10/07/2014

SCRIBE: RYAN HAUNFELDER

LECTURER: PROF. HOMRIGHAUSEN

1 Lagrangian

A standard problem in optimization is

$$min f_0(x) (1)$$

such that
$$f_i(x) < 0, \ i = 1, ..., m$$
 (2)

$$h_i(x) = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, p$$
 (3)

The Lagrangian of 1 is,

$$L(\mathbf{X}, \lambda, \mu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i h_i(x)$$
(4)

Definition 1.1. The lagrangian dual function $g: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ is,

$$g(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_{x} L(x, \lambda, \mu)$$

Theorem 1.2. If $\lambda \geq 0$ then $g(\lambda, \mu) \leq p^*$. This is referred to as the lower bound of optimization.

Remark: g is always concave, and g could be $-\infty$.

<u>Remark:</u> If $\lambda \geq 0$, then $g(\lambda, \mu) \leq p^*$ where p^* is the optimal value of original optimization problem.

Proof. A feasible point x is one such that $f_i(x) \leq 0$ and $h_i(x) = 0$. If \tilde{X} is a feasible point, then,

$$f_0(\tilde{x}) \ge L(\tilde{X}, \lambda, \mu)$$
 for any λ, μ if $\lambda \ge 0$
 $\ge \inf_x L(\tilde{X}, \lambda, \mu)$
 $:= g(\lambda, \mu)$

This provides a nontrivial lower bound.

Definition 1.3. If $\lambda \geq 0$ and $g(\lambda, \mu) > \infty$, (λ, μ) is called dual feasible.

Example 1.4. The least norm problem can be stated as,

$$\min X^T X \text{ such that } Ax = b \tag{5}$$

The dual function of 5 is,

$$\begin{split} L(X,\mu) &= X^T X + \mu^T (AX - b) \\ g(\mu) &= \inf_x L(X,\mu) \\ &= L(-\frac{1}{2}A^T \mu, \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\mu^T AA^T \mu + \mu^T (-\frac{1}{2}AA^T \mu - b) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\mu^T AA^T \mu + (-b\mu^T) \end{split}$$

So, $p^* \ge -\frac{1}{4}\mu^T A A^T \mu + (-b\mu^T)$ for all μ .

Example 1.5. In linear programing we want to find,

$$\min c^T X \text{ such that } AX = b \text{ and } X \ge 0$$
 (6)

The Lagrangian of 6 is,

$$L(X, \lambda, \mu) = c^T X + \mu^T (AX - b) - \lambda^T X$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} g(\lambda,\mu) &= \inf_x L(X,\lambda,\mu) \\ &= -\mu^T b + (c + A^T \mu - \lambda)^T X \\ &= \begin{cases} -\mu^T b & \text{if } c + A^T \mu - \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Example 1.6. In a partitioning problem we want to find,

$$X^T W X = \sum w_{ij} X_i X_j \text{ such that } X_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (7)

The Lagrangian of 7 is,

$$L(X,\mu) = X^T W X + \sum \mu_i (X_i^2 - 1)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} g(\mu) &= \inf_{X} X^T W X + \sum \mu_i (X_i^2 - 1) \\ &= \inf_{X} X^T W X + \sum \mu_i X_i^2 - \sum \mu_i \\ &= \inf_{X} X^T W X + X^T diag(\mu) X - \mu^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \inf_{X} X^T (W + diag(\mu)) X - \mu^T \mathbf{1} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\mu^T \mathbf{1} & \text{if } W + diag(\mu) \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

If μ is the smallest eigenvalue then $W + diag(\mu) \geq 0$ and hence $p^* \geq n\lambda_0(W)$

Definition 1.7. For any $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the conjugate is defined by,

$$f^*(Y) = \max_{Y \in dom(f)} (Y^T X - f(X))$$

If f is continuous, f^* is a Legendre transform

Example 1.8. Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}X^TQX$ for $Q \ge 0$. Then,

$$Y^T X - \frac{1}{2} X^T Q X$$

is concave and

$$f^*(Y) = \max_{T} (Y^T X - \frac{1}{2} X^T Q X) = \frac{1}{2} Y^T Q^{-1} Y$$

is the conjugate function to f(X).

