWEY: No. I disagree that your questions -- I think what he said was if renewal notices were sent out in advance they were all the same except for the names and other stuff like that.

MR. DONAHUE: Well, that's what I think he was unprepared to testify to in addition to the

```
175
             stuff that we're going to notify you about by
 1
 2
             e-mail.
 3
                         That's all I have.
 4
                    MS. HEWEY: Okay.
 5
                  (The record was closed at 4:27 P.M.)
 б
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Christina J. Duvernay, a court reporter and Notary Public commissioned by the State of Maine, hereby certify that the within-named deponent was sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the aforementioned cause of action.

I further certify that this deposition was stenographically reported by me and later reduced to print through Computer-Aided Transcription, and the foregoing is a full and true record of the testimony given by the deponent to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the above-named cause of action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand and affix my seal this 15th day of May, 2018.

Dated at South Portland, Maine.

Christina J. Duvernay
Christina J. Duvernay
Notary Public

My commission expires December 7, 2020

1.3

2.4

	177			
1	DEPONENT SIGNATURE PAGE			
2				
3	CAPTION: VEILLEUX ET AL V. ELECTRICITY MAINE, LLC ET AL			
4	DEPONENT: EMILE L. CLAVET			
5				
6	I,, the deponent,			
7	acknowledge that I have read Pages through			
8	inclusive of the transcript of my deposition taken on			
9	April 30, 2018. I further acknowledge that:			
10	(check appropriate language)			
11	the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the answers given by me			
12	to the questions recorded therein.			
13	OR			
14	except for the changes noted on the attached errata sheet, the same is a true,			
15	correct, and complete transcription of the answers given by me to the questions			
16	recorded therein.			
17				
18	EMILE L. CLAVET			
19				
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me			
21	this, 2018.			
22				
23	Notary Public			
24	My commission expires:			
25				

	178			
1	ERRATA PAGE			
2	CAPTION: VEILLEUX ET AL V. ELECTRICITY MAINE, LLC ET AL DEPONENT: EMILE L. CLAVET DATE OF DEPOSITION: 4/30/18			
3				
4	THE ABOVE TRANSCRIPT SHOULD INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING CHANGES:			
5	Page: Change:			
6	Line: Reason:			
7	Hine: Reason:			
8	Page: Change:			
9	Line: Reason:			
10	Page: Change:			
11	Line: Reason:			
12				
13	Page: Change:			
14	Line: Reason:			
15	Page: Change:			
16	Line: Reason:			
17				
18	Page: Change:			
19	Line: Reason:			
20	Page: Change:			
21	Line: Reason:			
22				
23				
24	EMILE L. CLAVET			
25				

- 0 -	104:15; 105:16, 22; 107:2;	207.653.0301 [1] 1:24	- 8 -
-	108:18; 110:6; 114:21;	24-month [2] 130:9;	
0000005 [1] 4:17	116:16; 145:22; 146:1;	135:23	8.49 [2] 113:8, 10
0000006 [1] 4:17	149:21; 160:11; 162:25	24th [1] 28:11	
0000019 [1] 4:14	2011-ish [1] 166:15	2665 [2] 3:23; 107:1	- A -
0000020 [1] 2:23	2012 [41] 7:2; 10:17; 18:5;	2666 [2] 3:22; 105:15	- A -
0000021 [1] 2:23	27:2; 30:10, 17; 37:2;	2713 [2] 3:19; 98:23	A.M. [4]. 4:46
0000263 [1] 2:25	40:5, 6; 47:21; 48:6; 95:6,	2722 [2] 3:13; 94:11	A.M. [1] 1:16
0000564 [1] 2:17	7, 22, 23, 25; 96:2, 5, 9,	2730 [3] 3:10; 92:23	abandoning [1] 54:14
0000566 [1] 2:17	12; 97:17, 20; 98:5, 10, 21;	2736 [2] 2:21; 46:13	ability [3] 9:8; 167:4;
0001120 [1] 4:8	99:1; 100:5, 8; 102:11, 16;	2738 [2] 3:11; 93:12	176:11
0001120 [1] 4:8	103:6; 111:10; 146:3, 14;	2761 [2] 2:19; 45:4	able [14] 9:14; 26:19;
0001121 [1] 4:8	149:21; 160:11, 17; 161:1,	2762 [2] 3:8; 90:17	47:25; 48:3, 4; 68:21;
	11, 21; 162:15	2792 [2] 3:16; 96:8	81:23; 84:9, 14; 111:3, 21;
0001125 [1] 4:3	2013 [61] 27:2; 28:7;	2827 [2] 3:14; 96:1	127:11; 148:13; 154:25
0001127 [1] 4:11	29:16; 30:6, 24; 32:2;	2845 [2] 3:17; 97:16	ABOVE [1] 178:4
0001129 [1] 4:11	33:24; 34:13, 14; 43:23;	2878 [2] 3:20; 100:24	above [2] 59:21; 129:6
0001137 [1] 4:6	45:25; 48:9; 50:8; 51:15;	2010 [2] 0.20, 100.24	above-named [1] 176:13
0001138 [1] 4:6	59:7, 8, 23; 61:10, 17;		absolute [1] 82:23
0003717 [1] 3:7	62:18; 63:12; 74:4; 75:1,	- 3 -	access [5] 13:24; 68:21;
0003720 [1] 3:7			163:14, 23; 164:2
	10, 23; 77:10, 19; 86:19;	30-second [1] 43:22	accompany [1] 119:23
- 1 -	87:3, 22; 88:17; 100:21,		account [4] 17:8, 19;
	25; 102:8, 12; 103:1, 6, 13;	- 4 -	69:16; 121:25
10-cent [1] 58:19	104:15; 108:18; 110:3;		accounting [8] 147:22;
100,000 [3] 38:11, 23; 39:5	111:9, 11; 112:4, 14, 20,	4988 [5] 5:2; 146:17, 18;	157:21; 158:9, 13; 161:23,
1043 [1] 139:8	25; 113:3; 114:22; 117:8;	147:4, 7	24; 163:17
1120 [1] 113:16	140:8; 146:3, 14; 152:9,	4989 [3] 5:4; 147:7;	accounts [3] 24:14;
1121 [1] 113:16	21; 153:9, 10	148:17	157:10; 159:9
1124 [1] 108:3	2013-200 [1] 64:11		accurate [22] 29:8; 35:3;
1127 [1] 114:7	2014 [32] 72:5, 8, 19;	- 5 -	52:3; 62:10; 66:1, 12, 13,
1129 [1] 114:7	120:9; 121:2; 123:7, 22;	-	23, 24; 67:1; 74:20;
1137 [1] 109:17	128:4, 10, 24; 129:16, 22,	5-second [1] 28:25	111:23; 116:25; 131:22;
13th [1] 88:11	23; 130:4, 14, 22; 131:6,	50-whatever [1] 173:2	132:13; 137:7, 23; 138:20;
15th [1] 176:16	25; 133:18; 134:13;	5014 [2] 5:5; 151:24	140:24; 141:2, 4; 142:6
16-cv-571-NT [1] 1:7	135:13, 14; 136:4, 14, 22,	5022 [2] 5:7; 155:8	accurately [2] 140:4, 15
.,	24; 148:24; 150:7, 9, 11,		accused [1] 167:15
- 2 -	25; 151:13	5171 [2] 2:13; 20:9	acknowledge [2] 177:7, 9
- 2 -	2015 [13] 71:18, 19; 119:7,	5172 [1] 20:11	acknowledging [1] 77:25
0.005.000.[4], 404.0	15; 131:6; 137:4, 14, 17,	5175 [1] 2:13	acquire [3] 91:4, 15;
2,685,000 [1] 161:2	21; 138:23; 151:4, 10, 19	51752 [1] 20:10	113:12
2010 [4] 23:15; 24:13, 25;	2016 [15] 67:18, 24; 70:16,	5176 [2] 144:25; 145:6	acquired [1] 67:25
25:3	19; 71:1, 2, 9, 12, 14;	5451 [3] 5:8; 156:15;	acquiring [2] 109:20, 24
2011 [49] 15:24; 25:7;	129:21; 137:20; 139:6, 8;	158:17	. •
26:21; 29:13, 14; 30:8;	151:15, 17	5452 [2] 5:10; 159:14	Acquisition [1] 4:24
38:14, 25; 39:8; 44:16;	2017 [4] 18:5; 70:5;	55,000 [1] 145:22	acquisition [7] 145:19, 24;
45:4, 14; 46:2, 4, 20; 47:4,	143:20; 159:18		146:8; 160:13; 161:8;
16; 81:1; 89:23; 90:13, 18;	2018 [9] 1:15, 16; 67:8, 13;	-7-	164:15
91:2; 92:7, 24; 93:13, 17;	69:22; 70:1; 176:16;		acronym [1] 24:2
94:12, 18, 24; 95:4, 8;	177:9, 21	77.7 [1] 131:7	across [3] 17:17; 130:10;
102:12, 16; 103:1, 6, 13;	2020 [1] 176:22		158:23
	[.] 11 O.LL		acting [1] 127:8

Action [1] 1:6 action [4] 120:24; 123:11; 176:6, 14 active [1] 7:4 activity [4] 6:23, 25; 7:4, actress [2] 99:5, 9 actual [13] 51:3; 147:16; 148:2, 5; 149:3, 11; 150:4; 152:12, 21, 24; 153:5, 7 Actually [1] 109:18 actually [9] 12:18; 18:7; 24:10; 80:13; 85:2; 152:6; 155:18; 163:21 actuals [2] 149:4; 152:23 ACUPUNCTURE [1] 1:3 added [7] 54:9; 56:5; 102:17, 19, 20; 133:4; 136:5 addition [2] 173:24; 174:25 additional [1] 170:15 Additionally [1] 64:6 address [10] 12:16; 13:7, 14; 15:15, 20, 22; 16:1; 121:24; 165:9, 12 addresses [3] 12:13; 13:4; 124:11 adequately [4] 79:9, 14; 84:14 adjourn [7] 169:17, 24; 171:20, 24; 173:7, 20, 21 adjournment [2] 170:14; 171:24 adjust [1] 133:11 Administration [2] 138:12, 15 advance [2] 111:19; 174:21 advertise [18] 25:16; 35:3; 54:13; 55:4; 58:5; 60:14, 15; 67:8; 69:8, 22; 70:25; 71:9; 72:5; 87:9; 89:16; 103:21, 22; 108:15 advertised [7] 52:22; 57:21; 67:5, 10; 89:5, 9; 116:1 advertisement [24] 44:24; 45:7, 11, 15, 17; 46:22; 49:1, 10; 57:17, 24; 58:5;

89:1, 5; 90:16, 21; 91:1; 93:16; 94:15; 99:4, 25; 101:3; 103:25; 104:3; 108:6 advertisements [22] 49:25; 51:22; 44:16; 52:15; 57:22; 60:9; 62:7; 63:20; 70:1, 7, 19; 71:19; 72:20; 76:2; 86:8; 87:24; 91:22; 92:1; 98:9; 107:22; 108:17; 170:20 advertising [73] 24:24; 25:4, 7, 24; 27:14; 30:17, 18; 35:8; 37:20; 52:5, 19, 21; 53:3; 55:16; 56:4, 5; 58:19; 59:19; 60:1, 17; 66:19; 69:7; 71:12, 13, 15, 17; 72:8, 15, 22; 73:1; 77:11; 91:2, 4, 12; 92:16; 94:23, 25; 95:7, 10, 22; 96:6, 15, 20; 97:5, 10, 21; 98:5; 100:4, 7, 12, 15, 22; 103:2, 22; 104:13, 14, 18; 105:11; 106:18, 21; 114:20, 24; 115:7, 17; 147:10; 148:25; 149:17; 152:9; 154:3, 10, 11, 19; 157:13 advertized [1] 97:8 advice [2] 34:25 Advisors [1] 23:3 affirmative [1] 85:1 affix [1] 176:15 **afford** [7] 95:14, 16, 17; 153:20, 21, 23; 154:2 affordable [1] 154:6 aforementioned [1] 176:6 afternoon [1] 84:4 against [4] 55:7; 73:1; 138:3; 166:25 agents [1] 159:11 aggregate [1] 138:21 agree [60] 35:2, 6, 10, 16, 23; 36:13; 46:1, 6, 9, 21; 50:20; 53:18; 72:3; 76:19; 80:1; 81:18; 82:12; 83:12; 84:21; 93:2, 16; 94:4, 15, 19; 96:5, 19; 97:20; 99:25; 100:7, 11, 19; 102:5; 103:8; 104:13; 106:3, 14, 20; 107:9; 113:19; 114:1; 118:6, 14, 18, 24; 119:7; 120:6; 123:14; 124:4; 138:18: 139:2; 140:3; 141:1; 145:14, 17; 146:10; 149:1; 157:19; 170:12; 171:19: 174:16 agreed [7] 6:2; 64:6, 25; 89:5, 9; 154:10; 168:15 agreeing [2] 84:21; 170:13 agreement [8] 11:7; 61:19; 64:9, 14, 21, 22; 84:6; 118:11 agreements [5] 68:10, 17, 21, 25; 69:3 ahead [6] 13:12; 82:25; 84:3; 144:17; 172:5; 173:14 aired [7] 34:24; 45:7; 46:22; 47:5, 8, 16 airing [1] 70:7 algebra [2] 161:10, 13 allocation [1] 150:23 allowed [1] 166:21 alone [1] 164:13 along [3] 22:23; 34:16; 72:16 alongside [1] 160:7 always [6] 111:15, 17, 21, 24; 112:13; 116:22 ambiguous [1] 143:12 Amended [4] 1:14; 2:9; 4:22; 141:17 amended [2] 146:16: 168:24 amount [4] 24:18; 143:18; 149:16: 161:11 analysis [1] 145:15 announce [1] 129:12 announced [2] 128:3; 129:11 announcing [4] 37:16; 128:5, 18; 130:6 Answer [1] 111:16 answer [30] 21:12; 34:14; 35:21; 36:3, 8; 48:12, 14; 49:2, 5; 51:11; 58:25; 59:1; 68:12; 69:4, 11; 73:2; 74:2; 77:2; 79:6; 80:24; 81:23; 85:10;

130:8, 16; 135:16; 143:23; 144:4; 171:8; 173:23 answered [3] 76:23; 80:15; 144:19 answering [1] 141:19 **Answers** [2] 4:22; 141:17 answers [6] 80:2; 84:25; 141:8; 143:13; 177:11, 15 anybody [2] 6:16; 56:19 anymore [3] 154:15; 163:19; 172:20 Anyone [1] 10:1 anyone [3] 9:24; 34:23; 136:3 Anything [1] 11:11 anything [22] 12:3; 13:15, 20; 14:1; 28:15; 56:19; 67:25; 69:14, 19; 71:8; 74:23; 79:7; 151:16, 17; 156:24; 157:13; 159:24, 25; 160:21; 161:17; 164:11: 168:16 apologize [1] 36:4 appear [4] 16:20; 108:11, 17; 148:24 appearance [1] 106:14 APPEARANCES [1] 1:18 appearances [2] 104:22; 105:1 appeared [4] 105:23, 24; 108:6; 113:21 appears [3] 17:7, 8; 114:10 application [1] 164:22 apply [1] 143:13 appropriate [1] 177:10 approved [2] 149:10, 25 approximately [3] 120:23; 145:23; 151:8 April [10] 1:15, 16; 37:1; 61:10, 17; 62:3, 18; 96:8, 12; 177:9 area [1] 27:2 areas [3] 169:9; 170:15; 171:25 aren't [2] 30:21; 80:5 argue [1] 81:5 arguing [1] 79:7 arithmetic [1] 161:14

AROMANDO [44] 1:21; 16:18; 35:20; 36:2; 41:3; 43:4, 11, 16; 48:7, 10; 53:18; 56:23; 58:12; 60:3; 61:12, 19; 77:1, 23; 79:21, 24; 82:12; 83:11, 15, 19, 23; 84:20; 101:9; 109:21; 118:2, 22; 119:11; 133:15; 138:14; 140:17; 141:22; 143:24; 144:7; 148:6; 154:12, 21; 168:15; 169:1, 6; 173:8 Around [1] 110:6 around [7] 22:6; 23:15; 95:18; 105:22; 110:5; 129:2; 139:11 arrive [1] 121:18 article [3] 4:12; 116:15, 18 articulated [1] 79:5 Ashley [1] 26:24 aside [1] 83:4 asked [8] 15:2, 3; 56:14; 59:23; 60:5; 77:6; 122:22; asking [39] 12:22; 15:4; 26:16; 27:13, 22; 30:15, 16; 34:22; 54:6; 59:11; 62:22; 65:5; 67:12, 21; 69:12, 18; 75:9, 18; 77:10; 80:2, 3, 21, 25; 81:2; 82:2; 103:11; 108:9: 85:8: 112:24; 119:5; 129:3; 130:7; 136:3, 4, 12, 13; 172:17 asks [3] 20:1; 143:17; 145:8 assert [1] 143:12 asserted [2] 143:7, 10 asserting [2] 143:22; 144:3 asset [1] 14:2 assets [3] 6:23; 8:9; 14:21 assistant [2] 41:21; 81:1 assume [28] 13:18; 14:23; 15:2, 8; 17:13, 24; 23:8; 28:9; 34:1; 45:16; 46:24; 57:20; 63:18; 65:4, 5; 66:13, 24; 75:3, 6, 21;

79:5; 84:25; 85:2, 3, 5, 6, 9; 165:22 assuming [1] 120:16 atProviderPower.com [2] 12:17; 13:6 attach [1] 34:15 Attached [1] 53:22 attached [9] 3:3; 4:3, 5, 8; 30:16; 34:6; 77:8; 78:5; 177:14 attachments [4] 2:15; 31:19; 51:4; 76:19 attempt [1] 113:25 attorney [3] 9:25; 10:1; 73:10 attorney-client [3] 143:9, 22; 144:20 attorneys [1] 65:21 attractive [1] 26:3 Audio [11] 2:19, 20; 3:8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 21, 23 audio [13] 45:9; 46:19; 90:20; 93:1, 15; 94:14; 96:4, 11; 97:19; 105:21; 106:2, 8; 107:5 audios [1] 95:25 August [3] 65:10; 116:15; 126:6 author [1] 41:19 authorities [1] 68:13 authority [2] 157:18; 163:20 automated [3] 29:6; 121:12; 127:8 automatic [4] 117:24: 125:4, 18, 20 automatic-renewal [4] 125:13; 126:8, 13, 21 automatically [2] 117:13; 129:17 available [7] 14:17; 80:15; 82:10; 153:16, 18; 154:5; 161:2 average [12] 72:14; 132:6, 21, 25; 133:2; 136:14; 137:4, 13; 138:7, 20; 139:2, 7 averaged [1] 136:5 avoid [1] 83:6

