A tutorial introduction to the design and analysis of computer experiments

Darren Wilkinson

darrenjw.github.io

Computer models

Computer experiments

- Due to the expense of running complex codes, it is necessary to carefully *design experiments* for computer models, somewhat analogous to physical designed experiments
- Just as we perform real experiments to reduce our uncertainty about the behaviour of physical systems, we can perform experiments on computer models to reduce our uncertainty about their (pre-determined, but unknown) behaviour
- Computer models often have various *input parameters*, which we denote by $\mathbf{x}$, which alter the behaviour of the computer model
- Models will often generate lots of output. For this session, we will consider a *single output* of primary interest, y, which is a *deterministic* function of the inputs (even if there is some *stochasticity* internal to the computer model)

Emulating a computer model

- An **emulator** is a *cheap* (fast) surrogate for the computer model, which has many potential uses
- Principally, it can be used instead of the real model in algorithms that require many evaluations of the simulator at many different input configurations
- Some emulators are good and some are bad — to be useful, they should have a measure of *uncertainty* associated with them
- *Stochastic process* emulators define a probability distribution on the space of functions consistent with any known evaluations of the simulator
- *Gaussian processes* (GPs) are one of the simplest and most tractable stochastic processes on function space

Gaussian processes (GPs)

Gaussian processes

- A GP is a probability distribution on functions defined so that the marginal distribution of any finite number of points always has a multivariate normal (MVN) distribution
- Points close together in input space are typically more highly correlated than points far away
- Stationary GPs are defined by a *covariance function* - many different possible choices - here I've used a Gaussian kernel

$$\mathrm{Cov}[f(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x}')] = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sigma^2 \exp\left\{ -\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_2}{r} \right)^2 \right\},$$

containing two parameters: an asymptotic variance, σ^2, and a correlation length scale, r.

- A GP *conditioned* on observations is also a GP (*Kriging*)

1d GP

- 1d GPs are simplest to visualise and understand

```
Grid = seq(0,1,0.002)
Dist = as.matrix(dist(Grid))
K = function(d, lengthScale=0.4, scale=10)
  (scale^2)*exp(-(d/lengthScale)^2)
rGP = function(r=0.4, s=10) {
  Var = K(Dist,r,s) + (1e-5)*diag(length(Grid))
  t(chol(Var)) %*% rnorm(length(Grid))
  }
set.seed(1)
```

$r = 0.01$

```
plot(Grid,rGP(0.01),type="l",lwd=2,col="red")
```



$r = 0.05$

```
plot(Grid,rGP(0.05),type="l",lwd=2,col="red")
```



$r = 0.1$

```
plot(Grid,rGP(0.1),type="l",lwd=2,col="red")
```



$r = 0.5$

```
plot(Grid,rGP(0.5),type="l",lwd=2,col="red")
```



2d GP

- 2d (and 3d) GPs can be useful for modelling smooth spatial heterogeneity

```
Grid = seq(0,1,0.01)
xGrid = expand.grid(Grid,Grid)
Dist = as.matrix(dist(xGrid))
rGP2d = function(r=0.1, s=10) {
  Var = K(Dist,r,s) + (1e-5)*diag(length(Grid)^2)
  z = t(chol(Var)) %*% rnorm(length(Grid)^2)
  matrix(z,nrow=length(Grid))
  }
```

$r = 0.05$

```
image(rGP2d(0.05))
```



$r = 0.1$

```
image(rGP2d(0.1))
```



$r = 0.2$

```
image(rGP2d(0.2))
```



$r = 0.5$

```
image(rGP2d(0.5))
```



Gaussian process emulation

GP emulation of a computer code

- A GP defines a (tractable) probability distribution over (univariate) functions
- We can *condition* the GP on some observations and the conditional process is also a GP, but with a new mean and covariance function that is a function of the observations
- This new GP can represent our uncertainty about a function given some observations
- This uncertain representation of our knowledge of the function can be used to *emulate* an expensive function, such as a complex computer code
- The uncertainty associated with the GP can be used to properly quantify and propagage uncertainty associated with the fact that we don't actually know the expensive model everywhere

DiceKriging

- `DiceKriging` is a popular R package with a range of functionality

```
library(DiceKriging)
```

```
## Loading required package: methods
```

```
set.seed(1)
nd = 20
x = as.matrix(runif(nd,0,5))
colnames(x)=c("x")
y = sin(x)+rnorm(nd,0,0.1)
```

```
mod = km(~x,design=x,response=y,nugget=0.001)
```

```
##
## optimisation start
## ------------------
## * estimation method   : MLE
## * optimisation method : BFGS
## * analytical gradient : used
## * trend model : ~x
## * covariance model :
##   - type :  matern5_2
##   - nugget : 0.001
##   - parameters lower bounds :  1e-10
##   - parameters upper bounds :  9.301198
##   - variance bounds :  0.0101135 1.467872
##   - best initial criterion value(s) :  -10.60975
##
```

```r
px = seq(0,6,0.01)
pred = predict(mod,px,type="SK")
```

```
## Warning in checkNames(X1 = X, X2 = newdata, X1.name = "t
## = "newdata"): newdata not named: newdata's variables are
## design
```

```
plot(px,pred$mean,type="l",ylim=c(-2,2),main="DiceKriging")
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="li
lines(px,pred$mean,type="l")
points(x,y,pch=19,col=2)
```



