

AMs, GAMs, and SpAMs

Zachary Weller

Department of Statistics, Colorado State University
wellerz@stat.colostate.edu

November 18, 2014



Motivation

- Regression plays a fundamental role in many data analyses
- In reality, effects may not be nonlinear
- *Additive models* (AMs) allow a more flexible form for the regression function:

$$E(Y|X_1, \dots, X_p) = \alpha + f_1(X_1) + \dots + f_p(X_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_j(X_j) \quad (1)$$

- The f_j 's give above are general smooth, nonparametric functions
- Non-parametric form for the f_j functions makes model more flexible
- Additivity is retained allowing for easy interpretation

- Analogous to generalized linear models, we can model the conditional mean, $\mu(X)$, of Y via a link function g to create *generalized additive models* (GAMs):

$$g(\mu(X)) = \alpha + f_1(X_1) + \dots + f_p(X_p) \quad (2)$$

- $g(\mu) = \text{logit}(\mu)$ for modeling binomial probabilities
- $g(\mu) = \log(\mu)$ for log linear models for Poisson counts
- Can mix in linear and other non-parametric form with the nonlinear terms (e.g. if one of the feature variables is a factor)
 - $g(\mu) = X^T \beta + \alpha_k + f(Z)$
 - $g(\mu) = f(X) + h_k(Z)$

Fitting AMs: population level

- Letting P denote the joint distribution of (X_i, Y_i) , \mathcal{H}_j be a Hilbert subspace of $L_2(P)$, and \mathbb{E} be the expectation w.r.t. \mathbf{X} and ϵ , at the population level, we seek the solution to:

$$\min_{f_j \in \mathcal{H}_j, 1 \leq j \leq p} \mathbb{E} \left(Y - \sum_{j=1}^p f_j(X_j) \right)^2 \quad (3)$$

- We seek to estimate all p functions, $f_j(X_j)$, simultaneously \rightarrow given sample data, this is done via backfitting

Fitting AMs: sample version

- To employ the backfitting algorithm, first choose a nonparametric smoothers, S_j (e.g. cubic splines)
 - Backfitting algorithm
 - set $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i$
 - set $\hat{f}_j = 0, \forall j$
 - Iterate until convergence (i.e. $\Delta(\hat{f}_j) < \delta$):
For each $j = 1, \dots, p$
 - 1 Compute residual: $R_j = Y - \hat{\alpha} - \sum_{k \neq j} \hat{f}_k(X_k)$;
 - 2 Smooth residuals: $\hat{f}_j \leftarrow S_j R_j$
 - 3 Center: $\hat{f}_j \leftarrow \hat{f}_j - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{f}_j(x_{ij})$
-
- Implemented in the R package 'gam'
 - Backfitting algorithm must be modified for GAMs

- ① AMs: synthetic data
- ② GAMs: predicting email spam
 - Data set containing information from 4601 email messages sent to George Forman from Hewlett-Packard laboratories
 - Response: 1 = spam, 0 = not spam (39.4% spam messages)
 - Features: percentage of words in a message that match given word (e.g. money), character frequency (e.g. ;), capital letters (e.g. length of longest uninterrupted sequence of capital letters)
 - Sensitivity and Specificity: may be more serious to classify a genuine email as spam than vice-versa. We can alter the balance between the two types of classification errors in two ways:
 - ① change the losses (i.e. change the posterior probability needed to classify a message as spam)
 - ② re-weight the data to encourage model to better fit data in 'email' class (i.e. upweight the '0' instances in the data)

Sparse Additive Models

- Sparse additive models (SpAMs): “Additive models only have good properties when $\dots p$ is not large relative to the sample size n ”
- May want/need to constrain the index set $\{j : f_j \neq 0\}$
- 2008 paper by Ravikumar, Lafferty, Liu, and Wasserman develops theory and implementation of SpAMs for both AMs and GAMs
- Derive what is effectively a hybrid of backfitting and LASSO
- Show that their estimator satisfies both *sparsistence* (“sparsity pattern consistent”) and *persistence* (form of risk consistent)

SpAMs Theory

Modifying (3) to include a scaling parameter for each function and an additional constraint provides:

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, f_j \in \mathcal{H}_j} \mathbb{E}(Y - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j f_j(X_j))^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| \leq L, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(f_j^2) = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, p \quad (6)$$

In penalized Lagrangian form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(f, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y - \sum_{j=1}^p f_j(X_j))^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(f_j^2(X_j))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Y - \sum_{j=1}^p f_j(X_j))^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \|f_j(X_j)\| \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Theorem

The functions, $f_j \in \mathcal{H}_j$, that minimize (7) are given by:

$$f_j = \left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(P_j^2)}} \right]_+ P_j \text{ a.s.} \quad (8)$$

where $[\cdot]_+$ denotes the positive part, and $P_j = \mathbb{E}[R_j|X_j]$ is the projection of the residual $R_j = Y - \sum_{k \neq j} f_k(X_k)$ onto \mathcal{H}_j

Again, we can implement the sample version via backfitting and soft thresholding algorithm. We can estimate the projection $P_j = \mathbb{E}(R_j|X_j)$ by applying a smoother to the residuals: $\hat{P}_j = S_j R_j$. Furthermore, estimate $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(P_j^2)}$ by $\hat{s}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|\hat{P}_j\| = \sqrt{\text{mean}(\hat{P}_j^2)}$.

SpAM Backfitting Algorithm

- Choose regularization parameter λ (can be chosen by GCV or C_p)
 - Initialize $\hat{f}_j = 0, \forall j$
 - Iterate until convergence:
 - For each $j = 1, \dots, p$
 - 1 Compute residual: $R_j = Y - \hat{\alpha} - \sum_{k \neq j} \hat{f}_k(X_k)$;
 - 2 Estimate $P_j = \mathbb{E}(R_j|X_j)$ by smoothing: $\hat{P}_j = S_j R_j$;
 - 3 Estimate norm: $\hat{s}_j^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{P}_j^2(x_{ij})$;
 - 4 Soft threshold: $\hat{f}_j = [1 - \lambda/\hat{s}_j]_+ \hat{P}_j$;
 - 5 Center: $\hat{f}_j \leftarrow \hat{f}_j - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{f}_j(x_{ij})$
-
- Not currently implemented in *R*
 - A related algorithm, *sparse additive machine*, is implemented in the 'SAM' package \leftarrow can be viewed as a functional version of support vector machines

The End

References:

- Hastie, Trevor, et al. *The elements of statistical learning*. Vol. 2. No. 1. New York: Springer, 2009.
- Ravikumar, Pradeep, et al. "Sparse additive models." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 71.5 (2009): 1009-1030.

Questions?