aware [12] 7:18; 11:13, 16; 70:15; 126:13; 127:18, 21; 129:5; 131:5; 132:25; 136:21; 160:22 awareness [1] 90:10 away [9] 14:1; 37:2; 53:23; 54:2, 6, 8, 21; 55:6, 9

- B -

Back [1] 15:24 back [23] 19:13, 15; 26:21; 32:11; 39:25; 44:4; 51:8; 73:7, 14; 78:15; 85:18; 86:21; 87:21; 88:22; 97:3; 103:1; 109:18; 113:1; 116:24; 135:10; 145:7; 168:15; 171:4 balances [2] 160:3, 8 Bangor [3] 4:12; 24:3; 119:24 bank [1] 149:25 base [1] 23:9 Based [1] 62:1 based [6] 23:6, 7; 61:14; 80:15; 128:16; 134:15 basic [5] 9:14; 37:16; 123:25; 124:1; 161:13 basically [2] 133:13; 173:3 basis [11] 40:5; 60:15; 81:14; 95:22; 139:21; 143:8; 145:8; 148:23; 155:19; 164:21; 168:11 batch [5] 122:1, 2; 127:2, 12, 14 Bates [22] 16:6, 18; 20:12, 13; 43:6, 14, 17; 46:12, 13; 50:19, 21; 51:2; 53:8; 54:19; 80:12, 22; 90:17; 92:22; 98:23; 114:7; 166:3 beat [7] 111:21, 24; 112:3, 5, 13, 17; 116:22 beating [2] 112:19, 24 became [4] 11:16; 56:13; 96:22; 154:7 Beckwith [2] 26:23; 27:4 become [1] 11:13 Before [1] 173:21

before [26] 1:16; 8:16; 10:20, 24; 15:20; 28:11; 32:13; 34:24; 43:4; 73:4, 9; 76:23; 86:22; 88:7, 12; 99:17; 100:14; 102:21; 111:9; 110:2; 113:2; 125:20: 140:1: 164:2: 165:16; 177:20 beginning [2] 24:14; 148:24 behalf [9] 1:4; 6:12; 28:6; 66:16; 111:19; 142:23; 157:4, 5; 173:1 belief [3] 19:19; 21:6; 68:7 believe [41] 15:8; 18:20; 19:9; 43:9; 46:25; 47:5, 15, 19; 51:15; 56:18; 66:25; 72:9; 74:21; 81:14; 98:15; 99:8: 89:14; 100:23; 102:9; 103:7; 106:7: 109:13: 113:13: 120:21; 121:23; 122:8, 15; 124:15; 125:1; 126:3; 137:23; 138:1; 139:4, 5; 142:18; 150:4; 152:23; 153:7; 158:16; 169:9; 170:1 below [1] 123:5 Ben's [2] 79:6; 168:5 benefits [3] 52:23; 56:6; **BENJAMIN** [1] 1:19 Bennett [1] 99:5 Besides [1] 122:5 besides [4] 10:1; 24:17; 156:18: 161:21 best [3] 9:8; 97:12; 176:11 beta [2] 24:15, 18 better [7] 64:1; 82:18; 83:2; 84:4, 19; 114:25; 170:5 between [18] 6:2; 15:1, 5; 22:4; 61:9, 16; 62:2, 17; 90:21; 101:20; 68:5; 108:18; 114:21; 117:10; 131:6; 146:7, 11; 174:2 Bill [4] 4:2, 5, 7; 139:25

38:6; **bill** [4] 45:18; 119:10, 24 bills [2] 38:13; 39:8 blend [1] 154:5 blended [2] 72:14; 138:7 blinks [1] 28:25 blocks [1] 49:16 Blueberry [4] 2:23, 25; 53:8; 54:20 book [1] 91:11 booths [1] 111:5 both [8] 64:7, 25; 69:6; 141:19; 143:1; 157:4; 163:12 bottom [5] 37:10; 116:20; 137:17, 20; 140:7 bought [5] 14:21; 68:1; 72:12; 133:23, 25 boxes [1] 43:24 brain [1] 173:3 break [10] 48:17; 81:8; 116:20; 119:12; 126:22; 141:7; 161:3; 162:21; 163:2; 170:4 breakdown [2] 146:7; 162:1 breaks [2] 132:2; 161:5 Bridge [1] 3:6 brief [5] 48:18; 85:15; 116:6; 141:10; 163:3 briefly [1] 117:2 bring [1] 146:22 broadcast [1] 45:11 brochure [3] 112:21, 22, broken [2] 88:3; 162:23 brought [1] 26:24 bucks [1] 167:14 Budget [1] 134:24 budget [9] 149:24; 152:5, 23; 154:24; 155:13, 14, 21, 23, 24 budgeting [1] 149:11 budgets [2] 149:6, 23 building [3] 114:12; 160:5 built [2] 99:15; 135:25 bullet [2] 38:5, 10 bumped [1] 66:8

bunch [3] 53:13; 92:7; 143:7 **Business** [1] 24:1 business [47] 6:23, 25; 7:10; 10:20, 24; 14:2, 24; 18:13; 23:12, 14, 18, 20, 23; 24:6, 25; 26:11; 53:25; 55:25; 68:9, 19; 69:16; 71:5; 82:4; 91:11; 102:2; 103:18, 22; 104:11; 105:9; 106:23, 24; 127:21; 135:1, 4, 20; 148:12; 151:18; 159:13; 160:9; 163:12: 164:6, 9; 165:14 business-to-business [1] 110:14 businesses [3] 67:11: 103:17; 115:10

- C -

calculate [1] 133:2 calculated [4] 40:18; 41:7; 101:16; 139:19 calculates [1] 134:10 calculating [1] 41:8 calculation [5] 40:18; 101:15, 20; 132:24; 168:6 call [3] 39:12, 13; 121:17 called [3] 19:3; 138:12; 147:22 came [12] 19:21; 20:2; 25:5; 29:24; 30:2; 43:5; 51:3; 73:5, 13; 110:5; 119:19 campaign [15] 37:4: 71:17; 72:25; 95:8; 96:13, 20; 97:24; 98:1; 102:11; 103:12; 106:16; 107:11; 115:8; 150:21; 152:23 campaigns [6] 52:22; 90:9, 10; 103:23; 151:4; 152:22 cancel [3] 166:20, 21; 167:4 Candace [6] 26:11, 24; 65:22; 66:11; 117:8; 149:14 cannot [1] 53:17

capacity [1] 163:14

CAPTION [2] 177:3; 178:2 capture [1] 148:2 captured [1] 147:10 care [2] 26:10; 69:9 carried [2] 22:25; 148:4 carry [1] 128:11 carrying [1] 58:8 case [19] 15:10; 17:9; 37:14; 80:10; 84:15, 18; 86:15; 167:1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18, 19, 24; 168:19 catalyst [1] 168:21 catch [1] 107:13 categorically [1] 47:22 categories [1] 88:4 category [3] 28:24; 139:22, 23 cause [2] 176:6, 13 ceased [1] 7:3 Center [1] 1:16 Central [1] 113:6 **cents** [15] 58:16, 17; 120:22; 128:25; 129:1, 25; 130:19; 132:7, 14, 21; 134:11; 136:6, 11; 137:5 CEPs [2] 24:20; 56:25 certain [7] 48:5; 77:5; 149:16; 153:2; 170:18, 19; certainly [1] 167:22 certainty [4] 64:3; 72:17; 134:25; 154:14 CERTIFICATE [1] 176:1 certificates [1] 164:20 certify [3] 176:4, 7, 12 Chairman [1] 128:17 challenge [1] 132:11 chance [3] 79:24; 137:9; 169:3 Change [7] 178:5, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20 change [4] 72:10; 80:24; 85:9: 92:3 changed [12] 22:8, 10; 26:9; 52:7; 55:24; 57:2, 3; 72:12; 153:9; 154:13; 165:25; 166:1 **CHANGES** [1] 178:4 changes [3] 12:10; 154:25; 177:14

changing [3] 57:12; 95:9; 154:7 Channel [7] 42:22, 25; 43:3, 18; 99:9, 12; 155:15 chapter [1] 173:13 characterization [1] 174:7 charge [12] 57:14, 22, 25; 58:6, 11, 14, 20, 23; 117:5; 149:6, 22; 164:19 charged [14] 131:7; 132:4; 133:18; 134:4, 5; 135:12, 14; 136:8, 14; 137:14; 138:21; 139:19; 140:5, 16 charging [6] 129:6, 25; 132:19, 22; 133:1; 154:1 charitable [1] 89:21 chart [9] 63:16; 77:15; 131:10, 11; 135:10; 136:10, 16, 19; 139:3 charts [1] 71:21 cheapest [1] 133:23 check [2] 115:24; 177:10 choice [2] 64:3; 98:13 **CHON** [1] 1:3 Chon [9] 4:16, 17; 123:6, 9, 18, 23; 125:6; 127:24; 129:16 Chon's [1] 124:7 choose [2] 90:12; 92:15 choosing [1] 90:21 chosen [2] 19:20; 82:10 Chris [1] 146:24 Christina [3] 1:16; 176:2, churches [1] 115:12 City [1] 1:15 Civil [1] 1:6 clarify [2] 85:1; 102:14 clarifying [1] 84:24 Clary [1] 5:11 classified [1] 23:23 CLAVET [8] 1:10, 12; 2:2; 6:5; 177:4, 18; 178:2, 24 Clavet [17] 2:14; 6:9; 10:4; 17:5; 21:24; 50:4; 108:5: 114:6; 116:11: 131:1; 139:15; 141:15; 152:2; 163:7; 165:8; 166:6; 171:14 cleaning [1] 160:6

clear [4] 49:11; 79:13; 80:1; 87:12 clearly [5] 36:18; 169:17; 171:16; 172:8; 174:9 clicking [1] 126:24 close [2] 20:4; 22:18 closed [1] 175:5 closely [1] 122:9 clue [1] 28:1 **COAST** [1] 1:3 code [2] 19:17, 24 collected [1] 139:17 colors [1] 25:21 Column [3] 17:21; 19:5, 16 column [3] 19:18; 21:7; 140:3 columns [2] 157:24; 158:24 come [4] 25:23; 26:19; 38:17; 115:23 comes [1] 38:18 coming [4] 72:13; 83:21; 136:12; 138:6 commencing [1] 1:16 Commercial [1] 101:14 commercial [15] 2:18; 23:21; 42:14, 17, 19; 43:22, 25; 60:19; 71:3; 99:8, 14; 101:17; 159:9, 12, 13 commercials [5] 29:7; 42:24; 59:12; 95:2, 3 **Commission** [5] 56:2, 14; 131:2, 5; 133:21 commission [3] 64:10: 176:22: 177:24 commissioned [2] 1:17; 176:3 Commissions [1] 159:1 **commissions** [4] 159:3, 7, 10; 160:7 commitment [1] 116:21 common [1] 14:14 communications [5] 14:25; 15:5; 87:14, 16; 88:3 companies [9] 8:4; 13:3; 23:4; 32:6; 49:10; 66:9; 68:6; 133:8; 166:12

company [14] 7:13; 12:25; 13:1; 18:15; 53:25; 68:8; 82:14; 149:9, 15; 150:1; 156:25; 172:12, 13; 173:11 company's [1] 84:14 compare [11] 21:23; 51:10; 56:15; 57:5, 10; 76:3; 88:7, 18; 123:13; 136:3; 153:25 compared [6] 77:11; 94:8; 101:10; 113:6; 115:21; 136:25 comparing [11] 40:10, 12; 56:12; 57:1; 77:20; 78:10, 18; 87:24; 101:16, 19; 135:25 Comparisions [1] 4:19 comparison [23] 40:3, 7; 45:22; 56:11, 16, 21; 87:9; 96:16; 98:10; 90:23: 100:2, 16; 101:7, 23; 102:6: 105:7: 106:18: 116:2; 122:10, 24; 128:1; 136:22; 139:20 comparisons [6] 74:11; 75:15, 24; 76:12, 16; 87:4 compensated [2] 10:5; 11:4 compete [2] 55:7; 154:18 competing [1] 57:3 Competition [1] 72:14 Competitive [1] 4:18 competitive [12] 35:2, 6, 10, 16, 23; 36:13; 40:13; 56:13; 119:8; 122:14; 131:6; 132:3 competitor's [1] 58:23 competitors [3] 91:6, 9; 104:21 compile [1] 132:11 compiled [1] 15:13 complaint [1] 11:17 complete [9] 27:15; 33:25; 50:13, 22; 51:7; 76:24; 150:9; 177:11, 15 comply [4] 84:14; 118:7, 9, 11 components [1] 71:16

computer [2] 127:3, 6

Computer-Aided [1] 176:9

167:4

concept [1] 23:14 concepts [1] 98:14 concern [1] 79:2 concerned [1] 51:20 concerted [2] 70:15, 23 concluded [1] 131:6 conclusion [1] 152:19 conclusively [1] 113:11 concrete [1] 79:6 conditions [2] 9:12, 16 confirm [1] 152:5 confuse [2] 69:10; 101:20 confused [4] 87:13, 20; 147:5; 164:3 confusing [1] 118:15 considerations [1] 52:17 consistent [3] 106:15; 107:10; 115:7 **constraints** [1] 128:12 **consultants** [1] 163:13 consulting [1] 11:7 consumer [3] 35:13: 64:10; 65:8 consumers [20] 23:17; 24:12; 45:18; 46:7, 10; 67:6, 9; 69:22; 71:1, 10, 14; 97:1, 14; 103:9, 13; 104:1; 105:6; 133:1; 136:21 consumption [1] 111:19 contact [2] 62:12; 155:24 contacted [1] 51:20 contained [2] 31:21; 147:9 containing [1] 5:17 contemplated [1] 64:11 content [6] 25:25; 44:5, 13; 65:24; 99:16; 127:4 contents [1] 165:18 CONTINUED [5] 86:1; 116:9; 141:13; 163:5 continues [1] 54:12 **continuing** [1] 14:3 continuously [1] 57:13 continuum [1] 72:16 contract [23] 18:10, 11, 12, 22; 19:3, 13; 20:24; 21:18; 22:1, 21, 24; 64:8; 65:1; 99:7; 130:9; 135:23; 166:13, 19, 20, 21, 24;

contracts [5] 22:8; 35:15; 36:9; 72:24; 136:2 control [4] 11:25; 15:12; 154:16; 163:20 conversion [1] 19:4 convert [1] 104:19 copies [2] 8:14; 167:22 copy [3] 11:17; 20:22; 43:7 Correct [12] 18:17; 29:23; 34:8; 49:3; 50:9; 62:5; 117:19; 119:18; 69:20: 120:25; 126:9; 146:13 correct [64] 6:13; 7:23; 14:20; 18:22; 21:3; 29:22; 31:2, 11; 36:15; 38:8, 15; 44:17; 50:8, 14; 51:15, 22; 52:20; 53:3, 4, 11, 15, 17; 56:22; 59:14; 60:24; 61:6; 63:9; 69:14; 73:24; 74:18; 75:20; 76:4, 13; 86:5; 88:9: 89:7: 90:24: 92:2: 100:22; 104:23; 107:16, 23; 117:15, 18; 119:17; 120:20; 123:7, 19; 132:8, 19; 138:17; 139:3, 4, 8, 10; 143:15; 145:12; 146:17; 160:12; 165:11; 172:23; 177:11, 15 corrected [3] 62:16; 79:19. 22 correction [2] 60:21; 73:9 corrections [1] 52:13 corrective [1] 126:10 correlation [1] 22:22 correspondence [3] 27:24; 50:4: 51:14 corresponding [1] 147:7 cost [4] 25:20; 136:22; 161:9; 163:19 Costs [1] 4:24 costs [15] 145:20; 147:16, 17; 148:2, 25; 149:3; 153:6, 24; 160:13; 161:1, 7, 15, 16 Counsel [1] 5:16 counsel [1] 144:1 counted [1] 79:3

couple [8] 22:2; 105:14; 117:2; 124:11; 130:11; 159:25; 167:23; 173:22 course [2] 14:2; 18:13 **COURT** [1] 1:1 Court [2] 1:16; 84:16 court [1] 176:2 covered [3] 134:3, 5; 169:20 covering [2] 58:18; 136:25 covers [1] 160:11 create [8] 25:11; 42:16, 19; 65:24; 114:18; 127:4; 149:24; 161:20 created [15] 25:25; 29:18; 41:14, 16; 42:20, 21; 44:6, 7, 9, 13; 47:10, 11; 91:22, 23; 170:19 creating [3] 34:20; 44:4; 149:23 creative [4] 26:5; 42:23, 25: 99:12 **CUMMINS** [31] 1:19; 17:2; 36:8; 45:2, 10; 78:25; 79:23; 83:10, 14, 18, 22; 84:24; 85:21; 98:2; 104:6; 110:25; 114:7; 147:6; 156:11, 13; 165:3; 166:3; 168:5, 10, 17; 169:2, 14; 171:19; 172:4, 21; 173:17 **curious** [2] 43:5; 158:18 **Current** [1] 2:16 current [4] 62:4; 64:11; 111:24; 128:16 **currently** [1] 6:15 **Customer** [1] 4:24 customer [18] 17:18, 25; 18:4, 12; 19:15, 20; 22:20; 23:21; 24:6; 111:20; 119:1; 121:15; 125:2, 19; 145:19, 23; 153:24; 161:8 customer's [4] 64:17; 119:9, 23; 126:14 **Customers** [2] 135:4, 5 customers [59] 20:1; 22:8, 24; 24:17, 18, 21; 35:24, 25; 37:3; 38:11, 23; 39:6; 61:9, 16; 62:12, 16, 17; 63:1; 64:7, 25; 67:5; 71:10, 19; 72:6, 21; 91:5,

13, 15, 18, 19; 101:14, 25; 104:19; 106:19; 107:19; 109:11, 20, 24; 113:12; 115:11, 17; 117:14, 17, 20; 118:16; 121:21; 132:19, 23; 133:14; 134:7, 20; 135:12, 18; 136:5, 9, 14; 137:14; 138:21; 143:20 cutting [2] 77:2; 83:3

- D -