mlegp

- mlegp is an alternative package, based on the book by Santner et al.

```
library(mlegp)
mod = mlegp(x,y,constantMean=0,nugget.known=1,nugget=0.001)

## no reps detected in meanPerReps, returning originals...
##
## ========== FITTING GP # 1 ============================
## running simplex # 1...
## ...done
## ...simplex #1 complete, loglike = 0.895601 (convergence)
## running simplex # 2...
## ...done
## ...simplex #2 complete, loglike = 0.895601 (convergence)
```

```
pred = predict(mod,as.matrix(px),se.fit=TRUE)
pred$upper = pred$fit + 2*pred$se.fit
pred$lower = pred$fit - 2*pred$se.fit
```

```r
plot(px,pred$fit,type="l",ylim=c(-2,2),main="mlegp")
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="li
lines(px,pred$fit,type="l")
points(x,y,pch=19,col=2)
```



GPfit

- `GPfit` is another R package, which has more sophisticated parameter estimation procedures than some other packages

```
library(GPfit)
xScaled = x/5
mod = GP_fit(xScaled,y)
```

```r
pxScaled = px/5
pred = predict(mod,as.matrix(pxScaled))
pred$upper = pred$Y_hat + 2*pred$MSE
pred$lower = pred$Y_hat - 2*pred$MSE
```

```r
plot(px,pred$Y_hat,type="l",ylim=c(-2,2),main="GPfit")
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="li
lines(px,pred$Y_hat,type="l")
points(x,y,pch=19,col=2)
```



hetGP

- `hetGP` is a modern, sophisticated package for GP emulation, including good support for large datasets, stochastic kriging for noisy models with replication, and heteroskedastic GP modelling

```
library(hetGP)
mod = mleHomGP(x,y) # homoskedastic GP fit
pred = predict(mod,as.matrix(px))
pred$var = pred$sd2 + pred$nugs
pred$sd = sqrt(pred$var)
pred$upper = pred$mean + 2*pred$sd
pred$lower = pred$mean - 2*pred$sd
```

```r
plot(px,pred$mean,type="l",ylim=c(-2,2),main="hetGP (mleHo
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="l
lines(px,pred$mean,type="l")
points(x,y,pch=19,col=2)
```



Heteroskedasticity and the Motorcycle data

- The `mcycle` dataset from the `MASS` package is output from a computer model exhibiting heteroskedasticity - non-stationary variance
- We will start with a homoskedastic fit

```
library(MASS)
mod = mleHomGP(mcycle$time,mcycle$accel) # homoskedastic
px=seq(0,60,0.1)
pred = predict(mod,as.matrix(px))
pred$var = pred$sd2 + pred$nugs
pred$sd = sqrt(pred$var)
pred$upper = pred$mean + 2*pred$sd
pred$lower = pred$mean - 2*pred$sd
```

```
plot(px,pred$mean,ylim=c(-150,100),type="l",main="hetGP (ml
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="li
lines(px,pred$mean,type="l")
points(mcycle,pch=19,col=2)
```



- Next we will do a heteroskedastic fit

```
mod = mleHetGP(mcycle$time,mcycle$accel) # heteroskedastic
pred = predict(mod,as.matrix(px))
pred$var = pred$sd2 + pred$nugs
pred$sd = sqrt(pred$var)
pred$upper = pred$mean + 2*pred$sd
pred$lower = pred$mean - 2*pred$sd
```

```
plot(px,pred$mean,ylim=c(-150,100),type="l",main="hetGP (ml
polygon(c(px,rev(px)),c(pred$upper,rev(pred$lower)),col="li
lines(px,pred$mean,type="l")
points(mcycle,pch=19,col=2)
```



Design of computer experiments

Latin hypercube sampling

- When working with expensive computer models with input parameters, the choice of which combination of inputs to run is important, and worth doing carefully
- For a single tunable parameter, a uniform grid can be sensible
- For multiple input parameters, a cartesian product design seems intuitive, but is actually a very poor choice, and gets worse in higher dimensions
- LHS is a technique for choosing points such that the univariate margins essentially form a uniform grid
- The simplest approaches give random designs subject to the constraint of uniform margins
- More sophisticated variants try to optimise some desirable property of the design, such as maximising the minimum distance between points

The lhs R package

```
library(lhs)
set.seed(1)
```

```
help(package="lhs")
?randomLHS
?maximinLHS
vignette(package="lhs")
vignette("lhs_basics")
```

A 2d example

```
x = maximinLHS(50,2)
plot(x, pch=19, col=2)
```



Univariate margins are uniform

```
op = par(mfrow=c(1,2))
hist(x[,1]); hist(x[,2])
```




```
par(op)
```

Augmenting a design

```
y = augmentLHS(x,20)
plot(y,pch=19,col=3); points(x,pch=19,col=2)
```



Further reading

Software

R

- CRAN Task Views
  - Spatial
  - Spatio-Temporal
- R Packages
  - hetGP (heteroskedastic GPs)
  - DiceKriging
  - GPfit (robust fitting)
  - mlegp (multiple outputs)
  - lhs (latin hypercube sampling)

Python

- GPy

Books and on-line articles

Wikipedia

- Computer experiment
- Gaussian process
- Latin hypercube sampling
- Uncertainty quantification

Books

- The Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments (Santner et al.) - ISBN 1493988476
- Gaussian processes for machine learning (Rasmussen and Williams) - ISBN 026218253X