Report on Sentiment Analysis Model

Objective

The primary goal of the project was to scrape customer reviews from Amazon, perform sentiment analysis using a fine-tuned BERT-based model, and derive meaningful insights and engagement metrics for A/B testing.

Data Collection

- Customer reviews for Amazon products were scraped using Selenium and BeautifulSoup.
- Reviews were extracted along with metadata such as username, review date, rating, and review text.
- Data was saved to a CSV file (amazon_reviews.csv) for preprocessing and analysis.

Model Details

Architecture

- Base Model: BERT (bert-base-uncased)
- **Head:** Sequence classification layer with three labels (positive, neutral, negative).

Training Data

- **Dataset:** A cleaned CSV file **(train2.csv)** containing customer review texts and their sentiment labels.
- Preprocessing:
 - Removed URLs and mentions using regex.
 - Mapped sentiment labels to numerical values: positive: 2, neutral: 0, negative: 1.
- **Splitting:** Train-test split (80%-20%) for model training and evaluation.

Training Details

- Tokenizer: BERT tokenizer with truncation and padding to a max length of 128 tokens.
- Loss Function: SparseCategoricalCrossentropy (from logits).
- **Optimizer:** Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 2e-5.
- Batch Size: 16
- Epochs: 3

Model Performance

Classification Report:

Class	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Support
Positive	0.86	0.83	0.84	2549
Neutral	0.88	0.86	0.87	1765
Negative	0.84	0.91	0.87	1889

• Accuracy: 85.99%

• Macro Average F1-Score: 0.86

• Weighted Average F1-Score: 0.86

Key Observations:

• The model performs consistently across all classes with F1-scores between 0.84 and 0.87.

- The model exhibits high recall for negative sentiment (\sim 91%), suggesting strong sensitivity in identifying negative reviews.
- Slightly lower recall for positive sentiment (\sim 83%) indicates potential improvement areas.

A/B Testing and Engagement Metrics

Methodology

• Users were split into two groups (A and B) based on their index in the dataset:

o Group A: Even indices

o Group B: Odd indices

Engagement Metrics

Group A:

• Average Rating: 3.98

Review Count: 50

Positive Reviews: 31

Negative Reviews: 2

• Neutral Reviews: 17

Group B:

• Average Rating: 4.00

• Review Count: 50

Positive Reviews: 24

Negative Reviews: 3

Neutral Reviews: 23

Statistical Analysis

• T-Test Results:

T-Statistic: -0.3747

o **P-Value:** 0.7087

Inference:

• The p-value (>0.05) indicates no statistically significant difference between the engagement of Group A and Group B.

• Both groups exhibited similar engagement metrics, suggesting no observable bias or effect from group splitting.

Predictions and Insights

Sentiment Prediction

- The trained model was used to predict sentiments for the scraped reviews.
- Sentiment predictions were mapped back to the original dataset and saved in **updated_reviews.csv.**

Insights Derived

- The proportion of sentiments across reviews provided insights into customer sentiment distribution.
- Average ratings and sentiment proportions helped quantify user satisfaction.

Conclusion

The sentiment analysis model demonstrated robust performance across all sentiment classes, with an overall accuracy of \sim 86%. The A/B testing analysis revealed no significant differences between groups, indicating consistent engagement. Future work can focus on addressing improvement areas and expanding the dataset for better generalization and scalability.