CS2030/S Programming Methodology

Semester 1 2020/2021

9 September 2020 Problem Set #3

1. Given the following interfaces.

```
interface Shape {
    double getArea();
}
interface Printable {
    void print();
}
```

(a) Suppose class Circle implements both interfaces above. Given the following program fragment,

```
Circle c = new Circle(new Point(0,0), 10);
Shape s = c;
Printable p = c;
```

Are the following statements allowed? Why do you think Java does not allow some of the following statements?

```
i. s.print();ii. p.print();iii. s.getArea();iv. p.getArea();
```

- (b) Someone proposes to re-implement Shape and Printable as abstract classes instead? Would statements (i) to (iv) be allowed?
- (c) Now let's define another interface PrintableShape as

```
public interface PrintableShape extends Printable, Shape {
}
```

and let class Circle implement PrintableShape instead. Would statements (i) to (iv) be allowed now? Can an interface inherit from multiple parent interfaces?

- 2. Suppose Java allows a class to inherit from multple parent classes. Give a concrete example why this could be problematic.
 - On the other hand, Java does allow classes to implement multiple interfaces. Explain why this isn't problematic.
- 3. Consider the following classes: FormattedText that adds formatting information to the text. We call toggleUnderline() to add or remove underlines from the text. A PlainText is a FormattedText that is always NOT underlined.

```
class FormattedText {
    private final String text;
    private final boolean isUnderlined;
    FormattedText(String text) {
        this.text = text;
        this.isUnderlined = false;
    }
    /*
     * Overloaded constructor, but made private to prevent
     * clients from calling it directly.
     */
    private FormattedText(String text, boolean isUnderlined) {
        this.text = text;
        this.isUnderlined = isUnderlined;
    }
    FormattedText toggleUnderline() {
        return new FormattedText(this.text, !this.isUnderlined);
    }
    @Override
    public String toString() {
        if (this.isUnderlined) {
            return this.text + "(underlined)";
        } else {
            return this.text;
        }
    }
}
class PlainText extends FormattedText {
    PlainText(String text) {
        super(text); // text is NOT underlined
    }
    @Override
    PlainText toggleUnderline() {
        return this;
    }
}
```

Does the above violate Liskov Substitution Principle? Explain.

4. Consider the following program.

```
class A {
    int x;
    A(int x) {
        this.x = x;
    }
    A method() {
        return new A(x);
    }
}
class B extends A {
    B(int x) {
        super(x);
    }
    @Override
    B method() {
        return new B(x);
    }
}
```

Does it compile? What happens if we swap the entire definitions of method() between class A and class B? Does it compile now? Give reasons for your observations.