Daily [1] 4:12 Danielle [2] 26:23; 27:4 Danny [1] 142:21 data [7] 23:5; 24:15; 132:10, 11; 138:8; 139:16; 147:9 **DATE** [1] 178:3 date [57] 10:13, 18; 17:22, 24; 18:10, 11, 16, 18, 22; 19:10; 20:25; 21:9, 13, 15, 16, 19; 22:1, 3, 21, 25; 27:20, 22, 25; 45:4, 13; 46:20; 47:4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13; 53:17, 20; 55:12; 64:16; 65:12; 67:7, 12; 71:24; 72:2; 79:17; 81:24; 87:10; 90:17; 94:12; 96:1; 99:1; 107:2; 112:21, 23; 140:22; 163:11; 172:16 **Dated** [1] 176:17 dated [17] 1:15; 30:23; 32:2; 37:1; 54:4; 55:2; 59:7; 78:14; 92:23; 93:13; 96:8: 97:16; 100:24: 105:15; 110:24; 111:4; 120:9 dates [15] 18:3; 19:11; 44:18, 20; 70:20; 72:1, 7; 80:4; 105:25; 135:21; 137:16; 140:6; 157:17; 160:2 days [1] 153:2 dead [1] 173:3 deal [2] 144:1; 170:2 dealing [3] 118:16; 128:7; 130:9 dealt [1] 167:23

DEAN [1] 1:9

Dean [11] 3:6; 65:17, 18, 20; 106:10; 107:6; 117:10; 149:9; 154:23; 163:13; 164:1 debate [1] 173:7 December [15] 94:12, 18; 121:4; 128:4; 129:12, 21, 23; 130:4, 5, 14, 15, 17, 22; 143:20; 176:22 decide [1] 153:3 decided [1] 68:24 decimals [2] 148:4; 149:5 decision [1] 62:14 decisions [2] 163:18, 19 declarative [1] 85:11 deduce [1] 113:5 defendant [1] 168:19 Defendant's [7] 17:4; 20:4; 21:1; 45:3; 50:13; 92:23 defendant's [1] 20:9 **Defendants** [49] 1:11, 21; 2:12, 13, 17, 19, 21; 3:7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23; 4:3, 6, 8, 11, 14; 5:2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10; 94:11; 96:1, 8; 97:16; 98:23; 100:24; 105:15; 107:1; 108:3; 113:16; 144:25; 145:6; 146:18; 151:24; 155:8; 156:14: 158:17; 159:14; 161:22 definitions [1] 143:11 delay [1] 22:4 delineate [1] 173:6 delineating [1] 171:25 delineation [2] 169:25; deliver [1] 35:18 delivered [1] 15:14 department [2] 26:10, 12 depended [1] 63:23 **Depending** [1] 117:6 **DEPONENT** [20] 16:16; 36:4; 49:4, 13, 16, 19; 50:1; 73:8; 142:9, 18, 25; 147:18, 21; 152:17; 158:23; 159:1, 20; 177:1, 4; 178:2 Deponent [1] 2:2

deponent [64] 8:24; 21:14; 27:9, 21; 28:3; 30:13, 25; 31:5; 32:4, 25; 33:4, 11, 14; 36:23; 38:3; 41:15; 42:12; 51:18; 53:7; 54:17; 59:5; 61:2, 23; 65:16; 66:3; 73:16, 25; 74:15; 76:7; 86:24; 108:4, 7, 24; 110:20; 111:13; 113:17; 114:9; 116:12, 19; 120:7, 12; 123:3, 16; 130:25; 132:1; 124:9; 137:12, 15; 139:14, 24; 140:10; 145:4: 150:10; 152:10, 15, 18; 155:10; 159:22; 160:24; 165:6, 7; 176:4, 11; 177:6 deposed [1] 6:6 DEPOSITION [2] 1:12; 178:3 **Deposition** [8] 1:14; 2:9; 8:16; 16:24; 36:21, 24; 42:9; 50:10 deposition [29] 9:1; 17:2; 40:20; 66:19; 73:12; 79:16; 80:18; 81:11, 17, 19; 82:7, 16; 83:6; 84:10; 85:20; 140:20; 168:2, 25; 169:19, 24; 170:14, 22, 24; 171:8; 172:25; 173:4, 5; 176:7; 177:8 depositions [1] 8:19 descended [1] 170:3 describe [1] 114:11 described [1] 115:18 **Description** [4] 2:8; 3:1; 4:1: 5:1 description [5] 29:8; 66:1, 11; 120:6; 157:15 descriptions [1] 99:14 descriptive [1] 158:19 design [1] 26:3 designate [2] 146:17; 157:1 DESIGNATED [2] 1:13; 2:2 designated [14] 40:20; 81:16; 82:3; 144:25;

145:10, 11; 148:17; 152:3;

156:5, 22; 160:10; 162:11; 173:1; 174:4 designations [1] 157:23 designed [1] 62:11 designees [2] 83:14, 15 destroy [1] 167:24 destroyed [1] 167:20 destroying [1] 167:15 destruction [1] 167:17 determine [3] 96:25; 133:5; 153:15 different [39] 20:7; 26:10; 37:22; 46:14, 15; 47:6, 17, 20; 49:14; 52:22; 53:1; 57:4, 11; 58:8, 9; 60:12, 17; 64:2; 72:15; 92:7, 16; 95:10, 16; 98:16; 102:3; 103:2, 20, 23; 117:7; 122:3, 6; 125:25; 132:3; 134:17; 135:18, 19; 153:15 difficult [1] 158:22 digit [1] 20:14 Direct [1] 115:4 direct [4] 103:9; 107:22; 115:20, 22 directed [3] 104:1, 15; 111:25 directly [1] 140:25 disagree [4] 132:10; 174:6, 14, 19 disc [1] 92:9 disclose [1] 118:19 disclosure [1] 164:21 discovery [7] 17:6; 20:6, 8; 115:14; 139:18; 146:20; 148:23 discuss [5] 9:3, 9; 10:23; 20:15; 144:14 Discussion [14] 8:15; 46:18; 48:20; 53:5, 19; 67:3; 78:24; 88:25; 92:21; 113:15; 116:5; 141:9; 148:18; 156:4 discussion [5] 44:3; 51:13; 89:11, 12; 100:19 discussions [3] 61:14; 62:1 disinterested [1] 176:12 disk [2] 93:25; 94:2

displayed [6] 147:1; 148:20; 151:25; 155:6; 156:8; 159:16 dispute [3] 166:13, 16, 19 distinct [1] 69:2 distinguish [3] 93:25: 146:11; 174:2 distribute [4] 69:25; 70:6; 77:11, 20 distributed [14] 26:1; 29:21; 32:24; 33:6, 18; 37:5, 19; 76:10, 21; 78:17; 91:24: 99:19; 109:19; 171:16 distributes [1] 66:12 distributing [2] 32:8, 14 distributions [1] 29:24 **DISTRICT** [2] 1:1 divided [1] 161:7 docket [2] 64:11; 65:12 Document [4] 2:16; 4:9, 18.23 document [66] 3:3; 8:21; 16:21; 20:9; 21:14; 27:8, 18; 41:14; 43:2, 3; 44:10; 45:4, 13; 46:20; 47:3, 7, 9; 50:18, 21; 51:18; 53:8; 55:19, 23; 61:23; 73:25; 76:17, 20, 24; 74:13: 77:14; 78:5; 81:2; 88:3, 15; 114:17; 116:13; 140:9, 23; 141:1; 142:14, 24; 143:4; 145:10, 11, 14, 18, 19; 146:23; 147:8, 9, 18; 148:16, 22; 150:8, 9; 152:2, 19; 154:22; 155:9, 17; 156:8; 159:24; 160:10, 24; 161:2 document-specific 81:24 documents [32] 9:14, 17; 11:21, 24; 12:3; 20:8; 41:18; 78:15, 16; 80:9, 20; 81:14; 83:8, 24; 115:14, 15; 123:16; 147:7; 160:16; 161:20; 164:5, 21; 167:15, 18, 24; 168:6, 9, 11, 20; 170:19; 172:19; 173:12 doing [14] 7:19; 13:17;

30:5, 14; 53:25;

68:8:

98:5; 114:21, 25; 168:5 dollars [9] 11:10; 45:21; 94:8; 101:7, 15; 138:22; 145:23; 151:12; 159:8 domain [1] 12:18 **DONAHUE** [91] 1:19; 6:8; 8:14; 16:3, 9, 12, 19; 17:4; 19:2; 20:13, 18, 23; 21:22; 26:18; 27:23; 28:13; 31:9, 24; 32:22; 43:9, 12; 45:1; 46:3, 15; 48:17, 21; 49:21; 50:3, 24; 51:6, 12, 17; 59:3; 73:15, 18, 22; 78:22; 80:18; 81:7, 10; 84:5; 85:17, 25; 86:2; 88:23; 98:24; 104:4; 105:17; 111:1; 116:10; 118:3; 137:10; 140:19; 141:6, 14; 142:4, 11; 144:3, 15, 18, 23; 146:22; 147:3, 20, 23; 151:23; 152:16; 156:2, 12, 14, 22; 157:1; 158:25; 163:2, 6; 165:2; 168:1, 24; 170:10, 17, 23; 171:4, 7, 11; 172:2, 6; 173:15, 21; 174:8, 16, 24 **Donahue** [2] 2:4; 158:8 **done** [19] 9:9; 15:9; 25:12, 14; 30:9; 60:24; 66:20; 84:17; 92:10: 99:12; 101:24; 115:3, 4, 10; 118:5; 132:24; 150:22; 170:24 double [4] 128:6; 133:22; 134:24; 135:9 down [14] 14:1; 28:16; 30:20; 119:12; 126:22; 132:3; 140:3; 152:13; 154:9; 161:3, 5; 162:21, 23 drafted [1] 81:2 dramatically [1] 128:19 drastically [1] 22:1 drawing [1] 110:8 drew [2] 10:6; 41:25 drive [1] 5:16 drove [1] 153:23 dual [1] 104:10 **duly** [1] 6:5 **Dumont** [1] 166:17

69:7, 19; 79:11; 97:10;

duplicate [1] 13:2 duty [2] 35:12, 14 DUVERNAY [1] 1:24 Duvernay [3] 1:16; 176:2, 20 duvernayreporting [1] 1:25 dynamic [1] 95:9 dynamics [1] 72:10

- E -

e-mail [71] 2:22, 24; 3:5; 4:15; 5:11; 12:13, 16; 13:4, 7, 14; 15:15, 22; 16:1; 30:22; 31:3, 7, 10, 21, 25; 32:3, 8, 11, 12, 13, 21, 22; 53:10, 17, 20; 54:18, 20, 25; 55:8, 18; 59:11; 65:20; 66:1; 69:15; 80:25; 117:14; 119:19; 120:11, 15; 121:16, 18; 123:5, 9, 13, 18, 23; 124:4, 11, 12, 13; 125:9, 10, 13, 16, 18; 126:13, 20; 127:10, 12, 14, 24; 165:8, 9, 12, 16, 21: 175:2 e-mailing [3] 31:13, 15; 53:13 e-mails [15] 13:19, 20, 21; 34:16; 123:21; 124:15, 17, 19, 24; 126:8, 10, 21, 25; 127:6 each [8] 5:16; 22:18: 125:16; 126:24; 127:12; 143:19; 164:22 Earlier [1] 73:9 earlier [10] 50:5; 67:15; 69:12; 86:3; 91:21; 94:6; 117:9; 128:9; 153:8; 163:7 Early [1] 149:14 early [13] 95:7; 96:5; 97:15; 100:21; 102:8, 12; 103:6, 13; 106:1; 115:9; 149:20, 21; 166:14 easier [1] 21:20 easily [1] 113:5 easy [1] 154:3 eating [1] 154:4

Eclavet6 [1] 15:19

educators [1] 92:15 effect [2] 107:15; 112:7 **effective** [5] 18:10, 11; 20:25; 21:18; 22:1 effort [3] 70:15, 23; 89:21 either [3] 84:13; 107:22; 147:13 election [2] 95:14; 153:19 electric [1] 134:9 **ELECTRICITY** [3] 177:3; 178:2 Electricity [230] 1:14; 2:9; 4:10, 13, 16, 18, 21; 7:1, 2, 6, 10, 12, 14, 15, 19, 22; 8:2, 19; 10:4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 19, 23; 11:3, 15; 12:15, 18, 24; 13:2, 8, 17; 14:7; 17:25; 18:15, 19; 19:21; 20:1, 2; 21:3; 23:12, 16; 24:10, 24; 25:11; 27:1, 5, 13; 28:6, 18, 19, 21; 29:2, 9, 12; 30:5; 32:18; 33:2, 18; 34:19, 24; 38:7, 12, 24; 39:6, 20, 22; 40:10; 42:2, 3, 4, 7, 21; 43:23; 44:8, 11, 12, 15, 19; 45:24; 47:25; 52:18, 21; 53:2; 54:12; 59:11; 61:15; 62:6, 20; 63:14, 18; 64:6, 13, 20, 24; 65:3, 18; 66:2, 11, 15; 67:24; 68:3, 11, 16, 17, 23; 69:1, 5, 10, 17, 25; 70:3, 4, 5, 18, 25; 71:9, 18; 72:5, 20; 76:20; 77:12, 20; 78:8, 10, 18; 82:15; 83:6; 86:7; 87:8, 23; 88:8, 17, 18; 93:17; 94:16, 23; 97:2, 21; 99:6, 11, 16; 100:1; 101:16, 21; 102:11, 21, 25; 103:12, 14; 104:20; 108:15; 109:10; 111:2, 15, 16, 21; 112:1, 12, 16, 19, 24; 114:21, 25; 115:24; 116:16; 117:4, 13, 23; 118:6, 14, 18, 24; 119:15; 120:4, 19; 121:2, 21; 123:6, 10; 125:11; 126:24; 127:17; 129:25; 132:6, 16, 19, 22, 25; 135:12, 14; 138:20; 139:8; 140:5, 15; 141:18, 19, 23; 143:18; 145:9, 15; 146:1, 4, 7, 12; 147:13; 149:7; 151:1, 9; 153:8; 155:11; 157:6, 20, 25; 158:13; 160:17; 161:19; 162:7, 14; 163:9; 164:9, 10, 13; 165:14, 20; 171:13; 172:8 electricity [17] 21:17; 35:2, 6, 10, 16, 23; 36:13, 17; 45:18; 68:22; 90:22; 103:15; 119:8, 10; 131:7; 135:22; 160:5 electronic [1] 5:15 elements [1] 26:5 eliminate [1] 134:6 else [7] 9:13, 19; 11:11; 90:8; 136:3; 154:22; 168:16 Emera [2] 24:8, 9 **EMILE** [8] 1:10, 12; 2:2; 6:5; 177:4, 18; 178:2, 24 **Emile** [3] 2:14; 43:13; employed [2] 6:15; 99:6 employee [7] 12:14, 16; 27:4; 42:4; 53:11; 55:13; 66:14 employees [14] 7:25; 8:2; 12:13; 13:4, 8, 9, 13, 19; 15:1, 6; 103:17; 111:2; 121:9 employing [1] 6:16 empty [2] 6:16, 20 encyclopedic [1] 80:8 ended [3] 18:5; 114:15; 134:7 **endorsement** [1] 32:19 endorsements [1] 53:23 **Energy** [5] 138:11, 13, 14; 166:14, 21 energy [1] 166:12 enroll [1] 109:11 enrolled [11] 18:14; 19:20; 24:21; 39:7; 40:15; 61:9, 16; 62:2, 17; 63:1, 2 **Enrollment** [3] 4:2, 7 enrollment [3] 108:14, 22; 113:20

enrollments [2] 161:6, 8

enrolls [1] 35:24 entered [2] 98:14; 166:25 entire [3] 26:17; 33:21; 34:9 entities [1] 146:11 entitled [2] 4:7; 144:4 entity [5] 8:5, 7; 10:6; 164:4; 173:1 equity [1] 6:18 **ERRATA** [1] 178:1 errata [1] 177:14 error [2] 60:7, 18 errors [1] 49:14 **ESCO** [1] 23:3 **essentially** [1] 123:15 **established** [1] 166:10 estimates [1] 156:1 etcetera [1] 109:17 even [6] 7:22; 12:19; 23:10; 25:6; 78:4; 153:21 event [3] 110:13; 128:10; 176:13 events [3] 80:4; 147:11; 153:20 ever [5] 57:14, 21; 58:4; 96:25; 116:23 every [7] 127:14; 128:15; 129:10; 140:21, 22 everybody [2] 49:12; 116:21 everybody's [1] 84:3 everyone [1] 16:5 everything [9] 10:10; 12:5; 74:20; 142:6; 15:13: 164:16, 24; 170:17 15:10; evidence [3] 128:22; 167:20 evolving [1] 57:12 ex-employee [1] 166:17 **exact** [3] 44:22; 72:1; 93:21 exactly [12] 22:15; 24:23; 29:17; 44:12; 48:1; 55:2; 70:14, 21; 94:5; 100:18; 136:20; 169:4 **EXAMINATION** [6] 6:7; 50:2; 86:1; 116:9; 141:13; 163:5 Examination [1] 2:3 examination [1] 83:2

examined [1] 6:6 example [9] 7:18; 32:23; 34:23; 58:15; 85:4; 89:19; 103:25; 121:20; 154:1 examples [2] 33:17; 171:12 Except [1] 160:18 except [5] 14:1; 21:22; 80:20; 174:22; 177:14 **exception** [1] 160:15 excerpted [1] 20:7 Excuse [1] 46:3 excuse [1] 97:17 Exhibit [149] 2:9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24; 3:2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23; 4:2, 4, 7, 9, 12, 13, 15, 18, 20, 21, 23; 5:2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11; 8:11, 12, 16, 23; 16:24; 17:1; 20:19, 20, 22; 21:1; 27:6, 7, 9, 21; 30:13; 31:5; 33:11, 14, 21; 34:9; 36:20, 22, 23, 24; 37:25; 40:21; 41:15; 42:10, 11, 12; 45:8; 46:16, 17; 50:10; 53:6, 7, 9; 54:16, 17; 59:4, 5, 6; 61:1, 2; 65:14, 15; 73:7, 16, 21, 22; 86:22; 89:4; 90:19; 92:25; 93:13, 14; 94:13; 96:3, 10; 97:18; 99:2; 101:1; 104:5; 105:20; 107:4, 25; 108:1, 109:14, 15, 16; 2, 7; 110:18; 113:14; 114:4, 5; 116:8, 11, 12; 120:2, 3, 12; 123:2. 3: 130:24, 25; 139:12; 141:12, 15; 145:2, 5; 146:25; 148:19; 151:22, 23; 155:5; 156:13, 14, 16; 159:14, 15; 160:15, 22; 165:4; 168:7; 169:1 exhibit [56] 8:24; 16:3; 17:2; 20:17, 18; 21:21; 28:3; 30:16, 20, 25; 31:22; 32:4, 25; 33:4, 21; 38:2, 3; 51:7; 65:16; 66:3; 74:8, 15; 76:7; 77:9; 86:24; 104:6; 98:24; 105:17; 108:4, 24; 110:20; 111:13;

flier [11] 108:19, 21, 23;

110:10, 11, 19;

113:17; 114:9; 116:19;	fair [3]
120:7; 124:9; 132:1;	173:9
137:12, 15, 19; 139:24;	fairs [1] 11
140:10; 147:1; 148:20;	faith [1] 15
150:10; 151:25; 152:10,	fall [6] 45
15, 18; 155:6, 10; 159:16,	92:6; 123:2
22; 165:7; 174:4	familiar [1
EXHIBITS [1] 2:7	41:18; 61:
Exhibits [2] 5:14; 98:3	10; 132
exhibits [8] 5:17; 34:6, 10,	154:22, 23
15; 88:24; 114:16; 117:3;	12; 159
171:12	165:18
exist [2] 80:3; 163:10	FAMILY [1]
existing [3] 64:8; 65:1;	features [4
90:11	58:3; 100:
expanded [1] 131:17	February [
expect [5] 21:15; 65:6;	16; 88:11;
