# Blind Sig

Abstract. ...

Keywords: ...

## 1 HSM-CL Cryptosystem

review HSM-CL group and encryption scheme...

#### 2 The Blind ECDSA over HSM-CL

Suppose that the group generator  $\hat{G}$  of the elliptic curve used by the elliptic curve digital signature algorithm (ECDSA) has a large prime order q. Assume that the recipient wishes the signer (with the public key  $PK = \hat{G}^{SK}$ ) to produce a blind signature on the hash value h = H(m) of his message m, the blind ECDSA between the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$  and the signer  $\mathcal{S}$  can be described as follows:

- Step 1. The signer S randomly chooses an integer  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and computes  $K_1 = \hat{G}^{k_1}$  and sends it to the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- Step 2. After receiving  $K_1$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ , the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$  randomly chooses  $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and computes  $K = K_1^{k_2}$  and denote by  $(K_x, K_y)$  the x-coordinator and y-coordinator of ECC point K.

Next, the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$  randomly picks  $\mathsf{sk} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and computes  $\mathsf{pk} = g_q^{\mathsf{sk}}$  (key generation for HSM-CL encryption scheme).

Then  $\mathcal{R}$  randomly chooses  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and computes (follow HSM-CL Enc)

$$C_1 = (x_1, x_2) = (g_q^{r_1}, f^h \mathsf{pk}^{r_1}), \quad C_2 = (y_1, y_2) = (g_q^{r_2}, f^{K_x} \mathsf{pk}^{r_2})$$

and generate a NIZK proof  $\pi$  for the well-formedness of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

– Step 3. After receiving  $C_1, C_2, \pi$ , S firstly checks the validity of  $(C_1, C_2)$  by  $\pi$ . If invalid, reject and abort; if valid, S randomly chooses  $r'_1, r'_2, r'_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and computes

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (y_1^{\mathsf{SK}} g_q^{r_1'}, y_2^{\mathsf{SK}} \mathsf{pk}^{r_1'})$$

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\alpha_1 x_1 g_q^{r_2'}, \alpha_2 x_2 \mathsf{pk}^{r_2'})$$

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (\beta_1^{k_1} q_q^{r_3'}, \beta_2^{k_1} \mathsf{pk}^{r_3'})$$

and sends  $\gamma$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

– Step 4. After receiving  $\gamma$ , the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$  computes

$$s = k_1^{-1} \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1^{\rm sk}}$$

In the end, the recipient  $\mathcal{R}$  obtains a blind signature  $(K_x, s)$ .

## 3 Zero-knowledge Proof

Let  $(\tilde{s}, g, f, g_q, \tilde{G}, G, F, G^q) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}_{\mathsf{HSM},q}(1^{\lambda})$ . The ECC group generated by generator  $\hat{G}$  has a large prime order q. We need to prove the following relation when sending  $(C_1, C_2)$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{Enc}} &= \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, \mathsf{pk}, f, g_q) : (h, K_x, r_1, r_2, \mathsf{sk}) | \\ x_1 &= g_q^{r_1} \wedge x_2 = f^h \mathsf{pk}^{r_1} \wedge y_1 = g_q^{r_2} \wedge y_2 = f^{K_x} \mathsf{pk}^{r_2}. \} \end{split}$$

where  $B = 2^{\lambda + \epsilon_d + 2} \tilde{s}, \ \epsilon_d = 80.$ 

1. Prover chooses  $s_1, s_2, s_h, s_x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} [-B, B]$  and computes:

$$S_1 = g_a^{s_1}, \quad S_2 = f^{s_h} \mathsf{pk}^{s_1}, \quad S_3 = g_a^{s_2}, \quad S_4 = f^{s_x} \mathsf{pk}^{s_2},$$

Prover sends  $(S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4)$  to the verifier.

- 2. Verifier sends  $c \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} [0, q-1]$  to the prover.
- 3. Prover computes:

$$\begin{split} u_1 &= s_1 + c r_1, & u_2 &= s_2 + c r_2, \\ u_h &= s_h + c \cdot h, & u_x &= s_x + c \cdot K x, \end{split}$$

Prover finds  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $e_1, e_2 \in [0, q-1]$  s.t.  $u_1 = d_1q + e_1$ ,  $u_2 = d_2q + e_2$ ,  $u_h = d_hq + e_h$ ,  $u_x = d_xq + e_x$ . Prover computes:

$$D_1 = g_q^{d_1}, \quad D_2 = \mathsf{pk}^{d_1}, \quad D_3 = g_q^{d_2}, \quad D_4 = \mathsf{pk}^{d_2}.$$

Prover sends  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, e_1, e_2, u_\rho)$  to the verifier.

4. The verifier checks if  $e_1, e_2, u_\rho \in [0, q-1]$  and:

$$D_1^q g_q^{e_1} = S_1 x_1^c, \quad D_2^q \mathsf{pk}^{e_1} f^{u_h} = S_2 x_2^c, \\ D_3^q g_q^{e_2} = S_3 y_1^c, \quad D_4^q \mathsf{pk}^{e_2} f^{u_x} = S_4 y_2^c$$

If so, the verifier sends  $\ell \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{Primes}(\lambda)$ .

5. Prover finds  $q_1,q_2\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in[0,\ell-1]$  s.t.  $u_1=q_1\ell+\gamma_1$  and  $u_2=q_2\ell+\gamma_2$ . Prover computes:

$$Q_1 = g_q^{q_1}, \quad Q_2 = \mathsf{pk}^{q_1}, \quad Q_3 = g_q^{q_2}, \quad Q_4 = \mathsf{pk}^{q_2}.$$

Prover sends  $(Q_1,Q_2,Q_3,Q_4,\gamma_1,\gamma_2)$  to the verifier.

6. Verifier accepts if  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, \ell - 1]$  and:

$$\begin{split} Q_1^q g_q^{\gamma_1} &= S_1 x_1^c, \quad Q_2^q \mathsf{pk}^{\gamma_1} f^{u_h} = S_2 x_2^c, \\ Q_3^q g_q^{\gamma_2} &= S_3 y_1^c, \quad Q_4^q \mathsf{pk}^{\gamma_2} f^{u_x} = S_4 y_2^c, \end{split}$$

## 4 Implementation

We implement the blind ECDSA scheme and our scheme over HSM-CL. ZK part dominates the running time for both schemesur scheme but our ZK waives the need to repeat many rounds to achive a suitable soundness. (ours should be much faster than theirs)

to update the running time...

#### References