Example 1.9. Let $f(X) = I_S(X)$ where S is some set on \mathbb{R}^n .

Then,

$$f^*(Y) = I_{S^*}(X) = \max_X Y^T X$$

is called the support function of S.

Example 1.10. Let f(X) = ||X|| where $||\cdot||$ is any norm.

Then,

$$f^*(Y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } ||Y||_* \le 1\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the indicator function of unit ball of dual norm where $||Y|| = \sup \{Z^TY : ||Z|| \le 1\}.$

Actually,

$$\begin{split} if \parallel \cdot \parallel \ is \ L^2, \parallel \cdot \parallel_* \ is \ L^2 \\ if \parallel \cdot \parallel \ is \ L^p, \parallel \cdot \parallel_* \ is \ L^q \ where \ (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1) \\ if \parallel \cdot \parallel \ is \ L^1, \parallel \cdot \parallel_* \ is \ \parallel \cdot \parallel_{\infty} \\ if \parallel \cdot \parallel \ is \ nuclear \ , \parallel \cdot \parallel_* = \sigma_{max}(\cdot) \end{split}$$

2 Conjugate and Dual Problems

Suppose we wish to find,

$$\min_{x} f(x) + g(x)$$

We will use the trick of rewriting this optimization problem as,

$$\min_{x,z} f(x) + g(z) \text{ such that } z = x$$
 (8)

The Lagrangian of 8 is,

$$\inf x, z f(x) + g(z) + \mu^{T}(z - x) = \inf x \left(f(x) + \mu^{T} x \right) + \inf z \left(g(z) + \mu^{T} z \right)$$
$$= -f^{*}(\mu) - g^{*}(-\mu)$$

and f^*, g^* are all conjugate.

Example 2.1. Suppose we wish to find,

$$\min \|x\| \text{ such that } Ax = b \tag{9}$$

The dual function is,

$$g(\mu) = \inf_{x} \|x\| + \lambda (Ax - b)$$
$$= \begin{cases} b^{T} \mu & \|A^{T} \mu\|_{*} \leq 1\\ -\infty & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. The dual problem is $\max_{\mu,\lambda} g(\mu,\lambda) = d^*$.

Definition 2.3. An optimization problem has <u>weak duality</u> if $d^* \leq p^*$, that is if the dual problem is smaller than or equal to the solution to the original optimization problem.

The notion of weak duality is used to determine stopping criterion. E.g. If $|f(X_{n+1}) - d^*| < \epsilon$, then $|f(X_{n+1}) - p^*|$ is also small.

Definition 2.4. An optimization problem has <u>strong duality</u> if $d^* = p^*$, that is if the dual problem is smaller than or equal to the solution to the original optimization problem.

<u>Remark:</u> Strong duality holds for convex optimization. (usually)

Theorem 2.5. Slater's Condition Consider,

$$\min f_0(x)$$
 such that $Ax = b, f_i(x) \le 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., m$

If the problem is strictly feasible, then strong duality is always true. i.e. If there exists $x \in dom(f)$ such that f(x) < 0 for all $i, d^* = p^*$.

Example 2.6. Recall the linear programming example 1.5.. In that case, the dual problem is,

$$\min b^T \lambda \text{ such that } A^T \lambda + b = 0, \geq 0$$

If there exists \tilde{X} such that $A\tilde{x} < b$, then $p^* = d^*$.

Example 2.7. Consider the quadratic problem,

$$\min x^T P x \text{ such that } A x < b$$

The dual function is,

$$g(\lambda) = \inf \left(x^T P x + \lambda (Ax + b) \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4} \lambda^T A P^{-1} A^T \lambda - b^T \lambda$$

The dual problem is then,

$$\max_{\lambda > 0} -\frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda^T A P^{-1} A^T \lambda \right) - b^T \lambda$$

3 Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Conditions

The necessary KKT conditions for an optimal solution are,

1.
$$0 \in \partial f(x) + \sum \lambda_i \partial f_i(x) + \sum \mu_i \partial h_i(x)$$
 (Stationarity)

- 2. $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i (Dual Feasibility)
- 3. $f_i(x) \le 0, h_i(x) = 0$ for all i (Primal Feasibility)
- 4. $\lambda_i f_i(x) = 0$ for all i (Complementary Slackness)