89:19; 90:8; 95:6	Federal [2]
expected [3] 128:6;	feel [4]
133:22; 135:8	172:6
expenses [2] 157:10;	FERC [4]
159:6	164:20
experience [2] 83:7;	Fessender
128:16	26:25; 31:
experiencing [1] 137:2	25; 32:8, 1
experiment [1] 98:16	54:18, 20, 2
expires [2] 176:22; 177:24	fight [1] 51
explain [4] 68:4; 156:10;	figure [7]
172:9, 21	77:9; 10
explained [2] 37:4; 87:17	158:3, 6
explanation [1] 37:7	figured [1]
explore [2] 169:11	figuring [1
explored [2] 169:10, 15	file [5]
exposed [1] 137:3	92:23; 148
extends [1] 64:9	filing [1] 1
extent [4] 8:9; 82:1, 8, 24	filled [1] 1
extra [3] 20:14; 133:6;	finance [1]
151:24	find [6]
extract [1] 21:21	82:18; 97:
extracts [1] 20:9	Fine [1] 50
	finish [3]
-F-	170:22
	finished [1
Facebook [2] 14:5, 7	firm [1] 25
facilitated [1] 127:3	first [16]

fact [7]

factor [1] 97:14

facts [1] 144:16

164:3

14:6;

54:14; 58:1; 60:11; 66:8;

35:17;

141:5; 45:24; 11:5 58:7 5:25; 46:4; 91:2; 22; 126:15 7] 10:19; 27:8; :3; 72:1; 131:1, 2:18; 148:11; ; 157:20; 158:9, 9:18; 162:14; 1:3 4] 52:23; 56:6; 10 [5] 30:23; 59:10, 131:4 2] 138:9, 12 170:22; 171:7; 138:10, 11, 13; n [20] 2:22, 24; :1, 10, 13, 15, 22, 14, 17; 53:10, 13; 24; 55:13, 20 1:5 22:3; 32:12; 00:17; 157:22; 16:5] 147:24 16:21; 45:13; 8:7, 9 64:23 13:24 1 83:19 9:14; 23:10; 11, 13; 156:19 0:25 77:2; 130:8; 1] 114:14 5:13 10:12; 25:4, 17; 27:4; 28:5; 32:20, 22; 44:15, 16; 74:2, 7; 87:14; 139:16; 144:24; 149:20; 166:23 Flier [3] 4:2, 4, 7

113:18, 21, 23, 25 fliers [1] 114:2 **flip** [3] 30:20; 31:21; 73:19 flow [1] 24:15 focus [7] 14:6; 71:6: 96:19; 97:23, 25; 98:9; 100:11 focused [6] 70:9; 89:22; 100:8, 9; 103:13; 115:20 focusing [3] 53:24; 54:7; 68:15 folks [4] 22:11; 83:20; 84:1; 163:21 follow [5] 35:14, 15; 64:13, 20; 119:3 followed [1] 64:22 **FOLLOWING** [1] 178:4 following [1] 164:24 follows [1] 6:6 for-hire [1] 99:5 foregoing [1] 176:10 forget [2] 24:2; 73:9 Form [3] 4:2, 4, 7 form [29] 11:6; 12:21; 13:10; 21:10; 29:24; 30:2; 35:19; 39:1, 9, 18; 41:2; 58:13; 60:3; 77:22; 101:9; 108:14, 22; 109:11, 16; 112:15; 113:20; 114:10, 12, 15; 124:1, 3; 127:2; 146:24; 153:14 format [1] 5:15 formation [1] 143:20 formatted [2] 17:17; 44:2 formed [3] 10:14, 21, 25 former [1] 111:2 forms [4] 28:19; 113:22; 117:3, 5 formula [1] 40:25 forth [2] 89:21; 119:4 forward [7] 16:17; 82:7; 84:12, 17; 85:12; 128:16; forwarding [1] 124:12 foundation [1] 51:23 four [2] 8:14; 105:24 fourth [1] 38:10

frame [4] 27:3; 45:6; 46:22; 118:2 Frank [1] 166:17 frankly [1] 168:20 free [1] 96:12 free-form [1] 105:4 free-speaking [1] 93:11 Freedom [3] 166:14, 17, freedom [2] 64:3; 92:17 French [1] 164:18 frequency [1] 153:3 frequently [1] 29:1 front [1] 143:7 full [5] 75:22; 138:25; 167:5; 173:9; 176:10 fully [3] 144:9, 19; 169:9 fully-hedged [1] 134:5 functions [1] 163:16 funky [1] 16:20 further [7] 141:6; 162:22; 163:8; 169:13; 176:7, 12; 177:9

- G -

gate [1] 166:15 gave [3] 15:2, 4; 103:5 general [8] 44:10, 11; 115:8; 143:7; 156:18: 157:10, 16; 173:12 generally [8] 7:16; 26:13; 37:22; 49:6, 9; 100:5, 19; 127:18 gets [1] 84:4 getting [1] 122:20 gimmicks [1] 107:13 girl [1] 110:4 give [12] 25:23; 33:16; 43:16; 54:9; 57:8; 92:10, 17; 121:17; 141:2; 169:10; 170:7; 171:25 given [6] 13:24; 50:18; 84:3; 176:11; 177:11, 15 gives [1] 17:18 giving [1] 37:2 glare [1] 16:17 global [1] 87:19 globally [2] 78:7; 88:16 gmail.com [1] 1:25

here's [1] 25:19

gmail.com. [1] 15:19 goal [1] 91:15 goes [4] 20:12; 83:2; 150:8; 156:20 Going [1] 145:7 going [83] 7:16; 10:16; 17:5; 18:8, 24; 20:4, 21; 21:24; 37:21; 44:24; 49:21; 50:19; 57:14, 22, 25; 58:6; 60:1, 10, 23; 62:6; 69:22; 80:16, 23, 24; 81:10; 82:25; 83:7, 10, 20, 25; 84:7; 85:19; 90:16; 91:2; 92:22; 93:12; 94:11; 97:16; 95:13; 101:6; 107:1; 112:5, 16; 116:11; 120:18; 127:25; 128:14, 15, 19, 25; 129:6, 8, 9; 130:7, 13, 18; 131:17; 133:19, 24; 139:15; 140:12, 13, 18; 143:24; 145:1; 146:22; 148:4, 16; 154:8; 155:17; 159:7; 164:14; 169:8, 10, 12, 16; 170:4, 9; 171:20, 23; 172:2; 175:1 gone [3] 78:15; 105:3; 156:21 26:3; 79:4: good [5] 100:6; 136:24; 169:22 good-faith [1] 81:14 Google [1] 115:5 gosh [1] 67:14 Gosselin [1] 41:21 Gotcha [1] 102:24 gotten [3] 10:12; 34:11, 25 great [3] 79:12; 80:24; 83:12 greatly [1] 115:9 grew [2] 102:17, 23 Group [3] 59:7, 17, 24 groups [4] 53:13; 103:20, 23; 122:4 111:18; guarantee [2] 112:18 guaranteeing [1] 112:3 guess [5] 20:23; 41:1; 110:7; 128:25; 138:9 guessing [1] 42:22 guidelines [1] 34:20

guys [3] 16:3; 159:12; 160:23

- H -

hadn't [1] 80:19 half [3] 7:15; 69:6 HALLETT [7] 1:20; 16:10; 20:17; 49:23; 73:21; 85:12, 14 Hallett [1] 1:15 Hampshire [16] 8:5: 68:11, 19, 20; 69:1; 70:10; 71:7; 128:20; 145:20; 146:4; 155:11; 161:3, 5, 16; 167:9, 10 hand [2] 63:13; 176:15 handed [2] 77:24; 110:12 handing [1] 141:15 handle [1] 149:11 handout [1] 109:9 hang-up [1] 144:1 happen [5] 7:6; 86:13; 121:5; 131:18; 154:8 happened [11] 22:23; 70:14; 80:4; 86:14, 15, 16, 18; 150:20; 159:25; 160:1; 164:14 happening [3] 52:1; 55:11: 95:18 happy [1] 82:6 hard [4] 21:22; 82:25; 93:25; 138:24 hasn't [4] 79:14; 144:19; 165:25; 170:3 head [1] 26:12 hear [3] 19:21; 20:2; 99:23 heard [6] 48:14; 51:24; 62:13; 63:8; 99:24; 107:15 Hebert [1] 155:14 heck [1] 136:24 hedge [1] 134:17 Help [2] 89:20; 147:11 help [11] 25:15, 16, 23; 26:3; 28:14; 43:13; 83:12, 25; 85:7; 147:24; 168:8 helpful [3] 43:17; 158:12;

173:18

helps [1] 84:20

hereby [2] 6:2; 176:3 herself [1] 99:9 hesitating [1] 120:14 HEWEY [106] 1:21; 12:21; 13:10; 16:8, 14; 18:25; 20:21; 21:10, 20; 24:4; 26:16; 27:22; 28:11, 14; 31:6, 23; 32:20; 35:19; 36:1, 7; 39:1, 9, 18; 41:2; 46:2, 14; 48:23; 49:5, 15, 17, 20, 24; 50:12, 18, 25; 51:8, 16, 23; 52:9; 57:7; 58:13; 60:4; 61:18; 62:9; 67:12; 69:18; 73:14, 17; 74:14, 16; 76:22; 77:22; 81:4, 18; 84:11; 85:8, 13; 98:25; 103:10; 104:2, 5, 7; 105:2, 19; 109:17; 112:15; 130:8; 135:3, 7; 137:8, 11; 140:12, 18, 21; 142:2, 13, 22; 144:5, 8, 12, 16, 22; 153:14; 156:19, 23; 157:3; 158:7; 160:25; 161:12; 162:9; 166:5; 168:4, 8, 14, 23; 169:8; 170:9, 12, 21; 171:2, 5; 172:11; 174:6, 14, 19; 175:4 Hewey [5] 74:8, 13; 137:19; 140:9; 142:24 higher [10] 61:21; 62:4, 23; 63:3, 19, 25; 117:17, 20; 118:20; 134:4 highlighted [1] 58:2 himself [1] 172:24 hire [1] 26:2 hired [2] 22:12; 23:4 historical [2] 118:21, 25 historically [1] 136:13 history [2] 19:3, 13 Hold [2] 74:12; 141:22 hold [1] 18:7 HOLDCO [1] 1:9 Holdco [1] 11:3 holding [2] 7:13; 13:1 holes [1] 153:22 holidays [1] 154:2 Holy [1] 170:3 home [1] 23:9 homeowners [1] 106:5

hone [1] 31:7 hopefully [1] 83:6 hoping [1] 17:7 host [1] 85:5 hour [9] 120:23; 128:15; 130:1, 20; 132:7, 21; 134:12; 136:6; 137:6 hours [3] 81:20; 84:8; 130:10 house [9] 25:12, 14; 26:2, 14; 29:19; 44:6; 65:23; 91:23; 99:11 housekeeping [1] 79:15 Houston [2] 163:17, 22 however [2] 53:20; 122:21 huge [1] 133:25 hundred [2] 37:3; 151:12 Hydro [2] 24:3; 119:25

-1-

idea [6] 79:13; 83:12; 170:18; 171:9, 14, 17 identical [2] 121:24; 123:15 identification [23] 8:11; 27:6; 36:20; 42:11; 53:6; 54:16; 59:4; 61:1; 65:14; 90:19; 108:1; 109:15; 113:14; 114:4; 116:8; 120:3; 123:2; 130:24: 139:13; 141:12; 145:3; 155:5; 165:5 identified [20] 17:1; 20:20; 45:8; 46:17; 92:25; 93:14; 94:13: 96:3, 10; 97:18; 101:1; 99:2; 105:20; 107:4; 146:25; 148:19; 151:22; 156:11, 16; 159:15 identify [5] 16:6; 143:18; 145:8; 171:11; 172:14 ignore [2] 36:7; 123:4 ignores [1] 131:20 impact [1] 153:12 implemented [1] 65:7 important [7] 35:11; 54:21; 56:9; 72:18; 96:23; 97:13; 134:25 impossible [1] 69:21 imprudent [1] 134:19

in-house [1] 26:7 in-person [1] 110:13 inaccurate [2] 62:8; 172:7 inappropriate [1] 86:4 **INCLUDE** [1] 178:4 include [11] 14:25; 66:4; 77:5; 89:17, 25; 118:25; 124:18; 125:11, 19, 22, 24 included [11] 78:13: 89:11, 12; 90:6; 98:18, 20; 119:9; 124:20; 131:21; 141:24; 146:3 includes [2] 126:14; 134:9 including [2] 6:17; 29:22 inclusive [1] 177:8 incomplete [2] 131:14, 15 inconceivable [1] 168:20 inconsistent [1] 129:13 incorrect [3] 53:20; 79:18; 131:11 incorrectly [1] 59:19 increased [1] 133:17 **INDEX** [1] 2:1 indicate [2] 18:6; 160:16 indicative [1] 156:6 individual [4] 25:15; 83:16; 125:16; 126:17 individually [4] 124:22; 125:15; 135:15 industry [1] 23:22 info [1] 19:15 inform [1] 122:16 Information [1] 138:14 **information** [23] 14:19; 17:8; 21:25; 33:16, 22; 35:4, 7, 12, 13; 62:16; 63:9; 64:9; 65:2; 78:21; 80:9, 15; 83:18, 19; 121:25; 139:17; 140:4; 158:19, 21 informing [3] 120:18; 122:13; 123:9 Infosystems [1] 23:3 initial [1] 94:4 Initially [1] 144:24 initiated [1] 12:11 inquired [1] 27:17 inquiry [2] 13:25; 33:24 Instead [1] 53:24

instead [2] 69:3; 79:20

instructed [4] 61:8, 20; 167:19, 21 instruction [1] 61:18 instructions [4] 59:10; 118:9; 121:17; 143:11 instructive [1] 173:19 intellectual [1] 14:21 intend [2] 141:25; 142:7 intent [4] 67:20; 68:15; 69:7: 77:3 intention [6] 48:25; 49:6, 9, 18; 87:9, 23 intentionally [1] 74:23 interim [1] 81:12 internal [3] 34:20; 117:24; internet [1] 115:5 Interrogatories [2] 4:22; 141:17 interrogatories [1] 144:24 Interrogatory [3] 145:7; 152:3: 162:12 interrogatory [6] 143:14, 23; 144:25; 156:6; 157:2, 3 interrupt [1] 171:6 introduce [1] 100:10 introduced [1] 98:13 introductory [1] 133:9 invoice [3] 19:8, 10, 12 invoices [2] 19:4; 162:3 involved [6] 82:4; 165:13; 166:7, 9, 13, 16 involvement [1] 164:8 issue [6] 50:23; 144:7, 12, 16; 169:14, 16 issues [1] 169:23 Item [1] 19:8 item [3] 19:5, 7, 9 items [1] 157:11 itself [2] 58:20; 164:4

- J -

janitor [1] 172:24 January [13] 61:9, 17; 62:3, 18; 88:10; 96:2; 100:24; 119:7, 15; 128:4; 129:12; 148:24 JENNIFER [1] 1:3

Jennifer [2] 4:16; 123:6 jobs [1] 163:21 jock [1] 53:22 jockey [1] 93:25 jockeys [2] 92:9; 94:2 JOHN [1] 1:21 **John** [3] 49:7; 73:12: 173:17 John's [2] 81:12; 169:21 Join [2] 4:9; 60:4 join [2] 27:1; 173:8 judging [1] 19:11 judgment [2] 166:25: 167:12 Julius [1] 3:2 jump [1] 140:11 jumping [1] 110:4 jury [1] 167:19

- K -

K-U-E-H-L [1] 83:16

KATHERINE [2] 1:2, 22 Katherine [5] 4:13; 120:5, 17; 140:16; 148:6 Kathy [2] 139:16; 140:5 Katie [1] 155:14 KAYATTA [4] 1:22; 20:11; 141:23; 148:8 keep [4] 77:2; 79:3; 125:16; 152:16 keeping [2] 162:17; 164:21 **KEVIN** [1] 1:9 Kevin [13] 3:5; 65:17, 18, 20; 106:10; 107:6; 117:10; 149:8, 24; 150:2; 154:23; 163:12; 164:1 kick [1] 129:10 Kiley [1] 99:5 kilowatt [9] 120:23; 130:1, 19; 132:7, 21; 134:12; 136:6, 8; 137:5 KLA-vet [1] 6:9 Kla-VET [2] 6:9, 11 knew [8] 102:20; 127:24; 130:3, 5, 15, 17, 18, 21 know [131] 7:16; 12:23; 14:6, 13; 15:9; 22:6, 22; 23:9, 21; 24:21, 23; 25:21; 28:4; 29:14; 30:12; 34:12, 22; 36:19; 37:8, 14, 20; 39:10, 14, 22; 40:2, 9, 16, 23; 41:4, 6, 7, 8, 16, 25; 42:3, 5, 7; 43:20; 44:12, 18, 19, 21; 48:2, 11, 15, 23; 49:8, 21; 50:15, 22; 55:18, 20; 57:24; 58:14, 22; 63:7, 11, 22; 65:7; 67:7, 14; 70:2, 3, 4, 12, 13; 76:23, 24; 78:20; 79:10; 80:23; 82:17; 84:8; 86:14, 16; 90:3, 7; 92:6; 93:8, 24; 95:10: 97:7: 99:14; 105:12, 25; 108:9, 13; 109:8; 110:4, 16, 17; 112:23; 113:10; 114:13; 120:13, 14; 121:3; 122:21; 123:24; 124:10, 22; 125:21, 22; 129:8; 130:11, 132:24; 133:10; 135:12, 14; 136:16, 19; 137:13; 138:1, 3, 4, 18, 25; 140:18; 144:4; 146:19; 147:23; 152:22; 157:8; 169:4; 172:7, 18 knowing [1] 51:2 knowledge [10] 7:5, 10; 60:8; 67:4; 69:15; 80:8; 163:9; 164:14; 165:20; 173:25 knows [3] 82:5; 172:13, 15 Kuehl [3] 83:16; 142:21;

- L -

157:7

labeled [4] 8:23; 50:10; 53:9; 108:2
lack [1] 114:24
lady [1] 164:18
laid [1] 171:18
language [3] 122:6; 123:13; 177:10
large [5] 24:2, 23; 102:2; 160:3, 8
large-scale [1] 101:17
Larry [1] 3:2

last [15] 6:24; 7:20; 20:14; 41:16; 67:4, 7; 74:2, 7; 87:1; 101:5; 104:6; 111:14; 117:2; 142:15; 164:8 late [6] 71:25; 95:8; 106:1; 128:4; 157:17 Later [1] 149:19 later [12] 25:5, 6; 35:12; 36:15; 43:17; 59:3; 77:9; 110:7; 117:7; 149:14, 18; 176:8 latest [1] 53:22 lawsuit [5] 11:14, 22, 23; 12:4, 11 lawyer [1] 61:5 lawyers [3] 143:25; 144:5, 14 lean [1] 16:17 learn [2] 97:12; 112:2 learned [2] 11:23; 97:8 learning [1] 11:22 least [11] 14:18; 46:7; 83:1; 90:13; 93:2, 5; 98:9, 21; 100:15; 104:16, 17 LeClerc [4] 5:11; 164:17, 18; 165:8 ledger [3] 157:10, 16; 159:6 **left** [4] 63:23; 101:4; 137:3; 163:21 legal [2] 34:25; 144:7 lend [1] 25:16 length [1] 28:23 Less [2] 4:10; 115:3 less [6] 151:7, 13, 19; 154:11, 19; 167:13 Letter [5] 2:14; 3:2, 4; 4:13; 121:15 letter [58] 27:25; 28:7; 34:5, 6; 38:19; 50:7, 25; 56:18; 59:6, 14; 60:18; 61:3, 5, 8, 15; 62:2, 7, 15, 23, 25; 63:4, 5; 64:16, 23, 24; 73:23; 74:19; 75:7; 76:9; 77:4; 78:13; 80:21; 117:14; 119:21; 120:4, 11, 13, 16; 121:8, 18; 122:6, 11, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24; 123:1, 14, 19, 25; 124:1, 3;

126:6; 169:3; 125:7; 171:21, 25 letters [11] 57:18, 19, 20; 121:7, 10, 11; 125:24; 126:1, 5, 10, 17 license [1] 164:22 licenses [1] 164:19 liked [1] 92:13 likely [1] 103:4 limited [2] 163:14; 173:25 Line [7] 178:6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 line [3] 9:15; 21:18; 40:25 lines [2] 17:15; 128:12 list [3] 66:6; 77:15; 88:23 listed [1] 140:14 listened [3] 46:9; 62:14; 89:1 **listening** [1] 47:2 lists [1] 137:4 litigation [1] 166:7 litigations [1] 166:18 little [12] 16:12, 17; 17:10; 31:8; 91:6; 103:18; 110:4; 122:9; 126:22; 129:13; 155:3; 172:2 live [4] 24:11; 29:3, 4, 5 lived [2] 82:14, 20 LLC's [2] 4:21, 22 load [2] 134:1; 148:7 local [5] 28:24; 90:10; 92:13; 98:14; 106:22 location [1] 17:19 logo [3] 108:12; 109:1; 110:4 long [2] 24:25; 63:23 longer [2] 122:16; 133:23 look [47] 9:11, 20; 16:20; 17:21; 20:24; 33:8, 12, 20; 37:7, 10; 38:2; 51:8; 53:21; 63:16; 71:21; 72:7, 24; 73:4; 78:4; 99:13; 111:12; 113:1, 9; 115:23, 25; 122:9; 124:22; 125:2, 14; 126:18; 139:4, 7, 22; 140:3, 11; 142:4; 143:17; 146:16; 147:3; 148:16; 151:21; 153:24; 159:14;

160:3, 22; 168:16; 169:2

looked [72] 8:24; 20:15, 25; 21:14; 27:9, 21; 28:3; 30:25; 31:5; 32:4, 25; 33:4, 11, 14, 22; 36:23; 38:3; 41:15; 42:12; 50:4; 51:18; 53:7; 54:17; 59:5; 61:2, 23; 65:16; 66:3; 72:22; 73:16, 25; 74:15; 76:7; 86:24; 87:17; 101:5, 25; 108:4, 7, 24; 110:20; 111:13; 113:17; 114:2, 9, 16; 116:12, 19; 117:3; 120:7, 12; 123:3, 16; 124:9; 128:20; 130:25; 131:3; 132:1; 135:15; 137:12, 15; 139:24; 140:10; 142:15; 150:10; 152:10, 15, 18; 155:10; 159:22; 160:24; 165:7 looking [23] 17:11; 19:3, 5, 11, 16; 20:5; 28:1; 34:7; 37:24; 50:21; 73:3; 99:10; 102:1; 104:8; 108:8, 10; 109:6; 110:24; 112:9; 127:11; 147:21; 158:5; 168:23 looks [47] 16:22; 27:12; 33:1; 37:1, 3; 42:14, 18; 43:3, 20, 22, 24; 50:15; 53:14; 66:4; 77:3; 109:25; 110:11; 111:6; 113:18, 23, 25; 114:12, 13, 17; 120:13; 123:25; 124:3, 10; 125:7, 10; 128:2; 139:11; 140:8; 147:10; 149:2; 151:3; 152:4, 11, 25; 153:7; 155:13, 14, 24, 25; 156:20; 157:9; 160:3 loss [1] 161:24 lost [4] 157:18; 167:3, 4, Lower [3] 4:2, 5, 7 lower [9] 62:21; 63:14, 20; 95:19; 111:15, 17; 130:19; 134:11, 17 lunch [4] 79:12; 81:5, 8; 100:15 lunchtime [1] 170:4

- M -

machine [1] 121:11

Maddock [1] 3:2 made [11] 18:15; 43:21; 62:13; 79:1; 82:9; 101:19; 106:15; 117:6, 7, 9; 125:8 magazine [3] 108:11; 109:9; 115:3 mail [1] 115:22 mailer [2] 115:11, 12 mailers [5] 115:4, 10, 20; 125:21 mailing [1] 107:22 **MAINE** [4] 1:1, 8; 177:3; Maine [226] 1:14, 16, 17; 2:9; 4:10, 13, 16, 21; 7:1, 2, 12, 14, 15, 19, 23; 8:3, 20; 10:4, 5, 8, 11, 16; 11:3, 16; 12:15, 24; 13:2, 8, 17; 14:7; 18:1, 15, 19; 19:22; 20:1, 2; 21:3; 23:6, 8, 12, 16; 24:10, 17, 24; 25:11; 27:1, 5, 13; 28:6, 18, 19, 22; 29:2, 9, 12; 30:5; 32:19; 33:2, 19; 34:19; 38:7, 12, 24; 39:6, 20, 22; 40:10; 42:2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 22; 43:23; 44:8, 11, 12, 19; 45:24; 47:25; 52:18, 21; 53:2, 25; 54:12; 56:2, 14; 59:11; 61:15; 62:6; 64:6, 13, 20, 24; 65:3, 19; 66:12, 15; 67:24; 68:3, 11, 16, 18, 23; 69:1, 5, 10, 17, 25; 70:3, 4, 5, 11, 18, 25; 71:2, 8, 9, 18; 72:5, 20; 76:20; 82:16; 83:6; 86:7; 87:8; 88:17; 93:17; 94:16, 23; 97:3, 21; 99:6, 11, 16; 100:1; 101:21; 102:11, 21, 104:20; 108:15; 109:10; 111:3, 15, 16, 21; 112:12, 16, 19, 24; 113:7; 114:21, 25; 115:24; 116:16; 117:4, 13, 23; 118:6, 18, 24; 119:15; 120:4; 121:2, 21; 123:6;

125:11; 126:24; 127:17; 129:25; 131:7; 132:4, 6, 16, 19, 22, 25; 133:21; 135:12, 14; 137:3; 138:20, 21; 139:8; 140:5, 16; 141:18, 19, 23; 143:19; 145:9, 16, 20; 146:1, 8, 12; 147:13; 149:7; 151:1, 9; 152:20; 153:8; 157:7, 25; 158:13; 160:17; 161:4, 5, 11, 15, 19, 20; 164:10, 13; 165:14, 20; 167:6, 7; 171:13; 172:8; 176:3, 17 Maine's [29] 7:6, 10; 10:19, 23; 12:18; 34:24; 44:16; 62:20; 63:14, 18; 66:2; 77:12, 21; 78:10, 18; 87:23; 88:8, 18; 101:16; 103:12, 14; 120:19; 123:10; 134:1; 157:20; 162:7, 14; 163:9; 164:9 Maine.com [1] 112:1 Mainers [1] 137:3 major [1] 153:20 make [17] 12:10; 25:20; 26:3; 43:25; 52:6; 60:21; 73:8; 79:25; 82:15; 84:2; 87:21; 97:1; 133:4; 138:19; 142:11; 144:18; 164:24 makes [2] 16:8; 36:14 making [5] 51:22; 79:7; 84:1; 107:9; 112:17 manage [1] 23:4 management [1] 164:2 mandates [2] 79:10; 85:24 manifested [1] 169:17 Many [2] 32:5; 94:2 many [9] 25:22; 54:4; 86:13; 91:15, 22; 121:1, 3, March [31] 18:4; 31:23; 32:2; 59:10, 16; 74:4, 9; 75:1, 10, 13, 17, 23; 76:2, 10, 14, 17; 77:10, 19; 78:2, 9, 14; 79:20; 87:2, 18, 22; 88:5, 7, 12, 13, 17; 129:11 mark [1] 145:1 marked [31] 8:11, 12; 27:6, 7; 36:20, 21; 42:9, 11; 53:6; 54:16; 59:4; 61:1; 65:14, 15; 90:19; 108:1; 109:14, 15; 113:14; 114:4, 5; 116:8; 120:2, 3; 123:2; 130:24; 139:12; 141:12; 145:2; 155:5; 165:4 market [9] 40:13; 56:13; 72:24; 90:11; 97:15; 111:20; 131:18; 134:15; 137:1 marketing [43] 25:13; 26:8, 12, 22; 34:20; 65:23, 24; 66:2; 96:25; 97:23; 102:10, 15; 103:8, 12; 106:16; 107:10; 115:8; 143:19; 145:10, 16, 23; 149:6, 17; 150:14, 17; 151:2, 4, 10; 153:9, 13; 155:11; 156:7; 157:14; 160:11; 161:1, 4, 21; 162:1, 14; 171:13; 172:9 markings [1] 109:5 mass [1] 103:19 Massachusetts [6] 8:6, 8; 128:21; 145:21; 147:15; 161:18 match [1] 22:15 matching [1] 22:11 material [6] 4:3, 5, 8; 25:12; 29:19; 35:7 materials [4] 34:21; 171:13; 172:9 math [8] 39:16, 21, 22; 40:2, 17; 41:4, 6; 101:24 matter [7] 49:23, 24; 52:7; 79:16; 170:2; 171:8, 17 matters [7] 9:1; 40:20; 81:16; 82:2; 169:5; 170:1; 173:22 mature [1] 103:19 Maybe [1] 110:14 maybe [3] 79:11; 114:15; 150:22 mean [18] 6:22; 24:4, 8; 47:23; 37:13; 49:25; 59:7; 62:24; 69:15; 82:13, 19; 84:20; 100:6; 106:24; 159:4; 163:25;

171:6

meaning [1] 117:7 means [4] 37:14; 85:6; 146:19; 159:5 meant [1] 87:15 measuring [1] 21:16 Media [1] 2:16 media [14] 14:14; 29:21; 37:1, 4, 6, 11, 13, 16, 20, 23; 44:5; 75:20; 103:19; 162:3 medium [3] 24:1; 91:20; 106:25 medium-scale [1] 101:17 medium-size [1] 23:18 mediums [1] 95:10 meet [1] 73:10 meetings [2] 65:13; 147:11 MELISSA [1] 1:21 Melissa [5] 73:11; 80:1; 82:13; 172:7, 21 membership [1] 147:11 memorized [1] 140:22 mention [4] 55:21; 66:7; 124:5, 7 mentioned [1] 90:14 mentions [2] 54:22; 55:5 message [18] 3:5; 4:15; 5:11; 37:1, 9, 21, 23; 39:5; 45:25; 46:6; 94:5, 6, 20; 95:24: 103:2; 123:5; 153:17; 154:6 Messages [1] 2:16 messages [3] 46:5, 8; 103:24 messaging [2] 37:11, 13 meter [8] 17:19, 21, 24; 21:8, 13, 15; 22:2, 25 middle [1] 24:13 million [19] 38:12, 17, 21, 24; 39:7, 14, 17, 23; 40:2, 3, 7, 24; 41:5; 42:5; 101:6, 15; 131:8; 138:22; 167:14 mind [1] 169:7 minimal [1] 70:24 minus [1] 121:24 minute [1] 76:5 minutes [3] 81:6; 85:14; 168:13

misleading [2] 36:15; 63:7 misrepresent [2] 74:22, 23 misrepresents [1] 35:11 missing [1] 16:10 mistake [1] 138:19 Mitch [7] 27:12; 65:21; 73:24: 77:17: 86:15: 87:17; 126:2 Mitch's [1] 29:15 Mitchell [5] 2:14; 3:2, 4, 6; 73:17 money [43] 25:2; 35:25; 45:18; 46:7, 10; 54:13; 59:12, 17, 20; 60:2, 6, 10, 12, 23; 67:5, 6, 9, 11; 69:23; 71:1, 10, 14, 20; 72:6, 21, 23; 93:3; 96:16; 105:6; 107:13; 122:17; 127:25; 136:22; 149:16; 150:15; 151:1, 9; 152:6; 153:12; 155:1 moneys [1] 150:4 month [7] 11:10; 19:10, 12; 45:21; 128:15; 143:19; 162:24 month-to-month [2] 145:8, Monthly [3] 4:2, 5, 7 monthly [5] 38:6; 94:8; 139:20; 148:23; 155:19 months [6] 15:18; 18:3; 82:23; 98:16, 17; 144:20 More [1] 29:1 more [61] 13:16; 31:8; 37:7; 38:12, 24; 39:7; 54:9, 10; 56:13; 57:14, 23, 25; 58:1, 6, 11, 15, 18, 20, 23; 70:9; 82:5, 20; 92:13, 14, 15, 17, 20; 93:5, 19; 96:22, 23; 97:6; 98:14; 102:20; 103:19; 112:2; 116:23; 119:6; 122:9; 131:8; 133:4; 138:22; 148:5; 151:1, 6; 152:14; 155:14, 20; 157:15, 23; 158:18, 21, 23; 159:21; 163:13; 164:12, 23; 172:3 morning [2] 50:5; 170:18 most [11] 42:23; 71:6; 79:6; 90:13; 91:12; 97:13; 54:8;

103:4; 100:7; 121:5; 172:13, 15 mostly [2] 14:6; 103:16 move [3] 28:15; 82:25; 134:12 moved [2] 23:10; 163:16 Moving [1] 85:12 moving [1] 55:6 MPUC [2] 27:24; 30:23 much [26] 9:13, 23; 25:20; 33:16; 56:15; 70:22; 71:8, 11, 16; 81:5; 82:20; 86:22; 92:8: 105:4: 119:12: 131:17; 145:9, 15; 151:8, 11, 16; 153:12; 155:17; 156:6; 160:16; 172:15 multiple [4] 2:22; 46:5; 80:4; 94:2 Muriel [5] 5:11; 164:17, 18; 165:8, 22 must [6] 76:16; 77:6, 14, 16: 78:13: 169:6 mutually-agreeable [1] 81:12 myself [7] 9:12, 21; 41:23; 117:10; 149:8, 14; 164:1

- N -

Nadeau [2] 83:17, 23 naked [1] 134:23 name [6] 8:7; 41:19; 79:17; 109:5; 148:10; 164:18 named [4] 17:9, 12; 83:16; 166:17 names [4] 23:24; 26:15; 155:25; 174:22 narrow [1] 131:19 native [1] 148:9 near [2] 49:1, 11 necessarily [4] 68:8, 14; 76:25; 102:19 need [10] 49:23; 51:5; 68:4; 80:11; 81:5; 147:24; 157:23; 161:12; 168:5; 170:22 needed [3] 60:20; 73:8; 163:24 needing [1] 66:5

56:5; 116:23 News [1] 4:12 newspaper [8] 25:5, 18; 108:11, 20; 109:7; 115:2; 116:15 newspapers [3] 107:23; 108:15, 18 next [16] 18:8, 24; 31:21; 32:18, 20; 33:2, 13; 43:4; 82:23; 101:22; 128:11, 18; 130:11; 148:16; 150:22; 170:8 nightmare [1] 82:23 nine [1] 98:17 Noble [3] 149:9, 25; 154:25 Nobody's [1] 171:22 non-radio [1] 114:24 Non-TV [1] 114:23 non-TV [1] 114:20 nonetheless [1] 102:5 normal [2] 14:2; 18:13 normally [2] 57:5, 7 Notary [5] 1:17; 6:6; 176:3, 21; 177:23 note [1] 53:23 noted [1] 177:14 Nothing [1] 12:2 nothing [6] 70:11; 71:4; 122:16; 129:20; 170:24; 176:5 Notice [2] 1:14; 2:9 notice [9] 79:16; 82:24; 119:2, 9; 120:17; 121:22, 24; 168:24; 174:3 notices [12] 64:16; 119:16, 19, 23; 121:1, 6, 18, 20; 174:5, 10, 11, 21 notify [3] 64:7, 25; 175:1 notwithstanding [1] 84:17 November [8] 92:24; 93:13; 105:15, 22; 107:2; 121:4; 129:13; 150:19 Number [35] 2:8; 3:1; 4:1; 16:24; 5:1; 8:13, 16; 20:19, 22; 21:1; 33:21; 36:22, 24; 37:25; 46:16;

nervous [1] 154:15

never [4] 15:24;

Never [1] 15:25

50:11, 13; 53:9; 59:6: 73:7, 20; 74:2, 7; 108:2; 110:18; 141:15; 143:17; 145:1, 7; 152:3; 160:14; 162:12; 171:17, 18; 173:24 number [19] 16:6; 38:17, 20; 39:16; 40:23; 41:9; 43:6, 7; 45:24; 64:11; 65:12; 98:24; 105:17; 121:25; 139:10, 11; 153:2; 157:12; 166:3 numbered [2] 30:21; 92:22 Numbers [1] 174:1 numbers [13] 16:10; 17:18, 19, 20; 20:14: 114:8; 139:4; 140:25; 141:3; 148:24; 168:12, 21 numerous [1] 171:12

-0-

oath [2] 142:7; 143:5 **Object** [4] 12:21; 13:10; 21:10; 39:1 object [5] 143:24; 171:20, 24; 173:16 objected [1] 143:8 Objection [35] 35:19, 20; 39:9, 18; 41:2, 3; 48:7, 10; 51:23; 52:9; 56:23; 57:7; 58:12, 13; 60:3; 61:12; 62:9; 76:22; 77:22, 23; 101:9; 103:10; 105:2; 109:21; 112:15; 118:2, 22; 119:11; 133:15; 135:3, 7; 140:17; 153:14; 154:12, 21 objection [4] 36:1, 2; 144:3; 170:10 objections [6] 143:8, 12, 25; 144:1, 6, 13 obligation [3] 81:25; 172:23, 25 observation [2] 79:8; 173:18 obviously [3] 41:10; 99:16; 163:15 Occasionally [1] 28:19 occurring [1] 22:6

October [24] 45:4, 14; 46:20; 47:4; 90:18; 97:17, 20; 99:1; 120:9; 121:2, 3, 4; 123:6; 126:16; 128:3, 8, 14, 24; 129:15; 133:18; 150:8, 12, 25 October-ish [1] 65:11 off-loaded [1] 133:13 Offer [1] 4:19 offer [138] 24:19, 22; 40:4, 13; 45:22; 54:22; 55:5, 24; 56:11, 12, 15, 17, 22; 57:1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 12, 15, 23; 58:1, 6, 11, 17, 21; 59:21; 61:21; 62:4, 21, 24; 63:3, 10, 11, 15, 19, 21, 23; 64:1; 69:24; 72:11; 74:10, 11; 75:14, 24, 25; 76:3, 4, 12, 15; 77:12, 21; 78:11, 19; 87:3, 4, 10, 25; 88:8, 11, 19; 90:23; 91:5, 13; 94:9; 95:20; 96:17; 97:2; 98:11; 100:2, 17; 101:8, 12, 17, 18, 23; 102:3, 6; 103:14; 104:19; 105:7; 106:19; 111:16, 17, 22, 25; 112:4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 17, 19, 25; 113:7, 9; 115:21; 116:2, 4, 22, 23; 117:21; 122:10; 124:5, 19, 21; 125:12; 126:4; 127:18, 22; 128:1, 3, 6, 19, 24; 129:7, 8, 10, 15, 16; 130:3, 4; 131:9; 135:6, 8; 133:10, 24; 136:23; 137:24; 138:17, 22; 139:20; 154:7, 13, 19; offered [4] 45:17; 63:14; 93:5; 134:17 offering [8] 54:2; 58:1, 18; 63:17, 24; 93:3; 112:13; offers [2] 101:10; 133:9 office [1] 121:9 offices [1] 1:15 often [1] 86:14 omit [1] 35:7 omits [1] 35:11 once [5] 26:4; 115:11, 12; 129:17; 154:10

one-month [1] 136:1 ones [6] 42:23; 88:5; 154:16; 157:4, 6; 174:12 **only** [18] 5:16; 8:14; 50:23; 81:22; 86:18; 103:21; 105:10; 115:12; 121:14, 18; 135:23; 146:1; 149:15; 161:6; 171:1, 2 open [9] 6:16, 20; 7:4; 16:21, 23; 134:14; 137:1; 148:9; 168:2 operate [1] 67:16 operating [6] 7:3, 12; 12:25; 68:6, 10, 21 operation [1] 12:24 operations [10] 7:6, 11; 10:20, 24; 23:13; 148:12; 161:20; 163:9; 164:9; 165:14 opportunity [4] 169:10, 23; 173:10, 15 opposed [2] 83:3; 101:12 optional [1] 152:25 organized [1] 68:9 original [2] 110:8; 148:9 originally [1] 145:11 originated [2] 8:1; 10:10 others [2] 1:4; 135:24 Otherwise [1] 68:20 otherwise [1] 158:10 ourselves [2] 56:12; 57:10 outcome [3] 166:19; 167:8; 176:13 outcomes [1] 166:18 outlets [4] 29:22; 37:6; 75:20; 162:4 Outline [1] 2:18 Over [1] 158:25 over [17] 16:10; 26:9; 44:4; 69:3; 72:15; 79:12; 82:22; 101:4; 128:11; 140:11; 130:11; 133:2; 153:2; 157:18; 159:20: 166:22; 170:17 owned [7] 7:15, 22; 8:5; 10:3; 53:25; 69:6 owner [2] 6:18; 106:11 owners [2] 65:18; 163:16 **ownerships** [1] 68:14 owns [1] 12:23

- P -

P.M. [1] 175:5 package [2] 50:14, 15 PAGE [2] 177:1; 178:1 Page [21] 2:3, 8; 3:1; 4:1; 5:1; 37:10, 15; 38:2; 73:19; 86:22; 111:12: 116:18; 131:25; 137:10; 178:5, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20 page [23] 12:8, 10; 14:5, 8, 9, 10; 18:8, 24; 32:18, 20; 33:2, 10, 13; 39:13; 41:16; 137:9; 139:6, 16; 141:2, 24; 142:22; 143:1; 158:20 Pages [1] 177:7 pages [1] 30:21 paid [6] 11:9; 99:7; 105:11; 131:8: 159:10: 166:22 paper [2] 114:24; 146:23 papers [1] 117:9 paragraph [8] 28:5, 17; 53:21; 64:4; 74:3, 7; 88:2; 111:14 part [16] 26:7, 21; 29:6; 54:15; 93:2, 5; 95:24; 101:4; 104:16, 17; 117:1; 123:4; 125:3; 127:21; 137:8; 138:2 particular [11] 12:2; 14:3; 19:8, 10; 27:25; 68:8; 72:25; 73:3; 93:8; 97:9; 173:6 parties [1] 6:2 Partly [1] 115:22 partly [4] 70:17; 153:16, party [1] 25:13 passed [3] 139:13; 145:3; 165:5 past [2] 14:25; 159:25 Patrick [2] 3:4; 128:17 payable [1] 157:10 paychecks [2] 10:6, 12 Paying [1] 4:10

paying [5] 36:19; 101:21,

22; 134:7; 135:18

payments [1] 160:5 payroll [1] 42:1 People [2] 83:3; 102:17 people [30] 16:9; 22:12; 23:1, 2; 26:2, 9, 13, 15; 41:6; 32:5, 6; 36:19; 54:13: 56:15: 60:23: 65:23; 67:10; 82:24; 91:12; 93:3; 96:16; 98:13; 102:19, 20, 22, 23; 117:7; 165:9; 173:2 percent [2] 24:21; 38:6 percentage [1] 24:23 Perfect [1] 28:13 Perhaps [2] 43:13; 71:2 perhaps [6] 21:4; 22:2; 26:23; 51:12; 160:19; 161:24 period [26] 22:6; 47:1; 63:2, 15; 82:3, 17; 89:13, 16, 25; 103:9; 115:1, 8, 18; 122:7; 124:18, 20; 125:13, 128:21; 18; 126:15; 131:16; 132:15, 23; 137:25; 149:22; 166:23 periods [3] 22:24; 92:4; 135:21 permit [1] 84:16 perpetuate [1] 171:22 person [12] 13:6, 7; 19:23; 81:1; 82:6; 83:22; 99:4; 168:18; 172:12, 14, 15; 176:12 personal [5] 15:15, 20, 22; 16:1; 121:25 personalities [1] 30:3 personality [1] 42:15 phonetic [3] 6:9, 10, 11 pick [2] 92:12; 134:14 picked [2] 133:6; 134:11 Picking [1] 23:25 picture [3] 70:13, 16; 131:14 piece [3] 14:3; 138:5 pieces [2] 15:11; 26:11 pitch [3] 43:21; 84:2; 168:22 place [3] 72:15; 129:15; 164:25 placed [1] 109:7

places [1] 25:15 Plaintiffs [6] 1:6, 14, 19; 2:9; 4:22; 141:17 plaintiffs [2] 17:9, 12 **play** [17] 45:5; 86:8; 90:16; 92:20, 22; 93:12, 19; 94:11; 95:1, 25; 96:8; 97:16; 98:23; 99:21; 100:24; 105:14; 107:1 Played [1] 94:18 played [21] 29:4; 45:9; 46:19; 49:1; 86:4; 90:20; 93:1, 15, 16; 94:14; 96:4, 11; 97:19; 98:4; 99:3, 22; 101:2; 105:21; 106:2, 8; 107:5 playing [1] 51:25 Please [2] 53:23; 152:17 please [4] 78:25; 143:18; 147:25; 172:9 point [34] 6:17; 7:9; 26:22; 33:15; 38:5, 10; 50:8; 52:4, 18; 53:2; 56:3, 10, 20; 57:9; 59:23; 60:18; 76:14; 79:1; 82:15; 91:8; 93:9, 10; 96:20; 97:24; 100:14; 106:16; 109:11; 118:3; 129:9; 135:2; 161:18; 163:8; 171:5, 21 pointed [6] 30:13; 74:8, 13; 137:19; 140:9; 142:24 pointless [1] 81:4 points [71] 29:3, 25; 31:16; 32:9, 14, 19, 23; 33:6, 17; 34:16; 37:5, 9, 19, 22, 24; 38:1; 42:15; 43:19; 46:11; 53:22; 54:3, 5, 9; 55:3; 66:4, 12; 70:6; 74:3, 9, 25; 75:1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19, 23; 76:10, 16, 20; 77:11, 15, 20, 24; 78:1, 4, 7, 9, 17; 87:2; 88:5, 7, 10, 13, 16; 89:6, 15; 91:24; 92:3, 11, 12; 103:1, 5; 104:25; 105:3; 106:15, 20; 148:6 polar [4] 72:9; 128:7, 8, 9 poor [1] 85:4 Portland [5] 1:16; 59:6,

16, 24; 176:17

position [10] 79:25; 82:9; 84:12, 22; 85:23; 134:16; 135:11; 169:12; 172:20; 173:9 positive [2] 24:7; 65:11 possession [2] 162:7, 10 possible [4] 63:25; 91:16; 112:12; 140:22 possibly [3] 82:18; 138:2; 156:25 post [1] 19:4 POWER [3] 1:8, 13; 2:2 Power [131] 1:14; 2:10; 4:2, 5, 7, 22, 23; 6:13, 15, 18, 24; 7:5, 9, 13, 16, 18; 8:5, 8, 20; 10:3, 9, 13, 19, 20, 24; 11:2, 13; 12:1, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 25; 13:3, 5, 9, 14, 16, 18; 14:5, 12; 15:1, 6; 41:24; 42:20; 44:7; 53:11; 55:13; 65:19; 66:14, 15, 17, 20; 67:5, 8, 15, 18, 20, 23; 68:1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 15, 25; 69:4, 9, 13, 19, 21; 70:18; 82:4; 86:7; 89:20; 106:12; 117:4, 23; 118:7, 15, 19, 25; 119:16; 125:11; 126:23; 127:17; 137:13: 141:18, 20; 142:23; 145:9, 16, 22; 146:1, 3, 12; 147:11, 13, 14, 15; 149:7; 150:25; 151:9; 152:9; 155:18; 157:5, 25; 158:13; 160:17; 161:19; 163:8, 25; 164:4, 7, 11, 13; 165:10, 13, 24; 166:7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 25; 167:15; 168:18; 173:18 power [13] 23:17; 24:11, 16; 37:2; 38:6; 90:11; 96:12; 102:4; 111:18; 119:24; 134:1, 23; 163:19 Power's [13] 8:9; 12:19; 14:19; 55:16; 78:16; 87:8; 113:7; 148:12; 153:9; 157:21; 162:6; 164:8; 173:8 practices [1] 118:15 pre-prepared [1] 93:9 predict [1] 128:13

predicted [1] 129:2 predicting [1] 128:11 premise [2] 85:22; 96:15 80:10; preparation [5] 82:19, 20; 85:4; 172:18 prepare [5] 9:9; 80:7; 170:25; 172:15, 24 prepared [30] 9:3; 10:23; 40:19; 43:2, 18; 48:12; 55:15; 71:23, 24; 79:3, 14; 81:21; 82:5; 85:10, 24; 135:16; 168:3; 169:18; 170:1, 5, 13; 172:1, 10, 11; 173:23; 174:9, 13, 17 preparing [1] 151:17 presented [1] 174:3 preserve [5] 11:21; 12:3; 13:20, 23; 15:10 preserved [3] 12:5, 6; 13:22 preserving [1] 11:24 pretty [7] 9:23; 79:4, 13; 92:8; 161:13; 166:14; previous [5] 94:20; 114:2, 16; 117:18; 137:9 previously [3] 28:25; 40:14; 122:25 Price [2] 4:19; 52:17 price [83] 25:22; 36:10, 14, 19; 51:21; 52:15, 19; 53:3; 54:15; 55:4; 56:4, 5; 58:2; 59:20; 60:19, 20; 63:9, 25; 64:2; 62:8: 71:15; 72:17; 74:11; 75:15, 24; 76:3, 12, 15; 77:12, 21; 78:11, 18; 87:4; 88:8, 18; 89:5, 10, 11, 12, 18, 25; 90:6, 14, 22; 92:14; 96:6, 24; 97:13, 21; 98:10, 13, 18, 19; 100:1, 8, 9, 12, 16, 22; 101:19; 106:18; 115:20; 116:1, 3; 122:5; 125:23; 128:15; 132:6, 21; 133:18; 134:4, 5, 9, 11, 17; 136:8, 11, 13; 138:24; 139:7; 154:11 prices [19] 62:21; 87:24; 102:4; 113:4; 122:3;

125:25; 132:18; 133:19,

22; 134:14, 24; 136:5, 25; 138:16, 19; 140:4, 13, 14, pricing [6] 36:18; 96:19; 132:3; 136:10, 12; 138:3 primaries [1] 96:24 Primarily [2] 23:3; 97:4 primarily [2] 97:10; 104:12 primary [6] 23:4; 46:6; 96:19; 97:23, 25; 100:11 principals [2] 82:14; 173:11 print [5] 95:12, 16; 109:1; 155:21; 176:9 printed [2] 113:4, 10 printing [2] 16:4; 147:12 Printout [5] 2:22, 24; 3:5; 4:15; 5:11 printout [1] 41:17 Prior [1] 119:15 prior [7] 8:21; 113:22; 119:7; 122:20; 125:19; 126:14; 127:10 privilege [4] 143:9, 10, 22; 144:21 Probably [4] 24:13; 25:5; 27:2; 155:20 probably [9] 28:11; 42:21; 72:3; 77:17; 91:13; 102:13; 111:4; 128:3; 162:23 problem [1] 169:14 procedure [2] 103:3; 118:4 procedures [2] 117:24; proceed [2] 82:11; 84:21 proceeding [2] 64:12; 85:22 process [11] 30:17; 44:4; 66:2; 91:21; 117:12; 121:12; 124:25; 125:3; 127:5, 8; 149:12 procure [1] 57:2 produce [8] 28:20, 23; 44:1; 59:13; 95:2; 99:10; 102:18; 172:23 produced [26] 17:6; 20:6; 43:14, 20; 45:3, 13; 46:12;

47:3; 49:2, 11; 51:9, 11; 55:3; 57:19; 80:9; 99:17; 115:14, 15; 140:23; 141:1; 145:5; 146:20; 148:22; 160:16; 162:19; 171:15 producing [1] 102:25 product [11] 54:15; 56:6; 57:4, 5; 58:8, 16, 19, 24; 60:17; 69:24; 143:9 production [1] 148:10 profit [1] 161:24 program [3] 37:8; 127:3; 155:12 programming [1] 126:20 project [1] 157:11 147:17; projected [3] 148:2; 149:3 projection [1] 148:5 projections [4] 149:12, 13; 150:5; 152:7 promise [5] 35:18, 24; 39:11; 56:16; 105:6 promised [1] 52:15 promises [3] 35:17; 100:1; 102:5 promising [8] 38:23; 39:5; 56:10, 18, 21, 24; 60:23; 100:16 promoted [1] 29:12 promotes [1] 29:9 promotional [4] 25:11; 34:21; 102:10; 148:25 promotions [2] 28:18; 30:15 proper [2] 63:9; 164:24 properly [9] 79:3; 85:23; 169:18; 170:2, 13; 172:1, 10, 11; 174:12 property [1] 14:22 proposed [1] 155:15 protection [2] 64:10; 65:8 provide [11] 20:21; 35:12; 80:11; 81:13; 145:14; 146:24; 168:11, 21; 169:3, 25; 173:13 provided [34] 5:15, 16; 20:8; 21:25; 24:16; 28:22; 30:23; 64:17; 74:4, 9, 25; 75:2, 4, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23; 76:14, 17; 77:7; 78:1; 87:2, 14, 16; 88:3, 5, 10, 13, 14; 91:13; 139:18; 171:15

PROVIDER [3] 1:8, 13; 2:2 Provider [133] 1:14; 2:9; 4:18, 21, 23; 6:12, 15, 18, 24; 7:5, 9, 13, 16, 18; 8:8, 9, 20; 10:3, 9, 13, 19, 20, 24; 11:2, 13; 12:1, 8, 10, 13, 15, 19, 20, 25; 13:5, 9, 14, 16, 18; 14:5, 12, 19; 15:1, 5; 41:24; 42:20; 44:7; 53:10; 55:13, 16; 65:19; 66:14, 15, 17, 20; 67:4, 8, 15, 18, 20, 23; 68:1, 5, 7, 13, 15, 24; 69:4, 9, 13, 19, 21; 70:18; 78:16; 82:4; 86:7; 87:8; 106:11; 117:4, 23; 118:6, 14, 18, 24; 119:16; 125:11; 126:23; 127:17; 137:13; 141:18, 20; 142:23; 145:9, 16, 22; 146:1, 3; 147:14, 15; 148:12; 149:7; 150:25; 151:9; 152:9; 153:9; 155:18; 157:5, 21, 25; 158:13; 160:17; 161:19; 162:6; 163:8, 25; 164:3, 7, 8, 11, 13; 165:10, 13, 24; 166:7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 25; 167:15; 168:18; 173:8, 18 provider [7] 35:3, 7, 11, 17, 24; 36:14; 119:8 providers [4] 36:17: 90:22; 131:7; 132:4 provides [4] 28:21; 29:2; 64:8: 65:1 providing [3] 24:11, 17; 31:16 **Public** [10] 1:17; 6:6; 56:2, 14; 131:2, 5; 133:21; 176:3, 21; 177:23 public [1] 63:7 published [3] 109:3, 4; 172:17 purchase [3] 62:13; 68:22; 163:18

purchasing [2] 111:18;

163:19

purpose [7] 23:16; 91:4; 104:10, 18; 109:20, 24; 131:12

purse [1] 154:16
Pursuant [2] 1:15; 2:10
pursuant [3] 1:14; 85:24;
169:19

pursued [1] 169:21 push [1] 84:3 puts [1] 99:9 Putting [1] 172:16 putting [1] 155:25

- Q -

Question [1] 111:14 question [41] 10:22; 12:7; 13:11; 21:11; 22:14; 28:16, 17; 29:15, 16; 31:6, 14; 35:22; 36:8; 39:2, 4; 43:5; 44:23; 48:12, 14; 52:24, 25; 58:4; 59:25; 64:20; 67:22; 72:19; 73:2, 19; 75:8; 84:25; 86:23; 95:4; 102:13; 118:23; 130:13; 135:16; 136:15; 140:12, 13; 143:17; 147:25 questioning [1] 80:2 questions [16] 8:25; 27:14, 17; 69:9; 79:6; 80:14; 81:15, 22, 23; 82:1, 2; 84:8; 141:7; 174:20; 177:12, 15 quick [6] 32:11; 109:18; 141:7; 142:5; 160:23; 163:2 quickly [1] 23:11 quintessential [1] 85:4 quite [2] 157:16; 168:19 quote [2] 36:10; 116:25 quoted [7] 36:18; 116:3, 4, 18, 20; 126:3; 134:15 quoting [1] 138:19

- R -

Radio [3] 59:7, 17, 24 **radio** [80] 25:5, 7, 10; 28:18, 21, 23, 25; 29:2, 9, 13, 22; 30:3; 31:15; 32:5, 6, 15, 24; 33:7, 18, 19; 44:16, 22, 24; 46:22; 47:14, 17; 48:4; 49:14; 51:24; 52:1; 53:13; 55:21; 60:5, 12, 14, 15; 62:14; 63:5, 8; 66:4, 5, 6, 12; 70:1, 7, 19, 21; 75:2, 4, 11, 19; 76:11, 21; 78:10; 86:3, 10, 11, 12; 88:17; 89:1; 90:16; 91:24; 92:7; 95:11, 17; 97:25; 103:4; 104:15, 22; 105:23; 107:7, 10; 114:20; 152:11, 14, 20; 153:1, 21 raise [1] 169:23 Rand [1] 26:24

range [2] 20:12; 139:5 Rate [2] 113:8; 139:23 rate [34] 61:21; 62:3, 23; 63:2, 14, 18; 64:7, 8, 17, 18, 25; 65:1; 101:16; 111:22. 25: 117:18: 120:22; 118:20, 21, 25; 122:14, 19, 25; 124:7; 125:19; 126:14; 127:11, 18; 129:6; 137:5; 138:2; 139:2; 140:22

ratepayers [2] 131:7; 132:4

Rates [1] 129:2

rates [12] 95:19; 111:15, 17, 18; 112:8; 113:6; 117:17, 20; 128:6; 135:15, 19; 139:19

rather [3] 16:4; 21:21; 142:16

re-enrolled [7] 18:21; 119:1; 120:19; 121:15; 129:18, 21; 135:5

re-enrolling [1] 120:22

re-enrollment [9] 118:19; 119:2, 9, 16; 120:17; 121:1, 6; 173:25; 174:5 re-enrollments [1] 121:5 re-familiarize [1] 9:21 reached [1] 61:19 reacquaint [1] 9:12 read [13] 8:25; 9:11; 29:3; 30:3; 31:4; 38:9; 64:4; 76:5, 6; 87:1; 142:16; 158:22; 177:7 Reading [5] 62:1; 64:6; 74:9; 87:2; 113:8 reading [20] 17:17; 28:5, 18; 38:5, 11; 53:21; 54:21; 59:9, 14; 61:14, 24; 65:9; 74:3; 75:16, 22; 111:23; 113:6; 116:21; 129:20; 143:18 reads [1] 42:16 ready [2] 84:1; 160:25 13:3; real [5] 32:11: 109:18; 142:5; 160:22 realized [1] 59:18 really [8] 7:14; 21:22; 91:8; 135:11; 141:3; 154:2; 160:7; 163:24 Reason [7] 178:6, 9, 11,

Reason [7] 178:6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 **reason** [15] 46:25; 47:5, 15, 19; 55:10; 62:6, 25; 63:4; 66:25; 77:17; 87:13; 124:15; 132:10; 165:24;

169:16 reasonable [1] 51:4 reasons [3] 22:15; 57:11; 144:19

recall [38] 10:18; 11:19;

12:14; 13:14; 14:10, 16; 23:8; 25:8; 30:11; 38:18, 20; 39:15, 21; 40:1, 5, 6, 18, 25; 44:22; 52:10; 56:24; 63:16; 70:20, 22; 71:2, 11, 16; 77:24; 78:12; 80:3; 95:13; 108:25; 125:14; 167:17, 21, 22 receive [1] 117:14

received [7] 11:17; 28:12; 43:9; 51:14; 59:10; 119:24; 122:25 receiving [2] 125:20; 129:17

recess [5] 48:18; 85:15; 116:6; 141:10; 163:3 recipients [2] 2:22; 32:3 recognize [3] 108:25; 116:13; 155:9 recollection [4] 10:10; 51:24; 71:6; 95:21 reconstructed [1] 133:10 reconvene [1] 81:11 record [36] 8:15; 16:7; 18:25; 20:15; 41:18; 45:10; 46:18; 48:20, 22; 51:6; 53:5, 19; 67:3; 78:22, 24, 25; 79:25; 85:9, 18; 88:25; 92:21; 98:2; 113:15; 104:9; 116:5; 125:16: 126:19; 141:9; 148:18; 156:2; 170:11; 172:3; 174:8; 175:5; 176:10 recorded [7] 29:1, 4; 47:18, 24; 48:15; 177:12, recording [32] 2:19, 20; 3:8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23; 45:9; 46:12, 19; 90:20; 93:1, 15; 94:14; 96:4, 11; 97:19; 99:3, 22; 101:2; 105:21; 106:2, 8; 107:5; 164:20, 23 recordings [1] 66:6 records [5] 44:14; 51:9; 80:5, 6, 11 redo [1] 68:20 reduced [1] 176:8 reference [27] 16:18; 19:24; 43:17; 74:5, 10; 75:14, 24; 76:3, 11, 15; 78:2; 80:13; 87:3; 88:11; 89:18; 98:18, 20; 122:19; 124:18, 20; 125:12, 19, 23, 24; 126:14; 157:12: 173:14 referenced [3] 105:8: 126:19; 127:10 references [3] 59:12; 90:21, 22 referencing [1] 77:16 referral [1] 19:23 referring [3] 59:17; 75:10; 104:3 refers [1] 19:7 reflect [7] 13:16; 45:10; 79:12; 140:15; 161:21; 169:4; 174:8 reflective [1] 51:25 reflects [2] 140:4; 152:6

refrain [1] 59:11 regard [2] 71:4; 85:17 regarding [1] 138:17 Regardless [1] 77:8 regular [2] 92:17; 164:20 regulations [1] 118:7 regulatory [1] 164:25 regulatory-wise [1] 164:25 relate [2] 21:7; 22:25 related [1] 112:21 relationship [1] 68:5 relative [3] 60:20; 72:23; 135:24 relatively [1] 22:18 relevant [8] 12:4; 29:16; 54:11; 81:15; 82:17: 157:5, 6; 159:24 reliable [1] 133:5 remedy [1] 144:9 remember [9] 10:6, 15; 25:9; 29:17; 56:25; 80:6, 17: 89:2: 142:17 renewal [19] 22:9; 57:18, 19, 20; 64:8, 16; 65:1; 117:12, 25; 122:20, 23; 123:21; 124:19; 125:4, 20; 138:6; 174:10, 11, 20 renewed [6] 18:21; 61:16; 120:19; 123:10, 18; 129:1 renews [3] 117:13, 17, 20 rents [1] 160:4 repeat [3] 52:25; 64:19; 83:7 rephrase [2] 59:24; 115:19 Report [1] 4:18 report [8] 18:6; 131:1, 13; 132:12; 133:2, 12; 137:4, 7 reported [1] 176:8 **REPORTER** [1] 176:1 **Reporter** [1] 1:16 reporter [2] 5:15; 176:2 REPORTING [1] 1:24 represent [4] 17:5; 21:24; 139:15; 173:17 representations [1] 51:21 REPRESENTATIVE [2] 1:13; 2:2 representative [2] 91:1;

100:4

represents [1] 19:18 request [5] 15:13; 22:9; 27:12; 77:18; 125:8 requested [3] 13:25; 125:2, 5 requesting [1] 28:7 required [1] 172:24 requirements [1] 84:15 requires [1] 172:14 research [3] 96:25; 97:8, 9 reserving [1] 168:2 residential [22] 23:17; 24:16; 71:4, 10; 91:18; 101:14, 18; 102:1; 103:21; 104:1, 11, 12, 16; 106:5, 19, 23; 107:18; 115:11, 17; 132:22; 133:14; 135:20 **Residents** [1] 4:10 resolved [1] 171:22 resonate [1] 92:12 respect [3] 79:8; 86:10; 143:23 respond [1] 28:6 responded [2] 27:18; 144:9 respondent [1] 104:12 responding [1] 29:15 response [20] 27:20; 28:17; 30:21; 33:23, 24, 25; 34:3, 10, 16; 43:10; 73:19: 97:5; 126:5: 146:17; 152:3; 156:6, 10; 157:2; 162:11; 169:21 responses [4] 27:15; 85:1; 143:14; 157:3 rest [1] 83:1 result [1] 111:20 resulted [1] 92:1 retailers [1] 154:4 review [1] 34:23 reviewed [7] 8:21, 23; 80:19; 99:16; 132:2; 171:1, 2 revises [1] 64:10 Right [3] 7:24; 150:18; 160:15 right [39] 7:19; 39:20; 66:14; 67:16; 43:13; 69:14; 84:6, 13; 89:23; 92:15; 94:4; 95:23; 107:1, 21; 121:15; 127:15, 19; 128:1, 7; 129:18, 21, 23; 130:1; 132:16; 134:13, 18; 135:2, 6, 17; 139:11; 142:13; 151:21; 155:3; 161:14; 162:15; 166:14; 168:2; 169:13 rights [1] 14:22 risk [14] 58:9, 18; 111:20; 118:19; 133:11, 14; 134:2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 24; 136:25; 137:2 riskier [1] 134:16 risks [2] 72:23; 133:25 risky [3] 134:12, 18, 19 **ROBERT** [1] 1:19 **ROCK** [1] 1:3 role [1] 49:8 Roughly [1] 94:22 roughly [7] 45:6, 15; 46:21; 94:20; 95:8; 105:22: 132:7 roulette-wheel-type [1] 134:2 routine [1] 12:24 rows [1] 157:24 Rule [4] 79:10; 81:24; 85:24; 169:19 rule [1] 172:13 rules [5] 35:14; 64:10; 65:8; 119:3, 6 running [12] 52:11, 14; 59:18, 22; 60:5, 6, 9, 22; 70:22; 92:16; 132:16; 160:6

- S -

sale [1] 7:17 sales [3] 43:21; 159:11, 12 sales-type [1] 32:6 salesperson [1] 19:16 salient [3] 55:3; 56:6; 92:12 Same [2] 36:1, 2 same [40] 11:15; 22:6; 24:7, 8; 32:16; 45:25; 83:8; 92:2, 4, 8; 93:21, 23; 94:2, 5, 7, 8, 20; 95:8; 102:11, 15, 18; 103:4;

107:2; 110:18; 122:2;	
123:24; 127:14; 128:21;	
129:16; 142:12, 19; 143:4;	
155:21; 162:25; 163:15;	
174:12, 22; 177:11, 14	
sample [1] 100:6	
samples [1] 25:19	
Sanborn [5] 26:11, 24;	
65:22; 117:8; 149:14	
Sanborn's [1] 66:11	
Sandra [2] 83:16, 23	
Save [1] 107:13	
save [25] 35:25; 38:5, 12,	
24; 39:7; 45:18, 21; 54:13;	
60:2, 6, 10, 23; 67:5, 10;	
69:22; 70:25; 71:10, 13,	
19; 72:6, 21; 93:3; 101:6;	
105:6	
saved [1] 136:21	
saving [10] 46:7, 10;	
59:12, 17, 20; 60:12;	
72:22; 96:16; 122:17;	
127:25	
savings [36] 35:17, 25;	
36:11, 12; 40:11, 12;	
52:16; 53:24; 54:2, 7, 8,	
23; 55:8, 9, 22; 56:11, 16,	
21, 25; 60:14; 90:23; 93:6;	
98:10, 19; 100:1, 8, 9, 12,	
16; 101:20; 102:6; 106:18,	
22; 115:21; 116:2; 122:18	
saying [27] 13:8, 13; 15:7;	
22:17; 37:18; 42:25;	
47:10, 22; 48:14; 51:1;	
54:12, 14; 60:9; 76:14;	
77:3, 13, 19; 78:12; 85:12;	
87:11; 105:10; 110:8;	
112:16; 116:21; 128:13;	
160:8	
schedule [2] 82:22; 112:9	
scheduled [2] 29:1; 81:19	
schedules [1] 84:3	
screen [7] 147:2, 6;	
148:21; 152:1; 155:7;	
156:9; 159:17	
script [2] 42:16; 73:3	
scripts [3] 28:22; 30:2;	
73:4	
70.4	
scroll [1] 152:13 scrolling [1] 152:16	

Scully [2] 3:4, 6 seal [1] 176:16 search [1] 115:5 Second [1] 141:16 second [13] 19:13; 30:22; 31:4; 32:21; 38:5; 39:25; 53:21; 59:9; 61:25; 64:4; 78:23; 82:16; 156:3 Seconded [1] 4:22 seconds [2] 28:20, 23 sections [1] 147:12 secured [1] 122:14 seeing [5] 108:25; 113:24; 148:3; 153:1; 157:15 seem [1] 32:5 seems [2] 22:5; 93:11 sell [5] 23:17; 25:21; 43:22; 151:17; 155:20 selling [3] 14:2; 58:7; 64:2 send [17] 27:23; 61:8, 15; 62:1, 7, 23, 25; 63:5; 78:9; 83:8; 121:2; 126:3, 21, 24; 127:4; 142:2; 171:21 sending [1] 127:5 sends [2] 78:8; 121:7 sense [1] 16:8 sensitive [1] 154:24 sent [37] 13:20; 28:2; 31:17, 18; 32:13; 33:25; 34:13, 14; 43:23; 50:14, 17; 55:18; 62:15; 88:17; 119:16; 120:11, 15, 16, 17; 121:3, 6, 20; 122:22; 123:22; 124:17, 19, 24; 125:7; 126:5, 15; 127:24; 128:2, 23; 129:5; 147:19; 174:10, 21 sentence [10] 59:9; 61:25; 74:2, 7; 75:22; 76:1; 86:21; 87:1, 6, 15 separate [2] 69:2; 168:19 September [2] 18:5; 65:11 series [1] 27:14 serve [2] 79:18, 21 served [2] 79:16; 131:12 Service [2] 4:13; 24:1 service [25] 17:19, 22, 24, 25; 18:18; 19:5, 8, 9;

21:2, 9, 13, 15;

22:2;

24:19, 22; 61:16; 62:2; 80:20; 103:15; 104:19, 20; 120:20; 131:9; 171:1, 3 services [2] 123:10: 166:22 serving [1] 14:4 several [2] 28:19; 101:10 severe [1] 128:10 shall [1] 166:15 sheet [1] 177:14 shell [8] 6:16, 20; 7:13, 23; 67:16, 19, 20, 23 shift [3] 54:2, 3, 6 shifted [1] 54:8 shifting [1] 53:23 **shop** [1] 109:4 **show** [15] 21:20; 77:4; 81:25; 107:25; 110:1, 2, 11, 12, 14; 113:22; 116:11; 140:23; 141:8; 146:10; 148:8 showed [2] 72:2; 160:14 **showing** [14] 8:12; 27:7; 36:21; 42:9; 65:15; 77:17; 91:10; 104:7; 109:14; 114:5; 120:2; 123:4; 158:20; 161:17 shown [1] 162:13 **shows** [3] 111:5; 146:6; 148:23 side [1] 71:3 sign [7] 18:16; 20:1; 103:17; 142:1, 8, 10; 144:5 SIGNATURE [1] 177:1 signature [5] 6:3; 34:12; 50:24; 74:1; 141:24 signed [13] 34:3; 73:24; 74:19; 141:25; 142:2, 9, 12, 14, 20, 23; 143:4, 25; 157:7 significantly [2] 118:20; 130:19 signing [1] 157:5 similar [11] 12:17; 29:12; 92:2, 5; 103:3; 109:16; 114:1, 3; 121:22; 123:22; 174:4 similarly [1] 1:5 simple [1] 136:14 simply [1] 80:2

Simultaneous [2] 144:11; 171:10 Since [2] 67:18; 79:24 **since** [4] 67:24; 102:1; 167:23; 170:3 sits [1] 50:20 situated [1] 1:5 six-month [1] 136:1 size [2] 25:19; 159:8 sloppy [1] 114:13 **slots** [4] 47:23; 66:8; 72:17; 153:1 slowed [1] 154:9 Small [1] 24:1 small [11] 23:18, 20, 21, 22; 24:6, 18; 71:4; 102:2; 106:24; 160:7, 8 small-business [2] 91:19; 107:19 smaller [1] 16:13 snapshot [1] 131:19 snippet [1] 93:18 social [1] 14:14 sold [9] 7:2; 11:2; 14:24; 156:24; 163:12; 164:5, 10 Somebody [1] 42:6 somebody [2] 32:17; 109:22 Someone [1] 157:25 someone [9] 41:10; 42:16; 44:3, 19; 114:17; 126:23; 127:4; 148:11; 157:20 someone's [1] 113:25 something [30] 12:17; 20:6, 25; 25:19; 37:19; 44:2; 51:5; 55:5; 59:19; 61:20; 64:2; 69:16; 71:25; 74:17; 90:8; 109:25; 110:5, 15; 113:21; 114:18; 119:6; 125:5; 144:13; 146:6, 9; 149:8; 162:21; 167:13; 169:6; 172:22 Sometime [1] 10:17 sometime [5] 10:15: 18:14; 28:9; 70:13; 86:18 Sometimes [4] 47:17: 65:25; 117:17; 129:12 21:25; sometimes [10] 66:8; 69:8; 92:3; 95:11,

102:19; 108:19; 12; 117:20 somewhere [3] 112:10; 117:10; 146:6 somewheres [4] 23:14; 153:18, 19, 22 sooner [2] 83:11, 13 Sorry [1] 156:12 sorry [3] 93:23; 160:18; 171:5 sort [8] 6:25; 51:14; 69:25; 84:5; 108:17; 110:12; 114:20; 126:20 sound [1] 29:4 sound-live [1] 29:5 sounded [1] 94:7 Sounds [1] 16:8 sounds [1] 93:10 South [1] 176:17 **SPARK** [1] 1:9 Spark [10] 11:3, 4, 23; 14:4, 20; 15:6, 9; 67:25; 68:1; 165:1 Spark's [2] 162:10; 164:15 **speak** [4] 36:17; 69:6; 122:18; 164:7 speaking [5] 32:17; 66:5; 144:11; 164:1; 171:10 special [1] 23:22 specialized [1] 22:11 specializing [1] 159:12 specific [5] 71:24; 80:3, 14; 173:12; 174:2 specifically [7] 15:11; 22:10; 78:12; 125:1, 5; 126:17; 174:1 speculate [5] 33:15: 34:11; 41:13; 48:8; 55:1 speculating [1] 30:9 speculation [1] 32:7 spend [5] 150:21, 24; 151:1; 154:17; 155:1 spending [2] 25:1; 151:16 spent [27] 38:14, 25; 39:8; 40:14; 86:21; 143:19; 145:9, 22; 146:7; 150:5, 15, 16; 151:9, 13; 152:6, 11; 153:12; 155:18; 156:7; 160:17; 161:3, 4, 11, 21; 162:17, 24

spinning [1] 172:4 Spirit [1] 170:3 **spoke** [3] 59:20; 65:13; 111:2 sponsorship [1] 147:12 spots [2] 28:25; 29:5 **Spreadsheet** [8] 2:11, 13; 5:2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 spreadsheet [11] 16:4; 18:9; 20:5; 21:8; 132:2; 140:14; 146:20; 147:22; 148:1, 13; 162:18 Spreadsheets [1] 4:20 spring [11] 59:23; 63:12; 110:3; 111:9; 112:4, 14, 20, 25; 113:3; 114:21; 153:10 staff [1] 73:13 staggered [2] 135:21; 138:4 **stamp** [6] 16:6; 43:14; 46:12, 13; 53:8; 90:17 stamped [5] 47:4, 8; 50:19, 22; 54:19 **stamps** [3] 51:2; 80:12, 22 stand [2] 21:13; 36:9 **Standard** [2] 4:18; 128:3 standard [145] 24:19, 22; 37:11, 13, 14; 40:3, 13; 45:22; 54:22; 55:5, 24; 56:11, 12, 15, 16, 22; 57:1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 12, 15, 23, 25; 58:6, 11, 16, 21; 59:21; 61:21; 62:4, 21, 24; 63:3, 10, 11, 15, 19, 21, 23; 64:1; 72:11; 74:10, 11; 75:14, 24, 25; 76:3, 4, 11, 12, 15; 77:12, 21; 78:11, 18; 87:3, 4, 10, 25; 88:8, 11, 19; 90:23; 91:5, 13; 94:9; 95:20; 96:16; 97:2; 98:11; 100:2, 17; 101:7, 10, 12, 17, 18, 23; 102:3, 6; 103:14; 104:19; 105:7; 106:19; 111:16, 17, 22, 25; 112:4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 17, 19, 24; 113:7, 9; 115:21; 116:2, 4, 22, 23; 117:21; 122:10; 124:1, 3, 5, 18, 20; 125:7, 9, 10, 12; 126:4;

127:18, 22; 128:1, 5, 19, 23; 129:7, 8, 10, 15, 16; 130:3, 4; 131:8; 133:10, 135:5, 8; 136:23; 137:24; 138:17, 22; 139:20; 154:7, 13, 18; 155:1 standards [2] 34:19; 117:24 start [25] 17:22, 24; 19:6, 9, 10, 11; 21:9, 13, 15, 16; 22:3, 21, 25; 23:12; 24:11, 24; 26:21; 44:18, 19, 22; 100:10; 121:7; 129:25; 131:25; 140:8 started [20] 17:25; 18:4, 12; 23:14; 24:25; 25:1, 8, 10; 80:18; 95:6; 96:12, 21; 98:5, 12, 15; 102:21; 103:16, 19; 125:22; 170:23 starting [1] 69:3 starts [1] 93:21 State [4] 1:17; 133:21; 137:2; 176:3 **state** [4] 79:25; 134:1; 164:23; 170:10 statement [8] 39:10, 13; 76:4; 87:19, 21; 107:15; 133:16; 142:6 statements [3] 36:14, 16; **STATES** [1] 1:1 states [2] 64:23; 137:1 station [14] 26:5; 47:14; 48:4; 60:5, 14, 16; 62:14; 63:8; 66:5; 70:21; 93:22, 23: 94:3: 152:12 stations [29] 28:21, 23; 29:2, 22; 31:15; 32:15, 24; 33:7, 19; 46:23; 49:14; 55:21; 60:13; 66:6; 70:1, 7; 75:2, 4, 11, 12, 19; 76:11, 21; 78:10; 86:4; 88:18; 91:24; 92:7; 103:4 stay [2] 54:21; 55:8 stayed [1] 163:13 stenographically [1] 176:8 steps [1] 13:23 sticker [3] 108:20, 21, 23 STIPULATION [1] 6:1

stipulation [3] 48:22, 24; 85:18 **stop** [9] 56:21, 24, 25; 59:17; 60:5, 21, 22; 97:7; 154:10 stopped [10] 52:10, 14; 53:2; 56:3, 5, 10, 12; 60:1; 70:22; 100:15 straddled [1] 133:7 strategy [2] 52:5; 153:9 street [1] 80:7 strike [1] 124:16 strings [1] 154:16 strip [3] 72:13; 133:25 strips [1] 133:23 strong [1] 84:2 stuff [10] 13:2; 90:3; 115:22; 121:10; 151:24; 163:15, 22; 159:19; 174:22; 175:1 stuffs [1] 121:11 styled [1] 141:16 sub-accounting [2] 157:9; 160:4 subject [11] 9:1; 31:19; 40:20; 49:23, 24; 52:7; 81:15; 169:4: 171:8; 173:22 subjects [1] 173:6 subpoena [1] 43:10 subscribe [1] 176:15 Subscribed [1] 177:20 substantive [1] 123:5 **subtracted** [1] 161:16 suggest [1] 171:23 suggesting [2] 169:20, 24 suggestion [2] 81:13: 169:22 summarizing [1] 100:18 supplier [2] 21:4; 154:15 supply [9] 18:15; 68:10, 17, 25; 69:2; 103:15; 149:9, 15; 150:1 support [1] 168:6 suppose [3] 11:15; 39:21; 40:12 supposed [3] 36:5; 140:21; 142:20 **supposing** [1] 31:17

Sure [4] 19:2; 31:9; 81:7; 119:14 sure [31] 12:6, 22; 19:7; 22:13, 14; 23:7; 29:16; 36:5; 42:18; 55:10; 69:8; 70:8; 72:16; 77:13; 84:1; 96:7; 98:1; 108:12; 109:5; 110:17; 111:10; 115:16; 119:4; 125:23; 142:11; 144:18; 162:19; 164:24; 166:10; 167:2; 168:17 surprised [3] 14:11, 15; 25:9 Susan [2] 3:6; 5:11 suspect [2] 22:7; 44:21 suspend [2] 81:10; 85:19 **suspending** [3] 81:19; 82:8; 85:21 **suspicion** [1] 70:8 swap [1] 66:5 switch [3] 95:15; 97:1, 2 switched [1] 21:2 switches [1] 18:18 switching [2] 38:6; 103:13 sword [1] 80:10 sworn [3] 6:5; 176:4; 177:20 system [2] 29:6; 154:14 systemized [1] 124:24 systems [3] 30:19; 158:10, 14

- T -

take [15] 13:23; 28:19; 31:4; 48:17; 82:9; 84:9; 85:14; 115:24; 131:17; 134:2; 135:22; 141:7; 163:2; 169:2, 12 Taken [1] 1:14 taken [9] 11:25; 14:1; 48:18; 81:8; 85:15; 116:6; 141:10; 163:3; 177:8 takes [2] 120:23; 123:11 taking [2] 75:19; 134:23 talent [1] 28:24 talk [14] 9:24; 54:10, 22; 71:23, 24; 73:11; 92:18; 117:2, 12; 144:17; 149:9; 156:25; 170:15

talked [7] 23:1; 89:20; 91:21; 98:12; 100:14; 153:8; 170:25 Talking [3] 37:22, 24; 74:9 talking [83] 29:3, 25; 31:16; 32:9, 14, 19, 23; 33:6, 15, 17; 34:15; 37:5, 8, 19; 38:1; 42:15; 43:19, 25; 46:2; 49:15, 17, 25; 50:1; 53:22, 24; 54:3, 5, 9; 66:4, 12; 70:6; 74:3, 25; 75:1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 17, 18, 22; 76:10, 14, 16, 20, 25; 77:11, 15, 20, 24; 78:1, 4, 7, 9, 17; 84:19; 87:2; 88:4, 7, 10, 13, 16; 89:23; 91:23; 92:3, 11; 93:9, 10; 96:22; 103:1, 5, 16; 104:25; 105:3; 106:3, 5, 9; 112:11 talks [2] 86:15; 145:19 **Tannenbaum** [10] 2:15; 3:2, 4, 6; 27:12; 65:21; 73:17, 24; 86:15; 126:2 targeting [1] 107:18 Team [1] 4:13 team [6] 26:8, 22; 101:24; 102:15, 16, 22 technical [1] 23:20 techniques [1] 103:23 Television [2] 98:6, 7 television [17] 25:6; 26:4, 5; 42:14, 17; 66:7; 75:12; 95:1, 2, 12, 17; 98:12; 104:10, 14, 22; 153:20 Tell [1] 11:8 tell [74] 8:18; 17:7, 10, 23; 18:8, 9; 19:6, 18; 22:10; 27:11; 28:4; 31:3, 6, 19; 32:16; 33:8; 36:24; 41:12; 42:13; 43:12; 45:5; 47:7, 25; 48:3, 4; 49:7; 51:1; 54:4; 55:2, 11, 20, 23; 59:16; 62:22; 63:4; 66:22; 75:7; 78:16; 93:10, 22; 108:5, 8, 10; 110:22; 111:3, 7; 112:9, 10; 113:2, 11; 114:10, 18; 127:11; 138:24; 140:24; 145:18;

147:8; 148:3, 13; 149:2;

151:8, 11; 152:4; 155:3, 17; 157:11, 16; 163:11; 166:6; 174:3, 10, 17 telling [8] 8:19; 17:12; 37:15; 76:1; 133:17; 168:14; 169:13 tells [1] 41:16 ten-month [2] 72:12; ten-months [1] 138:4 ten-year [1] 133:25 tens [1] 126:25 term [11] 23:20, 22; 24:6; 58:8; 63:24; 64:2; 96:22; 98:15; 114:25; 130:10 terms [9] 9:11, 16; 22:10; 23:25; 80:20; 82:19; 98:16; 171:1, 3 testified [13] 29:18; 44:5; 67:15, 18; 69:13; 80:19; 86:3; 109:23; 156:23; 163:7; 168:10, 12; 170:23 testify [12] 6:12; 40:19; 55:15; 66:16; 67:23; 79:10; 83:21; 141:4; 156:24; 173:1; 174:25; 176:5 testimony [10] 40:1; 43:18: 58:10: 67:21; 69:12; 79:13; 80:21; 110:9; 174:7; 176:10 Texas-ish [1] 23:8 Thank [3] 88:21; 144:15, themselves [4] 44:1; 57:1; 121:10; 166:12 thereabouts [1] 153:10 thereafter [5] 74:4, 10; 75:14, 23; 87:3 therefore [1] 58:17 therein [2] 177:12, 16 they'd [1] 45:21 thing [11] 33:23; 76:6; 79:15; 83:8; 92:8; 101:5; 110:18; 111:6; 118:4; 133:24; 157:22 think [95] 8:1; 16:14; 20:13; 22:20; 24:7, 8; 25:5; 31:7; 34:18; 35:14; 36:9, 18; 42:1; 43:12;

44:1; 47:21; 48:6, 9; 50:10; 53:4; 58:7; 60:11; 65:9, 10; 71:7; 78:6; 79:12, 21; 81:22, 25; 83:1; 84:11; 86:12, 14; 88:1, 20; 89:4; 92:12; 93:20; 96:23; 101:10, 19; 105:23; 109:2, 4, 7, 10, 19, 22; 110:2; 111:9, 10; 112:12, 16; 113:1; 119:3; 125:8; 131:11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22; 136:24; 144:8; 146:9: 148:4: 149:4: 150:11; 151:6; 152:12, 21; 153:5; 157:23; 158:4, 10; 161:12, 13, 23; 163:1; 165:12; 166:1, 24; 168:1; 170:4; 171:16; 172:1, 9; 173:9, 23; 174:20, 24 thinking [2] 87:15; 166:11 third [3] 25:13; 28:17, 24 this-many [1] 25:21 **THOMAS** [1] 1:20 though [3] 12:19; 95:20; 143:5 thought [1] 133:19 thousand [2] 11:10; 151:12 Thousands [1] 4:9 thousands [4] 126:25; 127:5; 141:3 three [9] 17:13, 15; 26:13; 105:24; 114:16; 131:22; 133:3; 144:20; 145:20 three-year [6] 72:14: 131:19; 136:10; 138:7; 166:23. 24 through [15] 8:25; 10:7; 64:13, 21; 68:22; 78:15; 83:1, 25; 113:16; 142:5; 143:20; 150:8; 173:22; 176:9; 177:7 Throughout [1] 25:18 throughout [1] 26:16 throw [1] 173:12 thumb [1] 5:16 tied [1] 22:13 Time [1] 118:2 time [94] 6:24; 7:9, 25;

11:15; 22:6, 18; 24:16, 22;

25:18; 26:9, 17; 27:2; 29:10; 30:14; 41:7; 45:6; 46:7, 22; 47:1, 6; 49:1, 11, 16; 52:1, 2; 54:11; 55:11, 24; 56:3, 9; 57:8, 9; 58:18; 59:21; 60:9; 62:8, 15, 20; 63:2, 6, 10, 15, 17; 66:8; 67:4; 72:8, 9, 23; 81:5, 11, 12; 82:3, 17; 83:4; 86:18, 22; 89:13, 16, 24; 91:8; 92:4; 95:14, 19; 96:20; 97:24; 103:9; 106:16; 107:11; 109:12; 115:1, 8, 18; 116:1; 118:3; 122:7; 124:17, 19; 125:13, 18; 126:15; 128:7; 129:5; 130:21; 131:16; 132:15, 23; 137:24; 149:22; 150:20; 156:19; 170:6, 19; 173:14 times [18] 22:7, 9; 29:4; 47:18, 20; 57:11; 79:4; 86:4, 7, 13, 17; 91:23; 95:13; 105:25; 107:21; 122:3; 154:1; 174:11 timing [6] 30:11; 50:1; 60:19; 82:22; 99:13; 125:23 tiny [1] 141:3 title [8] 147:21; 148:7; 152:8; 156:18; 158:15, 16, 17, 19 titled [8] 2:16; 4:2, 4, 9, 18, 23; 19:4; 20:9 titles [1] 156:17 **Today** [1] 89:3 today [19] 6:12; 7:7; 8:21; 9:5, 10; 40:19; 50:21; 51:2; 55:15; 69:13, 18; 71:23; 73:5; 81:20; 82:25; 84:4, 18; 163:10; 165:14 today's [1] 83:7 22:13; together [6] 136:17, 19; 149:12, 13; 156:1 told [5] 15:13; 56:20; 60:22; 72:2; 133:21 topic [1] 174:1

topics [9] 9:3, 10; 66:19; 82:6; 90:12; 140:20; 168:3, 4; 173:11 total [6] 152:20; 160:13; 161:1, 7, 11; 162:20 totally [1] 54:8 towards [3] 25:2; 54:3; 65:10 towns [1] 115:13 track [3] 79:4; 126:21; 162:17 trade [7] 110:1, 2, 11, 12, 14; 111:5; 113:22 traded [1] 66:9 TRANSCRIPT [2] 1:12; transcript [1] 177:8 Transcription [1] 176:9 transcription [2] 177:11, 15 transferred [1] 14:19 transition [1] 52:4 transitioned [1] 52:19 tremendously [1] 84:1 tried [3] 25:10; 68:19; 109:10 tripped [1] 87:12 true [6] 35:3; 133:13; 139:5; 176:10; 177:11, 14 truth [3] 176:5, 6 trying [13] 22:3; 25:23; 32:12; 68:4, 12; 69:4; 100:17; 108:8; 111:24; 156:17; 114:18; 133:5; 166:20 tuned [2] 173:3, 5 turbulent [1] 72:11 turf [1] 169:20 twelve-month [2] 135:22; 136:1 two-edged [1] 80:10 two-month [2] 72:13; 138:5 two-sided [1] 108:20 two-way [1] 80:7 two-year [3] 22:4; 58:16;

type [6] 25:4;

types [1] 14:14

105:9; 111:5; 137:2; 158:9

92:16;

typical [1] 25:18 **typically** [1] 22:17

- U -

ultimate [1] 158:7 ultimately [2] 26:1; 127:5 uncertain [1] 154:8 undated [2] 2:15; 4:22 under [5] 68:4; 81:24; 84:15; 142:7; 143:4 understand [14] 10:22; 12:6; 15:12; 21:12; 22:13; 34:22; 44:3; 80:13; 85:5; 87:11; 103:10; 118:23; 129:19; 133:16 Understanding [1] 49:13 understanding [1] 37:17 unique [1] 13:15 **UNITED** [1] 1:1 **Unless** [1] 51:8 unless [3] 19:7; 125:1; unprepared [2] 171:7; 174:25 until [4] 7:17; 64:9; 128:4; 150:22 upcoming [1] 82:15 update [2] 52:6; 69:2 **Updated** [1] 2:16 used [21] 39:22; 41:4; 95:9; 99:8; 102:22; 103:4; 109:6, 22, 23, 25; 110:2, 9, 22, 23; 111:3, 8; 113:2, 12, 24; 114:19; 153:1 using [6] 35:3; 77:4; 97:7; 103:19, 23; 124:24 **Usually** [1] 49:4 usually [1] 129:11 Utilities [5] 56:2, 14; 131:2, 5; 133:21 utilities [1] 23:24 utility [6] 18:14, 19; 21:2;

- V -

vague [1] 143:11 valuable [1] 131:13 value [1] 64:1

38:13; 39:8; 164:22

variable [2] 133:9; 136:2 variables [3] 40:16; 131:20; 133:4 varied [1] 115:9 varies [1] 22:1 variety [1] 165:9 various [5] 29:21; 31:15; 32:15; 37:6; 162:3 vary [1] 22:24 **VEILLEUX** [3] 1:2; 177:3; 178:2 Veilleux [10] 4:13; 120:5, 18; 122:13; 123:18; 129:1; 133:18; 139:17; 140:5, 16 Veilleux's [1] 123:14 venues [1] 153:15 verdict [2] 167:12, 13 verse [1] 173:13 version [1] 43:15 versus [10] 12:15; 38:13, 25; 39:8; 87:19; 98:17; 101:22; 146:8: 150:5: 161:4 Very [1] 136:14 very [13] 24:14; 54:21; 72:11; 92:2; 95:9; 131:3, 13, 18; 134:18, 25; 154:7; 160:3; 173:11 Video [2] 3:18, 20 video [11] 94:23, 25; 98:5; 99:3, 22; 100:4, 7, 12; 101:2, 4; 104:4 view [1] 131:17 violate [1] 68:18 visits [2] 105:5, 12 voices [1] 92:9 volatile [1] 131:18 volumes [1] 102:4

- W -

vortex [4] 72:9; 128:7, 9

voluntarily [1] 82:10

Wait [1] 141:22 wait [1] 150:22 waived [1] 6:3 waiving [3] 84:6; 85:22; 169:22 Want [1] 152:16 want [25] 28:14; 39:12; 44:4; 48:21; 69:10; 73:14; 79:18, 19, 25; 82:24; 83:9; 86:8; 92:18; 97:1; 101:20; 126:18; 133:9; 142:4, 9, 11; 144:18; 147:5; 152:19; 170:15, 21 wanted [11] 14:23; 55:4; 63:5, 7; 89:15; 92:14; 98:13; 103:18; 153:15; 155:1, 20 wanting [1] 56:25 wants [1] 47:14 Warren [1] 3:2 wasn't [8] 8:1; 14:3; 52:24; 113:24; 122:22; 126:23; 128:3 ways [1] 121:14 we'd [4] 84:6; 113:10; 146:9; 150:23 **We'll** [3] 59:3; 171:20; 173:6 we'll [6] 16:6; 77:9; 81:11; 92:20; 140:24; 146:24 **We're** [5] 49:17; 74:16; 85:19, 21; 160:25 we're [14] 17:10; 49:25; 50:19; 57:14, 22, 25; 58:5; 75:5; 84:6; 85:22; 99:10; 130:9; 171:19; 175:1 We've [2] 139:18; 168:15 we've [8] 66:9; 76:23; 82:9; 85:5; 139:17; 162:13; 169:20, 21 weakening [1] 84:22 website [7] 9:20; 12:18, 23; 108:13; 115:23; 116:1 websites [1] 23:10 week [3] 7:20; 92:6; 142:15 Welch [1] 128:17 Weyrens [1] 1:15 Whatever [1] 50:19 whatever [5] 39:12; 79:17; 84:22; 109:2; 170:21 wheels [1] 172:5 Whenever [1] 47:14 WHEREOF [1] 176:15 Whipple [1] 1:15

who's [1] 157:20

whole [4] 33:23; 76:6; 118:4; 176:5 wider [1] 131:17 Will [18] 2:22, 24; 26:25; 31:1, 10, 13, 15, 18, 22, 25; 32:8, 14, 16; 53:10, 13; 54:18; 111:15 will [34] 16:24; 17:2; 28:20; 38:12, 24; 39:7; 48:14; 54:13; 58:10, 14, 20, 23; 61:15; 62:1; 81:13; 83:12, 20, 25; 93:12; 111:17, 21; 116:22; 123:10; 126:11; 141:1; 165:3, 20; 168:17; 169:25; 170:7; 171:11, 21, 25; 174:8 winter [5] 128:10, 11, 13; 134:22, 23 winters [1] 133:6 within-named [1] 176:4 **WITNESS** [1] 176:15 witness [28] 16:14; 45:12; 79:2, 5; 80:3, 7, 12, 14, 16; 81:21, 22; 82:1, 5, 10, 13, 18; 85:3, 10, 23; 98:4; 168:3, 10, 18; 169:17; 173:4, 10, 23, 24 witnesses [2] 83:9; 85:6 won't [2] 26:19; 116:24 wondering [1] 43:7 Woodcock [1] 128:18 words [2] 115:5; 171:23 work [7] 41:22; 49:5, 20; 68:6; 99:8; 117:9; 143:9 worked [7] 30:17; 41:23, 24, 25; 66:7, 10; 117:11 working [3] 26:13; 114:17; 165:10 works [4] 49:7; 165:1, 22; 167:2 worse [1] 84:11 Wouldn't [1] 21:20 wouldn't [18] 12:23: 13:25; 14:10, 15; 22:15;

25:8; 44:18; 67:14; 90:4;

105:3; 132:11; 138:3, 18;

158:10; 159:18; 163:23;

164:4

write [4] 39:17, 19; 42:18; 79:19
writing [1] 28:6
written [6] 34:3, 9; 40:6; 87:14; 88:2; 99:14
wrong [7] 52:2; 60:19, 20; 62:12; 63:6; 84:18; 138:19
wrote [6] 39:20; 50:7; 73:23; 74:17; 80:22; 81:1
Wyatt [1] 79:17

- X -

XL-271 [1] 17:3

- Y -

year [20] 11:9, 10; 18:3; 38:13; 65:11; 98:17; 101:21; 111:7; 129:10; 135:23; 138:6, 25; 149:16; 150:12, 16, 22, 23; 153:19; 154:1; 166:23 years [13] 22:2; 80:4; 98:17; 130:12; 131:23; 133:3, 7; 145:20; 146:14; 147:13; 159:25; 167:23; 173:2 You'll [1] 116:22 you'll [2] 129:20; 171:24 yourself [2] 104:23; 148:12 youtube [2] 14:9, 10